Mappings of Operator Algebras

Huzihiro Araki Richard V. Kadison Editors

Birkhäuser

M = 2979261905

Mappings of Operator Algebras

Proceedings of the Japan–U.S. Joint Seminar, University of Pennsylvania, 1988

> Edited by Huzihiro Araki Richard V. Kadison





1990

Birkhäuser Boston · Basel · Berlin Huzihiro Araki Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences Kyoto University Kyoto, Japan Richard V. Kadison Department of Mathematics University of Pennsylvania Philadelphia, Pennsylvania U.S.A.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data
Mappings of operator algebras: proceedings of the Japan-U.S. joint
seminar. University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, May
23-27, 1988 / Huzihiro Araki, Richard V. Kadison [editors].

p. cm. — (Progress in mathematics; vol. 84) Proceedings of the U.S.-Japan Joint Seminar on Operator Algebras. ISBN 0-8176-3476-2

1. Operator algebras—Congresses. 2. Mappings (Mathematics)—Congresses. I. Araki, Huzihero, 1932— II. Kadison, Richard V., 1925— III. Japan-U.S. Joint Seminar on Operator Algebras (1988: University of Pennsylvania) IV. Series: Progress in mathematics (Boston, Mass.); vol. 84.

QA326.M36 1990 512'.55—dc20

90-35185

Printed on acid-free paper.

© Birkhäuser Boston, 1990

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without prior permission of the copyright owner.

Permission to photocopy for internal or personal use, or the internal or personal use of specific clients, is granted by Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., for libraries and other users registered with the Copyright Clearance Center (CCC), provided that the base fee of \$0.00 per copy, plus \$0.20 per page is paid directly to CCC, 21 Congress Street, Salem, MA 01970, U.S.A. Special requests should be addressed directly to Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., 675 Massachusetts Avenue, Cambridge, MA 02139, U.S.A.

ISBN 0-8176-3476-2 ISBN 3-7643-3476-2

Camera-ready copy prepared by the authors and the publishers. Printed and bound by Edwards Brothers, Inc., Ann Arbor, Michigan. Printed in the U.S.A.

987654321

d()01 - . 4

Progress in Mathematics

Volume 84

Series Editors J. Oesterlé

A. Weinstein



Shôichirô Sakai

This is dedicated to Shôichirô Sakai, for his brilliant solutions to many of the key problems in the theory of operator algebras that gave us the means and the courage to move ahead and for his inspired leadership in the development of the crucially important theory of unbounded derivations.

With Gratitude and Respect from the Participants of the U.S.-Japan Joint Seminar on Mappings of Operator Algebras "The results which we shall obtain throw light on an entirely new side of operator theory."

F.J. Murray and J. von Neumann, 1936

Preface

This volume consists of articles contributed by participants at the fourth Japan–U.S. Joint Seminar on Operator Algebras. The seminar took place at the University of Pennsylvania from May 23 through May 27, 1988 under the auspices of the Mathematics Department. It was sponsored and supported by the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science and the National Science Foundation (USA). This sponsorship and support is acknowledged with gratitude.

The seminar was devoted to discussions and lectures on results and problems concerning mappings of operator algebras (C^* -and von Neumann algebras). Among the articles contained in these proceedings, there are papers dealing with actions of groups on C^* algebras, completely bounded mappings, index and subfactor theory, and derivations of operator algebras.

