# Statistics and Probability: Essays in Honor of C. R. Rao

Edmed by

G. Kallianpur P. R. Krishnaian J. K. O<del>sosh</del>

## Statistics and Probability: Essays in Honor of C. R. Rao

Edited by

G. KALLIANPUR P. R. KRISHNAIAH J. K. GHOSH

## NORTH-HOLLAND PUBLISHING COMPANY - 1982

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without the prior permission of the copyright owner.

ISBN 0 444 86130 0

## Published by: NORTH-HOLLAND PUBLISHING COMPANY AMSTERDAM · NEW YORK · OXFORD

Sole distributors for the U.S.A. and Canada: Elsevier Science Publishing Company, Inc. 52 Vanderbilt Avenue New York, N.Y. 10017

#### Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication Data

Main entry under title:

Statistics and probability.

"Publications of C.R. Rao": p.
1. Mathematical statistics--Addresses, essays,
lectures. 2. Probabilities--Addresses, essays,
lectures. 3. Rao, C. Radhakrishna (Calyampudi
Radhakrishna), 1920- I. Rao, C. Radhakrishna
(Calyampudi Radhakrishna), 1920- II. Kallianpur, G. III. Krishnaiah, Paruchuri R.
IV. Ghosh, J. K.
QA276.16.S843 519.5 82-2169
ISBN 0-444-86130-0 AACR2

## **PREFACE**

In presenting this volume of essays in Statistics and Probability we wish to honor a distinguished scientist and one of the most eminent statisticians of our time.

The impact of C. R. Rao's work in the development of the modern theory of Statistics is so well recognized that it does not require comment in this Preface. However, scholars outside India might not be fully aware of the important role he has played in developing Statistics in India and more particularly, in the growth of the Indian Statistical Institute, and in promoting statistical education and training in South East Asian countries. After an initial period of study at Calcutta University in 1943 during which he received a Master's degree, Rao joined the Indian Statistical Institute which had been founded a decade or so earlier by P. C. Mahalanobis. The Institute had already made its mark in Statistical research, especially in such areas as Multivariate Analysis and the Design of Experiments. In the years that followed the Institute has produced, under Rao's direction, an entire generation of students and younger colleagues, many of whom have become eminent Statisticians and Probabilists in their own right.

C. R. Rao's career has not been confined to the field of Statistics in the narrow sense. After the Indian Statistical Institute assumed the functions of a University in the late fifties, its academic activities expanded enormously and many of the country's talented young scientists, economists, geologists, anthropologists, geneticists, and mathematicians were attracted to the Institute by Professor Rao's wide range of scientific interests. These interests are, to a large extent, represented by the essays in the volume, ranging from topics in Pure Mathematics, Probability Theory and Statistical Inference to those with a distinctly applied flavor. Even so, for reasons of space, it has not been possible to include several of the important areas of applications to which Rao himself has made significant contributions. Thus we regret that the volume contains no articles on Anthropology, Econometrics and Psychometrics (to name a few of these areas).

C. R. Rao was born on September 10, 1920 in Hadagali, Karnataka State, India. He has been up to now author or co-author of nine books and over 200 research publications, a list of which is given at the end of this volume. This volume is dedicated to C. R. Rao with admiration and affection on the occasion of his sixtieth birthday in appreciation of his many pioneering contributions to the field of statistics, which have found place in standard books on statistics.

We wish to express our appreciation to S. K. Mitra, K. R. Parthasarathy, B: Ramachandran and B. V. Rao, our colleagues on the Editorial Committee, for spending considerable amount of time and effort in preparation of this volume. We are grateful to R. F. Anderson, G. J. Babu, A. K. Basu, D. Basu, Sanjoy Bose, P. Bhimasankaram, Ratan DasGupta, S. DasGupta, R. H. Farrell, A. Hedayat, Subir

VIII PREFACE

Ghosh, P. Jaganathan, V. M. Joshi, J. Jurečkova, S. Kotz, D. Majumdar, K. V. Mardia, M. G. Nadkarni, J. N. K. Rao, M. M. Rao, Y. R. Sarma, D. N. Shanbhag, Bikas K. Sinha, Bimal K. Sinha, A. C. Tamhane and S. J. Wolfe for reviewing the papers in this volume. Thanks are also due to the North-Holland Publishing Company for their excellent cooperation.

