

# CIVIL PROCEDURE

A MODERN APPROACH

Third Edition

Richard L. Marcus  
Martin H. Redish  
Edward F. Sherman

---

*American Casebook Series*®



# CIVIL PROCEDURE

## A MODERN APPROACH

### Third Edition

By

**Richard L. Marcus**

*Horace O. Coil ('57) Chair in Litigation,  
University of California  
Hastings College of the Law*

**Martin H. Redish**

*Louis and Harriet Ancel Professor of Law and Public Policy,  
Northwestern University School of Law*

**Edward F. Sherman**

*Dean and Professor of Law  
Tulane Law School*

AMERICAN CASEBOOK SERIES®



ST. PAUL, MINN., 2000

*American Casebook Series*, the key symbol appearing on the front cover and the WP symbol are registered trademarks of West Publishing Co. Registered in U.S. Patent and Trademark Office.

COPYRIGHT © 1989, 1995 WEST PUBLISHING CO.

COPYRIGHT © 2000 By WEST PUBLISHING CO.

610 Opperman Drive  
P.O. Box 64526  
St. Paul, MN 55164-0526  
1-800-328-9352

All rights reserved

Printed in the United States of America

**Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data**

**ISBN 0-314-24121-3**



TEXT IS PRINTED ON 10% POST  
CONSUMER RECYCLED PAPER



1st Reprint-2001

## **West's Law School Advisory Board**

---

**JESSE H. CHOPER**

Professor of Law,  
University of California, Berkeley

**DAVID P. CURRIE**

Professor of Law, University of Chicago

**YALE KAMISAR**

Professor of Law, University of Michigan

**MARY KAY KANE**

Dean and Professor of Law, University of California,  
Hastings College of the Law

**WAYNE R. LaFAVE**

Professor of Law, University of Illinois

**ARTHUR R. MILLER**

Professor of Law, Harvard University

**GRANT S. NELSON**

Professor of Law,  
University of California, Los Angeles

**JAMES J. WHITE**

Professor of Law, University of Michigan

**CHARLES ALAN WRIGHT**

Charles Alan Wright Chair in Federal Courts  
The University of Texas

## Preface

---

A new edition for the new millennium! In this third edition, we have retained the framework of the second edition, which was well-received by its users. The second edition followed the basic structure of the first edition, with the addition of a new chapter on expanded use of court-annexed dispute resolution techniques.

The third edition includes new materials to reflect the ever-changing face of this subject within the existing framework of the book. Thus, it includes reference to the pending amendments to the discovery provisions of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, which should go into effect on December 1 unless Congress takes action to delay or alter them. In addition, it includes a new case on the personal jurisdictional issues presented by interaction over the Internet. It also includes, of course, new Supreme Court decisions on topics previously covered using older cases. All in all, we believe that it provides an entirely up-to-date and comprehensive treatment of the subject.

An enduring reality for civil procedure teachers is the fact that many students perceive this to be the most difficult and least comprehensible course in their first-year curriculum. To a considerable extent, students' difficulty stems from the fact they have not encountered these issues before. Students have usually had some personal experience with the subject matter covered in torts, contracts, and even property courses, and most have some attitudes about criminal law and constitutional law (a subject in the first-year curriculum in many law schools). Few, however, have been personally involved with the intricacies of court rules and procedures. Although issues in substantive law courses relate to "real life" situations, issues of procedure may seem to involve only technical matters that students just beginning the study of law may find difficult to appreciate. For many, developing a taste for procedure has to be a gradual process; the reality that it will become second nature to many when they are in practice is likely to provide cold comfort at the outset.

This book is premised on the belief that a taste for civil procedure is worth cultivating and that students should find the study of civil procedure more challenging and rewarding than they might have expected. These subjects are basic, although unfamiliar. The procedure governing a trial or other dispute resolution process provides the ultimate context for enforcing substantive rights in society, and it is a commonplace that bears repeating that procedure is often critical to the outcome of a case. The initial impression of some law students that civil procedure is a rote-like study of precise rules should give way to an appreciation that procedure, no less than substantive law, is a complex subject that defies a simplistic approach. The perpetual tension between certainty and flexibility

in the law is no less important in matters of procedure, and problems of generality and ambiguity are as inconsistent in procedure as they are in substantive law. Similarly, the impression that procedure does not go to the “heart” of what the law really is needs to be tempered with the realization that procedural rules do indeed reflect fundamental value judgments and social policies. The manner in which society chooses to resolve its disputes, and its notion of what constitutes procedural fairness, bear directly on social choices about the conduct we want to encourage or discourage and on the allocation and distribution of resources.

We have chosen the subtitle “A Modern Approach” not only in recognition of the advent of a new millennium, but also in the belief that this casebook has a focus that puts a distinctive cast on the subject of civil procedure. Recent and ongoing developments have had a significant impact on the way we resolve disputes in this country. It is not so much that the basic procedural rules and mechanisms have been materially altered as that the way they are applied in dispute resolution processes has been affected. To mention only a few of the developments and their impacts on procedure:

- New and often more complex causes of action created by courts and legislatures demand more satisfactory ways to reach a resolution of the dispute;
- New causes of action and our strong societal impulse towards resolving disputes through litigation have resulted in serious court-crowding and delay;
- For a generation, the high cost of legal services has prompted experiments with ways to cut costs and time in lawsuits with alternative dispute resolution methods;
- Broader standards of legal responsibility and liability have enlarged the number of parties in suits and complicated the procedural posture;
- The influence of such disciplines as economics, social science, and psychology has resulted in a more sophisticated approach to procedural issues involving questions of allocation of resources, fundamental fairness, and analysis of competing considerations in dispute resolution.
- Technological developments increasingly offer the possibility of very different methods of presenting evidence at trials and in the form of dispute resolution that could depart markedly from the Anglo-American trial format.

This book attempts to reflect the impact of these kinds of contemporary developments without losing sight of the fact that much of civil procedure still concerns traditional rules and mechanisms and time-honored policies. Modern civil procedure has fortunately not been called upon to reinvent the wheel. In order to understand the contemporary “system” of civil procedure, students must still acquire a sense of its historical development, the traditional interrelationship of procedural devices, and

the proper interaction of doctrine and policy. Thus history, doctrine, and key precedents remain an important part of this casebook.

The book also proceeds on the recognition that students cannot cover or absorb all the ramifications of recent developments in a first-year course. Thus the assumption is that there will be upper division offerings in complex litigation, federal courts, alternate dispute resolution, conflicts of law, and the like to reinforce and build on the teachings of the introductory course.