The seminar was held in honor of the sixtieth birthday of Shôichirô Sakai, one of the great leaders of Functional Analysis for many decades. This volume is dedicated to Professor Sakai, on the occasion of that birthday, with the respect and admiration of all the contributors and the participants at the seminar

H. Araki Kyoto, Japan

R. Kadison Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA

Contents

Preface	vii
On Convex Combinations of Unitary Operators in C*-Algebras UFFE HAAGERUP.	1
Approximately Inner Derivations, Decompositions and Vector Fields of Simple C*-Algebras PALLE JORGENSEN	15
Derivations in Commutative C*-Algebras H. KUROSE	115
Representation of Quantum Groups TETSUYA MASUDA, KATSUHISA MIMACHI, YOSHIOMI NAKAGAMI, MASATOSHI NOUMI and KIMIO UENO	119
Automorphism Groups and Covariant Irreducible Representations AKITAKA KISHIMOTO	129
Proper Actions of Groups on C*-Algebras MARC A. RIEFFEL	141
On the Baum-Connes Conjecture HIROSHI TAKAI	183
On Primitive Ideal Spaces of C*-Algebras over Certain Locally Compact Groupoids SHIGERU YAMAGAMI	199
On Sequences of Jones' Projections MARIE CHODA	205

x Contents

The Powers' Binary Shifts on the Hyperfinite Factor of Type II ₁	
MASATOSHI ENOMOTO	219
Index Theory for Type III Factors HIDEKI KOSAKI	227
Relative Entropy of a Fixed Point Algebra SATOSHI KAWAKAMI	233
Jones Index Theory for C*-Algebras YASUO WATATANI	239
Three Tensor Norms for Operator Spaces VERN I. PAULSEN	251
Extension Problems for Maps on Operator Systems R.R. SMITH	265
Multivariable Toeplitz Operators and Index Theory HARALD UPMEIER	275
On Maximality of Analytic Subalgebras Associated with Flow in von Neumann Algebras KICHI-SUKE SAITO	289
Reflections Relating a von Neumann Algebra and Its Commutant RICHARD V. KADISON	295
Normal AW*-Algebras KAZUYUKI SAITÔ and J.D.M. WRIGHT	305

On Convex Combinations of Unitary Operators in C*-Algebras

UFFE HAAGERUP

1. INTRODUCTION

Let A be a unital C*-algebra. In [1], Gardner proved that if $x \in A$ and ||x|| < 1, then

$$x + U(A) \subseteq U(A) + U(A),$$

where U(A) is the set of unitaries in A. Kadison and Pedersen discovered in [2] that this inclusion together with a simple inductive argument led to the following result:

Let $\,n\in \mathbb{N}\,\,,\, n\geq 3$. If $\,y\in A\,\,$ and $\,\|y\|\,<1-\frac{2}{n}\,,$ then $\,y\,$ is of the form

$$y = \frac{1}{n}(u_1 + ... + u_n), u_i \in U(A),$$

i.e. y is a mean of n unitaries in A. The main result of this paper is

Theorem

Let A be a unital C*-algebra and let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \ge 3$. If $y \in A$ and $\|y\| \le 1 - \frac{2}{n}$, then

$$y = \frac{1}{n}(u_1 + \dots + u_n)$$

for some $u_1,...,u_n \in U(A)$.

2 U. HAAGERUP

This settles a conjecture of Olsen and Pedersen in [3]. The result is best possible for general C^* -algebras, because if y is a scalar multiple of a non-unitary isometry in a C^* -algebra A and $\|y\| > 1 - \frac{2}{n}$, then y is not the mean of n unitaries in A (cf. [2]). For special C^* -algebras much stronger results hold. Rørdam proved in [5] that if the invertible operators are dense in A, then every operator x in the closed unit ball of A is the mean of n unitaries in A for any $n \ge 3$.

The above Theorem is well known and easy to prove for n=4, and it was proved for n=3 in [3]. Our proof of the general case is obtained by proving the following analogue of Gardner's inclusion:

If $x \in A$ and $||x|| \le 1$, then

$$x + 2P \subseteq U(A) + 2P$$

where $\,P = \{uh \ | \ u \in U(A) \ , \, h \in A_{+} \ , \, \|h\| \leq 1 \} \ .$

In the last section of the paper we study the case n=3 more closely. It is proved that for n=3, u_1 , u_2 and u_3 can be chosen, such that the spectra of $u_1^*u_2$, $u_2^*u_3$ and $u_3^*u_1$ are contained in the semicircle $\{e^{\mathbf{i}\nu}|0\le\nu\le\pi\}$. Moreover, if $\|y\|<\frac{1}{3}$, then $u_1\in U(A)$ can be chosen freely and u_2,u_3 are then uniquely determined by the spectral conditions above. This implies that for $x\in A$, $\|x\|<1$, there is a homeomorphism Φ_x of U(A), such that $\Phi_x^3=\mathrm{id}$ and

$$x = u + \Phi_x(u) + \Phi_x^2(u)$$

for all $u \in U(A)$.