G. Kallianpur P. R. Krishnaiah J. K. Ghosh

## CONTENTS

Preface	vii
Anderson, T. W. and Styan, George P. H.: Cochran's Theorem, Rank Additivity and Tripotent Matrices	1
Bagchi, Somesh Chandra: Invariant Subspaces of Vector Valued Function Spaces on Bohr Groups and Multivariate Prediction Theory	25
Bahadur, R. R.: A Note on the Effective Variance of Randomly  Stopped Means	39
Balakrishnan, A. V.: Some Estimation Problems for Random Fields	
Barnard, G. A.: Conditionality versus Similarity in the Analysis of 2×2 Tables	
Barndorff-Nielsen, O.: Hyperbolic Likelihood	
Bartlett, M. S.: The Development of Population Models	
Basu, D. and Tiwari, R. C.: A Note on the Dirichlet Process	
Bhat, B. R: Strong Consistency of Least Squares Estimates and	
Conditional Consistency	. 105
Bickel, Peter J. and Yahav, Joseph A.: Asymptotic Theory of Selection Procedures and Optimality of Gupta's Rules	
Bose, R. C. and Iyer, H. K.: G-Invariant Designs and	
G-Balanced Arrays with Applications	125
Brown, Lawrence D.: A Proof of the Central Limit Theorem	
Motivated by the Cramér-Rao Inequality	. 141
Bunke, O. and Möhner, M.: Minimax Estimators in Linear Models	
Under Normalized Quadratic Loss Functions	149
Cacoullos, T. and Papageorgiou, H.: Bivariate Negative Binomial-Poisson and Negative Binomial-Bernoulli Models with an Application	
to Accident Data	155
Chakravarti, I. M. and Burton, Catherine T.: Symmetries (Group of	. 133
Automorphisms) of Desarguesian Finite Projective and Affine Planes	1.60
and Their Role in Statistical Model Construction	169
Chandra, Tapas K. and Ghosh, J. K.: Deficiency for Multiparameter	170
Testing Problems	
Chatterji, S. D.: A Remark on the Cramér-Rao Inequality	193
Cox, D. R.: Randomization and Concomitant Variables in the Design	107
of Experiments	

X CONTENTS

Das Gupta, Somesh: Classification into Two Multivariate Normal	
Populations with Known Means	. 209
Dempster, A. P.: Some Formulas Useful for Covariance Estimation	
with Gaussian Linear Component Models	.213
Dharmadhikari, S. W.: Connectedness and Zero Variance in	
Sampling Designs	.221
Doob, J. L.: A Potential Theoretic Approach to Martingale Theory	.227
Drygas, Hilmar: Asymptotic Confidence-Intervals for the Variance	
in the Linear Regression Model	. 233
Dugué, Daniel: On the Way Towards a Non-paramètric	
Multidimensional Test of Normality	. 241
Fang, C. and Krishnaiah, P. R.: Asymptotic Joint Distributions	
of Functions of the Elements of Sample Covariance Matrix	. 249
Gani, J.: The Early Use of Stochastic Methods: An Historical Note	
on McKendrick's Pioneering Papers	. 263
Gnanadesikan, R., Kettenring, J. R. and Landwehr, J. M.: Projection	•
Plots for Displaying Clusters	.269
Godambe, V. P.: Likelihood Principle and Randomisation	.281
Gupta, Shanti S. and Miescke, Klaus-J.: On the Least Favorable	206
Configurations in Certain Two-Stage Selection Procedures	. 295
Hannan, E. J.: Fitting Multivariate ARMA Models	.307
Heyde, C. C.: Estimation in the Presence of a Threshold Theorem:	217
Principles and Their Illustration for the Traffic Intensity	. 31 <i>1</i>
Hodges, J. L., Jr. and Lehmann, E. L.: Minimax Estimation	225
in Simple Random Sampling	. 323
James, A. T.: Analyses of Variance Determined by Symmetry and	320
Combinatorial Properties of Zonal Polynomials	. 329
Johnson, Wesley and Geisser, Seymour: Assessing the Predictive Influence of	3/13
Observations	
Kagan, A. M., Melamed, I. A. and Zinger, A. A.: A Class of Estimators of a Location Parameter in Presence of a Nuisance Scale Parameter	350
Kallianpur, G.: A Generalized Cameron-Feynman Integral	369
Karlin, Samuel: Some Results on Optimal Partitioning of Variance	
and Monotonicity with Truncation Level	375
Kawata, Tatsuo: Almost Periodic Weakly Stationary Processes	383
Kempthorne, Oscar: Classificatory Data Structures and Associated	
Linear Models	397
Khatri, C. G.: A Theorem on Quadratic Forms for Normal Variables	411
V: A. I. I. Outinum Dates for Non parametric Density and	
Regression Estimates, Under Order Restrictions	419
Kojima, Y., Morimoto, H. and Takeuchi, K.: Two "Best" Unbiased	-
Estimators of Normal Integral Mean	429
FARITIMEOTA OF CONTINUE THEODERS VITABLE LA CONTINUE DE LA CONTINU	

