Nontraditional Feed Sources for Use in Swine Production

P.A. Thacker - R.N. Kirkwood

NONTRADITIONAL FEED SOURCES

FOR USE IN

SWINE PRODUCTION

P.A. Thacker and R.N. Kirkwood

Department of Animal & Poultry Science University of Saskatchewan Saskatoon, Saskatchewan

Butterworths

Boston London Singapore Sydney Toronto Wellington

Copyright © 1990 by Butterworth Publishers, a division of Reed Publishing (USA) Inc. All rights reserved.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without the prior written permission of the publisher.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Nontraditional feed sources for use in swine production / [edited by] P.A. Thacker and R.N. Kirkwood.

p. cm.

Includes bibliographical references.

ISBN 0-409-90190-3

 Swine--Feeding and feeds. I. Thacker, P.A. II. Kirkwood, R. N. SF396.5.N66 1990

636.4'0855--dc20

90-1588

CIP

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data

Thacker, P.A.

Nontraditional feed sources for use in swine production.

- 1. Livestock pigs. Feedingstuffs
- I. Title II. Kirkwood, R.N.

636.40855

ISBN 0-409-90190-3

Butterworth Publishers 80 Montvale Avenue Stoneham, MA 02180

10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1

Printed in the United States of America

CONTRIBUTORS

Dr. Frank X. Aherne

Department of Animal Science University of Alberta Edmonton, Alberta

Dr. Derek M. Anderson

Department of Animal Science Nova Scotia Agricultural College Truro, Nova Scotia

Dr. Henry S. Bayley

Department of Nutrition University of Guelph Guelph, Ontario

Mr. Ron B. Bazylo

Regional Swine Specialist Alberta Agriculture Vermillion, Alberta

Dr. Dick M. Beames

Department of Animal Science University of British Columbia Vancouver, B.C.

Dr. J. Milt Bell

Department of Animal Science University of Saskatchewan Saskatoon, Saskatchewan

Dr. John P. Bowland

Department of Animal Science University of Alberta Edmonton, Alberta

Dr. Adrian G. Castell

Swine Nutrition Section Agric. Canada Research Station Brandon, Manitoba

Dr. Des J.A. Cole

Department of Agriculture University of Nottingham Sutton Bonington, Leicester

Dr. James D. Chapman

Alltech Biotechnology Centre 3031 Catnip Hill Nicholasville, Kentucky

Mr. Craig S. Darroch

Department of Animal Science University of Saskatchewan Saskatoon, Saskatchewan

Ms. Adrienne C. DeSchutter

Livestock Section Ridgetown College of Agric. Ridgetown, Ontario

Dr. William E. Dinusson

Department of Animal Science North Dakota State University Fargo, North Dakota

Dr. Sandra A. Edwards

Pig Lead Centre
N. Scotland College of Agric.
Aberdeen, Scotland

Dr. T.E. Ekpenyong

Department of Animal Science University of Ibadan Ibadan, Nigeria

Dr. David J. Farrell

Department of Animal Science University of New England Armidale, New South Wales

Dr. O.M. Hale

Department of Animal Science Coastal Plain Station Tifton, Georgia

Dr. Keith D. Haydon

Department of Animal Science Coastal Plain Station Tifton, Georgia

Dr. Palmer Holden

Department of Animal Science Iowa State University Ames, Iowa

Dr. Keith Hutton

Coprice Division Rice Growers, Co-operative Leeton, New South Wales Dr. Ray H. King Department of Agriculture Animal Research Institute Werribee, Victoria

Dr. Steve Lesson
Department of Animal Science
University of Guelph
Guelph, Ontario

Dr. R. Maitland Livingstone Dept. of Animal Husbandry Rowett Research Institute Bucksburn, Aberdeen

Dr. Annette C. Longland AFRC Institute Shinfield, Reading Berkshire, United Kingdom

Dr. A. Graham Low AFRC Institute Shinfield, Reading Berkshire, United Kingdom

Dr. T. Pearse Lyons Alltech Biotechnology Centre 3031 Catnip Hill Nicholasville, Kentucky

Mr. W. Ian Magowan Swine Nutritionist Vigor Feeds Division Edmonton, Alberta

Dr. Donald C. Mahan
Department of Animal Science
Ohio State University
Columbus, Ohio

Dr. Charles V. Maxwell
Department of Animal Science
Oklahoma State University
Stillwater, Oklahoma

Dr. Elwyn R. Miller Department of Animal Science Michigan State University East Lansing, Michigan Mr. Jim R. Morris
Department of Animal Science
Ridgetown College of Agric.
Ridgetown, Ontario