We wish to thank Gert K. Pedersen for suggesting a major simplification of the proof of the spectral conditions in the key lemma (Lemma 1), which reduced the length of the proof from 8 to 2 manuscript pages.

2. THE MAIN RESULT

Lemma 1

Let A be a unital C*-algebra. Let $x, h \in A ||x|| \le 1, ||h|| \le 1, h \ge 0$. Then

$$x + 2h = u_1 + u_2 + u_3$$

where $u_1,u_2,u_3\in U(A)$ and $sp(u_1^*u_2),\ sp(u_2^*u_3)$ are both contained in $\{e^{i\nu}|-\frac{\pi}{2}\leq\nu\leq\pi\}.$

Proof. Write x = a + ib, where $a,b \in A_{sa}$. Then

$$a^2 + b^2 = \frac{1}{2}(x^*x + xx^*) \le 1.$$

Particularly $\|a\| \le 1$ and $\|b\| \le 1$. By [4, Prop. 1.3.8] the function $t \to t^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is operator monotone on $[0,\infty[$. Therefore

$$|a| \le (1-b^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
.

Hence

$$-(1-b^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \le a \le (1-b^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

Put

$$c = \frac{1}{2}(2h + a - (1 - b^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}).$$

Then

$$h - (1 - b^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \le c \le h.$$

Particularly $||c|| \le 1$, and $c + (1 - b^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \ge 0$.

Put

$$\begin{split} u_1 &= c - i (1 - c^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ u_2 &= (1 - b^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} + i b \\ u_3 &= c + i (1 - c^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \,. \end{split}$$

Then $u_1,u_2,u_3 \in U(A)$ and

$$u_1 + u_2 + u_3 = x + 2h$$
.

(For h = 0, this choice of u_1, u_2, u_3 was used in the proof of [3, Thm. 4.3]).

To prove the conditions on the spectra of $u_1^*u_2$ and $u_2^*u_3$ stated in the lemma, we have to prove that if $\lambda = \cos\theta + i\sin\theta$, $0 < \theta < \frac{\pi}{2}$, then

$$-\lambda \notin \operatorname{sp}(\mathbf{u}_1^*\mathbf{u}_2)$$
 and $-\lambda \notin \operatorname{sp}(\mathbf{u}_2^*\mathbf{u}_3)$.

Let λ be as above and assume that $-\lambda \in \operatorname{sp}(\operatorname{u}_1^*\operatorname{u}_2)$. Then for some faithful representation of A on a Hilbert space H, there is a unit vector $\xi \in H$ for which

$$\mathbf{u}_{1}^{*}\mathbf{u}_{2}\xi = (-\lambda)\xi.$$

(cf. [4, 4.3.10]). Thus $(u_2 + \lambda u_1)\xi = 0$. Let φ be the vector state on A given by ξ . Then $\varphi(u_2 + \lambda u_1) = 0$, or equivalently

$$\varphi((1-b^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} + ib + (\cos\theta + i\sin\theta)(c - i(1-c^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}) = 0.$$

By considering the real part, we get:

$$\varphi((1-b^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \cos\theta c + \sin\theta (1-c^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}) = 0.$$

By rewriting the equation in the form

$$\cos\theta \varphi((1-b^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} + c) + (1-\cos\theta)\varphi((1-b^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}) + \sin\theta \varphi((1-c^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}) = 0$$

and using $(1-b^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} + c \ge 0$, $(1-b^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \ge 0$, $(1-c^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \ge 0$, $0 < \theta < \frac{\pi}{2}$ one gets

$$\varphi((1-b^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} + c) = \varphi((1-b^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}) = \varphi((1-c^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}) = 0.$$

