77CH1131-2-PWR



IEEE

CONFERENCE PROCEEDINGS

1977 POWER INDUSTRY COMPUTER APPLICATIONS CONFERENCE

PICA-77

MAY 24-27, 1977





1977 POWER INDUSTRY COMPUTER **APPLICATIONS CONFERENCE**— PICA-77

Papers Presented at the Tenth PICA Conference May 24-27, 1977 Royal York Hotel Toronto, Ont., Can.

Sponsored by the IEEE Power Engineering Society. and the **IEEE Toronto Section**



E7966097



Available fig at:

IEEE Service Center Single Publication Sales Dept., IEEE 445 Hoes Lane Piscataway, N.J. 08854

Library of Congress Catalog Card Number 66-95936

FOREWORD

This volume contains the complete text of the technical papers accepted for presentation at the Tenth Power Industry Computer Applications Conference in Toronto, Ontario, Canada, May 24-27, 1977.

This volume will be the only published record of the completed papers. Abstracts will appear in Power Apparatus and Systems. Written discussions and the author's closure for these papers will be published in a supplemental volume which will be distributed after the conference.

Our thanks are due to the many persons who contributed their time and talent to review these papers. The authors themselves are, of course, the people who make a technical conference possible, and their efforts to share their ideas, and the fruits of their work with us are deeply appreciated.

Hilton U. Brown, III Chairman Technical Program Committee

PICA-77 COMMITTEES

Conference Executive

Executive Chairman J. Y. (Jene) Louis Long Island Lighting Co. 175 East Old Country Rd. Hicksville, N.Y. 11801

Executive Vice Chairman G. A. (George) Maneatis Pacific Gas & Electric Co. 77 Beale Street San Francisco, CA 94106

Technical Program Chairman H. U. (Hilton) Brown IBM Corporation 1133 Westchester Ave. White Plains, NY 10604

Technical Program Vice Chairman
A. F. Glimm
Computer Sciences Corp.
9841 Airport Blvd.
Los Angeles, CA 90045

General Chairman L. M. (Lynn) Gordon Ontario Hydro, H11B27 700 University Ave. Toronto, Ont., Can. M5G 1X6

Ceneral Vice Chairman H. L. (Hugh) Hales Ontario Hydro, H11A23 700 University Ave. Toronto, Ont., Can.M5G 1X6

Publicity Chairman
G. A. (Gord) Alexander
W.P.London & Associates, Ltd.
4056 Dorchester Road
Box 1025
Niagara Falls, Ont., Can.L2E 6V9

Publicity Vice Chairman
C. (Cedo) Grujic
W.P.London & Associates, Ltd.
% Ontario Hŷdro, HllJll
700 University Ave.
Toronto, Ont., Can. M5G 1X6

Registration Chairman W. R. (Wayne) Ingram IBM Canada Ltd. 1150 Eglinton Ave.East Don Mills, Ont., Can.M3C 1H7

Publications Chairman R. H. (Russ) Brierly Ontario Hydro, H8E24 700 University Ave., Toronto, Ont., Can. M5G 1X6

Director Region 7
Dr. F.C. (Frank) Creed
5 Briarcliffe Drive
Ottawa, Ont., Can. KlJ 6E3

Region 7 Manager G. G.(George) Armitage 7061 Yonge Street Thornhill, Ont., Can. L3T 2A6

Toronto Section Chairman R. C. (Bob) Jeffries Toronto Hydro Electric System 14 Carlton Street Toronto, Ont., Can. M5B 1K5

Convention Co-ordinator Harry Hyde 6290 Leslie Street Willowdale, Ont., Can. M2H 1K1

Toronto Power Engineering Chapter Chairman G. G. (Gary) Irving 1295 Bellamy Road North Scarborough, Ont., Can. MLH 1H9

Tours Chairman
J. L. (John) Owen
Acres Ltd.
20 Victoria Street
Toronto, Ont., Can. M5C 1Y1

Treasurer
N. A. (Norm) Fisher
Ontario Hydro, H19
700 University Avenue
Toronto, Ont., Can. M5G 1X6

Assistant Treasurer
R. B. (Robert) Caputo
Ontario Hydro, Hll C26
700 University Avenue
Toronto, Ont., Can. M5G 1X6