<sup>\*</sup>Deceased 1981.

Contents xi

## COCHRAN'S THEOREM, RANK ADDITIVITY AND TRIPOTENT MATRICES

T.W. ANDERSON

Stanford University, Stanford, CA, U.S.A.

George P. H. STYAN

McGill University, Montreal, Que., Canada

Let  $A_1, \ldots, A_k$  be symmetric matrices and  $A = \sum A_i$ . A matrix version of Cochran's theorem is that (a)  $A_i^2 = A_i$ ,  $i = 1, \ldots, k$ . and (b)  $A_i A_j = 0 \ \forall i \neq j$ , are necessary and sufficient conditions for (d)  $\sum \operatorname{rank}(A_i) = \operatorname{rank}(A)$  whenever (c) A = I. This paper reviews extensions of the theorem and its statistical interpretations in the literature, presents various proofs of the above theorem, and obtains some generalizations. In particular, (c) above is replaced by  $A^2 = A$  and the condition of symmetry is deleted. The relations with (e)  $\operatorname{rank}(A_i^2) = \operatorname{rank}(A_i)$ ,  $i = 1, \ldots, k$ , are explored. Another theorem covers the case of matrices not necessarily square. A is "tripotent" if  $A^3 = A$ . Then  $A_i^3 = A_i$ ,  $i = 1, \ldots, k$ , and (b) are necessary and sufficient conditions for  $A^3 = A$ , (d), and one further condition such as  $A_i A = A_i^2$ ,  $i = 1, \ldots, k$ . Variations and statistical applications are treated. Tripotent is replaced by r-potent (A' = A) for r > 3.

### 1. Introduction

Let x be a  $p \times 1$  random vector distributed according to a multivariate normal distribution with mean vector  $\mathbf{0}$  and covariance matrix  $I_p$ . We will denote this by  $\mathbf{x} \sim \mathsf{N}(\mathbf{0}, I_p)$ . Let  $q_1, \ldots, q_k$  be quadratic forms in  $\mathbf{x}$  with ranks  $r_1, \ldots, r_k$ , respectively, and suppose that  $\sum q_i = \mathbf{x}'\mathbf{x}$ . Then what has become well-known as Cochran's theorem is Theorem II of Cochran (1934, p. 179): A necessary and sufficient condition that  $q_1, \ldots, q_k$  be independently distributed as  $\chi^2$  is that  $\sum r_i = p$ .

Rao (1973, Section 3b.4) gives this result with  $x \sim N(\mu, I)$  as the Fisher-Cochran theorem. Fisher (1925) showed that if the quadratic form q in x is distributed as  $\chi^2_h$ , then x'x-q is distributed as  $\chi^2_{p-h}$  independently of q, cf. James (1952).

Our purpose in this paper is partly expository; we review various extensions of Cochran's theorem in a bibliographic and historical perspective, with special emphasis on matrix-theoretic analogues. While we present over 30 references, we note that Scarowsky (1973) has a rather complete discussion and bibliography on the distribution of quadratic forms in normal random variables. See also the bibliography by Anderson et al. (1972), where 90 research papers published through 1966 are listed under subject-matter code 2.5 (distribution of quadratic and bilinear forms in normal variables).

Our first section is devoted to a survey of results summarized in Theorems 1.1 and 1.2. The proofs are given in Section 2. In the following section the extensions from idempotent to tripotent matrices are given and proved. All matrices considered in this paper will be real.