The book roughly follows the chronological order of a lawsuit—proceeding from the initial complaint and pleadings to appeal and the binding effect of a judgment. The chapters on jurisdiction and the choice between state and federal law (the *Erie* problem) follow the chapters on trial preparation and trial in the belief that students are better able to handle the conceptual complexities of these matters once they have an appreciation of the adjudication process. The first two chapters, however, deviate from the generally-chronological order of presentation, providing an overview of the policies and features of our adversary system (Chapter I) and of the remedies available in civil litigation (Chapter II). These materials introduce the students to the basic policies and process values that recur again and again in the study of procedure and, we hope, provide a touchstone of practicality in introducing students to the remedies that are the “be all and end all” of dispute resolution.

We think this book offers some distinctive approaches that are not as comprehensively treated in other civil procedure casebooks. These include:

- A continuing reexamination of the policies and mechanisms of our American adversary system, including criticisms of the system and the procedural innovations (such as sanctions and early-decision devices) that attempt to remedy the shortcomings;
- A reflection, through choice of cases and descriptive material, of the impact that the development of public law and complex litigation has had on procedure;
- Treatment, both in an introductory chapter on remedies (Chapter II) and in a separate chapter on judicial supervision of pretrial and promotion of settlement (Chapter VII) of the developing processes and techniques of alternative dispute resolution;
- Examination of the new management techniques of trial courts, including the devices (such as docket and trial-preparation trial control, discovery, and use of surrogate judicial personnel) and the strengths and weaknesses of such responses;
- Use of interdisciplinary materials reflecting practices in other countries and various states to introduce the student to alternative ways of dealing with various procedural issues.

We hope that a student will come away from this course with a sense of the process called civil procedure, with an appreciation of both its

strengths and weaknesses and the range of other solutions that are possible in particular solutions. We have put some emphasis on practice materials in the belief that one must be able to work effectively with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the various doctrines to claim a mastery of civil procedure. But we also try to ensure that the practice materials always force the student to think about the policies underlying the practice and to relate it to the general process themes of the book.

Finally, some comments on format: We have tried to make this text accessible to students by editing out unimportant materials and by minimizing the use of asterisks to indicate those omissions. Whenever we have deleted material from a case or other source, we have indicated that omission either by a bracketed summary of the omitted material or by asterisks. Where quoted material includes deletions by the court or other primary source, there is a conventional ellipse rather than asterisks. We have not indicated the deletion of case and source citations, and have made some effort to remove unimportant citations. We have omitted footnotes from cases and source materials unless they seemed to add something of use, and have retained their original numbering for footnotes we have not deleted.

Throughout the book, we have included substantial notes and questions because we believe they shed light on the principal cases and provide important backup information and citations for those who wish to pursue a matter further. The questions we have asked fall basically into three categories: (1) questions that ask the student to ascertain the answer from the applicable rule or statute; (2) questions, often leading questions, that challenge or provide new perspectives on the assertions made in the principal cases; and (3) questions that invite reflection on the underlying process issues we have tried to raise throughout the book. We hope that students will quickly learn to identify the different types of questions and to appreciate the different mental activity called for by them.

We are indebted to many people for their help and guidance during the years we have been working on this book and the previous editions. Most of all, we want to thank our families for their understanding of the demands of the project, and particularly our spouses, Caren Redish, Andrea Saltzman, and Alice Sherman, for their advice, help, and patience. We also want to thank the many research assistants who have helped out on this edition: Justin Heather (Northwestern), Kevin O'Brien (Hastings), Ronald Scalise (Tulane), and Zora Shaw (Northwestern).

Professor Laurens Walker of the University of Virginia Law School collaborated with Professor Sherman on an early version of portions of these materials. His contributions are gratefully acknowledged.

We are also indebted to the copyright holders identified below for permission to reprint excerpts from the following copyrighted materials (listed in the order they appear in the book):



L. Fuller, *The Problems of Jurisprudence* (1949), copyright © 1949, by Lon Fuller, reprinted by permission of Marjorie D. Fuller.

W. Zeidler, *Evaluation of the Adversary System: As Comparison, Some Remarks on the Investigatory System of Procedure*, 55 *Australian L. J.* 390 (1981), copyright © 1981, by The Law Book Company Ltd.

Frankel, *The Search for Truth: An Umpireal View*, 123 *U.Pa.L.Rev.* 1031 (1975), copyright © 1975, by Marvin E. Frankel.

Marcus, *The Revival of Fact Pleading Under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure*, 86 *Colum.L.Rev.* 433 (1986), copyright © 1986, by the Columbia Law Review Association.

W. Glaser, *Pretrial Discovery and the Adversary System* (1968), copyright © 1968, by the Russell Sage Foundation.

Currie, *Thoughts on Directed Verdicts and Summary Judgment*, 45 *U.Chi.L.Rev.* 72 (1977), copyright © 1977, by the University of Chicago Law Review.

Sherman, *The Impact of Litigation Strategy on Integrating Alternative Dispute Resolution Into the Pretrial Process*, 168 *F.R.D.* 75 (1996), copyright © 1996, by West Group.

Lambros, *The Summary Jury Trial*, 103 *F.R.D.* 461 (1984), copyright © 1984, by West Publishing Co.

Ely, *The Irrepressible Myth of Erie*, 87 *Harv.L.Rev.* 693 (1974), copyright © 1974, by the Harvard Law Review Association.

RICHARD MARCUS  
MARTIN REDISH  
EDWARD SHERMAN

April, 2000

## Table of Cases

The principal cases are in bold type. Cases cited or discussed in the text are roman type. References are to pages. Cases cited in principal cases and within other quoted materials are not included.

