

Faraday Discussions 143

This volume focuses on recent advances in structuring soft materials at the nanoscale and the incorporation of such materials into actual devices.

Biological cells are the ultimate example of 'soft machines', which rely on the operation of complex molecular machines made of organic matter. It is clear that man-made attempts to emulate biological systems are nowhere near the same level of complexity.

Soft nanotechnology aims to build on our knowledge of biological systems, by implementing self-assembly and 'wet chemistry' into electronic devices, actuators, fluidics, etc. Understanding, predicting and utilising the rules of self-assembly (be it at solid/liquid interfaces, in solution, or in block copolymers) and interface the resulting complex structures in well-defined 2D and 3D arrangements.

As we are approaching the limits of Moore's law the demand for more advanced and sophisticated polymers suitable for patterning at the nanoscale, as much as the need for new materials compatible with alternative patterning, can only be satisfied if we understand the fundamental nature of self-assembly and self-organization of organic materials in this context.

In this volume the topics covered include:

- New effects when patterning soft materials at the nanoscale.
- How these effects can be understood and exploited.
- The limitations in feature shape, size, complexity and function which are accessible via direct patterning and self-assembly.
- Progress being made into fabricating/assembling devices based on such structured polymers. Can we ever rival silicon devices or cellular function?
- Applications of cold molecules

Faraday Discussions

Vol 143

Faraday Discussions documents a long-established series of Faraday Discussion meetings which provide a unique international forum for the exchange of views and newly acquired results in developing areas of physical chemistry, biophysical chemistry and chemical physics.

The papers presented are published in the Faraday Discussion volume together with a record of the discussion contributions made at the meeting. Faraday Discussions therefore provide an important record of current international knowledge and views in the field concerned.


ISBN 978-1-84755-838-1



RSC Publishing

www.rsc.org/books

Soft Nanotechnology



RSC Publishing

Soft Nanotechnology

The Royal Society, London, UK
15–17 June 2009

TB383-53
SG81
2009



E2010000948



FARADAY DISCUSSIONS

Volume 143, 2009

RSC Publishing

The Faraday Division of the Royal Society of Chemistry, previously the Faraday Society, founded in 1903 to promote the study of sciences lying between Chemistry, Physics and Biology.

EDITORIAL STAFF

Editor

Philip Earis

Assistant editor

Anna Roffey

Publishing assistant

Kate Bando

Team leader, Informatics

Elinor Richards

Technical editor

Christina Hodgkinson

Publisher

Janet Dean

Faraday Discussions (Print ISSN 1359-6640, Electronic ISSN 1364-5498) is published 4 times a year by the Royal Society of Chemistry, Thomas Graham House, Science Park, Milton Road, Cambridge, UK CB4 0WF. Volume 143 ISBN-13: 978 1 84755 8381

2009 annual subscription price: print+electronic £592, US \$1,160; electronic only £533, US \$1045. Customers in Canada will be subject to a surcharge to cover GST. Customers in the EU subscribing to the electronic version only will be charged VAT. All orders, with cheques made payable to the Royal Society of Chemistry, should be sent to RSC Distribution Services, c/o Portland Customer Services, Commerce Way, Colchester, Essex, UK CO2 8HP. Tel +44 (0) 1206 226050; E-mail sales@rscdistribution.org

If you take an institutional subscription to any RSC journal you are entitled to free, site-wide web access to that journal. You can arrange access *via* Internet Protocol (IP) address at www.rsc.org/ip. Customers should make payments by cheque in sterling payable on a UK clearing bank or in US dollars payable on a US clearing bank. Periodicals postage is paid at Rahway, NJ and at additional mailing offices. Airfreight and mailing in the USA by Mercury Airfreight International Ltd., 365 Blair Road, Avenel, NJ 07001, USA.

US Postmaster: send address changes to *Faraday Discussions*, c/o Mercury Airfreight International Ltd., 365 Blair Road, Avenel, NJ 07001. All despatches outside the UK by Consolidated Airfreight.

PRINTED IN THE UK

Faraday Discussions documents a long-established series of *Faraday Discussion* meetings which provide a unique international forum for the exchange of views and newly acquired results in developing areas of physical chemistry, biophysical chemistry and chemical physics.