Dr. Surendra S. Negi Indian Vet. Research Institute Regional Station Palampur, India

Dr. H. William Newland
Department of Animal Science
Ohio State University
Wooster, Ohio

Dr. G. Larry Newton
Department of Animal Science
University of Georgia
Tifton, Georgia

Dr. Emmanuel Nwokolo
Department of Animal Science
University of Alberta
Edmonton, Alberta

Dr. O.L. Oke Chemistry Department University of Ife Ife-Ife, Nigeria

Ms. Daphine J. Peer Animal Industry Branch Ontario Ministry of Agriculture Fergus, Ontario

Mr. Steven V. Radecki Department of Animal Science Michigan State University East Lansing, Michigan

Dr. Velmurugu Ravindran Department of Animal Science University of Peradeniya Peradeniya, Sri Lanka

Mr. J.P. Rodriquez Nutro Products Incorporated 445 Wilson Way Industry, California Dr. Gerry C. Shurson
Department of Animal Science
Ohio State University
Columbus, Ohio

Dr. T.D. Tanksley, Jr.
Department of Animal Science
Texas A & M University
Betram, Texas

Dr. Phil A. Thacker Department of Animal Science University of Saskatchewan Saskatoon, Saskatchewan

Dr. Peter J. Thorne ODNRI Culham, Abingdon Oxford, United Kingdom Mr. Ted A. Van Lunen Swine Nutrition Section Agric. Canada Research Station Nappan, Nova Scotia

Dr. Richard C. Wahlstrom
Department of Animal Science
South Dakota State University
Brookings, South Dakota

Mr. Derek G. Waterworth ICI Biological Products Billingham, Cleveland England

Dr. Julian Wiseman
Department of Agriculture
University of Nottingham
Sutton Bonington, Leicester

PREFACE

Feed represents the greatest single expense associated with raising pigs to market weight. Therefore, it may be possible to improve the economics of swine production if this cost can be reduced without detriment to pig performance. Pigs are "opportunity feeders" and have the ability to consume all manner of feedstuffs. However, in recent times, the ingredient list for swine rations has become fairly limited, and the majority of diets fed to pigs are based on a few staples, such as corn, wheat, barley and soybean meal.

There are many alternative sources of dietary energy and protein that may have potential for use in swine production. However, the successful incorporation of these unfamiliar nutrient sources into animal diets is limited by the availability of sufficient information on which to base feeding recommendations. The editors of this volume have attempted to fill this gap in the literature by presenting separate and self-contained chapters for over lifty nontraditional feedstuffs.

Each chapter was prepared by experts in their respective feed source areas and covers the background and history of the feed as well as providing information on its nutrient content and the presence of any anti-nutritional factors that might limit its nutritional value. Each chapter concludes with specific recommendations concerning the best use of the feedstuff in question and provides guidelines for optimum inclusion rates. As such, the book should provide a handy reference text for swine producers, feed industry nutritionists, extension personnel, university students and swine researchers.

We wish to express our sincere appreciation to Ms. Fran Teitge for her many hours spent preparing the text for publication and to the University of Saskatchewan for financial support during its preparation. Finally, we wish to thank each contributor, whose efforts made the volume possible.

CONTENTS

Co	ntributors	vii
Pre	eface	xi
1	Alfalfa Meal P.A. Thacker	1
2	Bananas V. Ravindran	13
3	Barley: Hulless F.X. Aherne	23
4	Barley: Hydroponically Sprouted D.J. Peer and S. Leeson	33
5	Beans: Culled J.P. Rodriguez and H.S. Bayley	43
6	Blood Meal: Flash Dried E.R. Miller	53
7	Buckwheat P.A. Thacker	61
8	Canola Meal P.A. Thacker	69
9	Canola Seed: Full-Fat F.X. Aherne and J.M. Bell	79
10	Cassava Leaf Meal V. Ravindran	91
11	Cassava Meal O.L. Oke	103
12	Cocoyams E. Nwokolo	113
13	Copra Meal	123

•	a
IV	Contents
	COLLECTION

14	Corn Gluten Feed P.J. Holden	131
15	Cottonseed Meal T.D. Tanksley, Jr.	139
16	Crab Meal T.A. Van Lunen and D.M. Anderson	153
17	Distillers By-Products H.W. Newland and D.C. Mahan	161
18	Fababeans P.A. Thacker	175
19	Field Peas A.G. Castell	185
20	Fish Silage T.A. Van Lunen	197
21	Lentils A.G. Castell	205
22	Linseed Meal J.P. Bowland	213
23	Leucaena Leaf Meal T.E. Ekpenyong	225
24	Lupins R.H. King	237
25	Minor Oilseed Meals V. Ravindran	247
26	Mung Beans C.V. Maxwell	255
27	Mustard Meal J.M. Bell	265
28	Oats: Naked J.R. Morris	275

