



Stanislav V. Emelyanov and Sergey K. Korovin

Control of Complex and Uncertain Systems

New Types of Feedback



Springer

TP271
E53

Stanislav V. Emelyanov and Sergey K. Korovin

Control of Complex and Uncertain Systems

New Types of Feedback

With 336 Figures



E200200176



Springer

Stanislav V. Emelyanov, PhD

Sergey K. Korovin, PhD

Office 733b, Faculty Computational Mathematics and Cybernetics, Building #2, Moscow
State University, Vorob'evy Gory, Moscow, 119899, Russia

Series Editors

E.D. Sontag • M. Thoma

ISSN 0178-5354

ISBN 1-85233-302-2 Springer-Verlag London Berlin Heidelberg

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data

Emelyanov, Stanislav V.

Control of complex and uncertain systems : new types of
feed back. - (Communications and control engineering)

1. Control theory 2. Automatic control 3. Cybernetics

ITitle II. Korovin, Sergei K.

629.8'312

ISBN 185233302

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Emel'ianov, Stanislav Vasil'evich.

Control of complex and uncertain systems : new types of feed back / Stanislav V.

Emelyanov and Sergey K. Korovin.

p. cm. -- (Communication and control engineering)

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 1-85233-302-2 (alk. paper)

1. Feedback control systems. 2. Control theory. I. Korovin, S. K., 1945- II. Title. III.

Series.

TJ216.E415 2000

629.8'3--dc21

00-037372

Apart from any fair dealing for the purposes of research or private study, or criticism or review, as permitted under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988, this publication may only be reproduced, stored or transmitted, in any form or by any means, with the prior permission in writing of the publishers, or in the case of reprographic reproduction in accordance with the terms of licences issued by the Copyright Licensing Agency. Enquiries concerning reproduction outside those terms should be sent to the publishers.

© Springer-Verlag London Limited 2000

Printed in Great Britain

The use of registered names, trademarks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher makes no representation, express or implied, with regard to the accuracy of the information contained in this book and cannot accept any legal responsibility or liability for any errors or omissions that may be made.

Typesetting: Camera ready by authors

Printed and bound by Athenæum Press Ltd., Gateshead, Tyne & Wear

69/3830-543210 Printed on acid-free paper SPIN 10761412

Communications and Control Engineering

Springer

London

Berlin

Heidelberg

New York

Barcelona

Hong Kong

Milan

Paris

Singapore

Tokyo

Published titles include:

Optimal Sampled-Data Control Systems

Tongwen Chen and Bruce Francis

Nonlinear Control Systems (3rd edition)

Alberto Isidori

Theory of Robot Control

C. Canudas de Wit, B. Siciliano and G. Bastin (Eds)

Fundamental Limitations in Filtering and Control

María M. Seron, Julio Braslavsky and Graham C. Goodwin

Constructive Nonlinear Control

R. Sepulchre, M. Janković and P.V. Kokotović

A Theory of Learning and Generalization

M. Vidyasagar

Adaptive Control

I.D. Landau, R. Lozano and M.M'Saad

Stabilization of Nonlinear Uncertain Systems

Miroslav Krstić and Hua Deng

Passivity-based Control of Euler-Lagrange Systems

Romeo Ortega, Antonio Loria, Per Johan Nicklasson and Hebertt Sira-Ramírez

Stability and Stabilization of Infinite Dimensional Systems with Applications

Zheng-Hua Luo, Bao-Zhu Guo and Omer Morgul

Nonsmooth Mechanics (2nd edition)

Bernard Brogliato

Nonlinear Control Systems II

Alberto Isidori

L_2 -Gain and Passivity Techniques in Nonlinear Control

Arjan van der Schaft

Control of Linear Systems with Regulation and Input Constraints

Ali Saberi, Anton A. Stoorvogel and Peddapullaiah Sannuti

Robust and H_∞ Control

Ben M. Chen

Computer Controlled Systems

Efim N. Rosenwasser and Bernhard P. Lampe

Dissipative Systems Analysis and Control

Rogelio Lozano, Bernard Brogliato, Olav Egeland and Bernhard Maschke

The authors want to express their gratitude to Mikhail Yuryevich Zhivilo whose sincere wish to contribute to the development of Russian science deserves deep esteem.

