

T396 1965-2

7963068

# symposia on theoretical physics



E7963068

Lectures presented at the 1965 Summer School of the Institute of Mathematical Sciences Madras, India





Library of Congress Catalog Card Number 65-21184

© 1967 Plenum Press A Division of Plenum Publishing Corporation 227 West 17 Street, New York, N. Y. 10011 All rights reserved

No part of this publication may be reproduced in any form without written permission from the publisher Printed in the United States of America symposia on theoretical physics

5

# Contributors to this volume:

- K. Ananthanarayanan
- R. Arens
- M. Baktavatsalou
- A. Grossmann
- J. L. Kelley
- S. Kichenassamy
- R. J. Oakes
- A. Ramakrishnan
- N. R. Ranganathan
- S. K. Srinivasan
- V. L. Teplitz
- K. R. Unni
- K. Venkatesan

### Introduction

Volume 5 of this series contains the lectures delivered at the Second Matscience Summer School conducted in Bangalore in August, 1965.

Theoretical physics today occupies a privileged position in fundamental sciences for the obvious reason that it is closely related to experimental physics on the one hand and draws its strength from mathematical methods on the other. Studies in theoretical physics at Matscience lay more emphasis on the mathematical aspects taking care, of course, not to get away from the world of observation and reality. In the second Matscience summer school, we had a fair share of these mathematical methods presented in the lectures of Grossmann on nested hilbert spaces, and Ranganathan and Teplitz on the homology theory of Feynman integrals.

The lecture course by Oakes on weak interactions was the fifth series on a subject dealt with earlier at our Institute by Marshak, Takeda, Fujii, and Meyer, demonstrating the importance of a subject in which the theoretical physicist has experienced as many triumphs as failures. One of the interesting features of the summer school was the lecture course on relativity by two representatives of the Paris School, Kichenassamy and Baktavatsalou.

Our Institute supports and fosters the mathematician's attitude to other disciplines so well expressed by Marshall Stone in the second volume of this series— "I try to help everyone, but I have also my own concerns." Professor Unni initiated a series of lectures in the field of mathematics which will be a regular feature of future scientific meetings at Matscience. With this motivation, the lectures of Kelley and Arens, delivered later during the year, have also been included in this volume.

# **Contents of Other Volumes**

### VOLUME 1

Symmetries and Resonances T. K. Radha

Group Symmetries with R-Invariance R. E. Marshak

Regge Poles and Resonances T. K. Radha

On Regge Poles in Perturbation Theory and Weak Interactions K. Raman

Determination of Spin-Parity of Resonances G. Ramachandran

Pion Resonances T. S. Santhanam Pion-Nucleon Resonances K. Venkatesan

The Influence of Pion-Nucleon Resonance on Elastic Scattering of Charged Pions by Deuterons V. Devanathan

Pion-Hyperon Resonances R. K. Umerjee

Some Remarks on Recent Experimental Data and Techniques E. Segre

On New Resonances B. Maglić

The Higher Resonances in the Pion-Nucleon System G. Takeda

### **VOLUME 2**

Origin of Internal Symmetries E. C. G. Sudarshan

Construction of the Invariants of the Simple Lie Groups

L. O'Raifeartaigh On Peratization Methods

N. R. Ranganathan Large-Angle Elastic Scattering at High Energies

R. Hagedorn Crossing Relations and Spin States M. Jacob

The Multiperipheral Model for High-Energy Processes K. Venkatesan

Regge Poles in Weak Interactions and in Form Factors K. Raman

Some Applications of Separable Potentials in Elementary Particle **Physics** A. N. Mitra

Form Factors of the Three-Nucleon Systems H3 and He3 T. K. Radha

Muon Capture by Complex Nuclei V. Devanathan

Electrodynamics of Superconductors B. Zumino

"Temperature Cutoff" in Quantum Field Theory and Mass Renormalization S. P. Misra

