TRANSACTIONS OF

K.C. WONG EDUCATION FOUNDATION

SUPPORTED LECTURES

正東微数音基金会

学术讲座汇编

主编 競伟长

· 25 · 2006

王宽诚教育基金会

学术讲座汇编

(第26集)

主编: 钱伟长

上海大学出版社

图书在版编目(CIP)数据

王宽诚教育基金会学术讲座汇编. 26/钱伟长主编. 上海:上海大学出版社,2006.8 ISBN 7-81058-721-8

I. 王... II. 钱... III. ①社会科学-中国-文集 ②自然科学-中国-文集 IV. Z427

中国版本图书馆 CIP 数据核字 (2006) 第 115011 号

王宽诚教育基金会《学术讲座汇编》

(第26集 2006年)

钱伟长 主编

上海大学出版社出版 上海市上大路 99 号 邮政编码 200444 出版人: 姚铁军

上海锦佳装订厂印刷 开本 787×1092 1/16 彩插 1 印张 13.5 字数 320 千字 2006 年 8 月第 1 版 2006 年 8 月第 1 次印刷 印数 1-900 册

ISBN 7-81058-721-8 / Z · 014

惠存

王宽诚教育基金会敬赠

2006年6月

谨以此书纪念本会创建人、故董事会主席王宽诚先生

王宽诚教育基金会

DEDICATED TO THE MEMORY OF MR. K.C. WONG, FOUNDER OF THE FOUNDATION AND THE LATE CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

K.C. WONG EDUCATION FOUNDATION



王宽诚先生

K.C.WONG(1907-1986)

王宽诚教育基金会简介

王宽诚先生(1907—1986)为香港著名爱国人士,热心祖国教育事业,生前为故乡宁波的教育事业做出积极贡献。1985 年独立捐巨资创建王宽诚教育基金会,其宗旨在于为国家培养高级技术人才,为祖国四个现代化效力。

王宽诚先生在世时聘请海内外著名学者担任基金会考选委员会和学务委员会委员,共商大计,确定采用"送出去"和"请进来"的方针,为国家培养各科专门人才,提高内地和港澳高等院校的教学水平,资助学术界人士互访以促进中外文化交流。在此方针指导下,1985、1986 两年,基金会在国家教委支持下,选派学生85名前往英、美、加拿大、德国、瑞士和澳大利亚各国攻读博士学位,并计划资助内地学者赴港澳讲学,资助港澳学者到内地讲学,资助美国学者来国内讲学。正当基金会事业初具规模、蓬勃发展之时,王宽诚先生一病不起,于1986年年底逝世。这是基金会的重大损失,共事同仁,无不深切怀念,不胜惋惜。

1987年起,王宽诚教育基金会继承王宽诚先生为国家培养高级技术人才的遗愿,继续对中国内地、台湾及港澳学者出国攻读博士学位、博士后研究及学术交流提供资助。委请国家教育部、中国科学院和上海大学校长钱伟长教授等逐年安排资助学术交流的项目。相继与(英国)皇家学会、法国科研中心、德国学术交流中心、法国高等科学研究院等著名欧洲学术机构合作,设立"王宽诚(英国)皇家学会奖学金"、"王宽诚法国科研中心奖学金"、"王宽诚德国学术交流中心奖学金"、"王宽诚法国高等科学研究院奖学金",资助具有副教授或同等职称以上的中国内地学者前往英国、法国、德国等地的高等学府及科研机构进行为期2至12个月之博士后研究。

王宽诚教育基金会过去和现在的工作态度一贯以王宽诚先生倡导的"公正"二字为守则,谅今后基金会亦将秉此行事,奉行不辍,借此王宽诚教育基金会《学术讲座汇编》出版之际,特简明介绍如上。王宽诚教育基金会日常工作繁忙,基金会各位董事均不辞劳累,做出积极贡献。

钱 伟 长二〇〇六年六月

前言

王宽诚教育基金会是由已故全国政协常委、香港著名工商企业家王宽诚先生(1907—1986)出于爱国热忱,出资一亿美元于1985年在香港注册登记创立的。

1987 年,基金会开设"学术讲座"项目,此项目由当时的全国政协委员、历任第六、七、八、九届全国政协副主席、著名科学家、中国科学院院士、上海大学校长、王宽诚教育基金会贷款留学生考选委员会主任委员兼学务委员会主任委员钱伟长教授主持。由钱伟长教授亲自起草设立"学术讲座"的规定,资助内地学者前往香港、澳门讲学,资助美国学者来中国讲学,资助港澳学者前来内地讲学,用以促进中外学术交流,提高内地及港澳高等院校的教学质量。