- Abbott Laboratories, *In re*, 51 F.3d 524 (5th Cir.1995), 903
- Abney v. United States, 431 U.S. 651, 97 S.Ct. 2034, 52 L.Ed.2d 651 (1977), 1061
- Ackerman v. Northwestern Mut. Life Ins. Co., 172 F.3d 467 (7th Cir.1999), 164
- Activision Securities Litigation, *In re*, 723 F.Supp. 1373 (N.D.Cal.1989), 104
- Acton Co., Inc. of Massachusetts v. Bachman Foods, Inc., 668 F.2d 76 (1st Cir. 1982), 246, 249
- Adam v. Saenger, 303 U.S. 59, 58 S.Ct. 454, 82 L.Ed. 649 (1938), 795, 805, 807
- Adams v. Pearson, 411 Ill. 431, 104 N.E.2d 267 (Ill.1952), 1137
- Adams v. Reagan, 791 S.W.2d 284 (Tex. App.-Fort Worth 1990), 294, 311
- Adams v. Robertson, 520 U.S. 83, 117 S.Ct. 1028, 137 L.Ed.2d 203 (1997), 294
- Adickes v. S. H. Kress & Co.**, 398 U.S. 144, 90 S.Ct. 1598, 26 L.Ed.2d 142 (1970), **407**, 411, 413, 424, 425, 427
- Adler v. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc., 144 F.3d 664 (10th Cir.1998), 425
- Aerojet-General Corp. v. Askew, 511 F.2d 710 (5th Cir.1975), 1179
- Aetna Cas. & Sur. Co. v. Cunningham, 224 F.2d 478 (5th Cir.1955), 1174
- Aetna Cas. & Sur. Co. v. Yeatts, 122 F.2d 350 (4th Cir.1941), 625
- Aetna Cas. & Sur. Co., *United States v.*, 338 U.S. 366, 70 S.Ct. 207, 94 L.Ed. 171 (1949), 222
- Aetna Life Ins. Co. of Hartford, Conn. v. Haworth, 300 U.S. 227, 57 S.Ct. 461, 81 L.Ed. 617 (1937), 91
- Affiliated Ute Citizens of Utah v. *United States*, 406 U.S. 128, 92 S.Ct. 1456, 31 L.Ed.2d 741 (1972), 311
- Agent Orange Product Liability Litigation, *In re*, 611 F.Supp. 1223 (E.D.N.Y.1985), 618, 619
- Ager v. Jane C. Stormont Hospital and Training School for Nurses, 622 F.2d 496 (10th Cir.1980), 389
- Agron v. Trustees of Columbia University in City of New York, 176 F.R.D. 445 (S.D.N.Y.1997), 390
- Aguilar v. Avis Rent A Car System, Inc., 87 Cal.Rptr.2d 132, 980 P.2d 846 (Cal. 1999), 89
- Ahern v. Scholz**, 85 F.3d 774 (1st Cir. 1996), **620**, 625
- Akermanis v. Sea-Land Service, Inc., 688 F.2d 898 (2nd Cir.1982), 644
- Albright v. Upjohn Co., 788 F.2d 1217 (6th Cir.1986), 148
- Aldinger v. Howard, 427 U.S. 1, 96 S.Ct. 2413, 49 L.Ed.2d 276 (1976), 889, 891, 903
- Alexander v. Gardner-Denver Co., 415 U.S. 36, 94 S.Ct. 1011, 39 L.Ed.2d 147 (1974), 112, 113, 1157
- Alexander v. *United States*, 201 U.S. 117, 26 S.Ct. 356, 50 L.Ed. 686 (1906), 1036
- Alexander Grant & Co. v. McAlister, 116 F.R.D. 583 (S.D.Ohio 1987), 294
- Alford v. Dean Witter Reynolds, Inc., 905 F.2d 104 (5th Cir.1990), 112
- Allard v. Frizzell, 536 F.2d 1332 (10th Cir. 1976), 279
- Allen v. McCurry, 449 U.S. 90, 101 S.Ct. 411, 66 L.Ed.2d 308 (1980), 1195
- Allen v. *United States*, 164 U.S. 492, 17 S.Ct. 154, 41 L.Ed. 528 (1896), 506
- Allied-Bruce Terminix Companies, Inc. v. Dobson, 513 U.S. 265, 115 S.Ct. 834, 130 L.Ed.2d 753 (1995), 112
- Allied Chemical Corp. v. Daiflon, Inc., 449 U.S. 33, 101 S.Ct. 188, 66 L.Ed.2d 193 (1980), 1075
- Allison v. Citgo Petroleum Corp., 151 F.3d 402 (5th Cir.1998), 293
- Allstate Ins. Co. v. Charneski, 286 F.2d 238 (7th Cir.1960), 949
- Allstate Ins. Co. v. Hague, 449 U.S. 302, 101 S.Ct. 633, 66 L.Ed.2d 521 (1981), 777
- Allstate Ins. Co., *In re*, 8 F.3d 219 (5th Cir.1993), 920

