9560029

GRI-93/0188

PB93-228369

# HEAT TRANSFER IN INDUSTRIAL FURNACES

ANNUAL REPORT

(April 1992 - March 1993)

Gas Research Institute 8600 West Bryn Mawr Avenue Chicago, Illinois 60631





TK 124 H437 92.4-1993.3

## HEAT TRANSFER IN INDUSTRIAL FURNACES

## ANNUAL REPORT

(April 1992 - March 1993)

Prepared by

H. Ramamurthy, S. Ramadhyani, P.F. Sullivan and R. Viskanta

School of Mechanical Engineering Purdue University West Lafayette, IN 47907

for

GAS RESEARCH INSTITUTE Contract No. 5086-260-1293

GRI Project Manager Ferol Fish Physical Sciences Department

四书馆藏书

70560000

E9560025

June 1993

#### GRI DISCLAIMER

LEGAL Notice. This report was prepared by Purdue University, as an account of work sponsored by the Gas Research Institute (GRI). Neither GRI, members of GRI, nor any person acting on behalf of either:

- a. Makes any warranty or representation, express or implied, with respect to the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of the information contained in this report, or that the use of any apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report may not infringe privately owned rights; or
- b. Assumes any liability with respect to the use of, or for damages resulting from the use of, any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report.

c. COSATI Field/Group

18. Availability Statemen:

Release Unlimited

19. Security Class (This Report)

Unclassified

20. Security Class (This Page)

Unclassified

21. No. of Pages

22. Price

#### RESEARCH SUMMARY

Title:

Heat Transfer in Industrial Furnaces

GRI Contract No.: 5086-260-1293

Contractor:

Purdue University

School of Mechanical Engineering

Principal Investigators:

R. Viskanta and S. Ramadhyani

Period:

April 1992 - March 1993

#### Annual Report

Objective: The objective of the project is to conduct analytical and theoretical research for predicting the thermal performance of indirectly and directly fired high-temperature industrial furnaces. Knowledge of heat transfer between the combustion products, intermediate load and ultimate load and between the combustion products and the load is necessary to improve understanding, control, and enhancement of furnace efficiency.

Technical Perspective: In spite of the fact that natural gas has been used as a fuel for many decades for indirectly and directly fired industrial and metallurgical furnaces, understanding of chemically reacting flows, turbulence, combustion, radiation and heat transfer processes in these furnaces is incomplete. Knowledge of heat transfer between the combustion products and intermediate and/or ultimate load is needed to improve the understanding, control and enhancement of furnace efficiency. Recent advances in computer hardware, computational algorithms, and radiation heat transfer are being used to enable simulation of complex combustion-heat transfer processes in furnaces and to improve technologies in the industrial sector.

Results: Directly-fired continuous furnace model prediction have been validated with data from two operating industrial furnaces and two experimental furnaces. Detailed results for each validation case are presented in this report.

Two literature reviews have been completed. The first reviews the data available for validating a variety of thermal system and detailed models of directly-fired furnaces. The second reviews the state of the art in modeling NO<sub>x</sub> formation in nonpremixed combustion.

A thermal system model has been developed for a radiant tube, continuous furnace by coupling a one-dimensional radiant tube model to the furnace enclosure model. Model parameters and operating conditions can be easily varied in order to study their effects on the thermal performance of the furnace. Preliminary results obtained using the thermal system model with three different radiant tube designs are presented in this report. A two-dimensional predictive model has been developed for radiant tubes to obtain the convective heat transfer rate to the tube wall and the rate of fuel burn-up within the tube. Several high and low Reynolds number turbulence models have been judged for their performance by comparing model predictions with measurements in fully developed pipe flows by Laufer and abrupt expansion pipe flows with heat transfer by Baughn et al. (1986). The two-dimensional model has also been validated by comparing model predictions with measurements in gas combustor flows by Bowman et al. (1975) and Spadacini et al. (1976).

Technical Approach: The physical processes occurring in the furnace are modeled by equations based on the fundamental conservation principles of mass, momentum, energy, and species. These equations are supplemented by additional models that describe radiation, turbulence, and combustion in the furnace. The foregoing set of equations is solved numerically on a computer to obtain predictions of the temperature field in the furnace and the load, composition of the exhaust gas, heat transfer to the load by radiation and convection, and furnace thermal efficiency. The computer program is used to study the effects of various design and operating parameters on the quantities of interest. The model predictions are compared with published test data or operating data on furnaces obtained from industrial firms who have been collaborating with us on the project.

