

Voice --- AND --- Equality

**CIVIC VOLUNTARISM
IN AMERICAN POLITICS**

Sidney Verba

Kay Lehman Schlozman

Henry E. Brady

VOICE AND EQUALITY

Civic Voluntarism in American Politics

SIDNEY VERBA

KAY LEHMAN SCHLOZMAN

HENRY E. BRADY

HARVARD UNIVERSITY PRESS

Cambridge, Massachusetts

London, England

Copyright © 1995 by the President and Fellows of Harvard College
All rights reserved
Printed in the United States of America

Third printing, 2001

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Verba, Sidney

Voice and equality : civic voluntarism in American politics / Sidney Verba,
Kay Lehman Schlozman, Henry E. Brady.

p. cm.

Includes index.

ISBN 0-674-94292-2 (alk. paper).—ISBN 0-674-94293-0 (pbk. : alk. paper)

1. Political participation—United States. 2. Political activists—United States.
3. Voluntarism—United States.

I. Schlozman, Kay Lehman, 1946– . II. Brady, Henry E. III. Title.

JK1764.V475 1995

323'.042'0973—dc20

95-16501

To the memory of Cesar Torres

And to Margy, Ericka, and Tina Verba,
 Danny and Julie Schlozman,
 Daniel and Julia Brady—
who, alas, grasp only too intuitively
 the meaning of voice and equality

Acknowledgments

This book has been long in the making. We began our discussions late in the Reagan administration, gathered and analyzed the data during the Bush administration, and drafted the manuscript during the first two years of the Clinton administration. We are winding up early in 1995, a time when a Democratic President has promised to “end welfare as we know it” and to provide tax relief to the middle class, and when the newly installed Republican leadership in Congress has placed such programs as federally subsidized school lunches and assistance to homeless veterans—but not Social Security or subsidies to business—on the chopping block. Therefore, we must begin by thanking President Clinton, Speaker of the House Gingrich, and other policymakers in Washington for behaving in ways so fully consistent with the findings in these pages about what public officials hear through citizen participation and for providing such dramatic evidence that it matters who takes part.

Any project as protracted as this one incurs a lot of debts closer to home. We are grateful for all the help we have had along the way. We are particularly indebted to Norman Nie, who worked closely with us on the design and administration of this study and made invaluable contributions to it.

We have been blessed with superb research assistance. Nancy Burns and Jane Junn showed unfailing versatility, efficiency, and

good humor in assisting with all aspects of the project over several years. Now that they are on their own, we can only wish for them that they should have such willing and gifted assistance. Ken Stehlik-Barry brought incomparable diligence and expertise to the preparation of the data for analysis. Demonstrating that no good deed should go unpunished, Stephen Haggerty showed the patience of Job and the craftiness of Holmes in undertaking one enormous data checking project after another. For careful and vigilant computer work, we are grateful to Tami Buhr, Anne Sartori, Natasha Unger, Bill Hoynes, Liesbeth ter Schure, Fay Booker, Chris Downing, and Martin Petrie. We would also like to thank Sharon Caldwell and Erica Grevmeyer, who helped with data cleaning; Jesse Donahue, Stephanie Easterday, and Peter Morningstar, who gathered ancillary data; and Jenny Albert, Chris Kuk, Andrea Rutherford, and Lise Van Boxel, who helped with manuscript preparation.

We are fortunate to have been able to meet occasionally with an advisory group who confront from the trenches the dilemmas that we view from academic precincts—Scott Gelzer, Mary Gonzales, David Hayes-Bautista, Joanne Howes, David Kindler, Jane Ramsey, Renae Scott, and Joan Slay. Their good works are as inspiring as their insights were acute. We are also grateful to Patricia Kates, who organized these sessions.

We would like to thank the William Benton Foundation, which sponsored a documentary about citizen participation, “The Rage for Democracy,” which added a human face to the abstract themes of our study. We enjoyed working with the late Lewis Freedman and Fred Schneider of the William Benton Broadcast Project, as well as with Steve Atlas, Noel Buckner, Beth Harrington, and Rob Whittlesey, who produced and made the film. We would also like to express our appreciation to the Ford Foundation, which has made this video available for classroom use through PBS Video in Alexandria, Virginia.

Harvard University, Boston College, the Center for the Study of Politics and Society at the National Opinion Research Center, and the Survey Research Center at the University of California, Berkeley, provided institutional support of many kinds. A Boston College Faculty Fellowship provided Kay Schlozman a leave to work on the project. Over the years, Frankie Hoff, Bernardine

Pachta, Sandee MacDonald, Synita Booker, and Lyn Civitello rendered cheerful assistance in many ways.

The staff and leadership of the survey organizations that collected the data provided valuable aid. We especially want to thank Norman Bradburn, Robert Michael, and Alisu Shua-Glusberg of the National Opinion Research Center and William McCready and Ann Hildreth of the Survey Research Lab at Northern Illinois University.

