

Cultural-Existential Psychology

The Role of Culture in Suffering and Threat

Daniel Sullivan

University of Arizona



CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

University Printing House, Cambridge CB2 8BS, United Kingdom

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org
Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781107096868

© Daniel Sullivan 2016

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2016

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloguing in Publication data Sullivan, Daniel, 1940-

Cultural-existential psychology: the role of culture in suffering and threat / Daniel Sullivan.

New York: Cambridge University Press, 2016.

LCCN 2015044941 | ISBN 9781107096868 (hardback)

LCSH: Psychology - Social aspects. | Existential psychology. |

Culture - Psychological aspects.

LCC BF57 .S885 2016 | DDC 150.19/2-dc23

LC record available at http://lccn.loc.gov/2015044941

ISBN 978-1-107-09686-8 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication, and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.

Cultural-Existential Psychology

Cultural psychology and experimental existential psychology are two of the fastest-growing movements in social psychology. In this book Daniel Sullivan combines both perspectives to present a groundbreaking analysis of culture's role in shaping the psychology of threat experience. The first part of the book presents a new theoretical framework guided by three central principles: that humans are in a unique existential situation because we possess symbolic consciousness and culture; that culture provides psychological protection against threatening experiences, but also helps to create them; and that interdisciplinary methods are vital to understanding the link between culture and threat. In the second part of the book, Sullivan presents a novel program of research guided by these principles. Focusing on a case study of a traditionalist group of Mennonites in the Midwestern United States, Sullivan examines the relationships between religion, community, guilt, anxiety, and the experience of natural disaster.

DANIEL SULLIVAN is an Assistant Professor in the Psychology Department at the University of Arizona in Tucson. He is the author of several articles and book chapters on topics in experimental existential psychology, including terror management theory, enemyship and conspiracy theories, and interpretations of suffering and victimhood. He has also written on film and literature, and with Jeff Greenberg was the coeditor of *Death in Classic and Contemporary Film: Fade to Black* (2013).

To Leah and Beckett

此为试读,需要完整PDF请访问: www.ertongbook.com

One of the ultimate concerns of the modern worldview can be thus characterized. Man has always been aware of certain realities and values. certain objects of belief and validities, for which there is no room in his seemingly strictly circumscribed space . . . At first he expresses the certainty of this awareness in that he consolidates all such things in separate existences outside of life. He beholds them in the sharply separated beyond and lets them react back from there onto life ... Against this naiveté arises critical enlightenment, which recognizes nothing "beyond" the subject; it throws back everything located in the beyond within the bounds of subjective immediacy, and thus declares as illusion whatever tends to persist nevertheless in independent confrontation. This is the first step of the great tendency in intellectual history: to place back into life itself, by means of a mighty revolution, everything that had been established outside of life in its own existence and which came to life from beyond. But since at this point life is conceived as absolute immanence, everything remains in a subjectivization ... a denial of the form of the beyond, and one fails to notice that with this delimitation of the subject he has in fact made himself dependent on the idea of the beyond, and that it is only in and from this beyond that the boundary could take shape in which life was caught and busied itself in the unbreakable circle of the self.

- Georg Simmel (1918/2010, p. 17)

When the auratic traces of the sacred have been lost and the products of a synthetic, world-picturing power of imagination have vanished, the form of understanding, now fully differentiated in its validity basis, becomes so transparent that the communicative practice of everyday life no longer affords any niches for the structural violence of ideologies ... In place of the positive task of meeting a certain need for interpretation by ideological means, we have the negative requirement of preventing holistic interpretations from coming into existence ... The desired equivalent for no-longer-available ideologies might simply consist in the fact that everyday knowledge ... remains diffuse, or at least never attains that level of articulation at which alone knowledge can be accepted as valid according to the standards of cultural modernity. *Everyday consciousness* is robbed of its power to synthesize; it becomes *fragmented*.