Exhibits Chairman
J. N. (Jack) Edgar
Ontario Hydro, R138
W. P. Dobson Research Lab.
800 Kipling Avenue
Toronto, Ont., Can. M8Z 5S4

Exhibits Vice Chairman J.D.A. (Tony) Griffin Ontario Hydro, R260 W. P. Dobson Research Lab. 800 Kipling Avenue Toronto, Ont., Can. M8Z 5S4

Hotels & Hospitality Chairman W.(Bill) Chackeris Toronto Hydro 14 Carlton Street Toronto, Ont., Can. M5B 1K5

Meeting Facilities Chairman E. G. (Ernie) Neudorf Ontario Hydro, H8E21 700 University Avenue Toronto, Ont., Can. M5G 1X6

PICA-77

TECHNICAL PROGRAM COMMITTEE

Hilton U. Brown, III IBM Corporation 1133 Westchester Avenue White Plains, NY 10604 Chairman

Arno F. Glimm Computer Sciences Corporation 9841 Airport Boulevard Los Angeles, CA 90045 Vice Chairman

Homer E. Brown % Lynch Wilde and Company 1346 Connecticut Ave. Washington, DC 20036 Computers in Power Engineering Education

Thomas A. Cornely Macro Corporation 1260 Virginia Drive Ft.Washington, PA 19034 Power Plant Performance and Control

Pradeep C. Gupta Southern California Edison Company P. O. Box 800 Rosemead, CA 91770 Load and Economic Forecasting

M. Paul Ramber IBM Corporation 1133 Westchester Avenue White Plains, NY 10604 General Sessions

Robert F. Silva Northeast Utilities Service Co. P. O. Box 270 Hartford, Conn. 06101 Interactive Computer Graphics

T. J. Martin (Interim - Until April 12) Nuclear Power Computations

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SESSION AL: NUCLEAR POWER COMPUTATIONS	
A Simulation Study of Deaerator Control for CANDU Nuclear Power Plants, by Q. B. Chou and S. N. Chen	12
Advanced Systems for Power Plant Operation: Assessment of Underlying Technologies, by A. B. Long	23
Computer Code Packages for Analysis of Nuclear Reactor Cores, by R. D. Mosteller, B. M. Rothleder, W. J. Eich, and E. D. Kendrick	26
Predicting the Transient Performance for CANDU-PHWR Heat Transport Networks Using Computer Modelling, by E. Pan, J. Skears, and T. Toong	30
Standards for Digital Computers Used in Non-Safety Nuclear Power Plant Applications: Objectives and Limitations, by D. C. Rorer and A. B. Long	36
SESSION A2: MANAGEMENT AND CONTROL OF UNITS AND PLANTS	
A Computer-Based CRT Display System for the Thunder Bay Generating Station Control Centre, by J. D. Beattie, R. L. Bell, D.L.C. Ho and B. Howard	42
Multi Machine System Transient Behaviour, by N. Jaleeli, E. Vaahadi, and D. C. Macdonald	51
On-Line Adaptive Control of Synchronous Machine Excitation, by O. P. Malik, G. S. Hope, and A. A. M. El-Ghandakly	59
Management Information Systems Within the Ontario Hydro Thermal Generation Division, by H. S. Stinchcombe and J. D. Overy	68
SESSION A3: SYSTEM DISPATCHING AND CONTROL—I	
Data Bases for Energy Management Systems - A Real-Time Operational Approach, by M. D. Anderson and R. A. Smith	74
Security Monitoring and Security Analysis for Pennsylvania Power	
R. O. Hinkel, F. J. Keglovitz, and M. F. Daumer	83
Design of a Dispatcher Training System, by James R. Latimer and Ralph D. Masiello	87
The Delmarva Power and Light Energy Control System, Dy W. R. Cassel, A. J. Zetlan, R. E. Hansen, D. W. Bree, and R. D. Masiello	93

