

PRACTICAL FIELD ROBOTICS

A SYSTEMS APPROACH

ROBERT H. STURGES, JR

WILEY

PRACTICAL FIELD ROBOTICS

A SYSTEMS APPROACH

Robert H. Sturges, Jr
Virginia Tech, USA

WILEY

This edition first published 2015
© 2015 by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

Registered Office

John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, The Atrium, Southern Gate, Chichester, West Sussex, PO19 8SQ, United Kingdom

For details of our global editorial offices, for customer services and for information about how to apply for permission to reuse the copyright material in this book please see our website at www.wiley.com.

The right of the author to be identified as the author of this work has been asserted in accordance with the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988.

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, except as permitted by the UK Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988, without the prior permission of the publisher.

Wiley also publishes its books in a variety of electronic formats. Some content that appears in print may not be available in electronic books.

Designations used by companies to distinguish their products are often claimed as trademarks. All brand names and product names used in this book are trade names, service marks, trademarks or registered trademarks of their respective owners. The publisher is not associated with any product or vendor mentioned in this book.

Limit of Liability/Disclaimer of Warranty: While the publisher and author have used their best efforts in preparing this book, they make no representations or warranties with respect to the accuracy or completeness of the contents of this book and specifically disclaim any implied warranties of merchantability or fitness for a particular purpose. It is sold on the understanding that the publisher is not engaged in rendering professional services and neither the publisher nor the author shall be liable for damages arising herefrom. If professional advice or other expert assistance is required, the services of a competent professional should be sought.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Sturges, Robert H., Jr.

Practical field robotics : a systems approach / Robert H Sturges, Jr.
pages cm

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 978-1-118-94114-0 (cloth)

1. Mobile robots. I. Title.

TJ211.415.S78 2015

629.8'932-dc23

2014030550

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library.

Set in 10/13pt Times by SPi Publisher Services, Pondicherry, India

Printed and bound in Malaysia by Vivar Printing Sdn Bhd

1 2015

PRACTICAL FIELD ROBOTICS

Preface

This is an introductory book to the area of Field Robotics. Since the number of practical examples is very large, we can only hope to introduce a few examples in detail. We will approach the subject with a systems design methodology, showing the reader every important decision made in the process of planning, designing, making, and testing a field robot. The book will cover electronic, electrical, mechanical, control, and software disciplines as needed. We begin with discussions of industrial field robots and then delve into the details of a practical machine that can mow your lawn. Unlike commercial devices that mow random patterns, this one “knows where it is.” The robot is also cost effective so that the serious reader may follow the logic, understand the steps, and carry out its construction.

Our intention is to instruct the reader on “how” and “why” things get done the way they do, and we aim the book at advanced high school students, college students, serious hobbyists, and engineers in practice. We provide the planning tools and present either summaries or detailed descriptions of the working parts of a successful machine. For the lawn mower, we ask you to keep in mind that such a machine is not a toy and can be potentially dangerous to construct and use. Caution and safety glasses are a must. The software is listed in full, and we expect that it will be modified to suit as design changes are considered and implemented. Our engineering decisions may not be yours and we encourage variation, experimentation, and extensions, especially as technology advances. The systematic design approach we use will make this effort highly visible and useful for others as well.

On the personal side, my interest in field robotics goes back to the 1960s when there were very few examples. Teleoperators (man-in-the-loop systems) had just become servo-operated and the door was opened for computer-assisted manipulation. My colleagues and I at the Draper Labs at M.I.T. began studying the earliest assembly systems, while the industrial world saw one of the first “made for work” programmable manipulators, the hydraulic Unimate™. From these pick-and-place systems, grew the merging of sensors with actuators at M.I.T.’s Artificial Intelligence Lab. At about that time also, university labs began experimenting with “less than real-time” mobile robots since computers were still very limited in memory and speed.