Recent Developments in the Statistical Mechanics of Plasmas H DeWitt

Effective-Range Approximation Based on Regge Poles

B. M. Udgaonkar

Some Current Trends in Mathematical Research M. H. Stone

Semigroup Methods in Mathematical Physics

A. T. Bharucha-Reid

Introduction to Quantum Statistics of Degenerate Bose Systems

F. Mohling

Recent Mathematical Developments in Cascade Theory

S. K. Srinivasan

Theory of a General Ouantum System Interacting with a Linear Dissipation System

R. Vasudevan

### **VOLUME 3**

Many-Particle Structure of Green's Functions K. Symanzik

Broken Symmetries and Leptonic Weak Interactions R Oehme

Partial Muon Capture in Light Nuclei A. Fujii

Quantum Gauge Transformations J. Lukierski

Theories of Particles of Arbitrary Spins K. Venkatesan

Bethe-Salpeter Equation and Conservation Laws in Nuclear Physics W. Brenig

On a Class of Non-Markovian Processes and Its Application to the Theory of Shot Noise and Barkhausen Noise S. K. Srinivasan

### **VOLUME 4**

Introductory Address V. Weisskopf

A New Approach to Scattering Theory R. Blankenbecler

Conserved Vector Currents and **Broken Symmetries** Ph. Meyer

Multiplet Structure and Mass Sum Rules in the SU(6) Symmetry Scheme V. Singh

Group Representations for Complex Angular Momentum K. Venkatesan

A Model of a Unitary S-Matrix for Peripheral Interactions K. Dietz

Equivalent Potential Approach for Strong Interactions L. A. P. Balazs

"Repulsive" Potential Approach to Pion Resonances A. N. Mitra

The Renormalizability of Higher Spin Theories J. Lukierski

Muon Capture by Complex Nuclei V. Devanathan

Comments on Sum Rules P. T. Landsberg and D. J. Morgan

Inelastic Neutron Scattering and Dynamics in Solids and Liquids A. Siölander

Axioms and Models M. H. Stone

Characters of Semi-Simple Lie Groups Harish-Chandra

Sequent Correlations in Evolutionary Stochastic Point Processes S. K. Srinivasan

### **VOLUME 6**

On Locally Isomorphic Groups and Cartan-Stiefel Diagrams B. Gruber

Linear Response, Bethe-Salpeter Equation, and Transport Coefficients L. Picman

The Description of Particles of Arbitrary Spin P. M. Mathews

Radiative Corrections in β-Decay G. Källén

What Are Elementary Particles Made Of?

E. C. G. Sudarshan

Recent Developments in Cosmology J. V. Narlikar

An Introduction to Nevanlinna Theory W. K. Hayman

Normalization of Bethe-Salpeter Wave Functions

Y. Takahashi

Non-Lagrange Theories and Generalized Conservation Y. Takahashi

Cosmic X-Rays, γ-Rays, and Electrons R. R. Daniel

β-Decay and μ-Capture Coupling ConstantsS. C. K. Nair Functions of Exponential Type K. R. Unni

A Model for Processing Visual Data with Applications to Bubble Chamber Picture Recognition (Summary) R. Narasimhan

On Functional Methods in the S-Matrix Theory J. Rzewuski

An Impact Parameter Formalism T. Kotani

Some Properties of the Fourier-Bessel Transform G. Källén

The A<sub>1</sub> and K\*\* (1320)
Phenomena-Kinematic
Enhancements of Mesons?
G, and S. Goldhaber

The Photoproduction and Scattering of Pions from H³ and He³ K. Ananthanarayanan

Relativistic Extensions of SU(6)H. Ruegg

A Survey of  $\pi$ -N Scattering, and of the  $T=\frac{1}{2}$  Amplitudes B. J. Moyer

### **VOLUME 7**

Superconductivity G. Rickayzen

Singularities of Multiple Scattering Processes

F. Pham

Some Notions of Local Differential Topology F. Pham

Formal Aspects of Potential Theory M. Scadron

Some Simple Bootstrap Models B. M. Udgaonkar

Quark Model for Baryons and Resonances

A. N. Mitra

Riemann Mapping Theorm S. K. Singh

Field of Mikusinski Operators S. K. Singh

On Cosine Functional Equation P. L. Kannappan

A Novel Approach to the Kinetic Theory of Fluids—Onset of Turbulent Motion S. K. Srinivasan

# Contents

Contents of Other Volumesix
Lectures on Nested Hilbert Spaces
Elementary Homology Theory
Application of Algebraic Topology to Feynman Integrals29 V. L. TEPLITZ, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts
Weak Interactions
Fundamental Multiplets
The Ground States of He <sup>3</sup> and H <sup>3</sup>
Remarks of the Present State of General Relativity 107 S. Kichenassamy, Institut Henri Poincaré, Paris, France
On the Conformal Group and Its Equivalence with the Hexadimensional Psuedo-Euclidean Group
M. BAKTAVATSALOU, Institut Henri Poincaré, Paris, France
Certain Extremal Problems
Fluctuating Density Fields and Fokker-Planck Equations 163 S. K. Srinivasan, <i>Indian Institute of Technology, Madras, India</i>
Contraction of Lie Groups and Lie Algebras
A Pattern in Functional Analysis