SESSION B1: SYSTEM EXPANSION PLANNING	
An Algorithm for Dispatching Generation in Contingency Loadflows Used in Power System Planning Studies, by F. S. Brazzell and N. J. Balu	1.00
Identification of a Set of Reliable Transmission Network Expansion Plans Within a Given Budget: Part I—The Design Set, by R. Fischl and T. F. Ho	106
Identification of a Set of Reliable Transmission Network Expansion Plans With a Given Budget: Part II—Algorithm for Identifying the Design Set, by T. F. Ho and R. Fischl	114
Impact of Improved On-Line Security Dispatching on Long-Term Transmission Expansion Planning, by A. P. Meliopoulos and A. S. Debs	123
SESSION B2: POWER ENGINEERING EDUCATION	
Power System Monitoring by Mini-Computers in the Laboratory, by Scott P. MacKenzie and Anjan Bose	130
Application of Interactive and On-Line Computer Methods in Power System Engineering Education, by E. Handschin and P. Grafoner	138
Personal Views on Power Engineering Education from an Industry Vantage Point, by J. F. Dopazo and A. M. Sasson	145
SESSION B3: INTERACTIVE COMPUTING AND GRAPHICS	
Computerized Substation Electrical Design, by J. D. M. Phelps, A. F. Gabrielle, R. W. Harrison, J. F. Holbrook, D. E. Joslyn, W. J. Schaefer and R. M. Vrana	150
Interactive Graphics for Load Flow, by P. M. Hirsch	159
An Interactive Computing Environment for Power System Planning, by J. A. Jordan, Jr. and F. M. Schlaepfer	166
Interactive Plant Graphics and Interference System, by A. H. Knable and J. A. Di Bella	17

SESSION C1: SYSTEM DYNAMIC PERFORMANCE	
A Computer Based Eigenvalue Approach for Power System Dynamic Stability Evaluation, by Hussein M. Zein El-Din and Robert T. H. Alden	186
Stabilization of a Parallel AC-DC Power System, by P. K. Dash, R. M. Mathur, and O. P. Malik	193
On the Steady State Stability of Power Systems, by F. D. Galiana and K. Lee	201
A Diakoptical Approach to the Eigenvalue Problem in Power System Dynamic Stability Studies, by P. J. Nolan and W. Janischewskyj	211
Optimal Transient Stability Improvement Using Series Capacitors, by A. A. Sallam, A. A. R. Hanafy, and M. S. Abou Hussein	220
SESSION C2: FORECASTING	
A Simulation Study for Recursive Prediction of Hourly Load Demands, by A. Keyhani and T. Eliassi Rad	228
A User Oriented Approach to Electric Demand Forecasting Using Interactive Graphics, by Carl A. Letter and Robert L. Sullivan	237
Forecasting and Computers "The Multi-Model Approach", by W. G. Michaelson and R. B. Comerford	247
Construction of an Adjustment Model Using Simplified Tools, by C. M. Perkins and Gary Ijams	252
SESSION C3: ELECTROMAGNETIC TRANSIENT SIMULATION	
A New Method for Interfacing Generator Models with an Electromagnetic Transients Program, by V. Brandwajn and H. W. Dommel	260
Simulation of Control Systems in an Electromagnetic Transients Program with TACS, by L. Dubé and H. W. Dommel	266
Machine Translation of an Electromagnetic Transients Program (EMTP) Among Different Digital Computer Systems, by W. Scott Meyer	272
Simplified Modal Decomposition for Switching Transient Calculations, by A. Semlyen and A. Dabuleanu	278
Numerical Transient Voltage Analysis of Transformers and LRC Networks Containing Nonlinear Resistive Elements, by W. N. White, Jr	288

SESSION D1: IMPROVED TECHNIQUES FOR SYSTEM PLANNING	
Input Validation and Output Management for Power Flow and Stability, by G. K. Carter, C. E. Grund, H. H. Happ, and L. B. Trela	296
Parallel Optimally Ordered Factorization, by V. Conrad and Y. Wallach	302
Reducing Storage and Saving Computational Time with a Generalization of the Dommel (BPA) Solution Method, by R. C. Degeneff	307
The REI Approach to Power Network Equivalents, by W. F. Tinney and W. L. Powell	314
Comparison of the Line-Loss Load-Flow Algorithm with the Newton-Raphson Load-Flow, by M. D. Wvong and H. Jalali-Kushki	321
SESSION D-2: MODELING AND SIMULATION	
Digital Simulation of Synchronizing the ERDA-NASA 100 kW Wind Turbine Generator Against an Infinite Bus, by H. H. Hwang and Leonard J. Gilbert	326
Computer Models in Design of Long UHV Transmission Lines, by R. A. Slavickas, S. D. T. Robertson, and W. Janischewskyj	334
Simulation and Real Time Load Management Operation of Distribution Systems by Computer and Ripple Control, by R. Kniel	343
MANSTAB/POSSIM Power System Dynamic Analysis Programs - A New Approach Combining Nonlinear Simulation and Linearized State-Space/Frequency Domain Capabilities, by E. V. Larsen and W. W. Price	350
SESSION D-3: SYSTEM DISPATCHING AND CONTROLII	
Real-Time Security Assessment with Fast Optimum Generation Shift Control, by Samir A. Arafeh	360
An Integrated Production Control in Power Systems, by Torsten Cegrell and Piotr Chanachowicz	369
Digital Systems Interfacing for Monitoring Control and Measurement of	376
Decentralized Optimal Controllers for Multiarea Power Systems, by E. C. Tacker, T. D. Linton, C. W. Sanders, and T. C. Wang	383