ORGANISING COMMITTEE, Volume 143

Chair

Professor Wilhelm Huck (University of Cambridge, UK)
(Co-chair)

Dr Joachim Steinke (Imperial College London, UK)
(Co-chair)

Professor Ullrich Steiner (University of Cambridge, UK)
Professor Tony Cass (Imperial College London, UK)
Professor Ulrich Wiesner (Cornell University, USA)
Professor Dr David Reinhoudt (University of Twente, The Netherlands)

FARADAY STANDING COMMITTEE ON CONFERENCES

Chair

D E Heard (Leeds, UK)

W A Brown (UCL, UK)
H M Colquhoun (Bath, UK)
G Jackson (Imperial, UK)
A Rodger (Warwick, UK)

© The Royal Society of Chemistry 2009. Apart from fair dealing for the purposes of research or private study, or criticism or review, as permitted under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 and Related Rights Regulations 2003, this publication may only be reproduced, stored or transmitted, in any form or by any means, with the prior permission in writing of the Publishers or in the case of reprographic reproduction in accordance with the terms of licences issued by the Copyright Licensing Agency in the UK. US copyright law applicable to users in the USA. The Royal Society of Chemistry takes reasonable care in the preparation of this publication but does not accept liability for the consequences of any errors or omissions.

Royal Society of Chemistry: Registered Charity No. 207890.

☞The paper used in this publication meets the requirements of ANSI/NISO Z39.48-1992 (Permanence of Paper).

Soft Nanotechnology

Faraday Discussions


www.rsc.org/faraday_d

A General Discussion on Soft Nanotechnology was held at The Royal Society, London, UK on 15th, 16th and 17th June 2009.

RSC Publishing is a not-for-profit publisher and a division of the Royal Society of Chemistry. Any surplus made is used to support charitable activities aimed at advancing the chemical sciences. Full details are available from www.rsc.org

CONTENTS

ISSN 1359-6640; ISBN 978-1-84755-838-1



Cover

See Fery, Böker *et al.*, *Faraday Discuss.*, 2009, **143**, 143–150.

The cover image shows a schematic of the deposition of TMV nanoparticles on a wrinkled PDMS/silicon oxide surface (foreground, not to scale). The background displays a 3D scanning force microscopy image of the virus particles forming an array of TMV-lines in the grooves of the wrinkles.

Image reproduced by permission of Professor Dr Alexander Böker, from *Faraday Discuss.*, 2009, **143**, 143.

INTRODUCTORY LECTURE

- 9 **Challenges in soft nanotechnology**
Richard A. L. Jones


PAPERS AND DISCUSSIONS

- 15 **Chemo and phototactic nano/microbots**
Ayusman Sen, Michael Ibele, Yiyang Hong and Darrell Velegol
- 29 **The efficiency of encapsulation within surface rehydrated polymersomes**
A. J. Parnell, N. Tzokova, P. D. Topham, D. J. Adams, S. Adams, C. M. Fernyhough, A. J. Ryan and R. A. L. Jones
- 47 **Molecular control of ionic conduction in polymer nanopores**
Eduardo R. Cruz-Chu, Thorsten Ritz, Zuzanna S. Siwy and Klaus Schulten
- 63 **Nanomechanics of organic/inorganic interfaces: a theoretical insight**
Maria L. Sushko

Enjoyed this discussion? How about some others...

Faraday Discussions provide a unique discussion forum for original research in physical chemistry, chemical physics and biophysical chemistry.

Previous volumes of interest include:



**The Importance of Polymer Science for Biological Systems
Faraday Discussion 139**

This volume focuses on polymer science and its role in understanding how biological systems behave. So many of the key molecules in biological systems are polymers; proteins, polysaccharides and nucleic acids. Their long chain behaviour is a crucial contributing factor to their function in living systems.

**Nanoalloys: From Theory to Application
Faraday Discussions 138**

This volume focuses on nanoalloys, which have lately been of increasing interest for a number of reasons. One of the major reasons is the fact that their chemical and physical properties can be tuned by varying the composition and atomic ordering, as well as the size of the clusters.

**Molecular Wires and Nanoscale Conductors
Faraday Discussions 131**

This volume concentrates on the measurement, synthesis, assembly and manipulation of molecular wires and nanostructures. It incorporates the assembly and use of molecules into nanostructures (nanoparticles, quantum dots, catenanes, conducting oligomers, DNA) for electronic switching.

To view the full contents and, where available, purchase an article or a volume visit the website.