Preface

In this monograph we consider one of the main problems of the automatic control theory, namely, the stabilization problem and the methods of its solution in their evolution, i.e., beginning with the simplest statement of this problem and gradually making it more complicated, we analyze in detail the possibilities of different methods of its solution. The complication begins with an increase in the uncertainty factors in the statement of the problem, and the methods of solution become more complicated correspondingly. This approach makes it possible to consider the general trends in the development of the principles and methods of the automatic control theory. The last fact is obviously very important and urgent since, in the new situation, the mastering of the general mechanisms of formation of control is more important than the knowledge of specific methods of its synthesis.

It should be pointed out that we do not consider the proposed point of view concerning the development of the automatic control theory to be the only possible approach since the problem of the mechanism of generation of feedback is far from being trivial and different approaches to this mechanism are possible. The larger the number of these approaches the better since they bring us closer to the understanding of the fundamental mechanisms of functioning of feedback. This is very significant both theoretically and practically since the modern methods of stabilization are oriented, in the main, to an "intensive" solution of the problem whereas nature demonstrates remarkable examples of solving stabilization problems with the use of very limited means and in rather strained circumstances. This essential difference testifies that a genuine feedback theory has not yet been worked out, that many things are not yet clear, and that the principal discoveries in this sphere are yet to come.

Investigating this complicated and delicate problem, we are far from laying claim to grasping the crux of the matter, but we are sure that the theory that we propose directly concerns the matter and seems to be quite natural and convincing.

Some words are due about the structure of the monograph. As was already pointed out, we try to go from simple things to more complicated ones and begin, naturally, from linear objects and the methods of the theory of linear control systems. Since we place special emphasis on the principles of problem solving

and on the conceptual interpretation of the results, we avoided mathematically strict statements and proofs. It stands to reason that all facts and statements presented in the book can be strictly substantiated and many of them are well known from literature.

In the monograph we compare the applications of different methods of control for solving stabilization problems under different conditions, namely, external forces, parameters, the structure and order of an object. For this purpose, sample models of objects are, obviously, especially useful, and therefore only models of this kind are considered in this monograph. However, when carrying out the analysis, we use different forms of description of control objects, namely, structural, operator, and differential forms, since some facts seem to be more convincing in a certain description and other facts are more convincing in a different description.

It can be seen from the book that the nonlinearity becomes more and more important as the stabilization problem becomes more complicated. In addition, it becomes clear that there cannot be good stabilization without nonlinear feedback and it is precisely the nonlinear feedback that makes a control system capable of demonstrating the needed behavior in complicated and constantly varying external and internal conditions.

It turns out that beginning with a certain level of complexity of the problem, a "good" control is necessarily nonlinear. It is known that in the nonlinear world there are no regular ways or universal methods typical of local theories since the specific features of nonlinearity impose certain constraints. For the theory developed in this monograph the structural methods of analysis and synthesis of systems turn out to be very useful, and therefore we pay so much attention to the description of these methods.

The purposeful use of nonlinearities in control makes it possible to operate with principally new "nonintensive" or "compensational" mechanisms of suppressing uncertainty factors, in particular, the techniques which are based on the use of positive feedback and unstable motions and which allow the system to gather momentum by itself and work until conditions are created for suppressing the disturbances and uncertainty factors. It is precisely the positive feedback and the instability that play a key role in some problems.

It should finally be pointed out that the stabilization problem should not be considered in the restricted sense since many important problems of control theory can be reduced to the stabilization problem, say, the problems of differentiation and optimization. However, since problems of this class are important and rich in content, we devote special sections to their analysis.

The authors express their deepest gratitude to many people who made possible the appearance and development of the binary control theory: to some people for their benevolent reaction and mild criticism when we first appeared in public with our reports, to other people for their selfless and creative work on the topical problems of the theory, to our opponents for their severe, may be not always justified but, in the end, useful criticism.