Project Implications: The mathematical models can be used to predict either the natural gas consumption and the thermal efficiency of a given furnace or the design features required to achieve a specified thermal efficiency, temperature distribution, and heating rate. The computer based models can provide this information in a relatively inexpensive and quick manner, enabling the designer to explore a wide range of options for enhancing the performance of the furnace. The models provide insight into the basic heat transfer phenomena, resulting in improved equipment designs. As research tools, the models can eliminate the need for costly experimental tests and greatly assist in the design of new experiments and/or interpretation of test data.

GRI Project Manager Ferol Fish Manager, Physics Physical Sciences Department

#### OVERALL PROGRAM OBJECTIVES

The overall objectives of the research program are:

- 1. To obtain improved understanding of radiation and total heat transfer in:
  - i) Indirectly fired industrial furnaces and
  - ii) Directly fired furnaces.
- 2. To develop mathematical-numerical models to predict radiation and convection heat transfer as well as thermal performance in industrial furnaces.
- 3. To exercise the models to simulate furnaces and to identify furnace configurations, operating conditions, load positions, etc. which yield more effective heat transfer to the load and a higher overall furnace thermal performance. The research methodology consists of:
  - Critical literature review
  - Mathematical model construction and validation using available test data
  - Parametric calculations using the models developed
  - Identification of heat transfer-thermal performance enhancement schemes.
  - Validation of the models using available test data.

#### SPECIFIC OBJECTIVES AND WORK TASKS - CURRENT YEAR

The specific objectives and the work tasks for the current year (1993) together with the performance schedule are shown in the accompanying table.

Table 1. Work tasks and performance schedule for 1993.

	Project Month - 1993											
Work Tasks	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Indirect Fired Furnaces												
• Two-Dimensional Model for a Straight Through Through Radiant Tube				X	x	X	X	X	X	x		
• Indirect Fired Furnace Systems Models	x	X	x	x	x	x	x	x				
<ul> <li>Validation of Systems Models</li> </ul>			x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x		
Direct Fired Furnaces												
<ul> <li>Refinements to Previously         Developed Furnace         System Model     </li> </ul>	x	x	x	x	x							
<ul> <li>Validation</li> </ul>	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x

## NOMENCLATURE

radius

 $\mathbf{R}$ 

A	area
b	thickness of the load
c	specific heat for a solid
$c_p$	specific heat at constant pressure
D	diameter
d	thickness, diameter
е	total energy
f	mixture fraction; turbulent model functions
$\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{j}}$	configuration factor between k-th and j-th surfaces
H	height of the furnace; total enthalpy
$\Delta H_{\mathrm{f}}$	enthalpy of reaction
h	convective heat transfer coefficient; specific enthalpy
h	effective heat transfer coefficient accounting for radiative and convective heat transfer
I	radiant intensity
J	radiosity at a surface
k	thermal conductivity; turbulent kinetic energy
l	mixing length
L	length, path length
M	number of surface zones
m	mass flow rate
$\mathbf{m_i}$	mass fraction of species i
N	number of radiant tubes
Pr	Prandtl number
p	pressure or scattering phase function
p(f)	probability density function as a function of $f$
P	perimeter
$\mathbf{Q}_{\mathtt{net}}$	net heat transfer into a zone
q	heat flux leaving a surface
r	radial coordinate

$R_t$	turbulent Reynolds number
$Re_D$	Reynolds number based on diameter
S	number of surface zones in contact with a single gas zone
S	source term
Sc	Schmidt number
t	time
T	temperature
<b>F</b>	radiant heat flux vector
$\mathbf{U_i}$	velocity component
V	velocity or volume
w	discrete ordinates model quadrature weighting factor
W	width
x	coordinate direction
У	coordinate direction, as shown in Fig. 2.2; linear distance from radiant tube wall
y <sup>+</sup>	dimensionless distance from radiant tube wall
Z	axial distance along the radiant tube
α	thermal diffusivity, absorptivity
β	Shvab-Zeldovich coupling parameter
δ	thickness
$\delta_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{j}}$	Kronecker delta function: $\delta = 1$ when $k = j$ and $\delta = 0$ when $k \neq j$
$\epsilon$	emissivity or turbulence dissipation rate
$\nu$	kinematic viscosity or frequency
$\eta$	furnace efficiency
Γ	diffusion coefficient
au	shear stress
$\kappa$	mean absorption coefficient; fuel burn-up coefficient
λ	wavelength
$\mu$	dynamic viscosity
Ω	solid angle
$\phi$	generalized scalar variable
ρ	density
$\sigma$	Stefan-Boltzmann constant