***	C
VIII	Contents

Correspondence Principles in Dynamics	185
Author Index	
Subject Index	215

此为试读,需要完整PDF请访问: www.ertongbook.com

# Lectures on Nested Hilbert Spaces

ALEXANDER GROSSMANN\*

MATSCIENCE Madras, India

### 1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we shall be concerned with a class of vector spaces that are convenient tools for the investigation of some questions in scattering and quantum field theory.

In a space of this kind, calculations are carried out in essentially the same manner as in a Hilbert space, with the qualification that products are defined only if certain initial and final subsets of a partially ordered set have a non-empty intersection. On the other hand, the gain in generality simplifies the language, e.g., in the study of fields (which need not always be smeared), of unphysical sheets and scattering states. Proofs and references can be found in other papers by the author.†

## 2. ALGEBRAIC INDUCTIVE LIMIT

Let I be a partially ordered set. Assume that it satisfies the following condition:

 $(I_1)$ : Any two elements in I have at least one common successor. That is, given any two elements q and r in I, there exists at least one s in I such that  $s \ge r$  and  $s \ge q$ . This will be written as

<sup>\*</sup>Visiting scientist.

<sup>†</sup>Comm. Math. Phys. 2: 1 (1966); 4: 190 (1967).

 $s \ge r$ , q. For every  $r \in I$ , let  $V_r$  be a vector space. Assume that the spaces  $V_r$  are mutually disjoint. For every  $r \in I$  and every s such that  $s \ge r$ , let  $E_{sr}$  be a linear mapping from  $V_r$  into  $V_s$ . Assume the following:

(Ns<sub>1</sub>):  $E_{sr}$  is injective, i.e., satisfies the condition that if  $E_{sr} f_r = 0$ , then  $f_r = 0$ . Assume also that the family  $E_{sr}$  satisfies the conditions:

(Ind<sub>1</sub>): For every  $r \in I$ ,  $E_{rr} = 1$  (Identity in  $V_r$ ). (Ind<sub>2</sub>): If  $s \geqslant r \geqslant p$ , then  $E_{sp} = E_{sr} E_{rp}$ .

The condition  $(Ns_1)$  above is not necessary for the definition of algebraic inductive limit. It will, however, always be satisfied in the situations with which we are concerned.

Now consider the union of all the spaces  $V_r$ . In this set, define a relation  $f_r \sim f_q$  which means: There exists a common successor  $s \ge r$ , q such that

$$E_{sr}f_r = E_{sq}f_q \tag{1}$$

It is easy to verify that this is an equivalence relation. The union  $\bigcup_{r \in I} V_r$  can be decomposed into classes with respect to this relation.

If (1) holds for some  $s \ge r$ , q, then it holds for every common successor of r and of q. Indeed, let  $E_{sr} f_r = E_{sq} f_q$  and  $w \ge r$ , q. Let z be any common successor of s and of w. Then,  $E_{zr} f_r = E_{zs} E_{sr} f_r = E_{zs} E_{sq} f_q = E_{zq} f_q$ . Consequently,  $E_{zw} E_{wr} f_r = E_{zw} E_{wq} f_q$ . Since  $E_{zw}$  is injective, it follows that  $E_{wr} f_r = E_{wq} f_q$ . If  $f_r \sim f_q$  and  $g_r \sim g_q$ , then  $f_r + g_r \sim f_q + g_q$ . If  $f_r \sim f_q$  and  $\varphi$  is any complex number, then  $\varphi f_r \sim \varphi f_q$ . Therefore, the set of classes forms a vector space. This vector space will be denoted by  $V_I$  and called the algebraic inductive limit of the spaces  $V_r$  with respect to  $E_{sr}$  and I. In symbols,

$$V_I = \lim \left[ V_r; E_{sr}; I \right] \tag{2}$$

For every  $r \in I$ , there exists a natural embedding  $E_{Ir}$  of  $V_r$  into  $V_I$ . If  $f_r$  belongs to  $V_r$  and f is the class of  $f_r$ , we will write

$$f = E_{Ir} f_r \tag{3}$$

and call  $f_r$  the representative of f in  $V_r$ . For every  $f \in V_I$ , we will denote by J(f) the set of all  $r \in I$  such that f has a representative in  $V_r$ . That is,  $r \in J(f)$  means that  $f \in E_{Ir}V_r$ . It follows directly that J(f) is a final subset of I. This means that if  $r \in J(f)$  and