We express our special gratitude to Academicians A.A. Krasovskii, E.P. Popov, and Ya.Z. Tsypkin whose remarks were always to the point, concerned the essence of the matter, and made for a correct development of the theory.

We are also grateful to our disciples and followers who, for many years, worked fruitfully and with enthusiasm in this field and made a significant contribution to the new theory. First of all, we want to acknowledge the contribution made by I.G. Mamedov, A.L. Nersisyan, V.I. Sizikov, A.P. Nosov, and L.V. Levantovskii.

We want to point out the atmosphere of well wishing, creative activity, and self-support that prevailed among the researchers of the Institute of Systems Analysis of the Russian Academy of Sciences. This is mainly due to Academician D.M. Gvishiani, the first director of the Institute of Systems Analysis of the Russian Academy of Sciences.

We want to express our special gratitude to V.B. Betelin, Corresponding Member of the Russian Academy of Sciences. Without his support and real help the publication of this book would be delayed for many years.

We are especially grateful to Irene Aleksanova, the translator of this work. She did an outstanding job which lay beyond the scope of a simple translation and improved the book considerably. Finally, we want to point out the laborious work of the proof-reader B.N. Rusakova who frequently "exceeded her commission" and made useful suggestions, and the computer operator K.E. Pankratiev who put the manuscript of the book into shape and prepared the camera-ready copy. We are sincerely grateful to them for their highly professional work.

When writing the book, we received financial support as grants of the Russian Foundation for Basic Research and the European Economic Association.

Table of Contents

Introduction	1
Part I. Principles Underlying the Design of Automatic Control Systems	5
1. Principles Underlying the Design of Linear Automatic Control Systems	9
1.1. Statement of a Control Problem and Preliminaries	9
1.2. Load Control Principle	20
1.3. Principle of Disturbance Control	23
1.4. Compensation Principle in an Indirect Measurement of Disturbance	26
1.5. Double-Channel Principle	31
1.6. The K -Image Method or the Method of an Internal Model	39
1.7. High Gain Factor	45
1.7.1. Statement of the problem, its peculiarities and the idea of its solution	45
1.7.2. Problems and limitations of the high gain feedback method	47
1.7.3. On the robustness of systems with a high gain factor . . .	51
1.7.4. The state space method in the analysis of systems with a high gain factor	53
1.7.5. Geometrical interpretation of systems with a high gain factor	54
1.7.6. The effect produced by an amplitude constraint on systems with a high gain factor	55
1.8. Bibliographical Comments	56
2. Synthesis of Nonlinear Controllers	59
2.1. Relay Feedback	59
2.1.1. Basic concepts	59
2.1.2. Sliding mode at a point	62
2.1.3. Switching mode	64
2.1.4. On the robustness of the switching mode	66
2.1.5. Relay stabilization of an object with self-regulation	67
2.1.6. Stabilization of an object with a high relative order . . .	68

2.1.7.	Robust stabilization: discontinuity, continuity, and information about the state	69
2.1.8.	Robust stabilization of an object of the first relative order	70
2.1.9.	Sliding mode on an interval	71
2.1.10.	Real sliding mode on an interval	72
2.1.11.	Relay stabilization of a generalized object	73
2.2.	Stabilization of an Object with an Uncertain Operator	74
2.2.1.	Generalities	74
2.2.2.	Principle of cascade control	78
2.2.3.	The structure of objects with cascade control	82
2.2.4.	Stabilization of interval objects	83
2.2.5.	Interval stability	85
2.2.6.	General features of the adaptive stabilization theory	89
2.3.	Stabilization by a Variable Structure Controller	97
2.3.1.	An astatic tracking system	97
2.3.2.	Second-order astatism	103
2.3.3.	Astatism of order m	103
2.3.4.	A variable structure astatic tracking system	104
2.3.5.	Sliding mode throughout a straight line	108
2.3.6.	Analysis of robustness of VSS relative to parametric perturbations	110
2.3.7.	VSS in the presence of an external force	113
2.3.8.	Quasirelay representation of a ψ -cell	115
2.3.9.	Limitations and drawbacks of the VSS theory and the related problems	118
2.4.	Bibliographical Comments	118