- Jürgen Habermas (1987, pp. 354-355; emphasis in original)

Figures

2.1	Three phenomenological worlds and five cultural	
	orientations.	page 30
2.2	Multiple methods of study for understanding the	
	relationship between culture and people's experience of	
	suffering and threat.	42
3.1	The proposed integrative model of existential threat	
	experiences.	59
3.2	A dialectical relationship between anxiety and guilt.	66
4.1	Cultural patterns of social orientation and social control	
	and their components, arranged by degree of explicitness.	86
4.2	Four cultural types and their characteristic patterns	
	generated by the current two-variable model.	100
6.1	Redemptive suffering interpretation among KS Mennonites	
	as a function of collectivism, individualism, and generation.	152
7.1	Mean differences on religiosity and cultural variables among	,
	the three comparison groups.	176
7.2	Mean differences on threat variables among the three	
	comparison groups.	178

Tables

4.1	Overview of prior two-variable models of culture	
	in sociology, anthropology, and psychology.	page 80
4.2	Cultural orientations within each of the four primary types.	101
5.1	Extant evidence supporting central hypotheses derived from	
	the DISA/DESA perspective.	124
7.1	Summary of religious, cultural, and worldview orientations	
	among the three comparison groups.	175
7.2	Summary of threat and defense patterns among the three	
	comparison groups.	177
8.1	The analytic category of Community, its major components,	
	and constituent descriptive codes.	196
8.2	The analytic category of Transcendence, its major component	ts,
	and constituent descriptive codes.	203

This book attempts to be interdisciplinary, and to draw on a considerable body of literature that has amassed in the social sciences and philosophy in the past 200 years. Its format is somewhat unusual: Part I of the book presents a new theoretical perspective, cultural-existential psychology, while Part II reports original research that I conducted as an initial demonstration of the fruitfulness of this perspective.

For these reasons, readers from different disciplines may find some parts of the book more difficult to process than others. The aim of this preface is to state clearly the intentions of the book and each individual chapter, as a kind of guide for the reader.

Chapter 1 is an introduction to the research traditions that preceded and contribute to cultural-existential psychology. It begins with summaries of the recent experimental existential and cultural movements in social psychology, and discusses the need to combine these two perspectives to provide a comprehensive scientific account of how people in diverse settings differentially experience and react to suffering and threat. It then reviews some of the precursors of a combined cultural-existential perspective in the history of the social sciences.

Chapter 2 lays out the three "fundamental principles" of cultural-existential psychology. The first is that humans are unique animals by virtue of our capacity for symbolic consciousness. The second is that culture is both a defense against and a source of the problems of theodicy and nihilism. In other words, cultural systems provide "threat orientations" – they predispose us to experience certain kinds of events as threatening, while also simultaneously providing characteristic means of interpreting and defending against those threats. The third principle is that multiple, interdisciplinary methods are required to fully understand how the individual's experience of threat and suffering is shaped by culture.

Chapter 3 offers a new integrative model of the types of threats that all humans are capable of experiencing, derived from existential philosophy. In line with terror management theory, the cultural-existential

perspective posits that death is the ultimate threat in human experience, and that death awareness is the ultimate source of all other threats. However, because people invest in symbolic structures that protect them from awareness of death – such as religion and self-esteem – they often experience threat not as death angst, but rather as an infringement on one of these protective structures.

The chapter distinguishes between three general levels of threat experience that are hierarchically related to the ultimate threat of death angst. At the lowest level of threat experience, people often undergo everyday feelings of either anxiety or guilt. Anxiety broadly encompasses feelings of uncertainty about how to act in the world, the probability of certain desired or undesired outcomes, or the meaningfulness of one's daily activities. Guilt broadly refers to feelings of inadequacy, immorality, or low self-esteem that individuals experience after a behavior that violates internalized standards for proper and worthy conduct.

If these lower-level threats are not resolved, they can become more serious, and the individual may feel that higher-order symbolic structures – such as their basic conviction that they are a worthy person – have come under threat. At this stage, individuals undergo the second-level threat of angst. Unresolved angst can bring the individual to the rare third-level threat of nihilism: the lack of any belief that life is worth living. The chapter distinguishes between nihilistic disorientation – the belief that there are no meaningful goals or values worth achieving – and nihilistic despair – the belief that I, as an individual, am completely incapable of achieving the goals or values that I consider meaningful.

Chapter 4 presents a novel, comprehensive model of cultural differences. Cultures vary on two primary dimensions. On the dimension of social orientation, some cultures are collectivist – people have a group-centric worldview, and they see themselves as interdependent with others. Other cultures are individualist – people have an egocentric worldview, and they experience themselves as independent from others. On the dimension of social control, some cultures are characterized by visible control – strong moral norms are reinforced by relative intolerance of deviant behavior. Other cultures practice invisible control – norms are primarily un-formalized and deviance is widely tolerated. Bringing these two dimensions together yields a model of four cultural "ideal types." The chapter concludes by discussing these types.