		Contents	v
29	Peanut Kernels G.L. Newton, O.M. Hale and K.D. Haydon	285	
30	Popcorn: Unpopped G.C. Shurson	299	
31	Potato and Potato Products S.A. Edwards and R.M. Livingstone	305	
32	Probiotics T.P. Lyons and J.D. Chapman	315	
33	Pumpkin, Melon and Other Gourd Seeds E. Nwokolo	327	
34	Rice and Rice Milling By-Products D.J. Farrell and K. Hutton	339	
35	Rubber Seeds, Oil and Meal E. Nwokolo	355	
36	Rye R.B. Bazylo	363	
37	Safflower Meal C.S. Darroch	373	
38	Salseed Meal S.S. Negi	383	
39	Screenings R.M. Beames	391	
40	Seaweed R.M. Beames	407	
41	Sesame Meal V. Ravindran	419	
42	Single Cell Protein D.G. Waterworth	429	
43	Soybeans: Full-Fat A.C. De Schutter and J.R. Morris	439	

vi Contents

44	Sugar Beet A.C. Longland and A.G. Low	453
45	Sunflower Meal W.E. Dinusson	465
46	Sunflower Seeds R.C. Wahlstrom	473
47	Sweet Potato E. Nwokolo	481
48	Triticale S.V. Radecki and E.R. Miller	493
49	Wheats: Soft and Dwarf W.I. Magowan	501
50	Wild Oat Groats P.A. Thacker	509

CHAPTER 1

Alfalfa Meal

P.A. Thacker

INTRODUCTION

Alfalfa is of interest as a potential feedstuff for swine because it produces high yields of protein and is not consumed directly by humans. In the future, high quality protein sources such as soybean meal may become less available for livestock feeding because of greater direct human utilization. This may result in a greater dependency on more fibrous feedstuffs such as alfalfa for non-ruminant animals.

Unfortunately, there are problems associated with alfalfa which limit its usefulness as an ingredient in swine rations. The protein in alfalfa is poorly digested. Alfalfa has a low digestible energy content due to its high crude fiber content while toxins such as saponins and tannins reduce the growth rate of animals fed diets containing alfalfa. In addition, alfalfa is relatively unpalatable. Despite the negative factors present, there is still considerable interest in the use of alfalfa as a supplementary source of vitamins and as a component of gestation rations. Therefore, research is being conducted in an effort to overcome the problems associated with the feeding of alfalfa. If this work is successful, the use of alfalfa in swine rations may increase.

GROWING ALFALFA

Alfalfa (*Medicago species*) is one of the most popular forage crops grown throughout the world. It is adapted to a wide variety of soil and climatic conditions but grows particularly well on deep, well-drained, neutral soils (Goplen et al., 1982). Optimum temperatures for growth are 15 to 25°C during the day and 10 to 20°C at night. In addition, since alfalfa is a nitrogen fixing legume, proper inoculation eliminates the need for nitrogen fertilization.

Alfalfa is usually cut once or twice a year, although in very moist climates, three or four cuttings are possible. If the alfalfa is to be used as a protein supplement, cutting at the early bud stage is most appropriate, while for use as a roughage, cutting at the 10% bloom stage is recommended (Goplen et al., 1982). Under proper management, dry matter yields in excess of 7000 kg/ha are possible.

NUTRIENT CONTENT OF ALFALFA

The nutritional quality of alfalfa varies considerably due to stage of maturity, soil fertility, variety, physical handling and other factors. The most significant factor affecting the nutritional value of alfalfa is the growth stage at which it was cut. As the forage becomes more mature, it contains less protein and more fiber (National Academy of Science, 1972). The crude fiber content of alfalfa is extremely high compared to other commonly utilized feedstuffs. Since the pig has a simple stomach of relatively small capacity, it is less able to utilize crude fiber than are other types of farm livestock. Therefore, the digestible energy content of alfalfa is approximately half of that found in the common cereal grains (Table 1.1).