本汇编收集的文章,均系各地学者在"学术讲座"活动中的讲稿,文章内容有科学技术,有历史文化,有经济专论,有文学,有宗教和中国古籍研究等。本汇编涉及的学术领域颇为广泛,而每篇文章都有一定的深度和广度,分期分册以《王宽诚教育基金会学术讲座汇编》的名义出版,并无偿分送国内外部分高等院校、科研机构和图书馆,以广流传。

王宽诚教育基金会除资助"学术讲座"学者进行学术交流之外,在钱伟长教授主持的项目下,还资助由国内有关高等院校推荐的学者前往欧、美、亚、澳等参加国际学术会议,出访的学者均向所出席的会议提交论文,这些论文亦颇有水平,本汇编亦将其收入,以供参考。

王宽诚教育基金会学务委员会

凡 例

(一) 编排次序

本书所收集的王宽诚教育基金会学术讲座的讲稿及由王宽诚教育基金会资助学者赴欧、美、亚、澳等参加国际学术会议的论文均按照文稿日期先后或文稿内容编排刊列,不分类别。

(二) 分期分册出版并作简明介绍

因文稿较多,为求便于携带,有利阅读与检索,故分期分册出版,每册约 150 页至 200 页不等。为便于读者查考,每篇学术讲座的讲稿均注明作者姓名、学位、职务、讲学日期、地点、访问院校名称。内地及港、澳学者到欧、美、澳及亚洲的国家和地区参加国际学术会议的论文均注明学者姓名、参加会议的名称、时间、地点和推荐的单位。上述两类文章均注明由王宽诚教育基金会资助字样。

(三) 文字种类

本书为学术性文章汇编,均以学术讲座学者之讲稿原稿或参加国际学术会议者向会议提交的论文原稿文字为准,原讲稿或论文是中文的,即以中文刊出,原讲稿或论文是外文的,仍以外文刊出。

目 录 CONTENTS

Tourism Demand Forecasting	宋海岩	(1)
能量吸收与多胞材料 ************************************	余同希	(25)
空心球多胞材料的力学性能	余同希	(42)
从全球到本地:媒介教育发展和实施中的话题与争议	张志俭	(58)
媒介教育在中小学的相关度:香港实例	张志俭	(65)
Catalytic Performance of Lignin Peroxidase Hosted in AOT Reverse		
Micellar Medium ·····	黄锡荣	(75)
Research on Intervening System of Institution to Professionals Education of		
Management in Engineering	尹贻林	(83)
Scale-Related Topology Optimization from Unit Cell Homogenization to		
Superelement Model	张卫红	(91)
Model Design and Technology of Rhino	姜楠	(104)
Digital Library of Intellectual Property Protect in China	张文德	(110)
Observer Design for Descriptor Systems with Lipschitz Constraint	陆国平	(116)
Reduced-Order Controller Design for Stabilization of Lipschitz Nonlinear		
Systems	陆国平	(124)
A Hierachical Multiscale Model for In-Plane Mechanical Strength of		
Stitched Composite Laminates	张俊乾	(129)
New Courses in Engineering Education Programmes in NUAA	陈旭	(136)
Characteristics of Recrystallization Texture Evolution in High Magnetic Field		
for Interstitial Free (IF) Steel Sheet ······	赵 骧	(142)
Failure Mechanism of Ferroelectric Ceramics under Electric, Mechanical and		
Chemical Loading ·····	乔利杰	(150)
Relationship Between Structure and Emulsifying Properties of Chickpea		
Protein Isolates ·····	江 波	(159)
Experimental Study of Cap-Pile Groups Interaction Mechanism		
	白晓红	(170)
Novel Switched Reluctance Servo Direct-Drive System ······	陈 昊	(184)
From Mechanistic Study to the Design of Platinum-Based Anticancer		
Complexes	郭子建	(193)
Arithmetical Properties of Finite Groups	施武杰	(194)

Tourism Demand Forecasting

SONG Hai-yan*1, TURNER Lindsay2

(1.School of Hotel and Tourism Management, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hong Kong, P.R.China;
2.School of Applied Economics, Victoria University, Australia)

Abstract: The forecasting of tourism demand has taken numerous turns in regard to methodology over the past twenty years. In the history of this development the methods have been variously assessed, compared and used in different contexts. Consequently, it is increasingly difficult to follow the methodological history and to understand the current front line, and where the next steps are likely to take research. This paper attempts to clarify both the historical development since 1990 of tourism demand forecasting, assess the various new developments and provide a view of current research directions.