To formulate our first matrix-theoretic extension of Cochran's theorem we let  $A_1, \ldots, A_k$  be  $p \times p$  symmetric matrices and write  $A = \sum A_i$ . Consider the following statements:

(a) 
$$A_i^2 = A_i, i = 1, ..., k,$$

(b) 
$$A_i A_j = \mathbf{0}$$
 for all  $i \neq j$ ,

$$A = I,$$

(d) 
$$\sum \operatorname{rank}(A_i) = \operatorname{rank}(A).$$

Then the matrix-theoretic analogue of Cochran's theorem is:

$$(a),(b),(c) \to (d),$$
 (1.1)

$$(c),(d) \rightarrow (a),(b).$$
 (1.2)

The reason that these two versions of Cochran's theorem are equivalent follows from the following two well-known results:

**Lemma 1.1.** Let  $x \sim N(\mu, \Sigma)$ , with  $\Sigma$  positive definite, and let A be non-random and symmetric. Then  $x'Ax \sim \chi_f^2(\delta^2)$ , a non-central  $\chi^2$  distribution with f degrees of freedom and non-centrality parameter  $\delta^2$ , if and only if  $A\Sigma A = A$ , and then  $f = \operatorname{tr} A\Sigma = \operatorname{rank}(A)$  and  $\delta^2 = \mu'A\mu$ .

We write  $\operatorname{tr} A$  for the trace of A and note that when  $A = A^2$  then  $\operatorname{tr} A = \operatorname{rank}(A)$ ; this result holds even when A is not symmetric [cf., e.g. Rao (1973, p. 28)].

When  $\Sigma = I$ , the condition in Lemma 1.1 reduces to  $A^2 = A$ , and this was first given by Craig (1943) with  $\mu = 0$  and then by Carpenter (1950) with  $\mu$  possibly non-zero. [Thus (a) is equivalent to  $q_i = x'A_ix$  having a  $\chi^2$ -distribution with number of degrees of freedom equal to rank $(A_i)$ .] Sakamoto (1944, Th. II, p. 5) gave the more general version with  $\Sigma$  positive definite and  $\mu = 0$ . Cochran (1934, Corollary 1, p. 179) took  $x \sim N(0, I)$  and gave Lemma 1.1 with the condition that all the non-zero eigenvalues of A be equal to 1 instead of the condition  $A^2 = A$ .

**Lemma 1.2.** Let x and A be defined as in Lemma 1.1 and let B be non-random and symmetric. Then x'Ax and x'Bx are independently distributed if and only if  $A\Sigma B=0$ .

When  $\Sigma = I$  the condition in Lemma 1.2 reduces to AB = 0, and this was first given by Craig (1943) with  $\mu = 0$  and then by Carpenter (1950) with  $\mu$  possibly non-zero. Agair Sakamoto (1944, Th. I, p. 5) gave the more general version with  $\Sigma$  positive definite and  $\mu = 0$ . Their proofs, however, turned out to be incorrect and the first correct proof of Lemma 1.2 (with  $\mu = 0$ ) seems to be by Ogawa (1948; 1949, cf. p. 85). Cochran (1934, Theorem III, p. 181) let  $x \sim N(0, I)$  and gave the condition in Lemma 1.2 as

$$|I - isA| \cdot |I - itB| = |I - isA - itB|$$
(1.3)

for all real s and t, where  $i=\sqrt{-1}$  and  $|\cdot|$  denotes determinant. Ogasawara and

Takahashi (1951, Lemma 1) gave a short proof that (1.3) implies AB=0 when the symmetric matrices A and B are not necessarily positive semi-definite.

Cochran's theorem was first extended to  $x \sim N(\mu, I_p)$  by Madow (1940) and then to  $x \sim N(0, \Sigma)$ ,  $\Sigma$  positive definite, by Ogawa (1946, 1947), who also relaxed the condition (c) to  $A^2 = A$ . Ogasawara and Takahashi (1951) extended Cochran's theorem to  $x \sim N(\mu, \Sigma)$ ,  $\Sigma$  positive definite, and to  $x \sim N(0, \Sigma)$ , with  $\Sigma$  possibly singular. Extensions to  $x \sim N(\mu, \Sigma)$ , with  $\Sigma$  possibly singular, have been given by Styan (1970, Theorem 6) and Tan (1977, Theorem 4.2); Ogasawara and Takahashi (1951) extended Lemmas 1.1 and 1.2 to  $x \sim N(\mu, \Sigma)$ , with  $\Sigma$  possibly singular.