Without the generous support of the Ford Foundation, Hewlett Foundation, National Science Foundation, and Spencer Foundation, this project would never have been possible. We wish especially to mention the early and enthusiastic support of the late Lawrence Cremin of the Spencer Foundation. We wish we could share with him the results of his faith in us.

Several colleagues gave our manuscript extremely careful readings. We appreciate the helpful and tough-minded advice provided by Larry Bartels, Jeffrey Berry, Derek Bok, Nancy Burns, Rodolfo de la Garza, Jane Junn, David Leege, Norman Nie, Danny Schlozman, and Merrill Shanks.

Harvard University Press moved a long and complex book through the editorial and production process with skill. We would like to thank especially Aida Donald, Mary Ellen Geer, and Elizabeth Suttell.

The authors are grateful for permission to reprint the quotation from Bertolt Brecht's *Threepenny Opera*, copyright © 1928 by Gustav Kiepenhauer Verlag, renewed 1968 by Helene Brecht-Weigel. Translation copyright for the play and texts by Brecht © 1979 by Stefan S. Brecht. Reprinted from *The Threepenny Opera* by Bertolt Brecht, published by Arcade Publishing Inc., New York, New York. This quotation is also reprinted by permission of Reed Consumer Books (Methuen, London). The authors also thank the following journals for permission to reprint published materials: *The American Political Science Review* for Sidney Verba, Kay L. Schlozman, Henry E. Brady, and Norman H. Nie, "Citizen Activity: Who Participates? What Do They Say?" in vol. 87 (1993): 303–318, and Brady, Verba, and Schlozman, "Beyond SES: A Resource Model of Political Participation," in vol. 89 (1995): 271–294; *The British Journal of Political Science* for Verba,

Schlozman, Brady, and Nie, "Race, Ethnicity, and Political Resources: Participation in the United States," in vol. 23 (1993): 453–497, and Schlozman, Verba, and Brady, "Participation's Not a Paradox: The View from American Activists," in vol 24. (1994): 1–36; and *The Journal of Politics* for Schlozman, Nancy E. Burns, and Verba, "Gender and the Pathways to Participation: The Role of Resources," in vol. 56 (1994): 963–990.

The data from the Citizen Participation Study are available on the World Wide Web on the Internet as well as from the Inter-University Consortium for Political and Social Research, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan. The World Wide Web address is:

<http://ucdata.berkeley.edu/ucdata.html>

The data set and set-up instructions can be found by clicking on "Holdings" and then following the instructions.

Any academic project whose collaborators are separated by three thousand miles makes special demands. We were the beneficiaries of world-class hotel and restaurant hospitality from Cookie and Malcolm Kates, Belle Huang and Ed Blumenstock, Nancy Adler and Arnie Milstein, and Prue and Frank Beidler.

We imposed most, of course, on the folks at home. Our deepest debt is to Cynthia, Stanley, and Patricia, who gave us their support and their encouragement—and (usually) forgave us our absences.

*Denn die einen sind im Dunkeln
Und die andern sind im Licht
Und man siehet die im Lichte
Die im Dunkeln sieht man nicht*

Some are in darkness
And others are in light
We see those in light
Those in darkness, we do not see

Bertolt Brecht
Die Dreigroschenoper

Nemo dat quod non habet.

You cannot give what you do not have.

Raimundus Lullus
Ars Generalis Ultima

Contents

1. Introduction	1
-----------------	---

PART I The World of Participation

2. Defining Political Participation	37
3. Political Participation: How Much? About What?	49
4. Interpreting Political Activity: A Report from Activists	97
5. Recruiting Political Activists	133

PART II Participation and Representation

6. Thinking about Participatory Representation	163
7. Who Participates? Economic Circumstances and Needs	186
8. Who Participates? Race, Ethnicity, and Gender	228

PART III The Civic Voluntarism Model

9. Explaining Participation: Introductory Considerations	269
10. Resources for Politics: Time and Money	288
11. Resources for Politics: Civic Skills	304

12. Resources, Engagement, and Political Activity	334
13. Institutions and Recruitment	369
14. Participation and the Politics of Issue Engagement	391
15. From Generation to Generation: The Roots of Participatory Factors	416

PART IV Participation, Representation, and Democracy

16. The Participatory Process and the Sources of Representational Distortion	463
17. Conclusion: Voice and Equality in Democratic Participation	509

Appendixes

A. Citizen Participation Sample	535
B. Questions and Variables	538
C. Measuring Representation and Distortion	571
D. Specification of the Civic Voluntarism Model	602
E. Measuring the Amount of Activity	613
F. Supplementary Tables	620