Decades later came the appearance of more sophisticated systems, and I have selected several of these “pet projects” from among the many I have had the pleasure to have developed along with stellar groups of colleagues. The lawn-mowing robot, to which I devote the most detail here, was inspired by similar discussions and, for good or ill, is my own. As many of these systems exist for their usefulness as well as their potential, the advent of ever-accelerating technology places more and more ideas into the realm of practicality. As we go to press there are hundreds of such projects in the works and in the field, airborne, and underwater systems becoming prominent. It is my hope that this volume will inspire you to go beyond discussion and into the field with me.

Contents

Preface	ix
1 Overview of Field Robotics	1
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Methodology	3
1.3 High-Level Decisions	3
Problems	4
Notes	4
2 A Mobile Robot System for Nuclear Service	7
2.1 Field Environment: Commercial Nuclear Plants	7
2.2 Field Work: Component Maintenance	8
2.3 Equipment Requirements	9
2.4 Conceptual and Operational Designs	12
2.5 Safety and Reliability	19
2.6 Detail Designs of the Service Arm	20
2.7 Detail Designs of the Walker	20
2.8 Conclusion	21
Problems	21
Notes	22
3 The Largest Mobile Robot in the World	23
3.1 Field Environment: Underground Mining	23
3.2 Field Work: Continuous Coal Haulage	25
3.3 Equipment Requirements	26
3.4 Conceptual and Operational Designs	29
3.5 Safety and Reliability	30
3.6 Detail Conceptual Designs	30
3.7 Conclusion	31
Problems	31
Note	31

4	A Mobile Robot for Mowing a Lawn	33
4.1	Field Environment: Suburban Lawns	33
4.2	Field Work: Navigation and Mowing	34
4.3	Equipment Requirements	34
4.4	Conceptual and Operational Designs	35
4.5	Safety and Reliability	37
4.6	Detail Conceptual Designs	37
4.7	High-Level Decisions	37
4.8	Conceptual Design—Technologies	38
4.9	Conceptual Design—Set Parameters	40
4.10	Conceptual Design—Operate Robot	42
	Problems	42
	Notes	43
5	The Next Levels of Functional Detail	45
5.1	Quantifying Conceptual Design	45
5.2	Quantifying <i>Send Sound</i>	46
5.3	Quantifying <i>Receive Sound</i>	53
5.4	Quantifying <i>Interpret Sound</i>	56
5.5	Design Choices—Setting Parameters	65
5.6	<i>Select a Platform</i>	66
5.7	<i>Select Frequencies</i>	68
5.8	<i>Select Motions</i>	70
	Problems	72
	Notes	72
6	Operate Robot	73
6.1	<i>Control System</i>	75
6.2	<i>Control System Select Operation</i>	76
6.3	All About <code>main()</code>	78
6.4	<i>Control System—Control Motions</i>	79
6.5	<i>Control Motions—Rotate Motors</i>	81
6.6	<i>Control Motions—Design Infrastructure</i>	83
6.7	<i>Control Motions—Program Speeds</i>	88
6.8	<i>Control Motions—Move Robot</i>	89
6.9	<i>Control Motions—Sequence Motions</i>	92
6.10	<i>Control Information</i>	92
	Problems	102
	Notes	103
7	Software Functions	105
7.1	Displays: To Place Needed Information to the User Screen	107
7.2	Field Data and Triangulation: Geometric Locating Functions	109
7.3	Operation: The Calls that Make the Robot Move and Stop	121
7.4	History and Diagnostics: The Immediate Past Used for Analysis	130
	Problems	136
	Note	137

Appendix A: Myth and Creativity in Conceptual Design	139
Appendix B: Real-World Automation Control through the USB Interface	159
Appendix C: Microchip Code for USB Board to PPM Translation	173
Appendix D: Selected Electronic Parts for Mowing Robot	179
Appendix E: Software Concordance	181
Appendix F: Solutions	187
Index	197

1

Overview of Field Robotics

1.1 Introduction

Practical Field Robotics comprises the design and fabrication of machines that do useful work on their own, for the most part. Field Robotics separates us from robotics done in a protected laboratory environment. It is also somewhat removed from theoretical robotics that underpins much of what we do, but may not employ in the realization of machines. Laboratory machines may walk like a human¹, or simply perform useful tasks that humans do in other ways.