- Alyeska Pipeline Service Co. v. Wilderness Society, 421 U.S. 240, 95 S.Ct. 1612, 44 L.Ed.2d 141 (1975), 102, 491
- Amchem Products, Inc. v. Windsor, 521 U.S. 591, 117 S.Ct. 2231, 138 L.Ed.2d 689 (1997), 312, 318
- American Cas. Co. of Reading, Pa. v. B. Cianciolo, Inc., 987 F.2d 1302 (7th Cir. 1993), 656
- American Dredging Co. v. Miller, 510 U.S. 443, 114 S.Ct. 981, 127 L.Ed.2d 285 (1994), 1006
- American Export Lines, Inc. v. Alvez, 446 U.S. 274, 100 S.Ct. 1673, 64 L.Ed.2d 284 (1980), 1042
- American Family Mut. Ins. Co., State ex rel. Collins v., 153 Wis.2d 477, 451 N.W.2d 429 (Wis.1990), 496
- American Film Institute, United States v., 79 F.R.D. 374 (D.D.C.1978), 267
- American Hosp. Supply Corp. v. Hospital Products Ltd., 780 F.2d 589 (7th Cir. 1986), 76
- American Invs-Co Countryside, Inc. v. Riverdale Bank, 596 F.2d 211 (7th Cir. 1979), 860
- American Life Ins. Co. v. Stewart, 300 U.S. 203, 57 S.Ct. 377, 81 L.Ed. 605 (1937), 578
- American Medical Intern., Inc. v. Secretary of Health, Ed. and Welfare, 677 F.2d 118, 219 U.S.App.D.C. 267 (D.C.Cir. 1981), 1166
- American Medical Systems, Inc., In re, 75 F.3d 1069 (6th Cir.1996), 307
- American National Red Cross v. S.G., 505 U.S. 247, 112 S.Ct. 2465, 120 L.Ed.2d 201 (1992), 861
- American Tel. & Tel. Co., United States v., 461 F.Supp. 1314 (D.D.C.1978), 448
- American Tobacco Co., Application of, 880 F.2d 1520 (2nd Cir.1989), 391
- Amerifirst Securities Litigation, In re, 139 F.R.D. 423 (S.D.Fla.1991), 311
- Anderson v. Air West, Inc., 542 F.2d 522 (9th Cir.1976), 440
- Anderson v. Creighton, 483 U.S. 635, 107 S.Ct. 3034, 97 L.Ed.2d 523 (1987), 439
- Anderson v. Liberty Lobby, Inc., 477 U.S. 242, 106 S.Ct. 2505, 91 L.Ed.2d 202 (1986), 441, 442
- Andrews v. American Tel. & Tel. Co., 95 F.3d 1014 (11th Cir.1996), 311
- Angel v. Bullington, 330 U.S. 183, 67 S.Ct. 657, 91 L.Ed. 832 (1947), 940
- Angulo-Alvarez v. Aponte de la Torre, 170 F.3d 246 (1st Cir.1999), 401
- Ankenbrandt v. Richards, 504 U.S. 689, 112 S.Ct. 2206, 119 L.Ed.2d 468 (1992), 853, 1126
- Aparicio v. Norfolk & Western Ry. Co., 84 F.3d 803 (6th Cir.1996), 608
- Apex Oil Co. v. DiMauro, 641 F.Supp. 1246 (S.D.N.Y.1986), 427
- Apparel Art Intern., Inc. v. Amertex Enterprises Ltd., 48 F.3d 576 (1st Cir.1995), 1124
- Appeal of (see name of party)**
- Application of (see name of party)**
- Arabian American Oil Co. v. Scarfone, 119 F.R.D. 448 (M.D.Fla.1988), 474
- Arcambel v. Wiseman, 3 U.S. 306, 3 Dall. 306, 1 L.Ed. 613 (1796), 101
- Ardison v. Villa, 248 F.2d 226 (10th Cir. 1957), 912
- Armstrong v. Pomerance, 423 A.2d 174 (Del.Supr.1980), 774
- Arndt v. Griggs, 134 U.S. 316, 10 S.Ct. 557, 33 L.Ed. 918 (1890), 681
- Arnstein v. Porter**, 154 F.2d 464 (2nd Cir.1946), 428, 433, 434, 435, 436
- Asahi Metal Industry Co., Ltd. v. Superior Court of California, Solano County**, 480 U.S. 102, 107 S.Ct. 1026, 94 L.Ed.2d 92 (1987), 741, 748, 749, 750, 801
- Asbestos School Litigation, In re, 594 F.Supp. 178 (E.D.Pa.1984), 306
- Asher v. Rupp, 173 F.2d 10 (7th Cir.1949), 1116
- Atherton v. F.D.I.C., 519 U.S. 213, 117 S.Ct. 666, 136 L.Ed.2d 656 (1997), 1016, 1018
- Atlas Food Systems and Services, Inc. v. Crane Nat. Vendors, Inc., 99 F.3d 587 (4th Cir.1996), 647, 990
- Atlas Roofing Co., Inc. v. Occupational Safety and Health Review Com'n, 430 U.S. 442, 97 S.Ct. 1261, 51 L.Ed.2d 464 (1977), 557, 558, 559
- Attridge v. Cencorp Div. of Dover Technologies Intern., Inc., 836 F.2d 113 (2nd Cir.1987), 664
- Aufderhar v. Data Dispatch, Inc., 452 N.W.2d 648 (Minn.1990), 1156
- Augenti v. Cappellini, 30 Fed.R.Serv.2d 382 (M.D.Pa.1980), 256, 257
- Augusta Fiberglass Coatings, Inc. v. Fodor Contracting Corp., 843 F.2d 808 (4th Cir.1988), 191
- Austin v. Massachusetts Bonding & Ins. Co., 56 Cal.2d 596, 15 Cal.Rptr. 817, 364 P.2d 681 (Cal.1961), 218
- Austin v. Shalala, 994 F.2d 1170 (5th Cir. 1993), 540
- Avco Corp. v. Aero Lodge No. 735, Intern. Ass'n of Machinists and Aerospace Workers, 390 U.S. 557, 88 S.Ct. 1235, 20 L.Ed.2d 126 (1968), 877
- Averbach v. Rival Mfg. Co., 879 F.2d 1196 (3rd Cir.1989), 345
- Badie v. Bank of America, 1994 WL 660730 (Cal.Super.1994), 112
- Baker, United States v., 45 F.3d 837 (4th Cir.1995), 757
- Baker by Thomas v. General Motors Corp., 522 U.S. 222, 118 S.Ct. 657, 139 L.Ed.2d 580 (1998), 1151