RSC Publishing

www.rsc.org/faraday

Registered Charity Number 207890

- 81 **General discussion**
- 95 **Solid state nanofibers based on self-assemblies: from cleaving from self-assemblies to multilevel hierarchical constructs**
Olli Ikkala, Robin H. A. Ras, Nikolay Houbenov, Janne Ruokolainen, Marjo Pääkkö, Janne Laine, Markku Leskelä, Lars A. Berglund, Tom Lindström, Gerrit ten Brinke, Hermis Iatrou, Nikos Hadjichristidis and Charl F. J. Faul
- 109 **Nanoparticles at electrified liquid–liquid interfaces: new options for electro-optics**
M. E. Flatté, A. A. Kornyshev and M. Urbakh
- 117 **Free-standing porous supramolecular assemblies of nanoparticles made using a double-templating strategy**
Xing Yi Ling, In Yee Phang, David N. Reinhoudt, G. Julius Vancso and Jurriaan Huskens
- 129 **Polymer crystallization under nano-confinement of droplets studied by molecular simulations**
Wenbing Hu, Tao Cai, Yu Ma, Jamie K. Hobbs, O. Farrance and Günter Reiter
- 143 **Nanostructured wrinkled surfaces for templating bionanoparticles—controlling and quantifying the degree of order**
Anne Horn, Heiko G. Schoberth, Stephanie Hiltl, Arnaud Chiche, Qian Wang, Alexandra Schweikart, Andreas Fery and Alexander Böker
- 151 **Silica nano-particle super-hydrophobic surfaces: the effects of surface morphology and trapped air pockets on hydrodynamic drainage forces**
Derek Y. C. Chan, Md. Hemayet Uddin, Kwun L. Cho, Irving I. Liaw, Robert N. Lamb, Geoffrey W. Stevens, Franz Grieser and Raymond R. Dagastine
- 169 **General discussion**
- 187 **Counterion-activated polyions as soft sensing systems in lipid bilayer membranes: from cell-penetrating peptides to DNA**
Toshihide Takeuchi, Naomi Sakai and Stefan Matile
- 205 **Recognition of sequence-information in synthetic copolymer chains by a conformationally-constrained tweezer molecule**
Howard M. Colquhoun, Zhixue Zhu, Christine J. Cardin, Michael G. B. Drew and Yu Gan
- 221 **DNA self-assembly: from 2D to 3D**
Chuan Zhang, Yu He, Min Su, Seung Hyeon Ko, Tao Ye, Yujun Leng, Xuping Sun, Alexander E. Ribbe, Wen Jiang and Chengde Mao
- 235 **Self-assembly of liquid crystal block copolymer PEG-*b*-smectic polymer in pure state and in dilute aqueous solution**
Bing Xu, Rafael Piñol, Merveille Nono-Djamen, Sandrine Pensec, Patrick Keller, Pierre-Antoine Albouy, Daniel Lévy and Min-Hui Li
- 251 **A novel self-healing supramolecular polymer system**
Stefano Burattini, Howard M. Colquhoun, Barnaby W. Greenland and Wayne Hayes
- 265 **General discussion**
- 277 **Quantitative approaches to defining normal and aberrant protein homeostasis**
Michele Vendruscolo and Christopher M. Dobson
- 293 **Evolving nanomaterials using enzyme-driven dynamic peptide libraries (eDPL)**
Apurba K. Das, Andrew R. Hirst and Rein V. Uljén
- 305 **Rational design of peptide-based building blocks for nanoscience and synthetic biology**
Craig T. Armstrong, Aimee L. Boyle, Elizabeth H. C. Bromley, Zahra N. Mahmoud, Lisa Smith, Andrew R. Thomson and Derek N. Woolfson

- 319 **The influence of viscosity on the functioning of molecular motors**
Martin Klok, Leon P. B. M. Janssen, Wesley R. Browne and Ben L. Feringa
- 335 **Template sol–gel synthesis of mesostructured silica composites using metal complexes bearing amphiphilic side chains: immobilization of a polymeric Pt complex formed by a metallophilic interaction**
Wataru Otani, Kazushi Kinbara and Takuzo Aida
- 345 **Self-assembled interpenetrating networks by orthogonal self assembly of surfactants and hydrogelators**
Aurelie M. Brizard, Marc C. A. Stuart and Jan H. van Esch
- 359 **General discussion**

CONCLUDING REMARKS

- 373 **Soft nanotechnology: “structure” vs. “function”**
George M. Whitesides and Darren J. Lipomi

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

- 385 **Poster titles**
- 389 **List of participants**
- 393 **Index of contributors**

Challenges in soft nanotechnology

Richard A. L. Jones*

Received 6th August 2009, Accepted 12th August 2009

First published as an Advance Article on the web 26th August 2009

DOI: 10.1039/b916271m

Introduction

Why “soft nanotechnology”? The word betrays its origins in the confluence of two ideas. The first is the emergence of soft condensed matter as an interdisciplinary area of physics, chemistry and materials science. The term “soft matter” is associated with the late Pierre Gilles de Gennes, as an umbrella term for all those states of matter—polymers, colloids, liquid crystals *etc.*—in which typical energies of interaction are comparable to thermal energies. The second idea is the notion of “nanotechnology” itself; this word is associated with the endeavour of making potentially useful structures and devices from components on the scale of atoms and molecules. Thus, in soft nanotechnology, we seek to use our knowledge of the behaviour of soft matter to make from such components useful nanostructures and nano-scale devices.

