VIRUSES of VERTEBRATES

Sir CHRISTOPHER ANDREWES

VIRUSES of VERTEBRATES

Sir CHRISTOPHER ANDREWES

M.D., F.R.C.P., F.R.S.

Late Wellcome Trust Fellow, Late Deputy-Director National Institute for Medical Research Mill Hill, London

and

H. G. PEREIRA

National Institute for Medical Research
Mill Hill, London

THIRD EDITION



© 1972 BAILLIÈRE TINDALL 7 & 8 Henrietta Street, London WC2 8QE A division of Crowell Collier and Macmillan Publishers Ltd.

First Edition 1964 Second Edition 1967 Third Edition 1972

ISBN 0 7020 0429 4

Published in the United States of America by The Williams & Wilkins Company, Baltimore

Made and printed in Great Britain by William Clowes & Sons, Limited, London, Beccles and Colchester

Viruses of Vertebrates

Viruses of Vertebrates has become the standard work of reference on the properties and characteristics of viruses affecting man, domestic animals, birds and other vertebrates. In this new edition systematic arrangement of the contents reflects developments in classification, and unclassified viruses are now relatively few. Some rearrangement has also been possible in the enlargement of generic descriptions of morphology and chemical and physical properties so that facts are not repeated in the descriptions of individual viruses.

Painstaking study and condensation of essential information have been necessary to keep the extent of the new edition within reasonable bounds, and the authors have achieved a clear and readable prose style coupled with a very considerable factual content. The continuing increase in the use of laboratory animals and tissue cultures, the development of electron microscopy, and recognition of the importance of the zoonoses, all increase the likelihood of workers being faced with a new or unfamiliar virus. The virologist, the student and researcher in allied fields of microbiology will find this edition of 'Andrewes and Pereira' an invaluable addition to their library shelves.

Preface to the Third Edition

Since 1967, when the Second Edition of this book was published, it has become steadily clearer that most viruses can be logically placed into genera. These genera have morphological, chemical and physical characters in common, so that it is justifiable to deal with these in a generic description and to avoid repeating the facts under accounts of individual viruses. The reader will therefore have to seek more information under generic descriptions at the beginning of each chapter. The International Committee for the Nomenclature of Viruses has erected a number of new genera, so that there are eleven more here than in the Second Edition of this book. Further a move has been made towards creating higher taxa: two families, Picornaviridae and Papovaviridae, with three and two genera respectively have been created. A third family, Togaviridae, proposed by an Arbovirus Study Group, although not officially approved by the ICNV, will be adopted to cover the genera Alphavirus and Flavovirus.

The wholly unclassified viruses have become steadily fewer; they are now dealt with in one chapter of 15 pages, compared with six chapters of 72 pages in the First Edition and five chapters of 46 pages in the Second Edition.

To avoid overloading the book it has been necessary to be very selective in the matter of references. We have therefore included those likely to be most helpful, either because they afford the fullest account of the facts, or because they describe recent work not referred to in other books or reviews. We have accordingly frequently omitted reference to the first reported description of a new finding, though a few classical papers are mentioned.

March 1972

C. H. Andrewes H. G. Pereira

Preface to the First Edition

There are books on the principles of virology and books on virus diseases, especially those of man. This volume deals rather with the viruses themselves, their properties and their relations to one another. Their pathogenic effects are, in principle, considered only so far as is necessary to identify a virus and to indicate its importance. In practice an account of the disease-producing powers of viruses will be found to occupy considerable space, for it is largely by their deeds that we know them.

Viruses attacking only insects are not considered nor are plant viruses. The book does, however, deal not only with viruses of man but also with those affecting other vertebrates. The wider view is necessary if one is to consider viruses from the point of view of taxonomy. Moreover, with the widespread use of various laboratory animals and of tissue cultures derived from various species, workers are bound to encounter viruses of unknown origin. The importance of the zoonoses—diseases of other animals transmissible to man—also is increasingly recognized.

The book will, it is hoped, serve three purposes. By the orderly arrangement of the known facts about viruses it should help the enquirer to discover rapidly whether this or that is known about a particular virus, and guide him to fuller sources of information on the matter. Secondly it is intended to help him, as Bergey's manual helps the bacteriologist, to identify an unknown virus which he may encounter. Thirdly, there is, I hope, a long-term scientific justification for the book. We do not know enough to classify all viruses in an orderly manner. A partial attempt to do so is made in Parts I and II by arranging into groups such viruses as seem ripe for such an attempt. I have tried to avoid what I feel is a pit-fall, the proposal of a classification in advance of adequate knowledge: so Part III contains the viruses which we cannot yet classify rationally, arranged according to the species they attack. It is hoped that as knowledge grows, one may be able, in possible

future editions, to promote more and more viruses from Part III to a more satisfactory status in Parts I and II.