- Baldwin v. Iowa State Traveling Men's Ass'n, 283 U.S. 522, 51 S.Ct. 517, 75 L.Ed. 1244 (1931), 808
- Ballard v. Uribe, 224 Cal.Rptr. 664, 715 P.2d 624 (Cal.1986), 663
- Baltimore & Carolina Line v. Redman, 295 U.S. 654, 55 S.Ct. 890, 79 L.Ed. 1636 (1935), 600
- Baltimore S.S. Co. v. Phillips, 274 U.S. 316, 47 S.Ct. 600, 71 L.Ed. 1069 (1927), 1127
- Band's Refuse Removal, Inc. v. Borough of Fair Lawn**, 62 N.J.Super. 522, 163 A.2d 465 (N.J.Super.A.D.1960), 3, 11, 12, 18, 23, 48, 274
- Bankers Life and Cas. Co. v. Crenshaw, 486 U.S. 71, 108 S.Ct. 1645, 100 L.Ed.2d 62 (1988), 1033
- Barber v. Miller, 146 F.3d 707 (9th Cir. 1998), 151
- Barrentine v. Arkansas-Best Freight System, Inc., 450 U.S. 728, 101 S.Ct. 1437, 67 L.Ed.2d 641 (1981), 1157
- Barrett v. Catacombs Press, 44 F.Supp.2d 717 (E.D.Pa.1999), 759
- Barrow v. Wethersfield Police Dept., 66 F.3d 466 (2nd Cir.1995), 216
- Bartimo v. Horsemen's Benev. and Protective Ass'n, 771 F.2d 894 (5th Cir.1985), 1099
- Basic Inc. v. Levinson, 485 U.S. 224, 108 S.Ct. 978, 99 L.Ed.2d 194 (1988), 311
- Bates v. C & S Adjusters, Inc.**, 980 F.2d 865 (2nd Cir.1992), 825, 830
- Baxter State Bank v. Bernhardt, 186 F.R.D. 621 (D.Kan.1999), 81
- Beacon Theatres, Inc. v. Westover**, 359 U.S. 500, 79 S.Ct. 948, 3 L.Ed.2d 988 (1959), 509, 518, 519, 520, 521, 522, 523, 531, 532, 533, 1073, 1195
- Beal v. Lindsay, 468 F.2d 287 (2nd Cir. 1972), 436
- Beggerly, United States v., 524 U.S. 38, 118 S.Ct. 1862, 141 L.Ed.2d 32 (1998), 634
- Behrens v. Pelletier, 516 U.S. 299, 116 S.Ct. 834, 133 L.Ed.2d 773 (1996), 1062
- Belcher v. Bassett Furniture Industries, Inc., 588 F.2d 904 (4th Cir.1978), 333
- Bell v. Hood, 327 U.S. 678, 66 S.Ct. 773, 90 L.Ed. 939 (1946), 874, 887
- Bell v. Veterans Admin. Hosp., 826 F.2d 357 (5th Cir.1987), 218
- Ben Cooper, Inc., In re, 896 F.2d 1394 (2nd Cir.1990), 574
- Bendectin Litigation, In re, 857 F.2d 290 (6th Cir.1988), 875
- Bender v. Clark, 744 F.2d 1424 (10th Cir. 1984), 1042
- Bender v. Williamsport Area School Dist.**, 475 U.S. 534, 106 S.Ct. 1326, 89 L.Ed.2d 501 (1986), 1103, 1111
- Bendix Autolite Corp. v. Midwesco Enterprises, Inc., 486 U.S. 888, 108 S.Ct. 2218, 100 L.Ed.2d 896 (1988), 685
- Benjamin v. Coughlin, 643 F.Supp. 351 (S.D.N.Y.1986), 1192
- Benson v. Allphin, 786 F.2d 268 (7th Cir. 1986), 600
- Benson and Ford, Inc. v. Wanda Petroleum Co.**, 833 F.2d 1172 (5th Cir.1987), 1174, 1178, 1179, 1180, 1182
- Bensusan Restaurant Corp. v. King, 126 F.3d 25 (2nd Cir.1997), 757
- Bernhard v. Bank of America Nat. Trust & Savings Ass'n, 19 Cal.2d 807, 122 P.2d 892 (Cal.1942), 1183
- Bernhardt v. Polygraphic Co. of America, 350 U.S. 198, 76 S.Ct. 273, 100 L.Ed. 199 (1956), 941, 942
- Berube v. Brister, 140 F.R.D. 258 (D.R.I. 1992), 829
- Bienkowski v. American Airlines, Inc., 851 F.2d 1503 (5th Cir.1988), 611
- Bivens v. Six Unknown Named Agents of Federal Bureau of Narcotics**, 403 U.S. 388, 91 S.Ct. 1999, 29 L.Ed.2d 619 (1971), 225, 1019, 1028, 1029, 1030, 1031
- Blackmer v. United States, 284 U.S. 421, 52 S.Ct. 252, 76 L.Ed. 375 (1932), 803
- Blackstone v. Miller, 188 U.S. 189, 23 S.Ct. 277, 47 L.Ed. 439 (1903), 773
- Black & White Taxicab & Transfer Co. v. Brown & Yellow Taxicab & Transfer Co., 276 U.S. 518, 48 S.Ct. 404, 72 L.Ed. 681 (1928), 931
- Blair v. Durham, 134 F.2d 729 (6th Cir. 1943), 215, 1125
- Blake v. Pallan, 554 F.2d 947 (9th Cir. 1977), 277
- Blanchard v. Bergeron, 489 U.S. 87, 109 S.Ct. 939, 103 L.Ed.2d 67 (1989), 103
- Block v. First Blood Associates, 763 F.Supp. 746 (S.D.N.Y.1991), 292
- Block v. First Blood Associates, 743 F.Supp. 194 (S.D.N.Y.1990), 291
- Blonder-Tongue Laboratories, Inc. v. University of Illinois Foundation, 402 U.S. 313, 91 S.Ct. 1434, 28 L.Ed.2d 788 (1971), 1184
- Blue Chip Stamps v. Manor Drug Stores, 421 U.S. 723, 95 S.Ct. 1917, 44 L.Ed.2d 539 (1975), 164, 173
- Blum v. Stenson, 465 U.S. 886, 104 S.Ct. 1541, 79 L.Ed.2d 891 (1984), 103
- Blumenthal v. Drudge, 992 F.Supp. 44 (D.D.C.1998), 759
- BMW of North America, Inc. v. Gore, 517 U.S. 559, 116 S.Ct. 1589, 134 L.Ed.2d 809 (1996), 80
- Board of Educ. of Tp. High School v. Clima-temp, Inc., 1980-81 Trade Cases ¶ 63,863 (N.D.Ill.1981), 291
- Board of Harbor Com'rs, United States v.**, 73 F.R.D. 460 (D.Del.1977), 131, 132, 133, 137, 163, 196
- Bobolakis v. Compania Panamena Maritima San Gerassimo, S. A., 168 F.Supp. 236 (S.D.N.Y.1958), 1067
- Boddie v. Connecticut, 401 U.S. 371, 91 S.Ct. 780, 28 L.Ed.2d 113 (1971), 106