The relationship between soft nanotechnology and cell and molecular biology is important and should be stressed at the outset. The structures and mechanisms of cell biology present compelling proof from their existence that sophisticated, highly functional nano-scale devices are possible:^{1,2} cell biology is indeed nanotechnology that works. However, to understand the mechanisms of cell biology we need ideas and concepts from soft matter physics, together with an appreciation that biological systems possess a complexity not found in synthetic systems.

This suggests that there are two complementary ways of thinking about soft nanotechnology. On the one hand, we can ask what useful nano-scale constructs and devices can we make from the repertoire of components familiar from soft matter science—for example, polymers, amphiphiles, block copolymers, polymer brushes, colloidal particles, *etc.* On the other hand, we might also look at the functional features of living cells, and ask which of those features we might hope to emulate in synthetic systems.³

Whichever way one frames the challenges of soft nanotechnology, one has to appreciate the nature of the physical environment in which one is trying to operate. Assuming that we are operating in water or another liquid solvent, at around 300 K, the dominant feature will be Brownian motion. Thus transport will be essentially diffusive in character, and we expect extended objects such as polymer chains to show a high degree of conformational flexibility. At the nano-scale, strong surface forces will be the rule. The physics of these situations is characterised by Langevin equations; hydrodynamics is at very low Reynolds numbers and any charge interactions are likely to be strongly screened. A variety of forces of entropic origin will be in play, such as the entropic elasticity of polymer chains, Helfrich forces between fluctuating membranes, and the osmotic effects that underlie phenomena such as depletion forces. Together, these effects add up to an operating environment very different from anything encountered in macroscopic engineering, and this dictates the need to embrace entirely different design principles.

Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Sheffield, Sheffield, UK S3 7RH. E-mail: r.a.l.jones@sheffield.ac.uk; Fax: +44 (0)114 222 3555; Tel: +44 (0)114 222 9820

Some design principles of soft nanotechnology

One ubiquitous theme of soft nanotechnology is the importance of self-assembly as a powerful and scalable method of making nano-scale structures. Equilibrium self-assembly, exemplified by the complex phase diagrams of amphiphiles and block copolymers, is now well understood theoretically (at least in principle, though considerable practical difficulties may still stand in the way of calculating phase diagrams of complex systems). Some of the most elegant and powerful implementations of this principle are now to be found in the field of DNA nanotechnology, where the simplicity and tractability of the base-pair interaction allows complex structures in two and three dimensions to be designed and executed.⁴ Biological inspiration also lies beneath the increasing use of proteins and designed synthetic peptides to exploit the motifs of protein folding.⁵

Self-assembly can very usefully be thought of in terms of information. Equilibrium self-assembly is defined by the condition that all the information required to make the structure must be encoded in the molecules themselves. Many powerful variants of self-assembly relax this principle in various ways. Templating methods, precursor routes, layer-by-layer assembly, and combinations of self-assembly with top-down patterning, all use external interventions in different ways to impose extra information on the system, yielding considerable extra flexibility in terms of the kinds of structures that can be formed. As always, biology offers powerful models: one example is the way tough and insoluble collagen fibrils are formed from the hierarchical self-assembly and subsequent chemical modification of soluble pre-collagen precursors.

To be distinguished both from self-assembly at equilibrium, and in various conditions of restricted equilibrium, are a number of methods of forming nano-scale structures by various types of non-equilibrium pattern formation. These include the intricate structures formed in bio-mineralisation and its synthetic analogues by the interaction of growing crystals with adsorbing macromolecules, and structures that arise as a result of reaction-diffusion systems.⁶