Table 1.1	. Chemical	Composition	of	Alfalfa	(as	fed)

	Alfalfa Hay ¹	Sun Cured Alfalfa Meal ¹	Dehydrated Alfalfa Meal ²
Dry Matter (%)	91.4	90.7	92.0
Crude Protein (%)	15.5	17.6	17.4
Crude Fiber (%)	28.0	27.3	24.0
Ash (%)	9.0	9.6	
Ether Extract (%)	1.7	2.1	2.8
D.E. (kcal/kg)	1419	1351	1880

¹National Academy of Science, 1972.

²National Research Council, 1988.

Alfalfa ranges from 12 to 22% crude protein. Unfortunately, the protein in alfalfa is not very digestible. The high fiber content of alfalfa reduces the ability of digestive enzymes to gain access to the soluble cellular proteins. As a result, the protein in alfalfa is only about 60% digestible (Cheeke and Myer, 1975). Alfalfa contains a respectable amino acid balance and a reasonable level of lysine which is the limiting amino acid in most cereal grains (Table 1.2). However, because of the high fiber level, the availability of the lysine in alfalfa is low.

Table	1.2.	Amino	Acid	Content	of	Alfalfa	(% as	fed)
Idolo	1.20	7 MILLIANCE	LEGICA	COMITONIE	OI.	I MIGHTIM	(10 00	100

	Alfalfa Hay ¹	Sun Cured Alfalfa Meal ¹	Dehydrated Alfalfa Meal ²
Arginine	0.64	0.91	0.77
Histidine	0.27	0.27	0.33
Isoleucine	0.73	0.82	0.81
Leucine	0.91	1.27	1.28
Lysine	0.55	1.00	0.85
Methionine	0.09	0.18	0.27
Phenylalanine	0.55	0.82	0.80
Threonine	0.64	0.73	0.71
Tryptophan	0.09	0.27	0.34
Valine	0.64	0.82	0.88

¹National Academy of Science, 1972.

Alfalfa is characteristically high in calcium (Table 1.3). However, it has only a fair phosphorus content. When grown on phosphorus-deficient soils, it may be very low in phosphorus. Therefore, rations containing high levels of alfalfa require supplemental phosphorus to meet the pigs phosphorus requirement and to narrow the rather wide calcium:phosphorus ratio present in this forage. Alfalfa is a reasonably good source of other minerals such as magnesium, potassium, copper, manganese, iron, chloride and zinc. selenium content of alfalfa varies, depending on the area in which it is grown.

Alfalfa hay is a good source of most vitamins (Table 1.4) and is an excellent source of vitamins A, E and K. Field-cured alfalfa hay is also a good source of vitamin D. However, due to the fact that it is not exposed to sunlight in the curing process, the vitamin D content of artificially dehydrated alfalfa meal is only one-fourth to one-third as high as that found Good alfalfa hay is also quite rich in riboflavin. in sun-cured meal. pantothenic acid, biotin and niacin. However, the advent of relatively cheap sources of these nutrients has resulted in a reduction in the need for alfalfa in the diet as a source of these nutrients.

²National Research Council, 1988.

Table 1.3. Mineral Content of Alfalfa (% as fed)

	Alfalfa Hay ¹	Sun Cured Alfalfa Meal ¹	Dehydrated Alfalfa Meal ²
Calcium (%)	1.29	0.87	1.40
Magnesium (%)	0.31		0.29
Phosphorus (%)	0.21	0.17	0.23
Potassium (%)	1.99		2.38
Sodium (%)	0.15	0.17	0.10
Sulfur (%)	0.29	0.24	0.23
Copper (mg/kg)	18.5		10.0
Zinc (mg/kg)		31.8	19.0
Manganese (mg/kg)	56.5		31.0
Selenium (mg/kg)			0.33

¹National Academy of Science, 1972.

Table 1.4. Vitamin Content of Alfalfa (as fed)

	Alfalfa Hay ¹	Sun Cured Alfalfa Meal ¹	Dehydrated Alfalfa Meal ²		
Vitamin A (IU/g)	109	64			
Vitamin D (IU/g)	1.5				
Vitamin E (mg/kg)	102	386	111		
Biotin (mg/kg)	0.16	0.32	0.33		
Folic Acid (mg/kg)	3.10	5.72	4.40		
Choline (mg/kg)		1021	1369		
Niacin (mg/kg)		34	37		
Pantothenic Acid (mg/kg)		28	30		
Riboflavin (mg/kg)		10	13		
Thiamine (mg/kg)	****	2.6	3.4		

¹National Academy of Science, 1972.