turbulent Prandtl number for quantity  $\phi$ 

## Subscripts

a air

A air

amb ambient

b blackbody

conv convection

cp combustion products

DB Dittus-Boelter

en enclosure

exh exhaust

f,fu fuel

g gas

 $i,j,k,\ell$  zone index number

i inner

init initial quantity

ℓ laminar

L load

m mass fraction

o outer

ox oxidant

rad radiation

s surrounding furnace atmosphere or gas zone, surface, or solid

st stoichiometric

t radiant tube or turbulent

tot total

w furnace wall

#### **CONVERSION FACTORS**

Temperature:

$$T(^{\circ}F) = 9/5 T(^{\circ}C) + 32$$

$$T(R) = T (°F) + 460$$

$$T(K) = T(^{\circ}C) + 273$$

Power:

$$1 \text{ kW} = 3413 \text{ BTU/hr}$$

$$1\;hp=746\;W=550\;ft\;lb_f/\!s$$

Energy:

$$1 \text{ Btu} = 1055 \text{ J}$$

Pressure:

$$1 \text{ psi} = 6.895 \text{ kPa}$$

Force:

$$1\;lb_f=4.448\;N$$

Mass:

$$1~\mathrm{lb_m} = 0.456~\mathrm{kg}$$

Distance:

$$1 \text{ ft} = 0.3096 \text{ m}$$

Specific heat:

$$1\;Btu/lb_m\;R=1285\;J/kg\;K$$

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

LI	ST OF FIGURES	vi
LI	ST OF TABLES	ix
N	OMENCLATURE	х
C	ONVERSION FACTORS	xiii
1.	INTRODUCTION	
	1.1 Rationale	1-1
	1.2 Scope of Project	
	1.3 References	1-7
2.	MODELING OF INDIRECTLY-FIRED FURNACES	2-1
	2.1 Introduction	
	2.2 Development of Continuous Furnace Thermal System Model	2-3
	2.3 Preliminary Results of the Continuous Furnace System Model	
	2.4 Development of the Two-Dimensional Radiant Tube Model	2-16
	2.5 Validation of the Two-Dimensional Radiant Tube Model	2-43
	2.6 Future Work	2-51
	2.7 References	2-51
3.	DIRECT-FIRED FURNACES	3-1
	3.1 Introduction	3-1
	3.2 Literature Review of Data for Validating Directly-Fired Furnaces	3-2
	3.3 Validation Study of Directly-Fired Continuous Furnace Model	3-12
	3.4 Literature Review of NO <sub>x</sub> Modeling in Non Premixed Combustion	3-16
	3.5 Particle Injection Technology for Direct-Fired Furnaces	3-22
	3.6 References	3-25

## LIST OF FIGURES

No.		Page
1.1	Function of the thermal system model in optimizing the design and operation of an industrial furnace	
2.1	Physical model of a radiant tube, continuous reheating furnace	.2-60
2.2	Modes of energy transfer in a radiant tube, continuous furnace	.2-61
2.3	Flow diagram for the modelling approach	.2-62
2.4	Physical models of the U-type and W-type radiant tubes	.2-63
2.5	Load surface temperature variation with distance for three different radiant tube designs	.2-64
2.6	Axial variation in the radiant tube wall and gas temperatures in straight- through radiant tubes	.2-65
2.7	Axial variation in the radiant tube wall and gas temperatures in U-type radiant tube	.2-66
2.8	Axial variation in the radiant tube wall and gas temperatures in W-type radiant tube	.2-67
2.9	Fuel burn-up coefficient as a function of axial distance in a straight-through radiant tube	.2-68
2.10	Fuel burn-up coefficient as a function of axial distance in a U-type radiant tube	.2-69
2.11	Fuel burn-up coefficient as a function of axial distance in a W-type radiant tube	.2-70
2.12	Physical model of a diffusion flame burner in a straight-through radiant tube	.2-71
2.13	Comparison of fully developed axial velocity profiles using low Reynolds number models with Laufer's experimental data ( $\mathrm{Re}_\mathrm{D} = 5 \times 10^5$ )	2-72
2.14	Near-wall turbulence kinetic energy predictions using low Reynolds number models with Laufer's measurements ( ${\rm Re}_{\rm D}=5\times10^5$ )	2-73
2.15	Turbulence kinetic energy profiles in a pipe using low Reynolds number models with Laufer's measurements ( $Re_D = 5x10^5$ )	2-74