James (1952) appears to be the first to notice that (1.1) could be extended to

$$(a),(c) \to (b),(d),$$
  
 $(b),(c) \to (a),(d),$ 

while

(a),(b) 
$$\to A^2 = A$$
 and (d)

follows at once from the definition of the  $\chi^2$ -distribution.

Chipman and Rao (1964) and Khatri (1968) extended the matrix analogue of Cochran's theorem to square matrices which are not necessarily symmetric:

**Theorem 1.1.** Let  $A_1, ..., A_k$  be square matrices, not necessarily symmetric, and let  $A = \sum A_i$ . Consider the following statements:

(a) 
$$A_i^2 = A_i, i = 1,...,k,$$

(b) 
$$A_i A_j = 0$$
 for all  $i \neq j$ ,

$$(c) A^2 = A,$$

(d) 
$$\sum \operatorname{rank}(A_i) = \operatorname{rank}(A)$$
,

(e) 
$$\operatorname{rank}(A_i^2) = \operatorname{rank}(A_i), i = 1, ..., k.$$

Then

$$(a),(b)\to(c),(d),(e),$$
 (1.4)

$$(a),(c) \rightarrow (b),(d),(e),$$
 (1.5)

$$(b),(c),(e) \rightarrow (a),(d),$$
 (1.6)

$$(c),(d)\rightarrow(a),(b),(e).$$
 (1.7)

As Rao and Mitra (1971, p. 112) point out, the extra condition (e) in (16) is required (to cover possible asymmetry); for if k=2 and

$$A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

then (b), (c) hold, but (a) and (d) do not. Banerjee and Nagase (1976) replace the extra condition (e) in (1.6) by

(f) 
$$\operatorname{rank}(A_i) = \operatorname{tr} A_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, k,$$

and prove that

$$(b),(c),(f) \rightarrow (a),(d);$$
 (1.8)

however, the condition (b) is now no longer required on the left of (1.8) since

$$(c),(f)\to(a),(b),(d)$$

follows from

$$rank(A) = tr A = tr \sum A_i = \sum tr A_i = \sum rank(A_i)$$

and (1.7).

In Section 2 we present several proofs of Theorem 1.1.

Marsaglia and Styan (1974) extended Theorem 1.1 by considering an arbitrary sum of matrices, which may now be rectangular. The analogue of Theorem 1.1 is

**Theorem 1.2.** Let  $A_1, ..., A_k$  be  $p \times q$  matrices, and let  $A = \sum A_i$ . Consider the following statements:

$$(a') A_i A^- A_i = A_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, k,$$

(b') 
$$A_i A^{-} A_j = 0 \quad \text{for all } i \neq j,$$

(c') 
$$\operatorname{rank}(A_i A^{-} A_i) = \operatorname{rank}(A_i), \quad i = 1, ..., k.$$

$$(d') \qquad \sum \operatorname{rank}(A_i) = \operatorname{rank}(A),$$

where A is some g-inverse of A. Then

$$(a') \rightarrow (b'), (c'), (d'),$$
 (1.9)

$$(b'),(c') \rightarrow (a'),(d'),$$
 (1.10)

$$(d') \rightarrow (a'), (b'), (c').$$
 (1.11)

If (a') or if (b') and (c') hold for some g-inverse  $A^{-}$ , then (a'), (b') and (c') hold for every g-inverse  $A^{-}$ .

In Theorem 1.2 we define a g-inverse of A as any solution  $A^-$  to  $AA^-A = A$ , cf. Rao (1962), Rao and Mitra (1971).

The condition (c') in Theorem 1.2 plays the role of condition (e) in Theorem 1.1. Marsaglia and Styan (1974, Th. 13) proved (1.11), while Hartwig (1981) has established (1.9). The proposition (1.10), however, appears to be new and is proved in Section 2, where we also present several different proofs of (1.7). In Section 3 we extend Theorem 1.1 to tripotent matrices, following the work by Luther (1965), Tan (1975, 1976) and Khatri (1977). In Section 4 we discuss the applications of these algebraic theorems to statistics.