Soft matter is characterised by weak interactions—interactions whose energy scale is comparable to that of thermal energy—and it is the shifting balance between different weak interactions in the face of subtle changes in external conditions that gives soft matter its characteristic mutability, leading to organisational and conformational changes in response to changes in the environment. In aqueous systems, hydrogen bonding plays a central role, both in its direct importance for molecular recognition, and more indirectly through the hydrophobic interaction. It is the subtle interplay of these interactions, together with screened charge interactions, which underlie the phenomenon of protein folding. For an example of a much simpler macromolecular conformational transition, which still illustrates the complexity of these kinds of problem, consider a responsive polyelectrolyte brush—a layer of weak poly-acid or poly-base molecules tethered by their ends to a planar surface, and immersed in an aqueous solution of controlled pH and ionic strength.⁷ In outline, the behaviour of such a system is simple to understand—a poly-acid brush in conditions of low pH will be un-ionised; the chains will be relatively hydrophobic and will tend to form a dense layer, collapsed close to the substrate. As the pH is increased, the ionisation equilibrium will shift, the chains will become charged and will stretch away from the surface to form a diffuse and extended layer. However, to account for this behaviour in detail is surprisingly complex; one has to take into account the screening of the charge interactions by the counter-ions, the osmotic pressure of those counter-ions, the entropic elasticity of the chains, all in the light of the fact that the degree of ionisation of the chains can vary spatially along the chain, as well as in a global way in response to the applied bulk solution conditions.⁸

Mimicking the features of cell biology

There are, of course, a huge variety of living cells in biology which between them display great diversity of structures and capabilities. A very incomplete list of the

sorts of features of cell biology one might, in nanotechnology, wish to emulate might begin with *containment*. Cells are defined by a membrane, which encloses an interior space in which chemical components and systems can be maintained out of equilibrium with the external environment. Containment cannot be complete, of course; the operations of the cell require that both energy and molecules can enter and exit the cell. This traffic must be selective and controlled. The simplest way of achieving some selectivity is by relying on the difference in diffusion coefficient through the membrane between molecules of different sizes and chemical types.

Much more sophisticated control of traffic is obtained by selective pores and mechanisms for active transport, as well as mechanisms such as endocytosis by which nanoscale objects are engulfed by invaginations of the membrane, often triggered by very specific molecular recognition events.

Within the cell, the contained chemical species are not merely inert cargo, but undertake a series of complex and linked chemical reactions, which together define the cell's metabolism. An important part of the metabolism is devoted to creating more of the molecules that form the components of the cell. This network of reactions needs a continuous source of free energy.

A living cell, then, is defined by constant flows of energy and matter. Flows of information are important, too; all but the most rudimentary organisms are able to detect aspects of their environment and respond to this. This response may take the form of modifications of their own metabolism (the classic example is the lac repressor), of modifications of the environment itself (for example, by the formation of a biofilm) or by the cell physically taking itself off to find a new and more suitable environment, if it is capable of autonomous motility. Typically such a response begins with a sensor molecule, using molecular recognition to detect a certain chemical species.

The response to an environmental cue is mediated by chemical signals, and the information carried by these signals is itself processed by other molecules. Bray pointed out some years ago⁹ that many proteins in cells seem to have as their purpose the processing of information rather than the catalysis of chemical reactions or to be structural components; the property of allostery means that an individual protein molecule can behave as a logic gate, with its catalytic activity being turned on or off by the binding of a regulatory molecule. Such logic gates can be linked together in networks—chemical circuits that can carry out computational tasks of some complexity in response to the original detection of one or more environmental signals.

What progress has been made in mimicking some of these features of cell biology? The prototype of a biomimetic containment system is the phospholipid vesicle, or liposome, which is now very well studied and used in practice. Analogues of liposomes made from amphiphilic block copolymers (polymersomes) have been attracting increasing interest recently.¹⁰ The variety of different chemistries available and the possibility of controlling the degree of polymerisation of the blocks make possible the rational design of polymersomes. For example, the wall thickness, and thus the permeability to molecular species of various sizes, is directly related to the degree of polymerisation of the hydrophobic block.^{11,12} This is another example of the way that the specification of objects built by self-assembly is encoded in the architecture of the component of molecules. An interesting feature of all types of vesicles is that, while self-assembly controls the thickness of the walls, there is not a strong selection mechanism for the overall size of the object itself. Thus self-assembly is not by itself sufficient for making a population of vesicles with controlled size distribution; this distribution depends on the details of the preparation technique and is often very broad. One way of achieving a narrow and controlled size distribution of polymersomes is to combine self-assembly with top-down patterning.¹³ A block copolymer film is cast onto a substrate with hydrophobic and oleophobic patches; this pattern is reproduced in the polymer film by dewetting. When the film is rehydrated, the surface area of each vesicle is set by the size of the patches on the patterned substrate.

As already mentioned, some degree of control of transport in and out of vesicles can be achieved by varying the thickness and the chemical properties of the wall. To go beyond this, one can envisage incorporating pores in the walls which it might be possible to open and close in response to chemical signals. An exemplar of this approach encapsulated a cell-free protein expression system derived from *Escherichia coli* within phospholipid vesicles incorporating pore-forming proteins.¹⁴ A wider variety of nano- and micro-scale enclosed reaction systems is reviewed in ref. 15.