When asked to describe a “robotic dishwasher,” new students often elaborate on a machine that picks up one dish at a time and does the washing the same way a human would. This approach ignores the success of pumping scalding water around in a sealed box. The former approach expresses limitations that were never imposed by the question.

The robotics literature abounds with examples that expressly imitate how humans are built, rather than what they aim to do. Since our hands and eyes evolved to allow us to swing from trees and pick fruit, they may not afford the best characteristics needed for a more modern task². We should not be tempted to imitate and then automate things we ought not do in the first place. For example, Elias Howe has been quoted as saying that he was inspired by watching his wife sewing in his work to invent the sewing machine³. Analysis of how people sew and how his machine sews shows that this could not be the case. Our methodology will steer us away from these pitfalls.

A successful design should always consider such constraints as artificial and aim for the *function* to be performed⁴. We will see (Figure 1.1) an expression of high-level functions that not only informed the development of our case studies, but also suggested the layout of the chapters in this book.

We will explore three examples of systematically designed field robotic systems, each illustrating key points of the design procedure and important lessons learned in the field. The first




Figure 1.1 The beginning of the FBD for a practical field robot for nuclear service

example is a mobile robot system, actually a pair of cooperating robots, used for field repair work in the commercial nuclear power plant area. This system was developed over time by several design teams considering the functions to be performed, and we will illustrate them here. Details of the system design remain proprietary but we can address the higher-level decision-making processes by reference to a patent issued to the author⁵. We will see that fully automatic control was not employed, but rather the concept of *teleoperation*, in which there are personnel in-the-loop at all times^{6,7}. Special-purpose equipment and tooling were employed to create a level of autonomy for some subsystem tasks.

The second example involves the design and operation of the largest autonomous mobile robot ever built, to our knowledge. Its mission is to haul coal from an underground mine. Its field versions weigh hundreds of tons and span lengths of 160 m from end to end. Briefly, it comprises a continuous miner at the head end, a number of linked conveyors, and telescoping tail piece. While one terminal end does need a human driver, the balance of the system is autonomously driven through the mine, needing only periodic observation of its segments to ensure that *no personnel* come within its vicinity.

Finally, we present a detailed account of the design process and the operation of a low-budget mobile robot for automatically mowing a lawn at an affordable cost. To our knowledge this has not successfully been done until now. We initially examined six approaches to this problem, and each will be discussed before delving into the details of the selected concept.

1.2 Methodology

For all of the design examples, a common tool will be employed: the process of Value Engineering. The literature is replete with many examples of its use in both military and commercial designs⁴. We have included Appendix A to explain the process in detail, but will touch upon its major themes in this introduction. In summary, it expresses functions in a structured way such that the *intent* of the designer is made clear to all parties to the exercise. We do this by expressing each function of our design at successively higher levels of detail as we scan a page from left to right. The graph created by our consideration of intent and challenges is called a function block diagram (FBD). Also as we scan the page, the rightmost functions answer the question “how,” and the leftmost answer the question “why.”

Each function, in turn, is expressed with a single active verb and a single measurable noun, placed in a little box. A decision or artifact is shown in a rounded-corner box. Figure 1.1 shows a part of such an expression of the high-level function *Service Exchanger*, along with successively higher levels of detail in a tree-like hierarchy. The arcs connecting each function block can be read as “and.” To give more meaning to the terms in use, the system to be discussed in the next chapter involves the servicing of the primary heat exchangers in a power-generating installation, but not the reactor itself. As mentioned, the rubrics of Value Engineering represent functions with verb–noun combinations. Attached to these may be *allocations* that specify quantitative constraints, which are not functions. Thus, in order to *Service Exchanger* we need to do two things: *Meet Schedule* and *Prevent Leakage*. These may seem obvious in hindsight, but functions rarely are. These early decisions have the most profound effects on the design details and express our unique choices. We emphasize that there is no single “correct” function diagram, but that the design team agrees that it is valid.