If $(s\xi,\xi)=0$ for some positive operator $s\in B(H)$, then also $s\xi=0$. Therefore

$$((1-b^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} + c)\xi = (1-b^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}\xi = (1-c^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}\xi = 0.$$

Particularly $c\xi = (1-c^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}\xi = 0$. This is a contradiction, because

$$\|c\xi\|^2 + \|(1-c^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}\xi\|^2 = 1.$$

The spectral condition on u₂u₃ can be proved as above by using that

$$sp(u_2^*u_3) = sp(u_3u_2^*).$$

Indeed, if $\lambda=\cos\theta+\mathrm{i}\,\sin\theta,\,0<\theta<\frac{\pi}{2}$ and $-\lambda\in\mathrm{sp}(\mathrm{u}_3\mathrm{u}_2^*),$ then we can find a vector state φ on A, such that

$$\varphi(\mathbf{u}_2^* + \lambda \mathbf{u}_3^*) = 0$$

and by taking the real part we get

$$\varphi((1-b^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \cos\theta c + \sin\theta(1-c^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}) = 0,$$

which is the same equality as in the previous case.

Lemma 2

Let A be a unital C*-algebra and let

$$P = \{uh \ | \ u \in U(A), \, h \in A_+, \, \|h\| \leq 1\}.$$

Then for every $x \in A$, $||x|| \le 1$ one has

$$x + 2P \subseteq U(A) + 2P$$
.

Proof. Since the spectral condition in Lemma 1 is invariant under multiplication from left (and right) with a fixed unitary, it follows that every $z \in x+2P$ is of the form

$$z = u_1 + u_2 + u_3$$

6 U. HAAGERUP

with $\mathrm{sp}(\mathrm{u}_1^*\mathrm{u}_2)$ and $\mathrm{sp}(\mathrm{u}_2^*\mathrm{u}_3)$ contained in $F=\{e^{i\nu}\mid -\frac{\pi}{2}\leq \nu\leq \pi\}$. The branch of the square root given by $e^{i\nu}\to e^{i\nu/2}, -\frac{\pi}{2}\leq \nu\leq \pi$, is continuous on F. Hence there exists $v\in U(A)$ such that

$$u_2^*u_3 = v^2$$

and

$$\operatorname{sp}(\mathbf{v}) \subseteq \{ e^{\mathbf{i}\nu} | -\frac{\pi}{4} \le \nu \le \frac{\pi}{2} \}.$$

Put $w = u_3v^* = u_2v$. Then

$$u_2 + u_3 = w(v + v^*),$$

and since $sp(v) \subseteq \{s \mid Res \ge 0\}$, we have $v + v^* \ge 0$, so that $u_2 + u_3 \in 2P$. This proves lemma 2.

Theorem

Let A be a unital C*-algebra, and let $n \in \mathbb{N}, n \ge 3$. If $y \in A$ and $\|y\| \le 1 - \frac{2}{n}$, then

$$y = \frac{1}{n}(u_1 + \dots + u_n)$$

for some $u_1,...,u_n \in U(A)$.

Proof. Put $x = \frac{n}{n-2}y$. Then $||x|| \le 1$. By repeated use of lemma 2, we get

$$(n-2)x + 2P \subseteq \underbrace{U(A) + \ldots + U(A)}_{n-2 \text{ time s}} + 2P$$

But $2P \subseteq U(A) + U(A)$, because every selfadjoint operator h of norm less or equal to 1 is the half sum of the two unitaries $h \stackrel{*}{\cdot} i(1-h^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Hence

$$(n-2)x + 2P \subseteq \underbrace{U(A) + \dots + U(A)}_{n \text{ times}}$$
.