1 Introduction

Tourism researchers and practitioners are interested in tourism demand forecasting for the following reasons. Firstly, tourism demand is the foundation on which all tourism-related business decisions ultimately rest. Companies such as airlines, tour operators, hotels, cruise ship lines, and recreation facility providers are interested in the demand for their products by tourists. The success of many businesses depends largely or totally on the state of tourism demand, and ultimate management failure is quite often due to the failure to meet market demand. Because of the key role of demand as a determinant of business profitability, estimates of expected future demand constitute a very important element in all planning activities. It is clear that accurate forecasts of tourism demand are essential for efficient planning by tourism-related businesses, particularly given the perishable nature of the tourism product. Secondly, tourism investment, especially investment in destination infrastructures, such as airports, highways and rail-links, requires long-term financial commitments and the sunk costs can be very high if the investment projects fail to fulfill their design capacities. Therefore, the prediction of long-term demand for tourism related infrastructure often forms an important part of project appraisal. Thirdly,

^{*} 宋海岩, 教授, 香港理工大学酒店及旅游业管理学院副院长。由王宽诚教育基金会资助,于 2005 年 8 月赴西安交通大学、陕西师范大学讲学,此为其讲学内容。

government macroeconomic policies largely depend on the relative importance of individual sectors within a destination. Hence, accurate forecasts of demand in the tourism sector of the economy will help destination governments in formulating and implementing appropriate medium-long term tourism strategies.

Tourism forecasts may be generated by either quantitative approaches or qualitative approaches. However, this chapter focuses on quantitative forecasting methods, especially econometric approaches. By econometric forecasting, we mean that the forecast variable is specifically related to a set of determining forces; future values of the forecast variable are obtained by using forecasts of the determining variables, in conjunction with the estimated quantitative relationship between the forecast variable and its determinants.

International tourism demand is generally measured in terms of the number of tourist visits from an origin country to a destination country, or in terms of tourist expenditure by visitors from the origin country in the destination country. The number of tourist nights spent by residents of the origin in the destination is an alternative tourism demand measure. International tourism demand data are collected in various ways. Tourist visits are usually recorded by frontier counts (inbound), registration at accommodation establishments (inbound) or sample surveys (inbound and outbound). A problem with frontier counts is that in certain cases a substantial transit traffic element may be present. Accommodation establishment records exclude day-trippers and tourists staying with friends or relatives or in other forms of unregistered accommodation. Sample surveys may be applied at points of entry/exit to returning residents or departing non-residents, or household surveys may be carried out (outbound), but in both cases often the sample size is relatively small. International tourist expenditure data are usually collected by the bank reporting method or sample surveys. The former method is based on the registration by banks and agencies of the buying and selling of foreign currencies by travellers. There are many problems associated with this method of data collection such as identifying a transaction as a tourism transaction, the non-reporting of relevant transactions and the unreliability of its use for measuring receipts from specific origin countries (the geographic breakdown relates to the denomination of the currency and not the generating country). Sample surveys provide more reliable data on tourist expenditures, but as with visit data the sample size is often relatively small.

The determinants of tourism demand depend on the purpose of visit. Approximately 70% of international tourist trips take place for holiday purposes, 15% for business purposes, 10% in order to visit friends and relatives and 5% for other purposes (where 'other' includes pilgrimages, and sports and health reasons). Therefore, the emphasis in empirical research on tourism demand modelling has been on holiday tourism, with only a few studies being concerned with business tourism. Consequently, we shall also concentrate on the demand for foreign holidays. Substantial agreement exists about the explanatory variables that are important in the case of international holiday tourism and they are discussed below.

Population: The level of foreign tourism from a given origin is expected to depend upon the origin population, an increase in population resulting in an increase in demand. Sometimes

population features as a separate explanatory variable, but generally the effect of population is accommodated by modifying the dependent variable to become international tourism demand per capita.