Index	627
-------	-----

Tables and Figures

Tables

- 2.1 The Attributes of Political Activities 48
- 3.1 Time and Money Given to Political Campaigns 54
- 3.2 Targets of Campaign Activity 55
- 3.3 Contacts with Public Officials 56
- 3.4 Types of Organizations 60
- 3.5 Types of Organizations and Nature of Affiliation 63
- 3.6 Percentage Engaging in Fourteen Acts of Participation, 1967 and 1987 72
- 3.7 Time and Money Given to Political and Non-Political Activity 78
- 3.8 Issues and Problems behind Political Activity 88
- 4.1 Gratifications Reported for Various Activities: Percentage Mentioning a Gratification 115
- 4.2 Reasons for Political Inactivity: Percentage of Inactives Saying a Reason Was Very Important for Their Inactivity 129
- 5.1 Recruitment to Politics: Percentage Asked to Be Active and Percentage of Those Asked Who Say Yes 135
- 5.2 Spontaneous and Mobilized Activity 137
- 5.3 Percentage Reporting Being Asked to be Active in Institutional Settings 147

5.4	Who Asks Whom to Get Involved? Race or Ethnicity and Gender	153
5.5	Who Says Yes to Whom? Race or Ethnicity and Gender	155
7.1	Requests for Political Contributions through the Mail and Religious Contributions on Television by Family Income	203
7.2	Attitudes of Voters and the Whole Population	205
7.3	What Respondents Say: Issue-Based Political Activity	221
7.4	What Liberals and Conservatives Say: Issue-Based Political Activity	224
8.1	Political Activities by Race	233
8.2	Voluntary Contributions of Time and Money by Race or Ethnicity	236
8.3	Secular, Non-Political Activities by Race or Ethnicity	242
8.4	Religious Activity by Race or Ethnicity	244
8.5	Religious Attendance and Activity in Church by Denomination and Race or Ethnicity	246
8.6	What Latinos, African-Americans, and Anglo-Whites Say: Issue-Based Political Activity	248
8.7	Voluntary Contributions of Time and Money by Gender	259
8.8	What Men and Women Say: Issue-Based Political Activity	261
10.1	Predicting Family Income and Free Time: OLS Regression Results	296
11.1	Educational Attainment and Vocabulary Skill by Gender and Race or Ethnicity	308
11.2	Exercising Skills in Non-Political Settings	312
11.3	Institutional Involvement by Income, Race or Ethnicity, and Gender	315
11.4	Skills Exercised and Activity in Church by Denomination and Race or Ethnicity	322
11.5	Skills Practiced in Church by Religion and Educational Level	323
11.6	Predicting Skills Practiced in Churches: OLS Regression	325
11.7	Family Income, Educational Attainment, and Skills Exercised on the Job and in Church	327
12.1	Predicting Overall Participation by Institutional Affiliation and Education: OLS Regression	338

- 12.2 Predicting Overall Participation by Institutional Affiliation and Resources: OLS Regression 340
- 12.3 Predicting Overall Participation by Resources: 2SLS Regression 342
- 12.4 Political Engagement by Education, Family Income, Race or Ethnicity, and Gender 349
- 12.5 Predicting Overall Political Participation by Resources, Institutional Affiliation, and Political Engagement Measures: OLS Regression 352
- 12.6 Predicting Overall Participation by Resources and Political Engagement: 2SLS Regression 353
- 12.7 Predicting Particular Political Activities by Resources, Institutional Affiliations, and Political Engagement: OLS Regressions 358
- 12.8 The Factors That Foster Political Participation 363
- 13.1 Political Mobilization in Institutions 373
- 13.2 Recruitment to Politics in Institutions by Education, Family Income, Race or Ethnicity, and Gender 376
- 13.3 Skills Practiced and Requests for Activity in Institutions 378
- 13.4 Political Mobilization in Churches and Unions 386
- 13.5 Membership in Churches or Labor Unions 387
- 13.6 Predicting Overall Political Participation by Resources, Institutional Affiliations, Political Engagement, and Institutional Recruitment: OLS Regression 389
- 14.1 Predicting Overall Participation by Participatory Factors and Stake in Government Policy: OLS Regression 395
- 14.2 Predicting Issue-Based Activity by Participatory Factors and Stake in Government Policy: OLS Regression 397
- 14.3 Predicting Overall Activity by Participatory Factors and Extreme Attitude Positions: OLS Regression 403
- 14.4 Predicting Issue-Based Activity by Participatory Factors and Extreme Attitude Positions: OLS Regression 404
- 14.5 Predicting Overall Activity by Participatory Factors and Extreme Attitude Positions on Vietnam and Government Positions on Jobs: OLS Regression 409
- 14.6 Issue Engagement and Participation: Profile of Four Groups 412