SESSION E1: REAL TIME APPLICATIONS	
Distance Relaying Using Least-Squares Estimates of Voltage, Current, and Impedance, by A. W. Brooks, Jr	39
Portable Minicomputer/Modem System for Collecting Field Data, by A. R. Kemp	40:
System Design Considerations for High Powered Testing with Real Time Computers, by E. Sternheim and T. D. Moser	40'
Microprocessor Programmable Remote Terminal Unit for a SCADA System, by A. G. Street and J. A. Gaul	477
SESSION E2: SYSTEM SECURITY AND STATE ESTIMATION	
On-Line Decoupled Observability Processing, by J. S. Horton and R. D. Masiello	420
State Estimation with Equality Constraints, by F. C. Aschmoneit, N. M. Peterson, and E. C. Adrian	427
Optimal Choice of Measurements for State Estimation, by K. Phua and T. S. Dillon	431
Security Load Flow Employing a Modified Decoupled Hessian Technique, by Walter L. Snyder, Jr., and Alberto Mayer Sasson	442
SESSION E3: MODELING POWER SYSTEM COMPONENTS	
Suppression of Transformer Noise in HV & EHV Substations, by M. B. Awad, M. Lat, and H. W. Huestis	452
Circuit Breaker Fault Duty Analysis Program, by K. R. Chakravarthi, R. B. Billups, Jr., and H. A. Lovejoy	461
Analysis and Control of Subsynchronous Resonance, by Ing-Shou Lin and Mulukutla S. Sarma	467
Effect of Excitation Control on Self-Excited Oscillations of Dual- Excited Synchronous Machines, by S. Hurry, J. Nanda, and C. Kashkari	

SESSION A1 NUCLEAR POWER COMPUTATIONS

A SIMULATION STUDY OF DEAERATOR CONTROL FOR CANDU NUCLEAR POWER PLANTS

O.B. CHOU

S.N. CHEN, Member, IEEE

Ontario Hydro, Toronto, Ontario, Canada

ABSTRACT

The deaerator for CANDU nuclear power plants is modelled and simulated for the purpose of investigating its dynamic behaviour under various operating conditions and designing suitable control schemes for its pressure and level control.

The mathematical model consists of two parts - equilibrium and non-equilibrium thermodynamic models, with a provision to switch from one model to the other depending on the relative thermodynamic conditions of the liquid and vapour phases. The fidelity of the model is satisfactorily verified by comparing simulation results of the Pickering Generating Station deaerator with available field data.

Digital control algorithms are developed to meet the deaerator control requirements, particularly under the 'Reactor Poison Prevent' operation. The effects of varying control parameters and sampling time are also discussed.

INTRODUCTION

In a CANDU nuclear power plant the deaerator serves the dual functions of heating boiler feedwater to a minimum permissible temperature of around 240°F and liberating corrosive, dissolved gases in the feedwater. As illustrated in Figure 1, it is made up of a heater vessel located on and draining into a large water storage tank. Heating steam is extracted from the L.P. turbine during normal operation or from the main steam line in abnormal situations and injected into the deaerator at the two ends of the heater vessel. Condensate from the L.P. heaters and the H.P. heaters drain are sprayed down from the top of the heater vessel and the mixture is heated by the incoming steam as it cascades down a stack of perforated trays. Liberated gases are vented to atmosphere and water flowing from the heater vessel is admitted to the storage tank through drain downcomers and a distribution header which inhibits thermal stratification. The deaerator storage tank

1977 Power Industry Computer Applications Conference receives boiler feed pump recirculation flow if the feedwater flow drops below the minimum allowable flow for one pump.