Income: The appropriate income variable is personal disposable income or private consumption expenditure in the origin country (in constant price terms), and is expected to have a positive influence on tourism demand. Income commonly enters the demand function in per capita form, corresponding to the specification of demand in per capita terms.

Own price: There are two price components – the cost of travel to the destination, and the cost of living for tourists in the destination (both in constant price terms) – and these are expected to have negative influences on demand. The cost of travel is often measured by the economy airfare. Usually the consumer price index in a destination country is taken to be a proxy for the cost of tourism in that country on account of lack of more suitable data, and Martin and Witt (1987) have shown this to be a reasonable approximation. The consumer price index is then adjusted by the exchange rate between the origin and destination currencies. If data relating to the price of the tourist's basket of goods/services are available these would be more appropriate, but usually such data do not exist.

Exchange rates are also sometimes used separately to represent tourist living costs, possibly in addition to the exchange-rate-adjusted consumer price index. The justification is that consumers are more aware of exchange rates than destination costs of living for tourists, and hence are driven to use the exchange rate as a proxy variable. However, the use of exchange rates alone can be misleading because even though the exchange rate in a destination may become more favourable, this could be counterbalanced by a relatively high inflation rate.

Substitute prices: The prices of substitutes may be important determinants of tourism demand, and are expected to have a positive influence. For example, an increase in holiday prices to Spain is likely to increase the demand for holidays to Portugal. The impact of competing destinations may be allowed for by specifying the tourist cost of living variable as destination cost relative to a weighted average value calculated for a set of alternative destinations; and by specifying the travel cost variable as travel cost from origin to destination relative to a weighted average value calculated for travel from the origin to competing destinations. The weights are generally based on previous market shares and are often allowed to vary over time.

Marketing: National tourist organisations engage in sales-promotion activities specifically to attempt to persuade potential tourists to visit the country, and these activities may take various forms including media advertising and public relations. Hence, promotional expenditure (in constant price terms) is expected to play a positive role in determining the level of international tourism demand. However, much tourism-related marketing activity is not specific to a particular destination (for example, general travel agent and tour operator advertising) and is likely to have little impact on the demand for tourism to that destination. The promotional activities of national tourist organisations are destination specific, and are more likely to influence tourist flows to the destination concerned.

Lagged dependent variable: A lagged dependent variable, that is an autoregressive term, can be justified on the grounds of habit persistence. Once people have been on holiday to a particular country and liked it, they tend to return to that destination. There is much less uncertainty associated with holidaying again in that country compared with travelling to a previously unvisited foreign country. Furthermore, knowledge about the destination spreads as people talk about their holidays and show photographs, thereby reducing uncertainty for potential visitors to that country. In fact, this 'word of mouth' recommendation may well play a more important role in destination selection than commercial advertising. A type of learning process is in operation and as people are in general risk averse, the number of people choosing a given alternative in any year depends (positively) on the numbers who chose it in previous years.

A second justification for the inclusion of a lagged dependent variable in tourism demand functions comes from the supply side. Supply constraints may take the form of shortages of hotel accommodation, passenger transportation capacity and trained staff, and these often cannot be increased rapidly. Time is also required to build up contacts among tour operators, hotels, airlines and travel agencies. Similarly, once the tourist industry in a country has become highly developed it is unlikely to dwindle rapidly. If a partial adjustment mechanism is postulated to allow for rigidities in supply, this results in the presence of a lagged dependent variable in the tourism demand function, with the parameter lying between zero and unity (Song and Witt, 2000, pp.7-8).

Qualitative effects: Dummy variables are often included in international tourism demand functions to allow for the impact of 'one-off' events. For example, the imposition by governments of foreign currency restrictions on their residents is likely to reduce the level of international tourism, as are threats of terrorism (for example, after September 11, 2001 in New York and October 12, 2002 in Bali), and threats of war (for example, the threat after the Iraqi invasion of Kuwait in 1990, followed by the Gulf Wars of 1991 and 2003). Similarly, various events are likely to stimulate international tourism, such as hosting the Olympic Games and other major attractions.

2 Overview of Main Contributions in Tourism Forecasting

Studies on tourism forecasting published before the 1990s are reviewed in Crouch (1994a, b), Frechtling (2001), Johnson and Ashworth (1990), Lim (1997), Witt and Witt (1995) and Uysal and Crompton (1985). Therefore, the main focus of this review is on studies published after 1990.