## 2. Some proofs

## 2.1. Proofs of Theorem 1.1

To prove (1.7) in Theorem 1.1 we begin by reducing condition (c) to a sum being I, as in the earlier version of Cochran's theorem; then (1.7) reduces to (1.2). We may do this since if A is  $p \times p$ , not necessarily symmetric, then, as we shall show,

$$A^{2} = A \leftrightarrow \operatorname{rank}(I - A) = p - \operatorname{rank}(A). \tag{2.1}$$

(Note Fisher's 1925 result goes both ways, cf. Section 1, paragraph 2.) To prove (2.1) let  $A^2 = A$ ; then  $(I - A)^2 = I - A$  and so

$$rank(I-A) = tr(I-A) = p - tr A = p - rank(A).$$

To go the other way we follow Krafft (1978, pp. 407-408) by noting that

$$\mathfrak{N}(A)\subset\mathcal{C}(I-A),\tag{2.2}$$

where  $\Re(A) = \{x: Ax = 0\}$  is the null space of A and  $\mathcal{C}(I-A) = \{(I-A)x\}$  is the column space of I-A. [If  $x \in \Re(A)$ , then Ax = 0 and  $(I-A)x = x \in \mathcal{C}(I-A)$ .] If rank(I-A) = p-rank(A), then equality must hold in (2.2) and so  $A^2 = A$ .

We are grateful to a reviewer for suggesting an alternative proof of (2.1). Consider the equations

$$\begin{pmatrix} I & A \\ A & A \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} I & I \\ \mathbf{0} & I \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I - A & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & A \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I & \mathbf{0} \\ I & I \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} I & \mathbf{0} \\ A & I \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & A - A^2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I & A \\ \mathbf{0} & I \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then

$$rank(\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{A}^2) + p = rank(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{A}) + rank(\mathbf{A})$$
 (2.2a)

from which (2.1) follows at once.

The equation (2.2a) represents "rank additivity on the Schur complement", cf. Marsaglia and Styan (1974, p. 291). Let

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} E & F \\ G & H \end{pmatrix}$$

and suppose that

$$\operatorname{rank}(E, F) = \operatorname{rank}\left(\frac{E}{G}\right) = \operatorname{rank}(E),$$

$$\operatorname{rank}(G, H) = \operatorname{rank}\left(\frac{F}{H}\right) = \operatorname{rank}(H).$$

Then

$$(M/E)=H-GE^-F$$

is the Schur complement of E in M and this is invariant over choices of  $E^-$ . Similarly

$$(M/H) = E - FH - G$$

is the Schur complement of H in M and this is invariant over choices of  $H^-$ . It follows that

$$rank(\mathbf{M}) = rank(\mathbf{M}/\mathbf{E}) + rank(\mathbf{E})$$

$$= rank(\mathbf{M}/\mathbf{H}) + rank(\mathbf{H}). \tag{2.2b}$$

Setting

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} I & A \\ A & A \end{pmatrix}$$

yields (2.2a) directly.

We now write  $A_0 = I - A$ , and in view of (2.1) we replace (c) by  $\sum_{i=0}^k A_i = I$ , and (d) by  $\sum_{i=0}^k \operatorname{rank}(A_i) = p$ .

The proof of (1.7) by Cochran (1934, p. 180), cf. also Anderson (1958, p. 164) and Rao (1973, Section 3b.4), requires that  $A_1, \ldots, A_k$  be symmetric. In this event we may write

$$\mathbf{A}_{i} = \mathbf{P}_{i} \mathbf{P}'_{i} - \mathbf{Q}_{i} \mathbf{Q}'_{i}, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, k,$$
 (2.3)

where  $P_i$  is  $p \times p_i$ ,  $Q_i$  is  $p \times q_i$ , and  $A_i$  has  $p_i$  positive and  $q_i$  negative eigenvalues, cf., e.g. Anderson (1958, p. 346). In (2.3) we assume that  $P_i$  has rank  $p_i$ ,  $Q_i$  has rank  $q_i$  and  $p_i + q_i = r_i$ , the rank of  $A_i$ . Hence

$$I_{p} = \sum_{i=0}^{k} A_{i} = \sum_{i=0}^{k} P_{i} P_{i}' - \sum_{i=0}^{k} Q_{i} Q_{i}'$$

$$I_{p} = \sum_{i=0}^{k} A_{i} = \sum_{i=0}^{k} P_{i} P_{i}' - \sum_{i=0}^{k} Q_{i} Q_{i}'$$