Part II. New Types of Feedback 121

3.	General Aspects of the Theory of New Types of Feedback	125
3.1.	Introductory Remarks	125
3.2.	System of Basic Concepts	127
3.2.1.	Operator signal	127
3.2.2.	Types of dynamical objects	128
3.2.3.	Binary operation	129
3.2.4.	Types of control elements	130
3.2.5.	New types of feedback	130
3.3.	Structural Synthesis of Binary Systems	131
3.3.1.	Stabilization problem	131
3.3.2.	Nonlinear feedback as a means of suppressing uncertainty	138
3.3.3.	Filtration problem	140
4.	Theory of Coordinate-Operator Feedback	145
4.1.	Stabilization of a Second-Order Object with Unknown Parameters and an External Action	146
4.1.1.	The scalarization principle and the equation of an object in the error space	147

4.1.2.	Some remarks concerning the statement of the problem and its generalizations	148
4.1.3.	The coordinate-operator phase space	152
4.2.	CO-Algorithms of Stabilization	155
4.2.1.	Direct compensation	156
4.2.2.	Asymptotic estimation or an indirect measurement of the O-perturbation	156
4.2.3.	Compensation for a wave O-perturbation	157
4.2.4.	Relay CO-stabilization	158
4.2.5.	Remark concerning the robustness of systems with relay CO-feedback	162
4.2.6.	Linear CO-algorithms of stabilization	163
4.2.7.	Integro-relay CO-algorithm of stabilization	166
5.	Higher-Degree Sliding Modes	169
5.1.	Preliminaries from the Theory of Sliding Modes	169
5.1.1.	Equations of sliding	170
5.1.2.	On the invariance of an equation of sliding relative to disturbances which satisfy the matching condition	172
5.1.3.	Equations of real sliding	173
5.1.4.	Remarks concerning the degree of sliding	177
5.2.	Algorithms of Second-Degree Sliding	181
5.2.1.	Asymptotic algorithms of the second-degree sliding	183
5.2.2.	Discontinuous asymptotic algorithms for the second-degree sliding	185
5.2.3.	Finite algorithms of second-degree sliding: linear feedback	187
5.2.4.	Finite algorithms of second-degree sliding: relay feedback	188
5.2.5.	Twisting algorithm	189
5.3.	Output Finite Stabilization	191
6.	Theory of Operator Feedback	195
6.1.	The Purpose of Operator Feedback	195
6.2.	Motion Equations in the Coordinate-Operator Space	198
6.3.	Statical Operator Feedback	200
6.3.1.	Statical operator and coordinate-operator feedbacks	200
6.3.2.	Statical operator and dynamical coordinate-operator feedbacks	203
6.3.3.	Inertial coordinate-operator feedback	203
6.3.4.	Inertial-relay coordinate-operator feedback	206
6.3.5.	Inertial-relay coordinate-operator feedback with an unknown parameter in the control	209
6.3.6.	Integral-relay coordinate-operator feedback	210