Finally I apologize, particularly to many veterinary friends, for the presumptuous attempt of an individual virologist to deal with all viruses of vertebrates.

January 1964

C. H. Andrewes

Abbreviations

The following conventional abbreviations have been used: BUDR = 5-bromo-2'-deoxyuridine CAM = chorio-allantoic membrane CE = chick embryo CF(T) = complement fixation (test)CNS = central nervous system CPR = cytopathic effect CSF = cerebrospinal fluid DNA = deoxyribonucleic acid EM = electron microscope (or microscopic) EMC = encephalomyocarditis FUDR = 5-fluoro-2'-deoxyuridine GC content = moles $\frac{\text{guanine} + \text{cytosine}}{\text{total nucleotides}} \times 100$ HA = hæmagglutination HAI = hæmagglutination-inhibition IB = inclusion body IC IC = intracerebral IM = intramuscular IN = intranasal IP = intraperitoneal IUDR = 5-iodo-2'-deoxyuridine IV = intravenous MK = monkey kidney MW = molecular weight NDV = Newcastle disease virus RBC = red blood cell RDE = receptor destroying enzyme RNA = ribonucleic acid SV= simian virus TC = tissue culture VN(T) = virus neutralization (test)UV = ultraviolet

TABLE 1
PROVISIONAL VIRUS CLASSIFICATION

Symmetry Cubical Helical Uncertain Cubical Presence of outer membrane (ether-stable) 0 + + 0 outer membrane (ether-stable) (ether-labile) (ether-stable) (ether-stable) or -labile) or -labile) or -labile) or -labile) Piconaviridae Togaviridae Orthomyxovirus Arenavirus Papovaviridae Rhabdovirus Coronavirus Adenovirus Iridovirus Iridovirus	Nucleic acid			RNA			DNA	
ther-stable) (ether-labile) (ether-labile) (ether-stable or -labile) cornaviridae Togaviridae Orthomyxovirus Arenavirus Papovaviridae Rhabdovirus Coronavirus Arenavirus Iridovirus Iridovirus Iridovirus Iridovirus	Symmetry	Cubic	al	Helical	Uncertain	Cub	ical	Uncertain
Leukovirus Parvovirus Arenavirus Papovaviridac Coronavirus Adenovirus Iridovirus	Presence of outer membrane	0 (ether-stable)	+ (ether-labile)	+ (cther-labile)	+ (ether-labile)	0 (ether-stable or -labile)	+ (ether-labile)	+ (ether-stability varies within the group)
Reovirus		Picornaviridae Reovirus	Togaviridae	Orthomyxovirus Paramyxovirus Rhabdovirus	Leukovirus Arenavirus Coronavirus	Parvovirus Papovaviridac Adenovirus Iridovirus	Herpcsvirus	Poxvirus

Contents

rrejuce to the Intra Lattion	
Preface to the First Edition	ix
Abbreviations	xi
Provisional Virus Classification	xii
Part I. RNA Viruses	
1. Picornaviridae	3
2. Reovirus	52
3. Togaviridae	76
4. Unclassified Arboviruses	120
5. Leukoviruses	135
6. Arenavirus	171
7. Coronavirus	179
8. Rhabdovirus	190
9. Orthomyxovirus	205
10. Paramyxovirus	223
11. Unclassified RNA Viruses	260
Part II. DNA Viruses	
12. Parvovirus	281
13. Papovaviridae	290
14. Adenoviruses	309
15. Herpesvirus	329
16. Poxviruses	373
17. Iridoviruses	415
Part III. Uncharacterized Viruses	
18. Uncharacterized Viruses	423
Index	443

PART I RNA Viruses

1

Picornaviridae

Review: Plummer (1965).

This has been adopted as a family name to include the 3 genera enterovirus, rhinovirus and calicivirus. The family is characterized as follows: virions consist of a naked capsid with icosahedral symmetry, containing 20 to 30 per cent single-stranded RNA with a molecular weight of 2.5×10^6 to 2.8×10^6 daltons. Over-all diameter 30 to 40 nm. Etheresistant. Viral replication not dependent on cellular DNA function. Viral synthesis and maturation in the cytoplasm.

ENTEROVIRUS

This genus includes viruses inhabiting preferentially the intestinal tract of vertebrate hosts, such as polioviruses, coxsackieviruses echoviruses, and a number of bovine, porcine, murine, avian and other viruses. Besides the habitat, the main character distinguishing these viruses from those of other genera of Picornaviridae is their stability at acid pH. Cationic stabilization to thermal inactivation (Wallis & Melnick, 1962) and inhibition of viral replication by 2-(α -hydroxybenzyl) benzimidazole (Eggers & Tamm, 1961) have also been suggested as characters peculiar to the genus, but exceptional behaviour is observed with some members (see Plummer, 1965).