Chapter 5 concludes the theoretical portion of the book by integrating Chapter 3's model of existential threat with Chapter 4's model of cultural variation. Different cultural patterns provide different threat orientations, directing the individual toward certain kinds of threat experience and away from others. Two broad types of cultural threat orientation are

Preface xiii

presented. Disorientation-avoidant cultures prioritize the avoidance of nihilistic disorientation – the beliefs and practices of such cultures protect the sacred nature of local values, but at the cost of orienting individuals toward experiences of lower-level guilt. A fundamentalist sect, for example, is disorientation-avoidant: strict religious beliefs shield members from any threat of meaninglessness, but feelings of guilt are inevitable when members fall short of their faith's high standards. Despair-avoidant cultures emphasize the avoidance of nihilistic despair - individuals are socialized to protect their sense of self-esteem and uniqueness, at the cost of predisposing them to lower-level anxiety. Secular members of middle-class and affluent US society are immersed in a despair-avoidant culture: when individuals in this setting encounter threats to their selfesteem, they invoke a variety of defenses to protect their self-worth, but these defenses often involve seeing the world as hostile and uncertain, creating potential anxiety. While collectivist/visibly controlled cultures (e.g., feudal Japan) foster a disorientation-avoidant threat orientation, individualist/invisibly controlled cultures (e.g., the contemporary United States) breed despair avoidance.

Part II presents a program of previously unpublished research, which serves as a case study demonstrating how the framework described in Part I may be used to generate novel hypotheses and insights. In particular, in three studies using multiple methods, I show how cultural-existential theory can illuminate the particular ways in which one cultural subgroup—traditionalist, rural Mennonites in the United States—experiences a variety of threats.

Chapter 6 presents the first of these studies. Archival survey data for this study were collected in 1980 from rural Mennonites born between 1898 and 1940. During the lifetimes of the participants, this particular group of Mennonites assimilated to mainstream US culture. I am therefore able to use these archival data to examine how processes of cultural change influence people's interpretations of negative events. Specifically, I present generational analyses showing that as the Mennonite community assimilated to mainstream values over time, their cultural values became intertwined with mainstream interpretations of suffering.

Chapter 7 presents a cultural-comparative study quantitatively assessing threat experiences in different subcultures. In this and the following chapter, I offer the results of a collaboration with a minority Mennonite group that has resisted assimilation, namely Church of God in Christ or "Holdeman" Mennonites. In terms of the cultural model presented in Chapter 4, Holdeman Mennonites represent a collectivist/visibly controlled culture. I administered a survey regarding experiences

of existential threat to these Mennonites, as well as individualist Unitarian Universalists and a standard sample of university undergraduates. The strongly collectivist Mennonites displayed a threat pattern characteristic of disorientation avoidance: they scored higher than the other two groups on measures of guilt, but lower on measures of anxiety and concerns about meaninglessness. By contrast, the other two, more individualist groups (undergraduates and Unitarian Universalists) displayed patterns more characteristic of despair avoidance: they scored comparatively higher on measures of anxiety and lower on measures of guilt.

Chapter 8 completes the empirical portion by examining in detail the subjective experience of actual threat in particular Mennonite individuals. Specifically, I describe results from in-depth interviews with members of a Holdeman congregation who survived the devastating Greensburg, Kansas, tornado of 2007. The entire population of Greensburg was reduced to half after the storm because many people lacked the financial means and support to rebuild their lost homes. However, the small Holdeman community had a swift and nearly full recovery. I found that the community-oriented, orthodox Holdemans interpreted the storm in light of their religious beliefs, and did not see the experience as threatening their meaning system. Furthermore, because of their communal social structure and practical knowledge, the congregation was able to quickly rebuild.

Finally, **Chapter 9** reflects on the ideas and findings presented throughout the book, probing and problematizing them in the hope of generating future research efforts. The book concludes with consideration of major existential problems faced in contemporary society by people from diverse cultures.

A few notes may help readers from different disciplinary backgrounds approach this work in ways that are best suited to their interests. First, in presenting a novel and interdisciplinary perspective, I have found it necessary to create many new terms or use terms that might not be familiar to those outside of a given discipline. I have therefore provided a short glossary of frequently used terms at the end of the book (Appendix A). Second, I have attempted to present the quantitative research in Chapters 6 and 7 in a nontechnical way that would be readily interpretable for readers unfamiliar with psychological research methods. For those interested in details of the study methods and statistical analyses, full information can be found in Appendices B–D.