2.1 Single Equation Econometric Models with Fixed Parameters

It should be noted that the division of single-equation and system-of-equations models is based on the number of *measurement* equation(s) of tourism demand, rather than simply the number of equations in the model. Although the TVP (time varying parameter) model is a multiple-equation model, it is still regarded as a single equation approach, as only one equation in the TVP model is used to measure the demand for a destination's tourism.

Model Specification: Tourism, especially long-haul tourism, is normally regarded as a

luxury product, which often exhibits a non-linear relationship between the demand for tourism and its determinants. Therefore, many published studies of tourism forecasting use the double log linear (LL) functional form to linearise the relationship for ease of estimation, although a few studies use simple linear and semi log linear forms. Witt and Witt (1995) reviewed 40 studies published between 1966 and 1992 and found 31 of these 40 (78%) articles used the LL functional form in their empirical analysis. Lim (1997) examined 100 articles published during the period 1961~1994 and 73 (73%) of these 100 publications employed the LL model. In a recent survey, Li (2004) found 39 out of 45 (87%) published studies during the period 1990~2003 used the LL model in forecasting tourism demand. One of the advantages of using an LL functional form is that the estimated coefficients of the explanatory variables can be interpreted directly as the demand elasticities, which provide useful information for policy-makers in tourism destinations.

Studies published between the 1960s and early 1990s mainly follow the traditional regression approach in that the models are specified in static form with very limited diagnostic statistics being reported. Static regression models suffer from a number of problems including structural instability, forecasting failure and spurious regression relationships (see further discussion on this in Section 3). In the mid 1990s dynamic specifications such as the autoregressive distributed lag model (ADLM), and error correction model (ECM), began to appear in the tourism literature. Kim and Song (1998), Kulendran (1996), Kulendran and King (1997), Seddighi and Shearing (1997), Syriopoulos (1995) and Vogt and Wittayakorn (1998) were the first authors to apply recent advances in econometrics, such as cointegration and error correction techniques, to tourism forecasting. The monograph by Song and Witt (2000) was the first book that systematically introduced a number of modern econometric methods to tourism demand analysis. Over the last few years there has been a surge in the application of modern econometric techniques to tourism demand modeling and forecasting, including Dritsakis (2003), Kulendran and Witt (2001, 2003a,b), Lim and McAleer (2001, 2002), Morley (2000), Song, et al. (2000, 2003a,b,c) and Webber (2001).

Diagnostic Checking of the Forecasting Models: Witt and Witt (1995) point out the problems in tourism forecasting prior to the 1990s, one of which refers to the ignorance of diagnostic checking. However, this has changed since the mid 1990s. In addition to conventional statistics such as the goodness of fit, and the DW statistic for autocorrelation, reported in earlier studies; many recent publications have paid attention to the diagnostic statistics of the demand models. These tests include the tests for integration orders (unit roots) of the data used in the demand models, heteroscedasticity, non-normality, inappropriate functional form, and structural instability. In particular, Dristakis (2003), Kim and Song (1998), Kulendran and Witt (2001), Lim and McAleer (2001, 2002), Payne and Mervar (2002), Song, et al. (2000), Song, et al. (2003a,b,c) and Song and Witt (2003) all reported a full battery of available diagnostic statistics. The evidence has shown that a model is likely to generate more accurate forecasts if it passes all the available diagnostic statistics.

Selection of Variables in the Demand Analysis: In the first section we discussed the

potential variables for tourism demand analysis. In this section, we examine the utilization of these variables in empirical studies. The demand variable measured by total tourist arrivals is still the most frequently used measure of tourism demand, followed by tourist expenditure. Li (2004) pointed out in his literature survey that amongst the 45 selected studies published after 1990, 37 of them used tourist arrivals as the dependent variable while only 6 employed tourist expenditure as the dependent variable. Recent studies have also paid more attention to disaggregated tourism markets according to travel purpose (Morley (1998), Turner and Witt (2001a), Turner, et al. (1995)) or modes of transportation (Witt and Witt (1992)). In terms of market segmentation, holiday and leisure travel has attracted the most research attention (Johnson and Ashworth (1990), Kulendran and Witt (2003b) and Song, et al. (2000, 2003b)), followed by business travel (for example, Kulendran and Witt (2003a)). Some interest is also placed on the demand for international conferences (for example, Witt, et al. (1992, 1995)) and the demand for ski tourism (Riddington (1999, 2002)).