 $s \geqslant r$ , then  $s \in J(f)$ . If  $f_r$  and  $f_s$  are representatives of f and  $s \geqslant r$ , then

$$E_{s\tau}f_{\tau}=f_{s}\tag{4}$$

If f and g are any two vectors in  $V_I$ , then

$$J(f+g) \supseteq J(f) \cap J(g) \tag{5}$$

### 3. DEFINITION OF NESTED HILBERT SPACE

Let  $H_r$  ( $r \in I$ ) be a family of Hilbert spaces. For the moment, the spaces  $H_r$  are considered to be mutually disjoint. Assume that the index set I satisfies the following conditions:

 $(I_1)$ : Any two elements in I have at least one common successor (see Section 2).

( $I_2$ ): In I, there is defined an order-reversing involution, i.e., a one-to-one mapping  $r \longleftrightarrow \bar{r}$  of I onto itself such that  $\bar{r} = r$  ( $r \in I$ ) and that  $\bar{r} \geqslant \bar{s}$  if and only if  $r \leqslant s$ .

 $(I_3)$ : There exists an element  $o \in I$  such that  $\bar{o} = o$ .

Elements of the Hilbert space  $H_r$  will be denoted by  $f_r$ ,  $g_r$ , etc.; a bounded operator from  $H_r$  into  $H_s$  is denoted by  $A_{sr}$ . The scalar product of  $f_r \in H_r$  and  $g_r \in H_r$  is denoted by  $(f_r, g_r)$ ; it is linear in  $g_r$  and antilinear in  $f_r$ . The norm of  $f_r \in H_r$  is denoted by  $\|f_r\|$ . The adjoint of the bounded operator  $A_{sr}$  will be denoted by  $(A_{sr})_{rs}^*$ . It is the bounded operator from  $H_s$  into  $H_r$ , defined by

$$(g_r, (A_{sr})_{rs}^* f_s) = (A_{sr} g_r, f_s)$$

for every  $f_r \in H_r$  and every  $f_s \in H_s$ . On the left-hand side of this equation, the scalar product is in  $H_r$ ; on the right-hand side, the scalar product is in  $H_s$ .

We will now continue the definition of nested Hilbert space. For every  $r \in I$  and every  $s \ge r$ , let  $E_{sr}$  be a linear mapping from  $H_r$  into  $H_s$ . Assume that the conditions  $(Ns_1)$ ,  $(Ind_1)$ , and  $(Ind_2)$  of Section 2 are satisfied. Assume further that  $E_{sr}$  satisfies the following conditions.

 $(Ns_2)$ :  $E_{sr}$  is bounded (i.e., there exists a constant C such that  $||E_{sr} f_r|| \le C ||f_r||$  for every  $f_r \in H_r$ ).

 $(Ns_3)$ : The range of  $E_{sr}$  is dense in  $H_s$ . This means that if  $(g_s, E_{sr} f_r) = 0$  for every  $f_r \in H_r$ , then  $g_s = 0$ .

A. Grossmann

If a linear mapping  $E_{sr}$  satisfies the conditions  $(Ns_1)$ ,  $(Ns_2)$ , and  $(Ns_3)$  then its adjoint  $(E_{sr})_{rs}^*$  also satisfies these conditions.

Definition. Let I be a set that satisfies the conditions  $(I_1)$ ,  $(I_2)$ , and  $(I_3)$ . Let  $H_r$   $(r \in I)$  be a family of Hilbert spaces and  $E_{sr}$   $(r \in I, s \ge r)$  be a family of linear mappings which satisfy the conditions  $(Ns_1)$ ,  $(Ns_2)$ ,  $(Ns_3)$ ,  $(Ind_1)$ , and  $(Ind_2)$ . The algebraic inductive limit

$$H_I = \lim_{r \to \infty} [H_r; E_{sr}; I]$$

will be called a nested Hilbert space if the following conditions are satisfied:

 $(NH_1)$ : If we let r and q be any two elements of I, then there exists a  $p \le r$ , q such that

$$E_{Ip}H_p = E_{Iq}H_q \cap E_{Ir}H_r \tag{6}$$

 $(NH_2)$ : For every  $r \in I$ , there exists a unitary mapping  $u_{\bar{\tau}r}$  from  $H_{\bar{\tau}}$  onto  $H_{\bar{\tau}}$  such that

$$u_{oo} = 1 \tag{7a}$$

$$E_{\tilde{r}\tilde{s}} = u_{\tilde{r}r} (E_{sr})_{rs}^* u_{s\tilde{s}}$$

$$(r \in I, s \geqslant r)$$

$$(7b)$$

### 4. SCALAR PRODUCT

Let  $H_I$  be a nested Hilbert space and f be any vector in  $H_I$ . Consider the final subset  $J(f) \subseteq I$  defined at the end of Section 2. It follows from  $(NH_1)$  that J(f) has the following property: If  $r \in J(f)$  and  $q \in J(f)$ , then there exists at least one  $p \leqslant r, q$  (a common predecessor of r and of q) such that  $p \in J(f)$ . Namely, one can take the p defined by (6).

Let  $\bar{J}(f)$  be the set of all  $r \in I$  such that  $\bar{r}$  belongs to J(f). Therefore,  $\bar{J}(f)$  is an initial subset of I, which contains at least one common successor of any two of its elements. Let f and g be two vectors in  $H_I$ , such that the intersection  $\bar{J}(f) \cap J(g)$  is not empty. We shall show that the number  $(u_{r\bar{r}}f_r,g_r)$  is independent of the choice of  $r \in \bar{J}(f) \cap J(g)$ . That is, if q is any other element of  $\bar{J}(f) \cap J(g)$ , then

$$(u_{r\bar{r}}f_{\bar{r}},g_r)=(u_{q\bar{q}}f_{\bar{q}},g_q)$$
 (8)

To prove (8), notice first that there exist elements p and z in  $\bar{J}(f) \cap J(g)$ , such that  $p \leqslant r$ ,  $q \leqslant z$ . Then,  $\bar{z} \leqslant \bar{r}$ ,  $\bar{q} \leqslant \bar{p}$  and so, by (7a),

(7b), and (4),

$$(f_{\bar{r}}, u_{\bar{r}r}g_r) = (E_{\bar{r}\bar{z}}f_z, u_{\bar{r}r}E_{rp}g_p) = ((E_{zr})_{rz}^*u_{z\bar{z}}f_z, E_{rp}g_p)$$
  
=  $(u_{z\bar{z}}f_z, E_{zp}g_p) = (u_{z\bar{z}}f_z, g_z)$ 

Similarly,

$$(f_{\bar{q}},u_{\bar{q}q}g_q)=(u_{\bar{z}\bar{z}}f_{\bar{z}},g_z)$$

which proves (8).

The elements p and z have to lie in  $\bar{J}(f) \cap J(g)$  because otherwise the representatives  $f_{\bar{z}}$  and  $g_p$  would not exist. The number (8) will be denoted by  $\langle f|g\rangle$  and called the scalar product of f and of g. Therefore, the scalar product  $\langle f|g\rangle$  is defined if and only if the vectors f and g satisfy the condition that  $\bar{J}(f) \cap J(g)$  is not empty. It is easy to verify that  $\langle g|f\rangle$  is defined if and only if  $\langle f|g\rangle$  is, and that  $\langle g|f\rangle$  is the complex conjugate of  $\langle f|g\rangle$ . It is trivial that

$$\langle g|\varphi f\rangle = \varphi \langle g|f\rangle = \langle \varphi^*g|f\rangle$$

for every complex number. It is a little more difficult to verify the following: If f, g, h are such that  $\langle f|h\rangle$  and  $\langle g|h\rangle$  are defined, then  $\langle f+g|h\rangle$  is also defined. Namely, if  $\bar{J}(f)\cap J(h)$  and  $\bar{J}(g)\cap J(h)$  are not empty, then one still has to worry about the possibility that  $\bar{J}(f)\cap J(g)\cap J(h)$  might be empty. Since we know only that  $J(g+f)\supseteq J(g)\cap J(f)$  [see equation (5)], it is not immediately clear that  $\bar{J}(f+g)\cap J(h)$  is not empty. These problems would not arise if I were totally ordered.