For those readers who are primarily interested in the research reported in Part II, Chapters 3 and 4 are the most essential theoretical chapters for

Preface xv

interpreting the results. The other theoretical chapters may be skimmed. Other readers may be more interested in the overall framework of cultural-existential psychology than in the specific case studies of US Mennonites, in which case the first five chapters stand as an independent whole.

A work like this is the product of many years of interaction with scholars, friends, and family, both living and dead.

The research section (particularly Chapter 7) is based on my doctoral dissertation conducted at the University of Kansas. It is substantively revised. Nevertheless, my dissertation committee had a definite positive impact on this work. I would like to thank Mark Landau, John Janzen, Glenn Adams, Nyla Branscombe, and Chris Crandall for serving on my committee.

Over the years two mentors have guided the spirit of my intellectual efforts. The first is Jeff Greenberg; were it not for him, I would probably not be a psychologist. I learned from him the importance of defending good ideas, despite the fact that they are frequently unpopular. The second is Mark Landau. Mark was an extremely patient and supportive mentor throughout my graduate career, and this book would not have been possible without him.

Many others have been invaluable sources of intellectual inspiration, guidance, or comradeship. The following are people who have all had a lasting impact on my ideas and approach to research: Bob Antonio, Glenn Adams, Zachary Rothschild, Lucas Keefer, Sheridan Stewart, Roman Palitsky, Kirk J. Schneider, Achim Stephan, and Leah Kapa.

I owe a special debt to people and institutions which made the original research reported here possible. The following people shared with me their current knowledge or past data regarding Mennonites in Kansas: John M. Janzen, William R. Bowerman, Michael H. Crawford, Jeffrey Longhoffer, Daniel Liechty, and Doug Penner. Sheridan A. Stewart and Joseph Diefendorf were more than research assistants – they contributed ideas and went well beyond the call of duty in collecting data from people throughout Kansas. The Mennonite Library and Archives at Bethel College was a tremendous resource. The bierocks at Lincoln Perk coffee shop in Hesston fueled my long drives across the state.

I have received instructive and encouraging feedback on the research presented in this book from various groups of scholars. In particular,

I thank Lynn Davidman's qualitative methods seminar and the Cultural Psychology Research Group at the University of Kansas. I also thank Athena Aktipis and the 2015 Needs-Based Transfers and Disaster Recovery Workshop at the Decision Center for a Desert City in Phoenix. I thank Peter Foley and the Institute for the Study of Religion and Culture at the University of Arizona.

I received funding for this book or the research reported from the National Science Foundation, Grant DGE-0907996, and from the Provost's Author Support Award at the University of Arizona. Becky Taylor at Cambridge University Press initially supported the book. Collaborative writings with Tom Pyszczynski and Aaron Kay shaped some of the ideas. I received helpful comments from Shigehiro Oishi and Michele J. Gelfand, although I take responsibility for any shortcomings of the product. Roman Palitsky did an invaluable service by preparing the references and index, while also contributing substantially to the ideas presented.

Of course, the research would not have been possible without the collaboration of two Holdeman Mennonite congregations and one Unitarian Universalist congregation in Kansas. I wish to express deep appreciation for the ministers and congregation members who facilitated my studies. I also thank the undergraduates who have participated in my studies over the years.

One cannot undertake a work of this sort without substantial emotional and material support from family. I deeply thank: Brian and Elizabeth Sullivan; Keith and Justin Sullivan; Milt and Judy Kapa; Laura, Ken, Dean, and Simone Gottschalk; and Mitch and Owen Kapa. The love, humor, and wisdom of Leah Kapa and Beckett Sullivan could see me through anything.

Contents

	List of figures List of tables	page ix
	Preface	x xi
	Acknowledgments	xvi
	Part I Theory	1
1	Theoretical roots of cultural-existential psychology	3
2	Fundamental principles of cultural-existential psychology	26
3	A model of existential threat	44
4	Cultural variation as patterns of social orientation and control	78
5	Cultural threat orientations: disorientation avoidance and despair avoidance	108
	Part II Research	131
6	Modernization and changes in attitudes toward suffering among Kansas Mennonites	133
7	Cultural threat orientations among traditionalist Mennonites, Unitarian Universalists, and college students	155
8	Transcendence versus redemption in the experience of a natural disaster	184
	Part III Implications	217
9	Cultural-existential psychology and contemporary society	219

Land of the land

vii

viii Contents

Appendix A	Guide to key abbreviations and terms	240
Appendix B	Data analyses, Chapter 6	244
Appendix C	Methodology and questionnaire items, Chapter 7	246
Appendix D	Data analyses, Chapter 7	253
References		258
Index		293