Moving from metabolism to molecular information processing, some examples of synthetic molecular logic devices have been reported.¹⁶ These are likely to lead to new sensors of increasing sophistication. However, many of these systems are characterised by the fact that their output takes the form of a fluorescent signal, rather than a chemical signal. This limits the extent to which such logic elements could be built up into large-scale networks like the cell signalling networks of biology. Synthetic DNA-based systems currently seem to offer the best hope for building molecular logic systems from first principles.¹⁷

The particular problems of motility at the micro- and nano-scale stem from the special features of hydrodynamics at low Reynolds number, as emphasised in Purcell's classic paper.¹⁸ This emphasises the need to break time symmetry in order to achieve motion; recently a number of elegant theoretical papers have explored various ways of achieving this. The most interesting types of synthetic micro- and nano-scale motors will use chemical energy to drive directional motion, as biological motor proteins use the energy of ATP.¹⁹ One potential class of synthetic systems is built on the fascinating chemistry of catenanes and rotaxanes,²⁰ while exciting progress is being made demonstrating motors based on DNA,²¹ which provide a different implementation of basic idea underlying the operation of protein molecular motors—a coupling of the conformational change of a macromolecule with the catalysis of a chemical reaction by that macromolecule. The coupling of macromolecular conformational change with a cyclic chemical reaction also underlies experiments in which responsive polymers, such as weak polyelectrolytes, change shape in response to a cyclic chemical reaction.^{22,23} In these systems, however, the coupling between the chemical reaction and the conformational change is only indirect in contrast to both the DNA-based systems and biological motors.

One other class of systems that can convert chemical energy into the mechanical motion of micro-scale objects relies on a phoretic response to a self-generated chemical gradient that arises from an asymmetrically localised chemical reaction.^{24–26} The mechanisms of this motion may be electrophoretic or diffusiophoretic in character; in the case of motion driven by self-diffusiophoresis there is some theoretical understanding which is at least consistent with experimental data.²⁷ These autophoretic motions result in the propulsion of a particle at a velocity which depends on the rate at which reaction products are generated, but it is important to recall that this process takes place in a Brownian environment, in which the orientation of the particle randomly changes over a rotational diffusion time which has a strong dependence on particle radius. This means that if one characterises the motion of such particles, one sees a cross-over in the type of motion.²⁶ At short times, transport is ballistic, but at the rotational diffusion time there is a crossover to diffusive transport, resulting from a random walk with a step size proportional to the product of the propulsion velocity and a rotational diffusion time, with an effective diffusion coefficient that is substantially enhanced over the classical Stokes–Einstein value. The degree of this enhancement, and the length of the window of time in which ballistic behaviour is observed, depends strongly on the size of the particle. Thus to use these mechanisms for particles whose size starts to fall below the micro- to the nano-scale will require chemical reactions that generate products at a considerably higher rate than the reactions which have been studied so far. The other great challenge is to achieve some degree of directionality and purpose to the motion. Mimicking the ability of some bacteria to undergo chemotaxis, for example, poses an attractive target for which some progress has already been reported.²⁸

What soft nanotechnology can and cannot now do

One way in which the comparison between soft nanotechnology and the structures and mechanisms of cell biology is helpful, though sobering, is that it emphasises the gulf between what must be possible in principle, as demonstrated by the example of biology, and what we can actually do.

The use of self-assembly in its various forms to generate useful and interesting nanostructures is now well developed, backed by considerable theoretical understanding and a growing set of design rules. There is progress towards designing nano- and micro-scale encapsulating systems, while the principles of using conformational change and osmotic effects such as phoresis to generate motility are beginning to be explored. However, the development of analogues of biological systems for chemical sensing and information processing has only just begun. A number of fundamental theoretical and practical issues in soft nanotechnology remain to be addressed; the theoretical basis for understanding small systems driven far from equilibrium remains underdeveloped. It seems likely that the design of complex bio-mimetic nano-systems will require the use of evolutionary design methods, given the size of the configuration spaces that need to be explored. Finally, the intricate mechanisms that underlie the ability of biological systems to self-replicate seem, currently, to be quite out of reach to any synthetic system.