2.16	Comparison of low Reynolds number model predictions with the universal velocity profile in pipe flow2-75
2.17	Schematic of the experimental apparatus used by Baughn et al (1986) along with details of the abrupt expansion region for measurements in axisymmetric abrupt expansion in a circular pipe
2.18	Near-wall cell with assumed distributions of turbulence kinetic energy, turbulent shear stress and dissipation rate2-77
2.19	Local Nusselt number variations in the Baughn et al. (1986) tube obtained using high Reynolds number model with different near-wall schemes2-78
2.20	Predicted centerline axial velocities in the Baughn et al (1986) tube with the high Reynolds number model2-79
2.21	Predicted local Nusselt number variations in the Baughn et al. (1986) tube using the Nagano-Hishida low Reynolds number model2-80
2.22	Predicted local Nusselt number variations in the Baughn et al. (1986) tube using the Jones-Launder low Reynolds number model2-81
2.23	Physical model of Bowman et al. (1975) gas combustor2-82
2.24	Stream function contours in Bowman gas combustor for baseline operating conditions2-83
2.25	Radial profles of mean axial velocity at different axial stations for baseline operating conditions of Bowman gas combustor2-84
2.26	Radial profiles of mean axial velocity at different axial stations for baseline operating conditions of Bowman gas combustor2-85
2.27	Radial profiles of mean temperature at different axial stations for baseline operating conditions of Bowman gas combustor2-86
2.28	Radial profiles of mean temperature at different axial stations for baseline operating conditions of Bowman gas combustor2-87
2.29	Radial profiles of NO concentration at different axial stations for baseline operating conditions of Bowman gas combustor2-88
2.30	Radial profiles of NO concentration at different axial stations for baseline operating conditions of Bowman gas combustor2-89
2.31	Comparison of predicted axial velocity contours with experiments in Spadacini gas combustor

2.32	Comparsion of predicted isotherms with experiments in Spadacini gas combustor2-91
2.33	Comparison of predicted isotherms with Jones and McGuirk (1980) predictions in Spadacini gas combustor2-92
3.1	Construction of the experimental furnace of Kremer and Schmitz (1990)3-33
3.2	Construction of the experimental furnace of DeBellis (1991)3-34
3.3	Direct-Fired continuous furance at Inland Steel in East Chicago, Indiana3-35
3.4	Direct-Fired Walking Beam furnace at the China Steel Corporation in Kaohsing, Taiwan3-36
3.5	Experimental furnace of Fitzgerald and Thring (1965)3-37
3.6	Experimental furance of Fransson (1981)3-38
3.7	Experimental apparatus of Yule and Damon (1991)3-39
3.8	Experimental apparatus of Johnson and Bennett (1981)3-40
3.9	Experimental combustor of Lewis and Smoot (1981)3-41
3.10	Experimental combustor of Lockwood et al. (1974)3-42
3.11	IFRF M-2 Trials Furnace tested by Michelfelder and Lowes (1974)3-43
3.12	Comparison of the predictions of S <sub>2</sub> and S <sub>4</sub> discrete ordinates approximations with experimental data from the Delft furnace (Wu and Fricker 1971)3-44
3.13	Experimental combustor of Chen and Discoll (1990)3-45
3.14	Comparison of predicted and measured gas zone temperatures for the experimental furance of Fitzgerald and Thring (1965)3-46
3.15	Comparison of predicted and measured heat flux distribution for the experimental furance of Fransson (1981)3-47
3.16	Comparison of measured and predicted temperatures for Inland Steel  Furnace
3.17	Comparison of measured and predicted temperatures for China Steel  Furnace3-49

## LIST OF TABLES

No.		Page
2.1	Base configuration parameters for the indirectly-fired continuous furnace	2-55
2.2	Constants and functions in the k $-\epsilon$ models	.2-56
2.3	Source terms and diffusion coefficients in the conservation equations	.2-57
2.4	Rate constants for NO <sub>x</sub> formation mechanisms	.2-58
	Baseline operating conditions of Bowman et al. (1975) gas combustor	
2.6	Baseline operating conditions of Spadacini et al. (1976) gas combustor	.2-59
3.1	Available data for validating thermal systems models	.3-50
3.2	Experimental data for non-reacting flows without sudden expansion (i.e., "ducted jet" flows)	.3-51
3.3	Experimental data for non-reacting flows with sudden expansion	.3-52
3.4	Experimental data for reacting flows with sudden expansion	.3-53
3.5	Experimental data for NO <sub>x</sub> formation in non premixed, turbulent diffusion flames	.3-54
3.6	Previous attempts to model NO <sub>x</sub> in turbulent diffusion flames	.3-55
3.7	Rate constants used in previous NO <sub>x</sub> models	.3-57