7. Theory of Operator-Coordinate Feedback	213
7.1. Dynamical Statism and Operator-Coordinate Feedback	213
7.2. Motion Equations for an Operator-Coordinate Object	216
7.3. Statical OC-Controller	218
7.4. Integral OC-Controller	219
7.5. The Main Properties and Specific Features of Binary Stabilization Systems with Different Types of Feedback	223
7.6. Discontinuous OC-Feedback	225
7.6.1. Integral-relay OC-controller	225
7.6.2. Second-degree sliding modes in an OC-loop	228
8. Constraints, Physical Foundations of the Compensation for Dis- turbances, and Stabilization of Forced Motion in Binary Sys- tems	235
8.1. Constraints Imposed on the Operator Variable	236
8.2. On the Global Behavior of a Binary System	241
8.3. Physical Foundations of the Compensation for Uncertainty	244
8.4. On the Compensation for the Coordinate Disturbance	245
9. Signal Differentiation	251
9.1. Statement of the Differentiation Problem	251
9.1.1. Filtration	253
9.1.2. <i>RC</i> -circuit	254
9.1.3. Discrete-difference approximations	257
9.2. Tracking Differentiating Systems	260
9.2.1. A linear differentiator	260
9.2.2. Relay differentiator	264
9.2.3. Variable-structure differentiator	268
9.3. Tracking Asymptotic Binary Differentiator	270
9.4. Finite Binary Differentiator	274
9.5. Nonstandard Differentiating Systems	276
9.5.1. Differentiator with a "small" amplitude of discontinuities	277
9.5.2. Nonstandard binary differentiator	279
9.5.3. The results of discrete simulation of a nonstandard binary differentiator	284
10. Suboptimal Stabilization of an Uncertain Object	287
10.1. Statement of the Optimal Stabilization Problem	287
10.2. Example of an Optimal Stabilization Problem under Uncertainty	289
10.3. Optimal Stabilization "in the Mean"	290
10.4. Minimax Optimal Stabilization	291
10.5. Stabilization with the Use of the Standard Model and an Er- ror Feedback with High Gain	292

10.6. Stabilization by the Methods of the Binary Control Theory . . .	295
10.6.1. A variable structure system	296
10.6.2. Binary stabilization with an integral C/O-feedback	297
10.6.3. Stabilization with the use of a second-degree sliding mode	298
10.7. Reduction of the Suboptimal Stabilization Problem to the Prob-	
lem of Asymptotic Invariance	299
10.7.1. Main concepts of the theory of asymptotic invariance . . .	299
10.7.2. Suboptimal linearly quadratic stabilization	301
Conclusion	305
Bibliography	309
Index	317

Introduction

It is believed now that the elaboration of the basic themes of automatic control theory is almost completed and, correspondingly, the center of investigation is now in the domain of applications, working out efficient methods of analysis, and designing control systems. It is also believed that the appearance of new ideas and principles is possible only upon a transition to objects of a new nature.

It should be pointed out that there are, indeed, certain reasons for this viewpoint, and these reasons are rather weighty. The automatic control theory has made an impressive progress, and today it can propose a wide spectrum of solution methods for various problems of applied automatics. The field of practical applications of the automatic control theory is very wide, and one cannot think of the contemporary technology without means of automation and, hence, without the use of recommendations of control theory. This is one aspect. Another aspect is that the most modern mathematical apparatus is used more and more actively in control theory whereas the books and articles in scientific journals concerning control theory are, in the main, of generalizing, summing up character. It may seem that there is a clear evidence that control theory is close to perfection and completes its development.

Is this really so and are there ready solutions in control theory for every specific case? In certain situations this is really the fact, but most often control theory does not give recipes but only gives recommendations which must be subjected to experimental verification as to the adequacy to the situation under consideration. Therefore it is no accident that there exists a generally accepted sequence of stages in the development of automatic control systems, namely, the elaboration of a mathematical model of an object, the investigation and identification of the model, the formulation of requirements to the properties of the system, the choice of the law of control and performance of imitation experiment, the technological realization of the system and the conduction of a natural or seminatural experiment, and the adjustment of the system. In this sequence, the whole chain constituting the elaboration of a system or some of its links may be used repeatedly. If we also take into account that the realization of each stage requires certain creative effort, it becomes clear that the creation and exploitation of control system is a complicated process which requires the enlisting of services of highly qualified experts. This requirement obviously contradicts the mass character of automation.

Consequently, the elaboration, designing, and keeping a control system in working order is a "bottleneck" which retards the progress in the technology of

automation. This is a challenge to control theory which must produce methods and tools that would make it possible to work out and exploit control systems with the use of small effort and without resort to highly qualified specialists.