To conclude, it is worth reflecting on the “technology” aspects of soft nanotechnology—those areas of potential application that will drive the development of some of these ideas to become the basis of useful products. The science of soft matter originally found its applications in the chemical industry, and in applications such as home and personal care. In these areas, the scalability of self-assembly is what makes it possible to contemplate what is really quite sophisticated control of nanostructure, and in some cases a degree of environmental responsiveness, in products that are sold at very low cost. Higher margins are possible for materials that are used in information technology, and we are seeing the use of self-assembling structures in materials like high-performance dielectrics and materials for information storage. The drive to decarbonise our energy economies will put a premium on being able to make scalable and cheap nanostructures for applications such as batteries, fuel cells and new photovoltaic materials. That said, one of the most compelling answers to the question “why nano?” must take us back again to biology. The most basic operations of cell biology take place at the nano-scale, so in one sense the nano-scale is the most appropriate length scale for intervening in biology—this is the fundamental motivation for the idea of nanomedicine. Thus we can expect to see the most compelling applications of soft nanotechnology in medicine. We are already seeing applications in areas such as drug delivery, regenerative medicine and sensors and diagnostics. However, one should not underestimate the difficulties, and the timescales over which the applications of some of these ideas will come to full fruition may be long.

Acknowledgements

It is a pleasure to acknowledge the many contributions of my colleagues at Sheffield, in particular Tony Ryan, Ramin Golestanian, Mark Geoghegan, Jon Howse, Andy Parnell, Steve Armes, and Beppe Battaglia, to the development of the ideas explored in this article.

References

- 1 R. A. L. Jones, *Soft Machines: nanotechnology and life*, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2004.
- 2 D. S. Goodsell, *Bionanotechnology: lessons from nature*, Wiley-Liss, Hoboken, NJ, 2004.
- 3 S. Mann, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2008, **47**, 5306–5320.

- 4 N. C. Seeman and A. M. Belcher, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2002, **99**, 6451–6455.
- 5 E. H. Bromley, K. Channon, E. Moutevelis and D. N. Woolfson, *ACS Chem. Biol.*, 2008, **3**, 38–50.
- 6 B. Grzybowski, K. Bishop, C. Campbell, M. Fialkowski and S. Smoukov, *Soft Matter*, 2005, **1**, 114.
- 7 A. J. Parnell, S. J. Martin, R. A. L. Jones, C. Vasilev, C. J. Crook and A. J. Ryan, *Soft Matter*, 2009, **5**, 296.
- 8 E. B. Zhulina, T. M. Birshtein and O. V. Borisov, *Macromolecules*, 1995, **28**, 1491.
- 9 D. Bray, *Nature*, 1995, **376**, 307–312.
- 10 D. E. Discher and A. Eisenberg, *Science*, 2002, **297**, 967–973.
- 11 G. Battaglia and A. J. Ryan, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2005, **127**, 8757–8764.
- 12 G. Battaglia, A. J. Ryan and S. Tomas, *Langmuir*, 2006, **22**, 4910–4913.
- 13 J. R. Howse, R. A. L. Jones, G. Battaglia, R. E. Ducker, G. J. Leggett and A. J. Ryan, *Nat. Mater.*, 2009, **8**, 507–511.
- 14 V. Noireaux and A. Libchaber, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2004, **101**, 17669–17674.
- 15 D. Vriezema, M. Aragones, J. Elemans, J. Cornelissen, A. Rowan and R. Nolte, *Chem. Rev.*, 2005, **105**, 1445–1489.
- 16 A. P. de Silva and S. Uchiyama, *Nat. Nanotechnol.*, 2007, **2**, 399–410.
- 17 G. Seelig, D. Soloveichik, D. Y. Zhang and E. Winfree, *Science*, 2006, **314**, 1585–1588.
- 18 E. M. Purcell, *Am. J. Phys.*, 1977, **45**, 3.
- 19 R. D. Vale and R. A. Milligan, *Science*, 2000, **288**, 88–95.
- 20 E. R. Kay, D. A. Leigh and F. Zerbetto, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2007, **46**, 72–191.
- 21 J. Bath and A. J. Turberfield, *Nat. Nanotechnol.*, 2007, **2**, 275–284.
- 22 R. Yoshida, T. Takahashi, T. Yamaguchi and H. Ichijo, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1996, **118**, 5134–5135.
- 23 J. R. Howse, P. Topham, C. J. Crook, A. J. Gleeson, W. Bras, R. A. L. Jones and A. J. Ryan, *Nano Lett.*, 2006, **6**, 73–77.
- 24 W. F. Paxton, S. Sundararajan, T. E. Mallouk and A. Sen, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2006, **45**, 5420–5429.
- 25 W. F. Paxton, A. Sen and T. E. Mallouk, *Chem.–Eur. J.*, 2005, **11**, 6462–6470.
- 26 J. R. Howse, R. A. L. Jones, A. J. Ryan, T. Gough, R. Vafabakhsh and R. Golestanian, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2007, **99**, 048102.
- 27 R. Golestanian, T. B. Liverpool and A. Ajdari, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2005, **94**, 220801.
- 28 Y. Hong, N. M. K. Blackman, N. D. Kopp, A. Sen and D. Velegol, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2007, **99**, 178103.