- Bodine's, Inc. v. Sunny-O, Inc., 494 F.Supp. 1279 (N.D.Ill.1980), 702
- Boeing Co. v. Shipman, 411 F.2d 365 (5th Cir.1969), 609, 610
- Boit v. Gar-Tec Products, Inc., 967 F.2d 671 (1st Cir.1992), 748, 749
- Boldt v. Sanders, 261 Minn. 160, 111 N.W.2d 225 (Minn.1961), 369
- Bolling v. Sharpe, 347 U.S. 497, 74 S.Ct. 693, 98 L.Ed. 884 (1954), 931
- Boomer v. Atlantic Cement Co., 309 N.Y.S.2d 312, 257 N.E.2d 870 (N.Y. 1970), 90
- Borel v. Fibreboard Paper Products Corp., 493 F.2d 1076 (5th Cir.1973), 1160, 1161
- Borough of (see name of borough)**
- Bosch's Estate, Commissioner v., 387 U.S. 456, 87 S.Ct. 1776, 18 L.Ed.2d 886 (1967), 995
- Bose Corp. v. Consumers Union of United States, Inc.**, 466 U.S. 485, 104 S.Ct. 1949, 80 L.Ed.2d 502 (1984), **1091**, 1099, 1100, 1101
- Boughton v. Cotter Corp., 10 F.3d 746 (10th Cir.1993), 1042
- Boyle v. United Technologies Corp., 487 U.S. 500, 108 S.Ct. 2510, 101 L.Ed.2d 442 (1988), 1017
- Bradway v. Gonzales, 26 F.3d 313 (2nd Cir.1994), 656
- Brady v. Southern Ry. Co., 320 U.S. 476, 64 S.Ct. 232, 88 L.Ed. 239 (1943), 608
- Brainerd v. Governors of the University of Alberta, 873 F.2d 1257 (9th Cir.1989), 726
- Brand Name Prescription Drugs Antitrust Litigation, In re, 1995 WL 360526 (N.D.Ill.1995), 345
- Brandon v. Chicago Bd. of Educ., 143 F.3d 293 (7th Cir.1998), 633
- Bregman v. District of Columbia, 182 F.R.D. 352 (D.D.C.1998), 343
- Brennan v. GTE Government Systems Corp., 150 F.3d 21 (1st Cir.1998), 610
- Brierly v. Alusuisse Flexible Packaging, Inc., 170 F.3d 583 (6th Cir.1999), 914
- Bright v. Eynon, 97 Eng.Rep. 365 (K.B. 1757), 625
- Brinkerhoff-Faris Trust & Savings Co. v. Hill, 281 U.S. 673, 50 S.Ct. 451, 74 L.Ed. 1107 (1930), 1168
- Britt v. Superior Court, 143 Cal.Rptr. 695, 574 P.2d 766 (Cal.1978), 352
- Broemmer v. Abortion Services of Phoenix, Ltd., 173 Ariz. 148, 840 P.2d 1013 (Ariz. 1992), 112
- Brown v. Califano, 75 F.R.D. 497 (D.D.C. 1977), 132
- Brown v. Western Ry. of Ala., 338 U.S. 294, 70 S.Ct. 105, 94 L.Ed. 100 (1949), 1003
- Brown Shoe Co. v. United States, 370 U.S. 294, 82 S.Ct. 1502, 8 L.Ed.2d 510 (1962), 1043, 1137
- Bryant v. Finnish Nat. Airline, 260 N.Y.S.2d 625, 208 N.E.2d 439 (N.Y. 1965), 802
- Buckeye Boiler Co. v. Superior Court of Los Angeles County, 71 Cal.2d 893, 80 Cal. Rptr. 113, 458 P.2d 57 (Cal.1969), 721, 723
- Budinich v. Becton Dickinson and Co., 486 U.S. 196, 108 S.Ct. 1717, 100 L.Ed.2d 178 (1988), 1043
- Burger King Corp. v. American Nat. Bank and Trust Co. of Chicago, 119 F.R.D. 672 (N.D.Ill.1988), 250
- Burger King Corp. v. Rudzewicz**, 471 U.S. 462, 105 S.Ct. 2174, 85 L.Ed.2d 528 (1985), **728**, 739, 740, 741, 774, 807, 812, 831
- Burke v. Kleiman, 355 Ill. 390, 189 N.E. 372 (Ill.1934), 282, 286
- Burlington, City of v. Dague, 505 U.S. 557, 112 S.Ct. 2638, 120 L.Ed.2d 449 (1992), 103
- Burlington Northern R. Co. v. Hyundai Merchant Marine Co., Ltd., 63 F.3d 1227 (3rd Cir.1995), 1167
- Burlington Northern R. Co. v. Woods**, 480 U.S. 1, 107 S.Ct. 967, 94 L.Ed.2d 1 (1987), **967**, 968, 969, 971, 972, 992, 1033
- Burnham v. Superior Court of California, County of Marin**, 495 U.S. 604, 110 S.Ct. 2105, 109 L.Ed.2d 631 (1990), 66, 674, 775, **778**, 795, 796
- Burt v. Board of Regents of University of Nebraska, 757 F.2d 242 (10th Cir.1985), 726
- Bush v. Lucas, 462 U.S. 367, 103 S.Ct. 2404, 76 L.Ed.2d 648 (1983), 1029, 1030
- Business Guides, Inc. v. Chromatic Communications Enterprises, Inc., 498 U.S. 533, 111 S.Ct. 922, 112 L.Ed.2d 1140 (1991), 149, 972
- Butero v. Royal Maccabees Life Ins. Co., 174 F.3d 1207 (11th Cir.1999), 877
- Byrd v. Blue Ridge Rural Elec. Co-op., Inc.**, 356 U.S. 525, 78 S.Ct. 893, 2 L.Ed.2d 953 (1958), **944**, 948, 949, 950, 951, 962, 963, 964, 975, 989, 1004, 1113
- Cagguila v. Wyeth Laboratories, Inc., Div. of American Home Products, 127 F.R.D. 653 (E.D.Pa.1989), 393
- Calder v. Jones**, 465 U.S. 783, 104 S.Ct. 1482, 79 L.Ed.2d 804 (1984), 442, 702, **722**, 725, 726, 727, 728, 759, 795, 831
- Calero-Toledo v. Pearson Yacht Leasing Co., 416 U.S. 663, 94 S.Ct. 2080, 40 L.Ed.2d 452 (1974), 40, 64
- Calvert, In re, 105 F.3d 315 (6th Cir.1997), 1152
- Cannon v. Kroger Co., 832 F.2d 303 (4th Cir.1987), 1004
- Carden v. Arkoma Associates, 494 U.S. 185, 110 S.Ct. 1015, 108 L.Ed.2d 157 (1990), 852