MATHEMATICAL MODELS^{1,2}

There are two possible approaches to model a deaerator: equilibrium thermodynamic model or non-equilibrium thermodynamic model. In the equilibrium model, it is assumed that the liquid and vapour phases in the deaerator are in saturation, so the equations of conservation of mass and energy are applied to the liquid and vapour as a whole. The non-equilibrium model takes into consideration the mechanism between the vapour phase and the liquid phase; the average properties of the two phases are not necessarily in thermodynamic equilibrium and the conservation equations of mass and energy are applied to each phase separately.

During the start-up or poison prevent* modes of operation, a large amount of heating steam required by the deaerator is extracted directly from the main steam line. Because this steam is at a much higher temperature and pressure than the conditions in the deaerator, it will superheat the vapour phase in the vessel. For this reason a non-equilibrium model is deemed necessary for the simulation study for deaerator control. The dynamics between the vapour phase and the liquid phase depends on the net condensation (or evaporation) rate which is a function of many factors, including the relative thermodynamic conditions between the phases, the condensate flow rate and the geometry of the vessel.

If the temperature of the liquid in the deaerator is higher than the saturation temperature corresponding to the vapour pressure, there will be net evaporation (flashing), otherwise there will be net condensation. Flashing is known to be a very fast process and it tends to maintain

^{*} Following a reactor shutdown or load reduction, Xenon builds up and eventually causes the reactor to "poison out". Consequently, the reactor becomes unavailable for approximately forty hours. To prevent this after a turbine trip or large turbine load reduction, the reactor may be operated at some minimum power level indefinitely without being poisoned out. For Pickering Generating Station A this level is around 70% full power. The generated steam is discharged to the atmosphere or to the main condenser.

equilibrium between the two phases. Instead of estimating the rate of flashing with heat transfer coefficients, the method here is to switch the computation to use the equilibrium model when such conditions prevail. This is deemed to be more accurate as well as computationally stable.

Non-Equilibrium Thermodynamic Model of Deaerator

The dynamic behaviour of the deaerator is obtained from the equations of conservation of mass and energy (written separately for the liquid and vapour phases), the equations of state, the boundary conditions due to geometrical constraints and assumptions regarding heat exchange at the trays and regarding the rate of condensation.

With the symbols defined in Figure 2, the equations for conservation of mass are:

$$\dot{M}_{V} = W_{ESTM} + W_{ESTT} - W_{CST} - W_{RFV}$$
 (1)

$$\dot{\mathbf{M}}_{\mathrm{L}} = \mathbf{W}_{\mathrm{CST}} + \mathbf{W}_{\mathrm{CON}} + \mathbf{W}_{\mathrm{HPD}} - \mathbf{W}_{\mathrm{FDW}} \tag{2}$$

where the gas vent flow and feedwater recirculation flow have been neglected.

It is assumed that the condensate, the H.P. heaters drain and the condensed steam mix thoroughly in the trays of the heater vessel and drain into the storage tank at one mixture enthalpy $h_{\rm F}$. This assumption is based on the design intent of the trays for thorough mixing and homogeneous heating and may be mathematically expressed as:

W_{CST}h_V + W_{CON}h_{CON} + W_{HPD}h_{HPD}

$$= (W_{CST} + W_{CON} + W_{HPD}) h_{F}$$
 (3)

The equations for conservation of energy are:

$$(M_{V}\mathring{u}_{V} + \mathring{M}_{V}u_{V}) = W_{ESTM}h_{ESTM} + W_{ESTT}h_{ESTT}$$
$$- W_{CST}h_{V} - W_{RFV}h_{V} - \frac{P\mathring{V}_{V}}{J}$$
(4)

$$(M_{L}\mathring{u}_{L} + \mathring{M}_{L}u_{L}) = (W_{CST} + W_{CON} + W_{HPD}) h_{F}$$

$$- W_{FDW}h_{L} + Q_{H} - \frac{P\mathring{v}_{L}}{J}$$
(5)