Morphology. Electron microscopic examination of poliovirus (Dales et al., 1965; Mattern & Daniel, 1956; Horne & Nagington, 1959), coxsackieviruses A (Mattern & DuBuy, 1956) and B (Morgan et al., 1959) and echoviruses (Duffy et al., 1962; Rifkind et al., 1961; Jamison, 1969) reveals approximately spherical naked virions with uniform diameter variously estimated between 20 and 30 nm and a nucleoid 6 to 20 nm across. Variations in size have been reported by Jamison & Mayor (1966) who describe poliovirus 1 and echovirus 19 as being larger (mean diameter 23.5 nm) than other echoviruses and coxsackie B2 (mean diameter 21.0 nm). Finch & Klug (1959) suggested on the basis of X-ray diffraction studies that polioviruses have icosahedral symmetry with 60 protein sub-units 6 to 6.5 nm across forming

a shell around an RNA core. Icosahedral capsids made up of 32 (Mayor, 1964; Jamison, 1969) or 42 (Agrawal, 1966) capsomeres have been suggested on the basis of negative contrast pictures of poliovirus.

The morphogenesis of polievirus (Mattern & Daniel, 1956; Dales et al., 1965), coxsackievirus (Stuart & Fogh, 1961) and echovirus (Rifkind et al., 1961; Duffy et al., 1962) takes place mainly in the cytoplasm although nuclear and nucleolar participation in viral synthesis has been suggested. Virions are often seen in association with small cytoplasmic vesicles or with fibrillar structures. Crystalline arrays of virions are often seen. The role of cytoplasmic membranes in poliovirus biosynthesis has been described by Caliguri & Tamm (1970).

Similar morphological features have been described for enteroviruses of murine (Leyon, 1951; Dales & Franklin, 1962), bovine (Polson & Kipps, 1965; Gralheer et al., 1965), avian (Richter et al., 1964) and porcine (Singh et al., 1961; Meyer et al., 1964) origins although it has been suggested that the last are slightly but significantly larger (diameter 32 to 36 nm) than human enteroviruses. McFerran et al. (1971) found no morphological differences between enteroviruses of porcine, bovine and ovine origins, all measuring 28 ± 3 nm in diameter.

Chemical composition. Infectious single-stranded RNA has been obtained from human (Colter et al., 1957a; Sprunt et al., 1959), porcine (Brown & Stewart, 1960), murine (Colter et al., 1957b; Ada & Anderson, 1959) and avian (Vindel, 1963) enteroviruses. An RNA content of 22 to 30 per cent has been estimated for polioviruses (Schwerdt & Schaffer, 1955) and 21 to 31·7 per cent for murine enteroviruses (Rueckert & Schäfer, 1965; Faulkner et al., 1961; Scraba et al., 1967; Burness, 1970). Recent MW estimates of the RNA of human (Tannock et al., 1970; Granboulan & Girard, 1969) and murine (Burness, 1970) enteroviruses give values of $2 \cdot 5 \times 10^6$ to $2 \cdot 7 \times 10^6$ which are higher than the previously accepted value of 2×10^6 .

The base composition of the RNAs of representative enteroviruses is shown in Table 2. A study of polynucleotide sequences by annealing experiments revealed considerable areas of homology in RNAs of poliovirus types 1, 2 and 3 (Young et al., 1968).

Analysis of the protein composition of polioviruses (Maizel & Summers, 1968), coxsackieviruses (Kiehn & Holland, 1970), murine (Burness & Walter, 1967; O'Callahan et al., 1970) and bovine (Johnson & Martin, 1970) enteroviruses reveals 3 major structural polypeptides with molecular weights ranging from 24,000 to 35,000, one minor structural polypeptide in some strains and several nonstructural com-

ponents, the largest being a precursor cleaved into the structural polypeptides on assembly into virions (Jacobson & Baltimore, 1970).

Physico-chemical characters. Physical properties of representative enteroviruses are shown in Table 3. Enteroviruses are resistant to lipid solvents, survive well at -76° C in 50 per cent glycerol in the cold, but on the whole not very easily preserved by lyophilization. Most of the infectivity may be lost through this procedure, although the remaining fraction may survive well. Freeze-drying is withstood better in the

Virus	Base	composit	ion (per	cent)	Reference
	\overline{G}	A	С	U	
Poliovirus 1	24.7	28.5	21.7	25.0	Schaffer et al., 1960
Poliovirus 2	23.2	28.7	22.5	25.5	,
Poliovirus 3	24.0	27.5	21.5	25.7	
Coxsackie A9	27.7	27.0	20.7	24.7	Mattern, 1962
Coxsackie A10	28.3	27.3	21.0	24.8	
Columbia SK (ME)	23.7	25-1	24-2	26.9	Rueckert & Schäfer, 1965
EMC	23.7	27-4	23.5	25.6	Faulkner et al., 1961
EMC	24.4	25.8	25.1	24.8	Burness, 1970
Bovine-VG-5-27	22.6	29-3	26.6	21.6	Martin et al., 1970
Bovine-VP-7-19	22.5	31.3	24.0	22.5	•
Bovine-VC-65-182	23.1	31.0	25.0	20.5	

Table 2
BASE COMPOSITION OF ENTEROVIRUS RNAs

presence of 5 per cent glucose and 5 per cent dextran (Tyrrell & Ridgewell, 1965).