- Cardwell v. Chesapeake & Ohio Ry. Co.**, 504 F.2d 444 (6th Cir.1974), **1064**, 1067
- Carey v. Piphus**, 435 U.S. 247, 98 S.Ct. 1042, 55 L.Ed.2d 252 (1978), 27, **67**, 76, 77, 78, 80, 90, 91, 94
- Caribbean Wholesales & Service Corp. v. United States JVC Corp., 855 F.Supp. 627 (S.D.N.Y.1994), 845
- Carlson v. Green, 446 U.S. 14, 100 S.Ct. 1468, 64 L.Ed.2d 15 (1980), 1030
- Carnegie-Mellon University v. Cohill, 484 U.S. 343, 108 S.Ct. 614, 98 L.Ed.2d 720 (1988), 919, 1136
- Carnival Cruise Lines, Inc. v. Shute**, 499 U.S. 585, 111 S.Ct. 1522, 113 L.Ed.2d 622 (1991), 112, 750, **809**, 813, 814, 815
- Carota v. Johns Manville Corp., 893 F.2d 448 (1st Cir.1990), 971
- Carr, United States v., 608 F.2d 886 (1st Cir.1979), 1016
- Carson v. American Brands, Inc., 450 U.S. 79, 101 S.Ct. 993, 67 L.Ed.2d 59 (1981), 1090
- Cascade Natural Gas Corp. v. El Paso Natural Gas Co., 386 U.S. 129, 87 S.Ct. 932, 17 L.Ed.2d 814 (1967), 277, 278, 279
- Cash Energy, Inc. v. Weiner**, 768 F.Supp. 892 (D.Mass.1991), **167**, 173, 176
- Caspi v. Microsoft Network, L.L.C., 323 N.J.Super. 118, 732 A.2d 528 (N.J.Super.A.D.1999), 814
- Castano v. American Tobacco Co., 84 F.3d 734 (5th Cir.1996), 232, 307, 308, 309, 310
- Catena v. Seidl, 68 N.J. 224, 343 A.2d 744 (N.J.1975), 94
- Caterpillar Inc. v. Lewis, 519 U.S. 61, 117 S.Ct. 467, 136 L.Ed.2d 437 (1996), 920
- Caterpillar Inc. v. Williams, 482 U.S. 386, 107 S.Ct. 2425, 96 L.Ed.2d 318 (1987), 877
- Catlin v. United States, 324 U.S. 229, 65 S.Ct. 631, 89 L.Ed. 911 (1945), 1036
- Catrett v. Johns-Manville Sales Corp., 826 F.2d 33, 263 U.S.App.D.C. 399 (D.C.Cir. 1987), 424
- Celotex Corp. v. Catrett**, 477 U.S. 317, 106 S.Ct. 2548, 91 L.Ed.2d 265 (1986), 335, 411, **414**, 424, 425, 426, 427
- Cemar, Inc. v. Nissan Motor Corp. in U.S.A., 897 F.2d 120 (3rd Cir.1990), 1082
- Certain Interested Underwriters at Lloyd's, London, England v. Layne, 26 F.3d 39 (6th Cir.1994), 853
- Chambers v. NASCO, Inc., 501 U.S. 32, 111 S.Ct. 2123, 115 L.Ed.2d 27 (1991), 152, 975, 976
- Chauffeurs, Teamsters and Helpers, Local No. 391 v. Terry**, 494 U.S. 558, 110 S.Ct. 1339, 108 L.Ed.2d 519 (1990), **541**, 556
- Chemetron Corp. v. Business Funds, Inc., 682 F.2d 1149 (5th Cir.1982), 1194
- Cherry v. Champion Intern. Corp., 186 F.3d 442 (4th Cir.1999), 100
- Chicago, City of v. International College of Surgeons, 522 U.S. 156, 118 S.Ct. 523, 139 L.Ed.2d 525 (1997), 875, 903
- Chicago Truck Drivers, Helpers & Warehouse Workers Union Pension Fund v. Brotherhood Labor Leasing, 166 F.3d 1269 (8th Cir.1999), 152
- Chiron Corp. v. Abbott Laboratories, 156 F.R.D. 219 (N.D.Cal.1994), 176
- Christiansburg Garment Co. v. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, 434 U.S. 412, 98 S.Ct. 694, 54 L.Ed.2d 648 (1978), 102
- Chubbs v. City of New York, 324 F.Supp. 1183 (E.D.N.Y.1971), 436
- Cimino v. Raymark Industries, Inc., 151 F.3d 297 (5th Cir.1998), 309
- Cimino v. Raymark Industries, Inc., 751 F.Supp. 649 (E.D.Tex.1990), 309
- Cincinnati Gas & Elec. Co. v. General Elec. Co., 117 F.R.D. 597 (S.D.Ohio 1987), 477
- Cine Forty-Second St. Theatre Corp. v. Allied Artists Pictures Corp.**, 602 F.2d 1062 (2nd Cir.1979), **394**, 399, 400, 401, 402, 522
- Cipollone v. Liggett Group, Inc., 785 F.2d 1108 (3rd Cir.1986), 354
- City of (see name of city)**
- City Stores Co. v. Lerner Shops of District of Columbia, Inc., 410 F.2d 1010, 133 U.S.App.D.C. 311 (D.C.Cir.1969), 222
- Clark v. Associates Commercial Corp.**, 149 F.R.D. 629 (D.Kan.1993), **252**
- Clark v. Coats & Clark, Inc., 929 F.2d 604 (11th Cir.1991), 425
- Clearfield Trust Co. v. United States, 318 U.S. 363, 318 U.S. 744, 63 S.Ct. 573, 87 L.Ed. 838 (1943), 1008, 1009, 1015, 1016
- Clements v. Barney's Sporting Goods Store, 84 Ill.App.3d 600, 40 Ill.Dec. 342, 406 N.E.2d 43 (Ill.App. 1 Dist.1980), 703
- Cleveland v. Policy Management Systems Corp., 526 U.S. 795, 119 S.Ct. 1597, 143 L.Ed.2d 966 (1999), 440
- Clinton Hudson and Sons v. Lehigh Valley Co-op. Farms, Inc., 73 F.R.D. 420 (E.D.Pa.1977), 323
- Coates v. AC & S, Inc., 133 F.R.D. 109 (E.D.La.1990), 388
- Cobbledick v. United States, 309 U.S. 323, 60 S.Ct. 540, 84 L.Ed. 783 (1940), 1036
- Coca-Cola Bottling Co. of Shreveport, Inc. v. Coca-Cola Co., 110 F.R.D. 363 (D.Del. 1986), 402
- Coca-Cola Bottling Co. of Shreveport, Inc. v. Coca-Cola Co., 107 F.R.D. 288 (D.Del. 1985), 353
- Coe v. United States Dist. Court for Dist. of Colorado, 676 F.2d 411 (10th Cir.1982), 224