Since $0 \in 2P$, we get

$$y = \tfrac{n-2}{n} \, x \in \tfrac{1}{n}(\underbrace{U(A) \, + \, \ldots \, + \, U(A)}_{n \quad \text{times}}).$$

3. ON THE SUM OF THREE UNITARIES

Proposition 1

Let A be a unital C*-algebra, and let $x \in A$, $||x|| \le 1$. Then

$$x = u_1 + u_2 + u_3$$
,

where $u_1,u_2,u_3\in U(A)$, and $sp(u_1^*u_2)$, $sp(u_2^*u_3)$, $sp(u_3^*u_1)$ are contained in $\{e^{i\nu}|0\leq\nu\leq\pi\}$.

Proof. Let u_1,u_2,u_3 be as in lemma 1 with h=0, i.e.

$$x = a + ib$$
, $a,b \in A_{s.a.}$
 $c = \frac{1}{2}(a - (1-b^2)^{\frac{1}{2}})$

and

$$\begin{array}{l} (*) \quad \begin{cases} u_1 = c \, - \, i (1 \! - \! c^{\, 2})^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ u_2 = (1 \! - \! b^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \, + \, i b \\ u_3 = c \, + \, i (1 \! - \! c^{\, 2})^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{cases}$$

Recall from the proof of Lemma 1 that $a \le (1-b^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Hence $c \le 0$, and therefore $sp(u_1)$ is contained in

$$\{-e^{i\nu}|0 \le \nu \le \frac{\pi}{2}\}.$$

8

This implies that

$$\mathrm{sp}(\mathrm{u}_3^*\mathrm{u}_1) = \mathrm{sp}(\mathrm{u}_1^2) \subseteq \{\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\nu} | 0 \le \nu \le \pi\}.$$

To prove that $\operatorname{sp}(u_1^*u_2)$ and $\operatorname{sp}(u_2^*u_3)$ are also contained in $\{e^{i\nu}|0\leq\nu\leq\pi\}$ we can proceed as in the proof of lemma 1. From lemma 1 we already know that the two spectra are contained in $\{e^{i\nu}|-\frac{\pi}{2}\leq\nu\leq\pi\}$, so it remains to be proved that if $\lambda = \cos \theta + i \sin \theta$ for $\frac{\pi}{2} \le \theta < \pi$, then

$$-\lambda \notin \operatorname{sp}(\mathbf{u}_1^*\mathbf{u}_2)$$
 and $-\lambda \notin \operatorname{sp}(\mathbf{u}_2^*\mathbf{u}_3)$.

As in the proof of prop. 1, $-\lambda \in \operatorname{sp}(u_1^*u_2)$ or $-\lambda \in \operatorname{sp}(u_2^*u_3)$ would imply that

(*)
$$\varphi((1-b^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \cos\theta c + \sin\theta (1-c^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}) = 0.$$

Since $(1-b^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} > 0$, $(1-c^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} > 0$, c < 0, $\cos \theta < 0$ and $\sin \theta > 0$ it follows that

$$\varphi((1-b^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}) = \cos\theta \ \varphi(c) = \sin\theta \ \varphi(1-c^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} = 0.$$

Particularly

$$\varphi((1-b^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}) = \varphi((1-c^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}) = 0.$$

Since $-(1-b^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \le a \le (1-b^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$, we have

$$0 \le -c \le (1-b^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
.

Hence also $\varphi(-c) = 0$. We can assume that φ is a vector state $(\cdot \xi, \xi)$ for faithful representation of A on a Hilbert space. Then

$$(1-c^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}\xi = (-c)\xi = 0,$$

because $(1-c^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \ge 0$ and $(-c) \ge 0$. This contradicts that

$$\|c\xi\|^2 + \|(1-c^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}\xi\|^2 = 1.$$

Hence $sp(u_1^*u_2)$ and $sp(u_2^*u_3)$ are both contained in $\{e^{i\nu}|0\leq\nu\leq\pi\}$.