Relating enthalpy to internal energy and total volume to specific volume gives:

$$- h_{V} = u_{V} + \frac{p_{V}}{J} \tag{6}$$

$$h_{T_{i}} = u_{L} + \frac{Pv_{L}}{J} \tag{7}$$

$$V_{V} = M_{V} V_{V} \tag{8}$$

$$V_{L} = M_{L} V_{L} \tag{9}$$

The geometrical constraint is a constant deaerator volume:

$$V_{V} + V_{T_{\bullet}} = V \tag{10}$$

Because the vapour in the deaerator is likely to be superheated, the pressure is expressed as a function of two other vapour properties:

$$P = P(h_{V}, v_{V})$$
 (11)

where the functional relationship is obtained from the superheated steam tables. On the other hand, water may be considered incompressible and therefore its specific volume may be expressed as:

$$v_{T_{\bullet}} = v_{T,SAT}(h_{T_{\bullet}}) \tag{12}$$

where the functional relationship is obtained from the saturation steam tables.

Equations (1) to (12) contain the basic thirteen unknowns: M_V , M_L , u_V , u_L , h_V , h_L , h_F , W_{CST} , v_V , v_L , v_V , v_L , P. All other variables may be either directly computed from these unknowns or are determined by factors external to the deaerator, in which case they are considered as inputs to the simulation. It remains to estimate W_{CST} , the rate of condensation of steam due to the heat exchange at the trays.

At any given pressure, the maximum rate of condensation of steam is that which will raise the enthalpy of the liquid mixture ($W_{CST} + W_{CON} + W_{HPD}$) to the saturation liquid enthalpy corresponding to that pressure ($h_{FSAT}(P)$). This maximum condensation rate may be obtained from equation (3) as:

$$= (W_{CST}^{\star} + W_{CON} + W_{HPD}) h_{FSAT}(P)$$
 (13)

 $W_{\rm CST}^{\star}$ is considered as a driving force and the actual rate of condensation is assumed to be a first order lag to it:

$$\dot{\mathbf{W}}_{\mathbf{CST}} = \frac{1}{\tau_{\mathbf{CST}}} (\mathbf{W}_{\mathbf{CST}}^* - \mathbf{W}_{\mathbf{CST}}) \tag{14}$$

Two implications of the last equation may be noted. Firstly, the time constant TCST is a measure of how fast the condensation rate approaches the maximum condensation rate during a transient. Secondly, the steady state rate of condensation is the maximum rate corresponding to the steady state pressure. The enthalpy of the liquid mixture draining into the storage tank will then be hFSAT(P) and the vapour and liquid phases in the deaerator will be in saturation. This is consistent with the heat balance data of Pickering and Bruce Generating Stations which indicate that during steady state normal operation, the vapour and liquid phases in the deaerator are in saturation and the enthalpy of the liquid draining from the heater vessel to the storage tank is saturated with respect to the pressure. TCST is therefore also a measure of how fast the two phases return to equilibrium after a disturbance. The value chosen for TCST will be based on field data.

The immersion heaters are controlled solely by the temperature of the water in the storage tank:

$$Q_{H} = f(T_{L}) \tag{15}$$

The temperature of the water is considered independent of the pressure and may be determined from its enthalpy:

$$T_{L} = T_{LSAT}(h_{L}) \tag{16}$$

where the functional relationship is obtained from the saturation steam tables.

Flow through the relief valve depends on the deaerator pressure and is expressed as:

as:
$$W_{RFV} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } P < P_0 \\ K_{RFV} & \frac{P-P_0}{P_{100}-P_0} & \text{if } P_0 \le P \le P_{100} \\ K_{RFV} & \text{if } P > P_{100} \end{cases}$$
 where, $P_0 = \text{pressure at which relief}$

where, P₀ = pressure at which relief valve start to open pressure at which relief valve is fully open K_{RFV} = capacity flow of relief valve

In each iteration the water level is incremented by the increment in water volume divided by the water surface area:

$$\Delta \mathcal{L} = \frac{\Delta V_{L}}{AREA} \tag{18}$$

The water surface area is a function of the water level and the deaerator geometry and is updated in every iteration. With reference to Figure 3, it is given by:

$$AREA = \begin{cases} 2L_1 \sqrt{2R_1 \ell - \ell^2} \\ & \text{if } 0 \le \ell < 2R_1 \\ \\ C & \text{if } 2R_1 \le \ell \le (2R_1 + H) \\ \\ 2L_2 \sqrt{2R_2 \hat{\ell} - \hat{\ell}^2} \\ & \text{if } (2R_1 + H) < \ell \le (2R_1 + H + 2R_2) \end{cases}$$
(19)

where, C = cross sectional area of pressure equalizer plus water downcomers

$$\hat{\ell} = \ell - 2R_1 - H$$

The feedwater flow, H.P. heaters drain flow and L.P. turbine extraction steam flow are all dependent on conditions external to the deaerator and are therefore inputs to the simulation. For the poison prevent operation of a typical CANDU nuclear power plant, the boiler feedwater demand drops to 70% of the full power demand while the H.P. heaters drain and L.P. turbine extraction are cut off by non-return valves.