$$I_{p} = \sum_{i=0}^{k} A_{i} = \sum_{i=0}^{k} P_{i} P_{i}' - \sum_{i=0}^{k} Q_{i} Q_{i}'$$

$$=(P_0,\ldots,P_k,Q_0,\ldots,Q_k)\begin{pmatrix} I_{p-q} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & -I_q \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} P'_0 \\ \vdots \\ P'_k \\ Q'_0 \\ \vdots \\ Q'_k \end{pmatrix}$$

$$=PJP'.$$

say, where  $q = \sum_{i=0}^k q_i$ , since from (d) now  $p = \sum_{i=0}^k r_i = \sum_{i=0}^k (p_i + q_i) = (\sum_{i=0}^k p_i) + q$ . But (2.4) is positive definite and P is non-singular; hence q = 0 and  $J = I_p$ . Thus

 $(2.4)^{-1}$ 

 $q_0 = \cdots = q_k = 0$  and (2.4) reduces to

$$I_p = (P_0, \dots, P_k) \begin{pmatrix} P'_0 \\ \vdots \\ P'_k \end{pmatrix} = PP',$$

and so  $P = (P_0, ..., P_k)$  is an orthogonal matrix. Hence  $A_i^2 = P_i P_i' P_i P_i' = P_i P_i' = A_i$  since  $P_i' P_i = I_{r_i}$ , and  $A_i A_j = P_i P_i' P_j P_j' = 0$  for all  $i \neq j$  since then  $P_i' P_j = 0$ .

We now present four other proofs of (1.7); these four proofs do not require that  $A_0, \ldots, A_k$  be symmetric.

Following Craig (1938, p. 49), cf. also Aitken (1950, Section 6) and Rao and Mitra (1971, pp. 111–112), we may prove (1.7) using a rank-subadditivity argument. From (2.1) with  $A_k$  replacing A we have

$$p-\operatorname{rank}(\boldsymbol{A}_{k}) \leq \operatorname{rank}(\boldsymbol{I}_{p}-\boldsymbol{A}_{k})$$

$$=\operatorname{rank}(\boldsymbol{A}_{0}+\cdots+\boldsymbol{A}_{k-1})$$

$$\leq \operatorname{rank}(\boldsymbol{A}_{0})+\cdots+\operatorname{rank}(\boldsymbol{A}_{k-1})$$

$$=p-\operatorname{rank}(\boldsymbol{A}_{k})$$
(2.5)

when (d) holds. This inequality string, therefore, collapses, and rank  $(I_p - A_k) = p - \text{rank}(A_k)$ , which implies  $A_k^2 = A_k$  by (2.1); repeating the argument with  $A_{k-1}, A_{k-2}, \ldots$  yields (a). To see that this implies (b) we follow Rao and Mitra (1971, p. 112) by noting that the argument used in (2.5) implies that

$$(A_i + A_j)^2 = A_i + A_j$$

and so

$$A_iA_j + A_jA_i = 0.$$

Premultiplying by  $A_i$  yields

$$A_i A_j + A_i A_j A_i = 0, (2.6)$$

while postmultiplying (2.6) by  $A_i$  yields

$$2A_iA_jA_i=0=A_iA_jA_i.$$

Substituting into (2.6) yields (b).

Our next proof of (1.7) follows Chipman and Rao (1964, p. 4), cf. also Styan (1970, p. 571). We write

$$A_i = B_i C_i'$$

where  $B_i$  and  $C_i$  are  $p \times r_i$  of rank  $r_i$ . Then

$$I_p = \sum A_i = \sum B_i C_i'$$

$$= (B_0, ..., B_k) \begin{pmatrix} C_0' \\ C_k' \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= BC'$$

$$=BC'$$
.

say. By (d) **B** and **C** are both non-singular and so  $C' = B^{-1}$  and

$$C'B = I_p = \begin{pmatrix} C'_0B_0, \dots, C'_0B_k \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ C'_kB_0, \dots, C'_kB_k \end{pmatrix},$$

which implies that

$$A_i^2 = B_i C_i' B_i C_i' = B_i C_i' = A_i,$$
  

$$A_i A_i = B_i C_i' B_i C_i' = 0 \text{ for all } i \neq j.$$

Hence (1.7) is established.