UNDESIRABLE CONSTITUENTS IN ALFALFA

Saponins are bitter tasting compounds present in alfalfa (Leamaster and Cheeke, 1979) which have been shown to impair growth rate in chicks and possibly in pigs. The mechanisms by which saponins cause growth depression have not been determined. However, Cheeke (1976) suggested several possibilities including a depressing effect on feed intake or an inhibitory effect on digestive enzymes. In addition, it was suggested that saponins may complex

²National Research Council, 1988.

²National Research Council, 1988.

with nutrients to make them unavailable or that saponins may inhibit cellular metabolism (Cheeke, 1976).

It is possible through genetic selection to produce cultivars of alfalfa with a lower saponin content (Pedersen and Wang, 1971). It would appear that cultivars containing lower levels of saponins are more palatable and support higher levels of performance than do traditional alfalfa varieties. Future research, directed towards the development of high-yielding, low-saponin varieties, may allow for higher levels of alfalfa to be incorporated into swine diets

Alfalfa also contains about 3.25% total tannins (Millic et al., 1972). Tannins are water-soluble polymeric phenolics that depress protein digestibility by binding dietary protein as well as by inhibiting digestive enzymes (Jung and Tannins also depress feed intake due to Fahey, 1983; Mcleod, 1974). astringency (Glick and Joslyn, 1970). In addition, a trypsin inhibitor has been identified in alfalfa (Ramirez and Mitchell, 1960) and there is also evidence that alfalfa contains a photosensitizing agent (Lohrey et al., 1974).

FEEDING ALFALFA TO SWINE

Starter Pigs

Alfalfa should not be used in diets fed to weanling pigs. Its high crude fiber content and low digestible energy level are likely to limit growth and reduce the efficiency of feed utilization when fed to pigs of this weight range. Other alternatives are available and producers would be wise to choose a higher energy feedstuff as the foundation for their starter diets.

Growing Pigs

Alfalfa should also be used sparingly in diets fed to grower pigs. The effects of the addition of 0, 20, 40, or 60% alfalfa meal on the performance of growing-finishing swine are shown in Table 1.5 (Powley et al., 1981). Pigs fed diets containing high levels of alfalfa meal gained significantly slower and with a reduced feed efficiency in comparison with those pigs fed the control diet. The reduction in gain occurring when high levels of dietary alfalfa are fed appears to be the result of insufficient dietary energy. Pigs fed high levels of alfalfa meal cannot consume sufficient feed to meet their energy requirements for maximum growth. Similar results were reported by Kass et al. (1980) and therefore the inclusion of alfalfa meal in the diet of grower pigs is not recommended.

Poor palatability is one factor accounting for the reduction in performance resulting from the inclusion of high levels of alfalfa in the diet of the growing-finishing pigs. Table 1.6 shows the results of a feeding trial in which pigs were given a choice between a standard corn-soybean meal diet and one in which pigs were given a choice between a standard corn-soybean meal diet and one in which 0.5, 1.0, 2.5, 5, 10, 20, or 30% alfalfa meal was added at the expense of corn (Leamaster and Cheeke, 1979). When only

6 Nontraditional Feed Sources for Use in Swine Production

0.5% alfalfa was present, pigs consumed approximately the same amount of each diet. However, at all other levels of inclusion, the pigs showed a distinct preference for the alfalfa free diet.

Table 1.5. Performance of Pigs Fed Alfalfa

	Level of Alfalfa (%)					
	0.0	20.0	40.0	60.0		
Daily Gain (g/day)	860	730	630	410		
Daily Intake (kg/day)	3.0	3.0	3.2	2.7		
Feed Efficiency	3.6	4.1	5.0	6.7		
Dressing Percentage	77.9	76.2	75.4	75.2		
Backfat Thickness (cm)	3.9	3.5	3.2	2.9		

Powley et al., 1981.

Table 1.6. Effect of Dietary Alfalfa on Diet Preference of Swine

Dietary Alfalfa (%)	Control	Alfalfa Diet
0.5	49	51
1.0	63	37
2.5	66	34
5.0	65	35
10.0	74	26
20.0	81	19
30.0	97	3

Leamaster and Cheeke, 1979.

Finishing Pigs

Backfat thickness has been shown to be reduced by feeding high levels of dietary alfalfa (Table 1.5). This may result in improvements in carcass grade. Unfortunately, the economic losses arising from the extended feeding period are unlikely to be offset by these improvements in carcass quality. However, if carcass quality is sufficiently poor it may be beneficial to include 10% alfalfa in the diet of finishing pigs.

Breeding Stock

Alfalfa has the greatest potential for use in diets fed to gestating sows. The use of alfalfa in gestation rations has been studied for over thirty years