The condensate flow and steam flow from the main steam line are determined by the level and pressure control schemes respectively.

Equilibrium Thermodynamic Model of Deaerator

When the deaerator pressure drops below the saturation pressure corresponding to the water temperature, flashing ensues and equilibrium is maintained between the two phases. When such conditions occur, the computation is switched to the equilibrium model.

A significant simplification in the equilibrium model is possible by taking advantage of the fact that the mass of liquid is much greater than the mass of vapour in the deaerator. In order to maintain equilibrium between the two phases, practically all the incoming steam has to condense.

The equations of conservation of mass and energy are written for the vapour-liquid combination as a whole:

$$\dot{M}_{L} + \dot{M}_{V} \doteq \dot{M}_{L} = W_{ESTM} + W_{ESTT}$$

$$+ W_{CON} + W_{HPD} - W_{FDW} - W_{RFV}$$
(20)

$$(M_L^{\circ}u_L) + (M_V^{\circ}u_V) \doteq (M_L^{\circ}u_L)$$

= W_{ESTM}h_{ESTM} + W_{ESTT}h_{ESTT} + W_{CON}h_{CON}

$$+ W_{HPD}h_{HPD} - W_{FDW}h_L - W_{RFV}h_V + Q_H$$
 (21)

Equations (20) and (21) may be solved for M_L and u_L from which all other variables may be determined for saturation conditions.

Heat from the immersion heaters, relief valve flow, water level, feedwater flow, H.P. heaters drain flow and L.P. turbine extraction steam flow are determined in a similar fashion as in the non-equilibrium model.

Pressure Control

During the start-up or poison prevent modes of operation of a CANDU nuclear power plant, deaerator pressure is controlled by regulating the amount of heating steam extracted from the main steam line. Difficulty in pressure control has been experienced at the Pickering Generating Station with the use of an analog PI controller. Simulation results also indicate poor pressure control with the use of a PI algorithm but significant improvement is achieved with the use of a properly tuned PID algorithm (analog or digital), and/or with the use of a faster stroking pressure control valve.

The analog PID algorithm for pressure control may be expressed as:

$$Y_{p} = K_{CP} \left[1 + \frac{1}{\tau_{IP}S} + \frac{\tau_{DP}S}{\frac{\tau_{DP}}{G}S + 1} \right] E_{p}$$
 (22)

where, $E_p = P_{SET} - P$

P_{SET} = pressure set point

Y_p = normalized control signal to pressure control valve

K_{CP} = normalized gain

 τ_{TP} = reset time

TDP = rate time

G = derivative filter factor

s = Laplace variable

The equivalent digital PID alogrithm may be expressed either in the position or velocity form. 4 , 5

Position Algorithm:

$$Y_{p}(N) = K_{CP} \left[E_{p}(N) + D_{p}(N) \right] + I_{p}(N)$$

$$D_{p}(N) = \frac{\tau_{DP}}{\tau_{SP}} \left[1 - \varepsilon \frac{-T_{SP}G}{\tau_{DP}} \right] \left[E_{p}(N) - E_{p}(N-1) \right]$$

$$\frac{-T_{SP}G}{\tau_{DP}}$$

$$+ \varepsilon \frac{T_{SP}G}{\tau_{DP}}$$

$$D_{p}(N-1)$$
(23a)

$$I_{P}(N) = I_{P}(N-1) + \frac{K_{CP}^{T}SP}{\tau_{TP}} E_{P}(N)$$
 (23c)

where, N = Nth sampling instant = sampling time for pressure control

Velocity Algorithm:

$$Y_{p}(N) = Y_{p}(N-1) + \Delta Y_{p}(N)$$
 (24a)