As we will discover, from the more detailed functions at the extreme right-hand end of the abbreviated FBD, we could have chosen to avoid any teleoperation and decide on the aggressive development of more “robotic” approaches. We put “robotic” in quotes to distinguish this enterprise of Field Robotics as the realization of a more intelligent automated process. We employ the term “practical” to mean that what we design and build must work and do so according to constraints. While we may slip into some philosophical discussions along the way, the leading emphasis is on practicality in terms of functionality and limited cost. In fact, Value Analysis was originally conceived as a cost-containing measure, but its adoption easily leads to innovation as well.

1.3 High-Level Decisions

We should mention here that function logic, the means by which we reason our way from general to specific, is not normally encountered in the iterative cycle of “analysis, synthesis and evaluation”⁸. It coexists with our “normal” way of thinking, and offers a great deal in terms of organization and expression of our designs to others. A functional map of a product

or process is far easier to understand by those on the “design team” with separate interests and other skill-sets, than would be a stack of engineering drawings. Moreover, we can use it to innovate by simply supposing that a certain function is restricted, prescribed, or dependent on a specific technology that should be avoided.

The adoption of hierarchical functions to describe the intent of the design also serves to guide the discussion of the design and implementation. Rather than present a detailed description of what each portion of the robot *is*, we will explain what each of its functions *do*. The order in which this will occur follows the function diagram itself, beginning at a high level of abstraction, and gradually exposing more and more detail. In this way, the reader is not faced with synthesizing an entire system in his/her mind, but can “drop in” at any desired level of detail to learn *why* and *how* the robot was conceptualized and realized.

The practical decisions expressed in Figure 1.1 will guide us through the ideation and realization of practical examples of field robotics. Unlike Elton John, who sang⁹,

And each day I learn just a little bit more.
I don't know why, but I do know what for.

we *will* know precisely “why” a choice was made by simply looking leftward on the page. We will also know “how” by looking to the right. Unlike a flow chart, common to business and software planning, we will have an expression of the *raison d'être* rather than just a prescribed sequence. Incidentally, a vertical reading of any column of functions will also express, roughly, an operational sequence too¹⁰. In addition, the functional method also keeps us from falling into familiar patterns of thought. For example, the phenomenon of *Einstellung*¹¹, choosing a familiar good idea and neglecting unfamiliar better ideas, is reduced since the entire design team is empowered to contribute to the high-level functions, at least.

Problems

- 1.1 Consider the manual task of sorting mail into letters, flats, and packages. How might this be done robotically?
- 1.2 Describe at least one teleoperator now popular with consumers.
- 1.3 Please describe the systems used in “self-driving” automobiles.
- 1.4 Reviewing Figure 1.1 and Appendix A, please create an FBD for the functions we perform to go from sleeping to arriving at work/school.

Notes

1. Kanabe, C., Hopkins, M. and Hong, D. (2012) Team CHARLI: RoboCup 2012 Humanoid AdultSize League Winner, in *RoboCup 2012, Lecture Notes in Computer Science* (eds X. Chen, P. Stone, L.E. Sucar and T. Van der Zant), Springer, pp. 59–64.
2. Jacobsen, S.C. *et al.* (1986) Design of the Utah/M.I.T. Dexterous Hand. Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Robotics and Automation, April 1986, Vol. 3, pp. 1520–1532.
3. http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Elias_Howe (accessed June 12, 2014).
4. Fowler, T.C. (1990) *Value Analysis in Design*, Van Nostrand Reinhold.
5. Westinghouse Electric (1979) US Patent 4,168,782, September 25, 1979.
6. Thring, M.W. (1983) *Robots and Telechairs*, Halsted Press.