To a certain degree, this problem can be solved with the use of systems of computer aid design (CAD), but not only of these systems. The CAD-system is a tool which is efficient only when it is well "equipped" theoretically. Otherwise the CAD-system can cope with the routine phase of the development but will not promote the solution of the creative problems of automation, and, strictly speaking, it is precisely these problems that require high qualification. Only a developed theory that not only gives strict recommendations for a certain class of situations but also suggests rules for reasonable actions in nonstandard situations and the techniques of obtaining an adequate solution for every specific case can become a foundation which will allow a further qualitative progress in automatic control. The CAD-system with elements of "intellect" in the theoretical basis is what we need today.

Does the modern control theory satisfy this requirement (the requirement of high "intellect")? We must state that it does not. There are many reasons for this.

The designer who works out a control system has to resolve an objective contradiction between the elaboration of an object in detail and the possibility of a further analytic investigation of the system, namely, the identification of its parameters and the synthesis of the controller. Very likely, this is the most difficult stage whose formalization is hardly possible. Although a certain real process lies, as a rule, at the basis of elaboration of a system, the researchers who work in automatics strive to design not an exact model but only an imitating model of the process which reflects "the most important properties" with respect to the preassigned input and output variables. This is the main thing that distinguishes the models of control theory from the models that are exploited in such fundamental disciplines as physics, chemistry, etc. And it should be pointed out that the concept of "the most important properties" often has an intuitive sense which poorly gives in to formalization. It is, perhaps, the reason why, when designing a control system, we have to return iteratively to this stage and make necessary corrections.

Because of this circumstance, the elaboration of "as simple models as possible" seemed to be the most natural way. This way led to the formation of a collection of standard models which are, in the main, exploited in control theory. At present, this arsenal is very poor and is based on linear models or models close to them. In this way, often to the detriment of the real circumstances, but in order to oblige the theory, a bank of simplified models has been formed with which we deal, in the main, in control theory and which is, in essence, one of the obstacles against which control theory "stumbles" in practice.

Thus, the priority in the development of the theory was given to analytics, and this, in turn, led to a hypertrophied development of analytical methods which are often similar as concerns their final results but differ in the means of their achievement and the conditions of their application, namely, in transfer functions, differential equations, input-output representations, frequency and

time characteristics, etc. However, we cannot wring out much from simple models even when we have a powerful apparatus. This especially concerns automatic control systems (ACS) since the attention given to the solution of problems of synthesis of controllers is inadequate. In fact, this branch of control theory remains almost virginal. There exists a rather restricted collection of techniques of synthesis for a small number of standard situations.

We can say without exaggeration that today the processes of origination of controlling mechanisms are not clear. In all cases, the appearance of a new method of synthesis is due to invention rather than to theory. Therefore, in our view, the problem of finding the general principles of synthesis which would make it possible to obtain the required law of control in concrete circumstances as if automatically is very attractive. The elaboration of these general principles will predetermine, in our opinion, the development of control theory in the near future.

We can try to guess certain features of their development. It is clear, first of all, that nonlinearity must become an inalienable element of the theory. In the first place, this is the requirement of practice: constraints, nonlinearity of elements, etc. But this is not the only reason, examples from other branches of science (and control theory as well) clearly demonstrate that due account of nonlinear phenomena substantially enriches the theory many times over: the nonlinear "world" is incommensurably richer than the linear one, and precisely on this way new phenomena, principles and laws originate.

As an illustration, we can cite an example when the automatic control theory was considerably enriched due to the solution of problems on absolute stability, to the investigation of self-excited oscillation processes, and adaptive control. Examples from other branches of science, say, physics and chemistry, are even more expressive. However, this statement is almost obvious, and it is much more difficult to indicate some constructive way that would lead to the nonlinear "world". Does it exist?

In our opinion, it does, and this way lies in the direction of systematic use of the most important principle of cybernetics, namely, the principle of feedback. We have only to learn how to use it correctly in nonstandard situations. It is clear today that this principle is the basis of self-control and the development of all living things. However, only the negative feedback and, correspondingly, stable processes "work" now in full measure in automatic control theory. The "press" of linearity hinders the use of positive feedback and unstable processes. Only the transition to principally nonlinear systems will allow us to involve new effects connected with the employment of positive and alternating feedback. Our monograph serves as an illustration of this scientific paradigm.