$$\Delta Y_{p}(N) = K_{CP} \left[E_{p}(N) - E_{p}(N-1) \right]$$

+
$$K_{CP}$$
 $\Delta D_{P}(N)$ + $\frac{K_{CP}^{T}SP}{\tau_{TP}} E_{P}(N)$ (24b)

$$\Delta D_{P}(N) = \frac{\tau_{DP}}{T_{SP}} \left[1 - \epsilon^{\frac{-T_{SP}G}{\tau_{DP}}} \right] \times$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} E_{p}(N) - 2E_{p}(N-1) + E_{p}(N-2) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$+ \epsilon \frac{-T_{Sp}G}{\tau_{Dp}}$$

$$\Delta D_{p}(N-1) \qquad (24c)$$

With the position algorithm, the computer calculates the desired position of the manipulated variable after every sampling instant -- in this case, the opening of the control valve. With the velocity algorithm, the desired change in position is calculated.

Second order valve characteristics are assumed in computing the response of the pressure control valve to the control signal:

$$S_{p} = \frac{Y_{p}}{1 + \frac{2\zeta_{p}}{\omega_{NP}} s + \frac{1}{\omega_{NP}^{2}} s^{2}}$$
 (25)

where, S_{p} = lift of pressure control valve ζ_{p} = damping factor of pressure control valve ω_{NP} = natural frequency of pressure control valve

The steam flow rate through the valve is expressed as:

$$W_{ESTM} = \rho_{ESTM} K_{P} S_{P} \sqrt{P_{ESTM}^{-P}}$$
 (26)

where, ρ_{ESTM} = density of steam at the control valve = pressure control valve

P constant

PESTM = pressure upstream of pressure control valve

Level Control

Deaerator level control is achieved by modulating the condensate flow into the deaerator. Due to the large size of the deaerator storage tank, level fluctuations are slow. This is ideal for digital control because the requirements on the rate of sampling is fairly relaxed.

A three-element PI algorithm for level control may be expressed as:

$$Y_{L} = K_{CL1} \left[1 + \frac{1}{\tau_{IL1}s} \right] E_{L}$$

$$+ K_{CL2} \left[1 + \frac{1}{\tau_{IL2}s} \right] E_{F}$$
(27)

where, E_L = $\ell_{SET} - \ell$ E_F = $W_{FDW} - W_{CON}$ ℓ_{CFD} = level set point:

Y_L = normalized control signal to level control valve

and other symbols are defined as in equation (22).

The equivalent digital algorithms are:
Position Algorithm:

$$Y_{L} = K_{CL,1}E_{L}(N) + K_{CL,2}E_{F}(N) + I_{L}(N)$$
 (28a)

$$I_L(N) = I_L(N-1) + \frac{K_{CLl}^T SL}{\tau_{ILl}} E_L(N)$$

$$+ \frac{K_{\text{CL2}}^{\text{T}}_{\text{SL}}}{\tau_{\text{IL2}}} E_{\text{F}}(N)$$
 (28b)

where T_{SL} is the sampling time for level control.

Velocity Algorithm:

$$Y_{L}(N) = Y_{L}(N-1) + \Delta Y_{L}(N)$$
 (29a)

$$\Delta Y_{L}(N) = K_{CL1} \left[E_{L}(N) - E_{L}(N-1) \right]$$

$$+ K_{CL2} \left[E_{F}(N) - E_{F}(N-1) \right]$$

$$+ K_{CL1} \frac{T_{SL}}{\tau_{IL1}} E_{L}(N)$$

+
$$K_{CL2} \frac{T_{SL}}{\tau_{IL2}} E_F(N)$$
 (29b)

The response of the level control valve and the condensate flow rate are determined by equations similar to equations (25) and (26).

At Bruce and future Generating Stations, deaerator level control valves are protected from cavitation by being located upstream of the L.P. heaters. Taking into account the dynamics along the piping from the level control valves to the deaerator:

$$\frac{dw_{CON}}{dt} = \frac{1}{PIPE_{I}} \left[P_{UP} - \left(\frac{w_{CON}}{\rho_{CON} K_{L} S_{L}} \right)^{2} - P - P_{HEAD} - PIPE_{R} w_{CON} \right]$$
(30)

where, ρ_{CON} = density of condensate at the control valve

K_L = level control valve constant

S_L = lift of level control valve