Our fourth proof of (1.7) follows Loynes (1966), cf. also Searle (1971, p. 63). A rank-subadditivity argument is used similar to that used in (2.5):

$$p-\operatorname{rank}(A_{k}) \leq \operatorname{rank}(I_{p}-A_{k})$$

$$\leq \operatorname{rank}(A_{0}, A_{1}, ..., A_{k-1}, I_{p}-A_{k})$$

$$= \operatorname{rank}(A_{0}, ..., A_{k-1}, I-A_{0}-...-A_{k-1}-A_{k})$$

$$= \operatorname{rank}(A_{0}, ..., A_{k-1})$$

$$\leq \operatorname{rank}(A_{0}) + ... + \operatorname{rank}(A_{k-1})$$

$$= p-\operatorname{rank}(A_{k}),$$

Our fifth and final proof of (1.7) follows a suggestion made by a reviewer. Let the  $pk \times p$  matrix

$$K=(I,I,\ldots,I)'$$

and let

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & A_k \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then

$$A = \sum_{i=1}^{k} A_i = K'DK$$

and

(c) 
$$\leftrightarrow K'DK = K'DKK'DK$$
, (2.6a)  
(d)  $\leftrightarrow \operatorname{rank}(D) = \operatorname{rank}(K'DK)$   
 $= \operatorname{rank}(K'D) = \operatorname{rank}(DK)$ 

using Sylvester's Law of Nullity. Applying the rank cancellation rules Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2 below to (2.6a) yields

$$D = DKK'D$$

or

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & A_k \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 \\ \vdots \\ A_k \end{pmatrix} (A_1, \dots, A_k),$$

which is (a) and (b). See also Marsaglia and Styan (1974, p. 285) and Srivastava and Khatri (1979, p. 14).

The rest of Theorem 1.1 is easily proved. To prove (1.4) we see that (a), (b)  $\rightarrow$ 

$$A^{2} = (\sum A_{i})^{2} = \sum A_{i}^{2} + \sum_{i \neq j} A_{i}A_{j} = \sum A_{i} = A,$$

white

$$\sum \operatorname{rank}(A_i) = \sum \operatorname{tr} A_i = \operatorname{tr} \sum A_i = \operatorname{tr} A = \operatorname{rank}(A), \tag{2.7}$$

and so (1.4) is established.

To prove (1.5) we see that (a), (c)  $\rightarrow$  (d) from (2.7) and so (1.5) follows from (1.7). To prove (1.6) we see that (b), (c)  $\rightarrow$ 

$$A^{2} = (\sum A_{i})^{2} = \sum A_{i}^{2} + \sum_{i \neq j} A_{i} A_{j} = \sum A_{i}^{2} = \sum A_{i} = A;$$

multiplying through by  $A_i$ , yields

$$A_i^3 = A_i^2 \tag{2.8}$$

using (b). To see that  $(2.8) \rightarrow (a)$  we use the rank cancellation rule Lemma 2.1 below, cf. (2.13) in Marsaglia and Styan (1974, p. 271); this rule will also be useful later on.

Lemma 2.1 (Right-hand rank cancellation rule). If

$$LAX = MAX \text{ and } rank(AX) = rank(A)$$
 (2.9)

for some conformable matrices A, L, M and X, then

$$LA = MA. \tag{2.10}$$

Thus  $(2.8) \rightarrow (a)$  by replacing L, A and X in (2.9) by  $A_i$  and M by I. Then (2.9) becomes (2.8) and (e), while (2.10) becomes (a). [We note that the two matrices  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  displayed right after Theorem 1.1 satisfy (2.8) but not (e).] Then (d) follows from (1.4) or (1.5).

**Proof of Lemma 2.1.** Let A = BC', where B and C have r columns and r = rank(A) = rank(B) = rank(C). Then rank(AX) = rank(BC'X) = rank(C'X) = rank(A), and so C'X has full row rank. Thus LAX = MAX equals  $LBC'X = MBC'X \rightarrow LB = MB \rightarrow LBC' = MBC'$ , which is (2.10).

Transposing the matrices in Lemma 2.1 yields:

Lemma 2.2 (Left-hand rank cancellation rule). If

$$LAX = LAY$$
 and  $rank(LA) = rank(A)$ 

for some conformable matrices A, L, X and Y, then

$$AX = AY$$
.