To see that no trouble occurs, one needs the following auxiliary fact:

If  $p \leqslant r$ , q is such that

$$E_{Ip}H_p = H_{Ir}H_r \cap E_{Iq}H_q \tag{9}$$

then

$$E_{I\bar{p}}H_{\bar{p}} = E_{I\bar{r}}H_{\bar{r}} + E_{I\bar{q}}H_{\bar{q}} \tag{10}$$

The last equation means that the vector subspace  $E_{I\bar{p}}H_{\bar{p}} \subseteq H_I$  consists precisely of the vectors  $v \in H_I$  which can be written as a sum v = v' + v'', where  $v' \in E_{I\bar{r}}H_{\bar{r}}$  and  $v'' \in E_{I\bar{q}}H_{\bar{q}}$ . This decomposition is not unique, since the intersection  $E_{I\bar{r}}H_{\bar{r}} \cap E_{I\bar{q}}H_{\bar{q}}$  contains non-zero vectors. We shall not prove here that (10) follows from (9).

With the help of (10), it is easy to see that  $\langle f+g|h\rangle$  is defined. Let  $r\in \bar{J}(f)\cap J(h)$  and  $q\in \bar{J}(g)\cap J(h)$ . Let  $p\leqslant r,q$  be such that  $E_{Ip}H_p=E_{Ir}H_r\cap E_{Iq}H_q$ . Then  $p\in J(h)$ . On the other

A. Grossmann

hand,  $\bar{q} \in J(g)$  and  $\bar{r} \in J(f)$ . This means that  $g \in E_{I\bar{q}}H_{\bar{q}}$  and  $f \in E_{I\bar{r}}H_{\bar{r}}$ . Consequently,  $f+g \in E_{I\bar{q}}H_{I\bar{q}}+E_{I\bar{r}}H_{\bar{r}}=E_{I\bar{p}}H_{\bar{p}}$ , which shows that  $\bar{p} \in J(f+g)$ . This means that  $p \in J(f+g) \cap J(h)$  so that the scalar product  $\langle f+g|h \rangle$  is defined.

# 5. NESTED HILBERT SPACE ASSOCIATED TO AN ORTHONORMAL BASIS

Let  $H_o$  be a separable Hilbert space and  $\{h_o^{(k)}\}$   $(k=1,2,\ldots)$  an orthonormal basis of  $H_o$ . Let I be the set of all sequences of strictly positive numbers. Therefore,  $r \in I$  is a sequence  $\{r(k)\}$  of numbers r(k) > 0. Consider in I the natural partial order;  $r \geqslant q$  means  $r(k) \geqslant q(k)$  for every k. Define in I an order-reversing involution by  $\bar{r}(k) = 1/r(k)$ . It is easy to verify that this set I satisfies the conditions  $(I_1)$ ,  $(I_2)$ , and  $(I_3)$ .

Let V be the vector space of all finite linear combinations of the vectors  $h_o^{(k)}$ . Let  $f = \sum_k c_k h_o^{(k)}$  and  $g = \sum_k d_k h_o^{(k)}$  belong to V. Given any  $r \in I$ , consider the scalar product

$$(f,g)_r = \sum_k c_k^* r^{-2}(k) d_k$$

Denote by  $H_r$  the completion of V with respect to the norm defined by this scalar product. It is a Hilbert space. We now have associated a Hilbert space  $H_r$  to every  $r \in I$ . If  $s \geqslant r$  (in I) denote by  $E_{sr}$  the natural embedding of  $H_r$  into  $H_s$ .

It can be verified that every such  $E_{sr}$  satisfies the conditions  $(Ns_1)$ ,  $(Ns_2)$ , and  $(Ns_3)$  and that the family  $\{E_{sr}\}$  satisfies the conditions  $(Ind_1)$  and  $(Ind_2)$ . Consider the algebraic inductive limit

$$H_I = \lim_{r \to \infty} [H_r; E_{sr}; I]$$

It can be shown that  $H_I$  is a nested Hilbert space, i.e., that the conditions  $(NH_1)$  and  $(NH_2)$  are satisfied. The family  $u_{\bar{r}_T}$  is defined as follows:

Denote by  $h^{(k)}$  (k = 1, 2, ...) the vectors

$$h^{(k)} = E_{Io} h_o^{(k)} (11)$$

in  $H_I$ . It is easy to see that  $J(h^{(k)}) = I$ , i.e., that  $h^{(k)}$  has a representative  $h_r^{(k)}$  for every  $r \in I$ . Consider in  $H_r$  the vectors  $e_r^{(r;k)}$  defined by

$$e_r^{(r;k)} = r(k)h_r^{(k)}$$
 (12)