Chemo and phototactic nano/microbots

Ayusman Sen,^{*a} Michael Ibele,^a Yiyang Hong^a and Darrell Velegol^{*b}

Received 16th January 2009, Accepted 23rd March 2009

First published as an Advance Article on the web 21st July 2009

DOI: 10.1039/b900971j

One of the more interesting recent discoveries has been the ability to design nano/microparticles which catalytically harness the chemical energy in their environments to move autonomously. These “nanomotors” can be directed by externally applied magnetic fields, or optical and chemical gradients. Our group has now developed two systems in which chemical secretions from the translating micro/nanomotors initiate long-range, collective interactions among the particles *via* self-diffusiophoresis. Herein, we discuss two different approaches to model the complex emergent behavior of these particles, the first being a qualitative probability-based model with wide applicability, and the second being a more quantitative Brownian dynamics simulation specific to the self-diffusiophoretic phenomenon.

1 Introduction

One of the grand challenges in science is: *How can we master energy and information on the nano/microscale to create new technologies with capabilities rivaling those of living things?* We have initiated a study of emergent behavior and the consequent formation of organized material assemblies by using catalytic nano/micromotors.^{1–7} Catalytic motors are a novel class of nano- and microscale materials that convert chemical to mechanical energy. Their collective behavior offers a means of using the free energy of chemical fuels to fabricate organized systems of particles. They may thus offer a route to functional assemblies that cannot be accessed by other means. Individually the motors move in a *random* direction according to physics that has been well-established by our groups.^{3,8–10} But *collectively*, the motors give complex behaviors similar to the chemotaxis, phototaxis, or predator–prey phenomena normally seen only in biological systems.⁹

The construction of functional nano/microbots capable of emergent collective behavior requires the following design elements: (1) autonomous movement through catalysis; (2) control of directionality through chemical gradients; and (3) inter-motor communication *via* chemical signals. As discussed below, we have “proof of principle” examples of each of the above design elements, both separately and in combination.

2 Background

Several breakthroughs from our laboratory make the design of micro/nanobots based on catalytic motors possible. The first of these advances is our ability to fabricate catalytically active multi-metallic rods or aggregates. We have demonstrated that platinum/gold rods (2 μm length, 300–500 nm diameter) can “swim” by self-electrophoresis up to 20 $\mu\text{m s}^{-1}$.^{1,3} Outside of biological systems, this was the first

^aDepartments of Chemistry, The Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA, 16802, USA. E-mail: asen@psu.edu

^bChemical Engineering, The Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA, 16802, USA. E-mail: velegol@psu.edu




Fig. 1 Schematic illustrating self-electrophoresis. Hydrogen peroxide is oxidized to generate protons in solution and electrons in the wire on the platinum end. The protons and electrons are then consumed with the reduction of H_2O_2 on the gold end. The resulting ion flux induces an electric field and motion of the particle relative to the fluid, propelling the particle towards the platinum end with respect to the fluid.³

example of microscale objects moving by catalysis. They do this by catalyzing the decomposition of hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2) (Fig. 1), and the rods move along their axis with platinum end forward, as our electrokinetic model predicts.^{3,8,10,11} Several important capabilities have been added to the basic auto-mobile device. Among these are (1) steering; (2) the ability to carry cargo; (3) chemotaxis; and (4) collective behavior.

Steering of catalytic nanomotors

“Steering” capability is added by incorporating nickel (magnetic) stripes. The nanomotors can thus be “remote-controlled” by weak magnetic fields.¹²

We further demonstrated control over catalytic motors by designing platinum/gold structures with different geometries, such as “microgears” that rotate in hydrogen peroxide solutions (Fig. 2).¹³ We have also expanded our work to include immobilized catalyst systems that can be used as micropumps. These silver/gold pumps (Fig. 3) are capable of “pumping” tracer particles due to the electrochemical decomposition of hydrogen peroxide (or other redox fuels¹⁴) on the bimetallic surface.^{3,8,10}

Cargo-carrying catalytic nanomotors

We were able to attach a prototypical cargo: polystyrene microspheres to platinum/gold nanomotors, which can then be transported (Fig. 4).¹⁵ Assuming




Fig. 2 100 μm diameter gold “microgears” with platinum “teeth” can rotate $\sim 360^\circ \text{ s}^{-1}$ in aqueous hydrogen peroxide systems.¹³