LEGAL REASONING and LEGAL WRITING STRUCTURE, STRATEGY, AND STYLE

Second Edition

Richard K. Neumann, Jr.

LEGAL REASONING AND LEGAL WRITING

Structure, Strategy, and Style

SECOND EDITION

Richard K. Neumann, Jr. Professor of Law Hofstra University



Little, Brown and Company Boston New York Toronto London Copyright © 1994 by Richard K. Neumann, Jr.

All rights reserved. No part of this book may be reproduced in any form or by any electronic or mechanical means including information storage and retrieval systems without permission in writing from the publisher, except by a reviewer who may quote brief passages in a review.

Library of Congress Catalog Card No. 93-80973 ISBN 0-316-60390-2

ICP

Published simultaneously in Canada by Little, Brown & Company (Canada) Limited

Printed in the United States of America

LEGAL REASONING AND LEGAL WRITING

Editorial Advisory Board Little, Brown and Company Law Book Division

Richard A. Epstein

James Parker Hall Distinguished Service Professor of Law University of Chicago

E. Allan Farnsworth

Alfred McCormack Professor of Law Columbia University

Ronald J. Gilson

Charles J. Meyers Professor of Law and Business Stanford University

Geoffrey C. Hazard, Jr.

Sterling Professor of Law Yale University

James E. Krier

Earl Warren DeLano Professor of Law University of Michigan

Elizabeth Warren

William A. Schnader Professor of Commercial Law University of Pennsylvania

Bernard Wolfman

Fessenden Professor of Law Harvard University

for

Richard K. Neumann, Sr. and Marjorie Batter Neumann,

who taught everything on which this book is based

The power of clear statement is the great power at the bar.

—Daniel Webster (also attributed to Rufus Choate, Judah P. Benjamin, and perhaps others)

Preface

This is a text both for first-year legal writing and moot court programs and for second-year appellate advocacy courses and competitions. Most students are so challenged by the writing and reasoning problems in these settings that they benefit from a unified treatment through progressively more difficult stages of instruction on closely related skills. A teacher in a first-year program can use a large number of the book's features to teach legal writing and the reasoning that goes into it. In a second-year appellate advocacy course or competition, the text shows students how to carry that instruction to a much more sophisticated level. The text has been designed so that it can be used in the first year alone, in the first and second years together, or in the second year alone.

It has become a commonplace that writing is better learned when combined with at least some instruction in legal reasoning. But it is also true that legal reasoning is learned more thoroughly when combined with legal writing. Because the act of writing forces the writer to test thought in order to express it fully and precisely, complex analysis cannot be said to be complete until it becomes written and written well.

The text focuses on constructing proof of a conclusion of law and teaches format, style, and grammar collaterally. The goal is to help students learn how to make the kind of writing decisions that center on the need to prove analysis. Most students have substantial difficulties learning how to construct proof. If format and style receive primary emphasis, the problem is compounded because the student is invited to mimic the customary appearance of a document, rather than to think through its content and inner logic. Moreover, format comes easier to students who have already learned the dynamics of proof, and legal writing's heightened requirements of style and grammar may be easier to accept when they are explained as ways of

clarifying proof. And as a skill, style is much more valuable when rewriting second and third drafts than in producing a first draft.

Part I of the text introduces students to the court and litigation systems, the structure and operation of rules of law, judicial opinions, and methods of briefing them. Legal writing in general is introduced in Part II. Part III explains how to write an office memorandum; organize proof of a conclusion of law; use authority; analyze facts; and use paragraphing, style, and citations. Part IV helps students with their first law school examinations. Part V introduces the advocacy skills of theory development, argumentation, and accurate handling of procedural postures. Writing a persuasive motion memorandum is covered in Part VI; appellate briefs in Part VII; and oral argument in Part VIII.

On the inside covers of the book is a list of questions that students should ask themselves while working through successive drafts of a document. Each question represents a recurring problem in student writing—the sort that a teacher marks over and over again on student papers. Students can use these questions to make sure they have attended to likely problems. And teachers can use them to ease the burden of writing so many comments on student papers. Rather than write the same or a similar comment repetitiously, a teacher can circle the problem passage on the student's paper and write the question number ("8-A," which would be in Chapter 8, for example) in the margin. In the corresponding section in the chapter, the student will find a complete explanation of the problem, what causes it, and how to fix it.

Richard K. Neumann, Jr.