Other physico-chemical properties will be mentioned in the description of each member of the genus.

Antigenic structure. Over 60 antigenic types have been recognized among human enteroviruses. These are divided into 4 subgroups: (International Enterovirus Study Group, 1963): polioviruses (3 serotypes), coxsackieviruses A (24 serotypes), coxsackieviruses B (6 serotypes) and echoviruses (34 serotypes). It has been suggested that new human enteroviruses should be numbered sequentially from 68, irrespective of subgroups (Rosen et al., 1970).

Cultivation. Most, although not all, grow in tissue culture causing a type of cellular damage which is fairly characteristic. Cytological and

BIOPHYSICAL PROPERTIES OF SOME ENTEROVIRUSES (INFECTIOUS VIRIONS) TABLE 3

Virus	Particle weight (daltons)	Sedimen- tation coefficient (× 10 ⁻¹³)	Diffusion coefficient (cm²/sec)	Frictional ratio	Water of hydration (g of water g dry virus)	Partial specific volume (ml/g)	Buoyant density (in CsCl or C ₅ CO ₄) (g/ml)	Reference
Poliovirus 1	6.8×10^6	160			0.3		1.34	Schwerdt & Schaffer,
Poliovirus 2	6.8×10^{6}	158			0.28	0.64	1.34	Schaffer & Schwerdt,
Poliovirus 3	6.4×10^6	157			0.37			1959 Mattern, 1962
Echovirus 19		157					1.34	Fabiyi et al., 1964
EMC	8.5×10^6	162.3	1·44 × 10-7	1.13	0.29	0.687	1.35	Burness & Clothier, 1970 Goodhart, 1965
Mengo	8.32×10^{6}	151	1.44 × 10-7	Ξ	0.23		1.32	Scraba et al., 1967
Teschen							1.34	Warrington, 1967
Bovine		156	- ·				1.34	Polson & Kipps, 1965

biosynthetic alterations associated with the growth of enteroviruses have been reviewed by Godman (1966). Virus is adsorbed to lipoprotein cell receptors present only in susceptible cells (Holland & Mc-Laren, 1961). Cellular resistance to infection may be overcome by using infectious RNA rather than virus (Holland et al., 1959). Mechanisms of viral penetration and uncoating are unknown. Soon after infection the synthesis of cellular nucleic acids and proteins is inhibited. Virus replication is independent of cellular DNA function (Simon, 1961; Reich et al., 1961). Replication of poliovirus (Holland & Bassett, 1964; Crocker et al., 1964, coxsackievirus (Mattern & Chi, 1962), echovirus (Godman et al., 1964) and murine enteroviruses (Hausen, 1962; Franklin & Rosner, 1962) is entirely cytoplasmic. RNA replicative forms have been demonstrated in cells infected with poliovirus (Baltimore et al., 1964; Pons, 1964), EMC virus (Montagnier & Sanders, 1963) and murine encephalomyelitis virus (Hausen, 1965). Virus induced RNA-dependent RNA polymerases have been described during the replication of poliovirus (Baltimore & Franklin, 1963; Holland & Bassett, 1964), mengovirus (Baltimore & Franklin, 1963) and EMC (Horton et al., 1964). Synthesis of early and late proteins leads to the formation of 'procapsids' containing large polypeptides which are cleaved during the process of assembly with RNA (Jacobson & Baltimore, 1968). In vitro assembly of poliovirus has been described by Phillips (1969).

Habitat. As the name implies, enteroviruses are found primarily as inhabitants of the intestinal tract, particularly of young hosts. They commonly cause no illness, but may spread from the gut and cause destructive lesions in the central nervous system.

HUMAN ENTEROVIRUSES

Poliomyelitis

Synonyms: Acute anterior poliomyelitis. Infantile paralysis. Heine-Medin disease. *Poliovirus hominis*.

Reviews: Bodian & Horstmann (1965). Schaffer & Schwerdt (1959) (Physical properties).

Physico-chemical characters. Survives well at -20° C, and for 8 years at -70° C. As with many other picornaviruses, not readily preserved by freeze-drying, readily inactivated by heat—even 50° C for 30 minutes; but results vary according to strain and suspending medium; 30 minutes at 60° C seems always effective. The curve of inactivation by 0.1 per cent formaldehyde has been studied by several workers.