Lim (1997) argued that discretionary income, defined as the remaining income after spending on necessities in the country of origin, should be used as the appropriate measure of tourist income in the demand model. However, this is a subjective variable and the data cannot be easily obtained in practice. Therefore, alternative measures of income have to be used as a proxy for tourist discretionary income. Among these alternatives, real personal disposal income (PDI) is the best proxy to be included in a demand model related to holiday or VFR travel (Kulendran and Witt (2001), Song, et al., 2000 and Syriopoulos (1995)). National disposal income (NDI), gross domestic product (GDP), gross national product (GNP), and gross national income (GNI), all in constant prices, have also been used in many empirical studies. These variables are more suitable for the study of business travel or the combination of business and leisure travel when these two types of data are inseparable (Song and Witt (2000)). Other possible proxies include real private consumption expenditure (Song, et al. (2003b)) and the industry production index (González and Moral (1995)). Although most studies have found that income is the most important factor influencing the demand for international tourism, this finding has not always been conclusive. For example, the income variable was found to be insignificant in some of the error correction models in Kulendran and King (1997), Kim and Song (1998), and Song, et. al., (2003b), and specifically, an insignificant income variable tends to be associated with models that relate to demand for international tourism by residents from Japan and Germany. One possible reason is that there are measurement errors in the data, and this is particularly true for the German income data as a result of unification.

In terms of income elasticity, Li (2004) looked at published studies on the demand for international tourism by UK residents between 1990 and 2003. Li found that 54 of the 80 estimated income elasticities are greater than 1, 24 are between 0 and 1 and only in 2 cases was the income elasticity less than 0, and these two cases were related to European destinations. These findings suggest that international tourism is generally regarded as a luxury product, while long-haul travel is more income-elastic than short-haul travel. In terms of the magnitudes of long-run

and short-run income elasticities, Kim and Song (1998), Song, et al. (2003b, c), Song and Witt (2000) and Syriopoulos (1995) show that the values of the long-run income elasticities tend to be higher than short-run counterparts, suggesting that it takes time for income changes to take effect on the demand for tourism due to information asymmetry and relatively inflexible budget allocations (Syriopoulos 1995)).

Own price of tourism is another variable that has been found to have an important role to play in determining the demand for international tourism. In theory this variable should contain two components: costs of living in the destination and travel costs to the destination. However due to data unavailability, travel costs have been omitted in most studies with Witt and Witt (1991,1992), Lim and McAleer (2001, 2002), Dritsakis (2003) and Turner and Witt (2003) being some of the exceptions. The cost of living in the destination is normally measured by the destination consumer price index (CPI). Another factor that may also contribute to the cost of living in the destination is the exchange rate between the origin country and destination country, as a higher exchange rate in favor of the origin country's currency could result in more tourists visiting the destination from the origin country. Qiu and Zhang (1995) and Witt and Witt (1992) used CPI in the destination and the exchange rates between the destination and origin separately to account for the costs of tourism, while the majority of published studies (especially the most recent ones) have commonly employed an exchange rate adjusted relative price index between the destination and origin as the own price variable (Turner and Witt (2003)).

With respect to the own-price elasticity, Li (2004) found that 68 out of 78 estimates show negative values ranging from 0 to -1, in line with the theoretical assumption. Smaller values of own price elasticity compared with income elasticity suggest that sensitivity of tourist responses to tourism price changes, is much lower than to income changes; indicating that international tourism tends to be price inelastic.

In addition to the relative prices between the destination and origin, substitute prices in alternative destinations have also been shown to be important determinants. There are two forms of substitute prices: one allows for the substitution between the destination and separately, a number of competing destinations (Kim and Song (1998); Song, *et al.* (2000)) and the other calculates the cost of tourism in the relevant destination relative to a weighted average cost of living in various competing destinations; and this index is also adjusted by relevant exchange rates. The weight is the relative market share (arrivals or expenditures) in each competing destination (Song and Witt (2003)). The second form is used more often in empirical studies, as fewer variables are incorporated into the model; hence more degrees of freedom are available for the model estimation.

Marketing is also an important factor that influences tourism demand. The inclusion of this variable in the demand model with disaggregated data is expected to generate significant results. However, in aggregated studies the unavailability of marketing expenditure data across different origin countries has constrained its inclusion in the demand models. Only three studies incorporate this variable in their demand analyses (Crouch, *et al.*(1992), Ledesma-Rodriguez, *et*