March 1994

Acknowledgments

I am grateful to many people who generously contributed their thoughts to the development of this book in its first or second edition or in both. Among them are Burton Agata, Lisa Aisner, Aaron Balasny, Kathleen Beckett, Sara Bennett, Susan Brody, Susan Bryant, Mark Carroll, Kimberly Klein Cauthorn, Robin Charlow, Barbara Child, Alice Duecker, Deborah Ezbitski, Peter Falkenstein, Neal Feigenson, Eric M. Freedman, William Ginsburg, John DeWitt Gregory, Marc Grinker, Donna Hill, Joseph Holmes, Steven Jamar, Ellen James, Lawrence Kessler, Martha Krisel, Eric Lane, Lisa Eggert Litvin, Ed McDougal, Juliet Neisser, Stuart Rabinowitz, John Regan, Kathryn Sampson, Carole Shapiro, Suzanne Spector, Aaron Twerski, Ursula Weigold, Mary White, and Mark Wojcik, as well as the anonymous reviewers who examined the first edition manuscript for Little, Brown and those who responded to the questionnaire distributed by Little, Brown in preparation for the second edition. I am also grateful for research assistance by Ellen Leibowitz, Christine Lombardi, Fusae Nara, Karen Nielsen, Dan Wallach, and Carolyn Weissbach, supplemented by Darmin Bachu, Ann Carrozza, Nicole Gamble, Rori Goldman, Bill Kalten, Beth Rogoff, Victoria Saunders. and Corey Wishner, together with administrative assistance by Angela Wooden. Elliott Milstein and Joseph Harbaugh had much influence on the pedagogy that has shaped this book. Richard Heuser, Carol McGeehan, Betsy Kenny, Richard Audet, Nick Niemeyer, Cate Rickard, Lisa Wehrle, Kurt Hughes, and their colleagues at Little, Brown have enormous insight into the qualities that make a text useful; their perceptiveness and creativity ultimately caused this book to become a different and far better text than it otherwise would have been. And Deborah Ezbitski inspired in countless ways.

Copyright Acknowledgments

Permission to reprint copyrighted excerpts from the following is gratefully acknowledged:

D. Binder & P. Bergman, Fact Investigation: From Hypothesis to Proof 92-96 (1984). Copyright © 1984 by the West Publishing Co.

James P. Degnan, *The Ph.D. Illiterate*, The Washington Post, Sept. 12, 1976. Copyright © 1976 by the author and by The Washington Post.

Robert Heidt, Recasting Behavior: An Essay for Beginning Law Students, 49 U. Pitt. L. Rev. 1065, 1065-67 (1988). Copyright © 1988 by the University of Pittsburgh Law Review.

Albert J. Moore, *Inferential Streams: The Articulation and Illustration of the Advocate's Evidentiary Intuitions*. Originally published in 34 UCLA L. Rev. 611, 625-27. Copyright © 1987 by the Regents of the University of California. All rights reserved.

E. Barrett Prettyman, *Some Observations Concerning Appellate Advo*cacy, 39 Va. L. Rev. 285, 296 (1953). Copyright © 1953 by The Virginia Law Review Association.

A. W. B. Simpson, The Ratio Decidendi of a Case and the Doctrine of Binding Precedent, in Oxford Essays on Jurisprudence 173-75 (Guest ed. 1961). Copyright © 1961 by Oxford University Press.

George Vetter, Successful Civil Litigation: How to Win Your Case Before You Enter the Courtroom 30-31, 32-33 (1977). Copyright © 1977 by Prentice-Hall, Inc. Reprinted by permission of the publisher.

Summary of Contents

Preface		xxi
Acknowledg	ments	xxiii
PART I	INTRODUCTION TO LAW AND ITS STUDY	1
Chapter 1	An Introduction to American Law	3
Chapter 2	Rule-Based Reasoning	15
Chapter 3	An Introduction to Judicial Opinions	27
Chapter 4	Briefing Cases	37
PART	II INTRODUCTION TO LEGAL WRITING	47
Chapter 5	The Art of Legal Writing	49
Chapter 6	The Process of Writing	55
	PART III OFFICE MEMORANDA	65
Chapter 7	Office Memoranda	67
Chapter 8	Predictive Writing	71
Chapter 9	How to Organize Proof of a Conclusion of Law	83
Chapter 10	Selecting Authority	103
Chapter 11	Working with Precedent	119
Chapter 12	Working with Statutes	137
Chapter 13	Working with Facts	161
Chapter 14	Paragraphing	173

	Effective Style	183
Chapter 16	Citations and Quotations	207
PA	ART IV LAW SCHOOL EXAMINATIONS	227
Chapter 17	How to Write Examinations	229
1	PART V THE SHIFT TO PERSUASION	235
Chapter 18	Developing a Persuasive Theory	237
Chapter 19		253
Chapter 20		271
	PART VI MOTION MEMORANDA	283
Chapter 21		285
Chapter 22		289
Chapter 23		301
Chapter 24	Questions Presented	315
	PART VII APPELLATE BRIEFS	325
Chapter 25		327
Chapter 26		339
Chapter 27	Writing the Appellate Brief	347
	PART VIII INTO THE COURTROOM	357
Chapter 28	Oral Argument	359
	APPENDICES	381
Appendix A	Basic Legal Usage	383
Appendix B	24 Rules of Punctuation	389
Appendix C	Sample Office Memorandum	401
Appendix D	Sample Motion Memorandum	409
Appendix E	Sample Appellant's Brief	423
Appendix F	Sample Appellee's Brief	453
Index		475