15.1	Predicting Overall Participation by High School Experience: OLS Regressions	424
15.2	Predicting Pre-Adult Experiences by Initial Characteristics: OLS Regressions	430
15.3	Predicting Institutional Involvements by Initial Characteristics and Pre-Adult Experiences: OLS Regression	431
15.4	Predicting Participatory Factors by Initial Characteristics, Pre-Adult Experiences, and Institutional Involvements: OLS Regressions	434
15.5	Predicting Free Time by Initial Characteristics, Pre-Adult Experiences, Institutional Involvements, and Family Variables: OLS Regression	440
15.6	Predicting Overall Participation by Initial Characteristics, Pre-Adult Experiences, Institutional Involvements, and Participatory Factors: OLS Regression	441
15.7	Predicting Overall Participation: 2SLS Analysis	444
15.8	Predicting Time-Based Acts, Voting, Contributions, and Political Discussion by Initial Characteristics, Pre-Adult Experiences, Institutional Involvements, and Participatory Factors: OLS Regressions	446
15.9	Predicting Overall Participation for Sub-Groups of the Population: OLS Regressions	447
15.10	Education, High School Activity, Government and Politics at Home: Direct Effects on Overall Political Activity and Effects through Other Variables	449
15.11	Direct, Indirect, and Total Effects of Pre-Adult and Adult Institutional Involvements on Overall Activity	451
15.12	Predicting Participation by Roots in the Community: OLS Regressions	453
15.13	Predicting Roots in the Community: OLS Regressions	454

Figures

3.1	Political Activities	51
3.2	Comparative Activity Rates: Five Countries	70
3.3	Non-Political Activities	76

3.4	Comparative Activity Rates: Voluntary and Religious Organizations	80
3.5	Types of Activists	83
4.1	Percentage Mentioning a Civic Gratification and Percentage Mentioning Civic Gratifications Only	119
4.2	Gratification Space for Political and Non-Political Acts	122
5.1	Personal Connections and Requests for Activity	141
5.2	Percentage Asked to Take Part in Any Activity, to Make a Contribution, or to Take Part in a Protest: by Income	150
7.1	Mean Number of Political Acts by Family Income	188
7.2	Percentage Active in Various Activities: High and Low Income Groups	190
7.3	Mean Hours and Dollars Given to Political Campaigns by Family Income	192
7.4	Volume of Political Activity: Percentage from Various Family Income Groups	194
7.5	Volume of Political and Non-Political Activity: Percentage from Various Family Income Groups	198
7.6	Percentage of Family Income to Campaigns, Charity, and Church by Family Income	200
7.7	Mean Number of Political Acts by Demographic Characteristics and Attitudes	207
7.8	Mean Number of Political Acts by Needs and Receipt of Benefits	209
7.9	Representation of Liberal Attitudes, Needs, Receipt of Means-Tested Benefits, and the Poor: Logged Representation Scores among Activists	211
7.10	Economic Attitudes and Receipt of Means-Tested Benefits among All Respondents, the Poor and Poor Activists	215
7.11	Representation of Big Campaign Givers Who Communicate an Explicit Message	216
7.12	Activities Directly Related to Benefit Programs	218
8.1	Mean Number of Political Acts by Race or Ethnicity	232
8.2	Volume of Political Activity: Percentage from Anglo-Whites, African-Americans, and Latinos	238

- 8.3 Percentage Favoring Help to Minority Groups and Receipt of Means-Tested Benefits by Minority Group Membership and Activity 240
- 8.4 Political Activities by Gender (percentage active) 255
- 8.5 Non-Political Activities by Gender (percentage active) 258
- 10.1 Family Income and Free Time by Education and Job Level 292
- 10.2 Family Income and Free Time by Race or Ethnicity and Gender 294
- 10.3 Free Time by Employment, Spouse's Employment, and Children at Home 295
- 11.1 Skills Practiced on the Job, in a Non-Political Organization, and in Church, by Income, Race or Ethnicity, and Gender 319
- 11.2 Skills Exercised in Church and on the Job by Job Level and Race 329
- 12.1 Time and Money Contributed to Politics by Highly Engaged Respondents 365
- 13.1 Political Impact of Church by Denomination and Race or Ethnicity 382
- 14.1 Attitudes on Government Provision of Jobs and Abortion 399
- 14.2 Attitudes on Abortion by Educational Attainment and Church Attendance 401
- 15.1 The Roots of Participatory Factors 417
- 16.1 The Three Stages of Analysis 467
- 16.2 Distortion of Economic Attitudes through Overall Participation and Political Contributions 475
- 16.3 Distortion of Economic Attitudes through Political Contributions for Democrats and Republicans 479
- 16.4 Distortion of Economic Need through Overall Participation and Political Contributions 482
- 16.5 Distortion of Economic Attitudes and Economic Need through Protest 486
- 16.6 Distortion of Attitudes toward Government Assistance for Minorities through Overall Participation and Political Contributions 488