7. Corliss, W. and Johnson, E. (1968) Teleoperator Controls, NASA SP-5070, Lib Cong Cat #73-600664.
8. http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Bloom's_Taxonomy (accessed June 20, 2014), especially Cognitive Stages 1.4, 1.5 and 1.6.
9. http://www.eltonography.com/songs/this_song_has_no_title.html (accessed June 24, 2014).
10. Sturges, R.H., O'Shaughnessy, K. and Reed, R.G. (1993) A systematic approach to conceptual design based on function logic. *International Journal of Concurrent Engineering: Research & Applications (CERA)*, **1**(2), 93–106.
11. Bilalic, M., McLeod, P. and Gobet, F. (2008) Why good thoughts block better ones: the mechanism of the pernicious Einstellung (set) effect. *Cognition*, **108**(3), 652–661.

A Mobile Robot System for Nuclear Service

2.1 Field Environment: Commercial Nuclear Plants

The field of nuclear service presents a wholly distinct set of constraints from ordinary laboratory robot development. The interior of a commercial nuclear plant spans the gamut from “shirt sleeve” operations to highly restricted areas with 100% humidity, temperatures over 100 °F, and radiation levels that can cause harm to humans at exposures of only 15 min. (Semi-skilled maintenance personnel wear dosimeter badges that monitor the monthly “dose” of ionizing radiation.) Further, plant workers generally need to carry equipment through a maze of passages and to negotiate narrow steps and ladders. This plant layout and vertical barriers restricts the worker to carrying no more than 16 kg at a time.

Generally, no guide-posts exist to assist in navigation from the “safe” region of the containment building to the steam generator. (At least one containment facility was equipped with overhead rails for ferrying equipment, but this was an exception.) A mobile robot would need very adaptable code to recognize and navigate its location. As mentioned, the pathway to the steam generator may include vertical segments, making mobile robotics even more unlikely.

The location of the entrance to the steam generator is sealed with a 10-cm-thick hatch that is bolted into place. The location of the bolts is not precisely known with respect to any common reference, making automated removal by fixed programming an unlikely choice. This hatch, when removed, uncovers the lower part of the steam generator that ducts the high pressure, high temperature water into the heat exchanger tubes. Thus, one section of this workspace, referred to as the “channel head,” is devoted to a large descending water pipe of about 1 m in diameter. The ceiling of the channel head (the tubesheet) is studded with several thousand tube ends, each approximately 20 mm in diameter, as shown in Figure 2.1. The locations of these tube ends are precise relative to each other (about 0.1 mm) but very imprecise with respect to the opening to the channel head uncovered by the hatch.




Figure 2.1 A portion of a tubesheet model with 20 mm diameter tube ends (Source: Reproduced with permission. © Westinghouse Electric Company LLC)

Again a mobile robot would need very adaptable code with many degrees of freedom to recognize and navigate its way to the tubesheet.

At the time of this system's design and introduction, algorithms for general-purpose localization in six degrees of freedom were not yet available, even in a laboratory environment. The choice for moving the service equipment to the point of use was imposed by the field environment and the work itself: people were needed to carry the equipment, and people were needed to (at least) direct the work from a remote location.

2.2 Field Work: Component Maintenance

Periodic re-fueling of a commercial nuclear reactor is beyond the scope of this work, but it is carried out with assistance from programmable machines that must be installed onto the reactor vessel and work with built-in plant equipment for handling reactor components. The time needed to perform a re-fueling must be minimized, since the cost of not producing power may exceed US\$1 million per day. For this reason periodic maintenance of other reactor components, for example the steam generators in a pressurized water reactor (PWR), may be restricted to this time interval and calendar constraints.

As an example, the heat exchanger tubing must be inspected for leakage, however slight, and steps must be taken to re-seal or plug the suspected tube under the field conditions mentioned above. Since a steam generator of the type considered here uses thousands of such tubes, the net effects on facility performance are very small. In any event the inspections must be carried out with high reliability in the prescribed window of time with equipment that must