Table of Contents

	Preface Acknowledgments		xxi xxiii
I INT	'RODU	JCTION TO LAW AND ITS STUDY	1
	1.	An Introduction to American Law	3
§1.1	The Origin of Common Law		
§1.2	How A	merican Courts Are Organized	3 6
	§1.2.1	State Courts	6
	§1.2.2	Federal Courts	7
§1.3	An Overview of the Litigation Process		6 7 8 8
	§1.3.1	Phase 1: Pleadings and Service of Process	8
	§1.3.2	Phase 2: Between Pleadings and Trial	9
	§1.3.3	Phase 3: Trial	10
		Phase 4: Post-Trial Motions	11
	§1.3.5	Phase 5: Appeal	12
	§1.3.6	Phase 6: Post-Appeal Proceedings	12
		Criminal Cases	12
		portance of Understanding Procedure	13
§1.5	The Ad	lversary System	13

	2.	Rule-Based Reasoning	15
§2.1			
§2.2		izing the Application of a Rule	20
§2.3		Things to Be Careful About with Rules	22
§2.4	Cause	s of Action and Affirmative Defenses	23
	3.	An Introduction to Judicial Opinions	27
		natomy of Opinions	27
	cise I.	Dissecting the Text of Meints v. Huntington	28
	rne II cise II.	nterdependence Among Facts, Issues, and Rules Analyzing the Meaning of Meints v. Huntington	32 36
	4.	Briefing Cases	37
§4.1	Introd	luction	37
-		o Brief a Case	37
Exer	cise.	Briefing Eilers v. Coy	43
II INT	ROD	UCTION TO LEGAL WRITING	47
	5.	The Art of Legal Writing	(49)
§5.1		anguage as a Professional Tool	49
§5.2		etive Writing and Persuasive Writing	51
§5.3	The A	rt Forms of Legal Writing	53
	6.	The Process of Writing	55
§6.1		g in Four Stages	55
§6.2			55 57
§6.3 §6.4			57 58
§6.5			
§6.6			59 60

III OFFICE MEMORANDA	65
7. Office Memoranda	67
§7.1 Office Memorandum Format§7.2 Writing an Office Memorandum	67 70
8. Predictive Writing	71
\$8.1 How to Predict \$8.2 How to Test Your Writing for Predictiveness Exercise I. Nansen and Byrd Exercise II. The Hartleys and Debenture	71 76 78 80
9. How to Organize Proof of a Conclusion of Law	83
 89.1 A Paradigm for Structuring Proof 89.2 Why Readers Prefer This Type of Organization 89.3 How to Vary the Paradigm to Suit Your Needs 89.3.1 Variations of Sequence 89.3.2 Variations in Depth 89.3.3 Combinations of Separately Structured Explanations 	83 85 86 86 87
 §9.4 How to Start Working with the Paradigm §9.5 How to Test Your Writing for Effective Organization Exercise I. Teddy Washburn's Gun (Analyzing and Organization Exercise II. Griggs and the Anti-Bandit (Checking Organization During Rewriting) 	93 95 1g) 98
10. Selecting Authority	103
 §10.1 Introduction §10.2 The Hierarchy of Authority §10.3 How Courts Use Dicta §10.4 How Courts React to Foreign Precedent §10.5 How to Use Foreign Precedent and Other Nonmandatory 	103 104 107 108
Authority to Fill a Gap in Local Law §10.5.1 Laying the Foundation §10.5.2 Filling the Gap §10.6 How to Select Nonmandatory Precedent §10.7 How to Work Effectively in the Library	110 110 112 113 115
Exercise. The Hierarchy of Authority	117

	11.	Working with Precedent	119
§11.1	Eight S	Skills for Working with Precedent	119
§11.2		lating a Variety of Rules from the Same Precedent	120
§11.3	Analog	izing and Distinguishing	121
§11.4	Elicitir	ng Policy from Precedent	122
§11.5		esis and Reconciliation	123
§11.6		g for Realism and Marketability	125
		r Exercises I and II	125
		The Allegheny Steel Corporation	126
Exerci	ise II.	Emil Risberg's Diary	130
	12.	Working with Statutes	137
			10.
§12.1		ols of Statutory Interpretation	137
		Present Statutory Analysis	147
		r Exercises I and II	149
Exerci		The Ironwood Tract	150
Lxerc	ise II.	Pappas and Ziegler at Sal's Auto Parts	154
	10		
	13.	Working with Facts	161
§13.1	What Is	s a Fact?	161
§13.2	Identify	ying Determinative Facts	164
§13.3		g Inferences from Facts	165
§13.4	Identify	ying Hidden and Unsupportable Factual	
	Assumj	otions	168
		The Menu at the Courthouse Cafe	170
Exerci	se II.	Welty's State of Mind	171
	14.	Paragraphing	173
§14.1	How Pa	ragraphing Reveals Your Organization	173
§14.2	Probati	ve Paragraphs and Descriptive Paragraphs	174
§14.3	Thesis	Sentences, Topic Sentences, and Transition	
	Senten	ces	175
$\S 14.4$	The Tw	o Most Common Ways of Botching the Beginning of	
	a Parag	raph	176
§14.5	How to	Test Your Writing for Effective Paragraphing	178
Exercis		The First Weeks of Law School (Probative and	
]	Descriptive Paragraphs)	180