- Cohen v. Beneficial Indus. Loan Corp.**, 337 U.S. 541, 69 S.Ct. 1221, 93 L.Ed. 1528 (1949), 938, **1043**, 1055, 1074
- Cohen v. Office Depot, Inc., 184 F.3d 1292 (11th Cir.1999), 991
- Cold Metal Process Co. v. United Engineering & Foundry Co., 351 U.S. 445, 76 S.Ct. 904, 100 L.Ed. 1311 (1956), 1083
- Coleman v. American Export Isbrandtsen Lines, Inc., 405 F.2d 250 (2nd Cir.1968), 705
- Colgrove v. Battin, 413 U.S. 149, 93 S.Ct. 2448, 37 L.Ed.2d 522 (1973), 580, 581
- Collins, State ex rel. v. American Family Mut. Ins. Co., 153 Wis.2d 477, 451 N.W.2d 429 (Wis.1990), 496
- Colorado River Water Conservation Dist. v. United States, 424 U.S. 800, 96 S.Ct. 1236, 47 L.Ed.2d 483 (1976), 888, 1040, 1056, 1057
- Columbia Broadcasting System, Inc., United States v., 666 F.2d 364 (9th Cir. 1982), 342
- Columbus Bd. of Edn. v. Armstrong World Industries, Inc., 89 Ohio App.3d 846, 627 N.E.2d 1033 (Ohio App. 10 Dist. 1993), 218
- Combustion, Inc., In re, 968 F.Supp. 1116 (W.D.La.1997), 104
- Commissioner v. \_\_\_\_\_ (see opposing party)**
- Commonwealth of (see name of Commonwealth)**
- Compagno v. Commodore Cruise Line, Ltd., 1994 WL 462997 (E.D.La.1994), 813
- CompuServe, Inc. v. Patterson, 89 F.3d 1257 (6th Cir.1996), 758
- Comshare Inc. Securities Litigation, In re, 183 F.3d 542 (6th Cir.1999), 165
- Congleton v. Holy Cross Child Placement Agency, Inc., 919 F.2d 1077 (5th Cir. 1990), 853
- Conley v. Gibson, 355 U.S. 41, 78 S.Ct. 99, 2 L.Ed.2d 80 (1957), 129, 132, 158, 181
- Connecticut v. Doebr**, 501 U.S. 1, 111 S.Ct. 2105, 115 L.Ed.2d 1 (1991), **50**, 63, 64, 65, 66, 77
- Consolidation Coal Co. v. Bucyrus-Erie Co., 89 Ill.2d 103, 59 Ill.Dec. 666, 432 N.E.2d 250 (Ill.1982), 381
- Continental Cas. Co. v. Howard, 775 F.2d 876 (7th Cir.1985), 664
- Continental Illinois Securities Litigation, Matter of, 962 F.2d 566 (7th Cir.1992), 104
- Continental Trend Resources, Inc. v. OXY USA Inc., 101 F.3d 634 (10th Cir.1996), 647, 990
- Control Terminals, Inc. v. Airline Management Group, Inc., 931 F.2d 62 (10th Cir.1991), 645
- Convergent Technologies Securities Litigation, In re**, 108 F.R.D. 328 (N.D.Cal.1985), **324**, 327, 328, 330, 335, 399
- Convertible Rowing Exerciser Patent Litigation, In re, 903 F.2d 822 (Fed.Cir. 1990), 1067
- Cooper v. American Airlines, 149 F.2d 355 (2nd Cir.1945), 995
- Cooper v. Chitty, 97 Eng.Rep. 166 (K.B. 1756), 119
- Cooper Industries, Inc. v. British Aerospace, Inc., 102 F.R.D. 918 (S.D.N.Y.1984), 332
- Coopers & Lybrand v. Livesay, 437 U.S. 463, 98 S.Ct. 2454, 57 L.Ed.2d 351 (1978), 1041, 1042, 1055, 1056, 1067
- Cooter & Gell v. Hartmarx Corp., 496 U.S. 384, 110 S.Ct. 2447, 110 L.Ed.2d 359 (1990), 206
- Corley v. Rosewood Care Center, Inc.**, 142 F.3d 1041 (7th Cir.1998), **391**
- Cort v. Ash, 422 U.S. 66, 95 S.Ct. 2080, 45 L.Ed.2d 26 (1975), 1031
- Costello v. United States, 365 U.S. 265, 81 S.Ct. 534, 5 L.Ed.2d 551 (1961), 1143, 1144
- Cote v. Wadel, 796 F.2d 981 (7th Cir.1986), 853
- Cottman Transmission Systems, Inc. v. Martino, 36 F.3d 291 (3rd Cir.1994), 830, 831, 842
- Cox v. American Cast Iron Pipe Co., 784 F.2d 1546 (11th Cir.1986), 293
- Crawford-El v. Britton, 523 U.S. 574, 118 S.Ct. 1584, 140 L.Ed.2d 759 (1998), 174, 176
- Cresswell v. Sullivan & Cromwell, 922 F.2d 60 (2nd Cir.1990), 853
- Cromwell v. Sac County, 94 U.S. 351, 4 Otto 351, 24 L.Ed. 195 (1876), 1115
- Crossman v. Marocchio, 806 F.2d 329 (1st Cir.1986), 493
- Cunningham v. Hamilton County, Ohio, 527 U.S. 198, 119 S.Ct. 1915, 144 L.Ed.2d 184 (1999), 401, 1063
- Curtis v. Loether**, 415 U.S. 189, 94 S.Ct. 1005, 39 L.Ed.2d 260 (1974), 521, **533**, 536, 537, 538, 556, 558, 559, 598
- Curtiss-Wright Corp. v. General Elec. Co.**, 446 U.S. 1, 100 S.Ct. 1460, 64 L.Ed.2d 1 (1980), **1075**, 1081
- Cybersell, Inc. v. Cybersell, Inc., 130 F.3d 414 (9th Cir.1997), 757
- Dahl v. City of Huntington Beach, 84 F.3d 363 (9th Cir.1996), 344
- Dairy Queen, Inc. v. Wood**, 369 U.S. 469, 82 S.Ct. 894, 8 L.Ed.2d 44 (1962), **517**, 520, 522, 523, 533, 1073, 1195
- D'Alto v. Dahon California, Inc., 100 F.3d 281 (2nd Cir.1996), 206
- Data Access Systems Securities Litigation, In re, 843 F.2d 1537 (3rd Cir.1988), 1005
- Database America, Inc. v. Bellsouth Advertising & Pub. Corp., 825 F.Supp. 1216 (D.N.J.1993), 830

- Daubert v. Merrell Dow Pharmaceuticals, Inc., 509 U.S. 579, 113 S.Ct. 2786, 125 L.Ed.2d 469 (1993), 387
- David v. Crompton & Knowles Corp.**, 58 F.R.D. 444 (E.D.Pa.1973), 192, 194, 195, 207, 209, 210
- Davis v. Gaona, 260 Ga. 450, 396 S.E.2d 218 (Ga.1990), 468
- Davis v. Ross**, 107 F.R.D. 326 (S.D.N.Y. 1985), 346, 351, 352, 354, 382
- Day & Zimmermann, Inc. v. Challoner, 423 U.S. 3, 96 S.Ct. 167, 46 L.Ed.2d 3 (1975), 943
- D.C. Electronics, Inc. v. Nartron Corp., 511 F.2d 204 (6th Cir.1975), 205
- Decker v. Massey-Ferguson, Ltd., 681 F.2d 111 (2nd Cir.1982), 133
- Dehmlow v. Austin Fireworks, 963 F.2d 941 (7th Cir.1992), 748
- DelCostello v. International Broth. of Teamsters, 462 U.S. 151, 103 S.Ct. 2281, 76 L.Ed.2d 476 (1983), 1004, 1005
- Delta Air Lines, Inc. v. August, 450 U.S. 346, 101 S.Ct. 1146, 67 L.Ed.2d 287 (1981), 492
- De Marco v. United States, 204 F.Supp. 290 (E.D.N.Y.1962), 90
- Denton v. Hernandez, 504 U.S. 25, 112 S.Ct. 1728, 118 L.Ed.2d 340 (1992), 176
- Denver & R. G. W. R. Co. v. Brotherhood of R. R. Trainmen, 387 U.S. 556, 87 S.Ct. 1746, 18 L.Ed.2d 954 (1967), 829
- Depasquale v. International Business Mach., 40 Fed.R.Serv.3d 425 (E.D.Pa. 1998), 100
- D. H. Overmyer Co. Inc., of Ohio v. Frick Co., 405 U.S. 174, 92 S.Ct. 775, 31 L.Ed.2d 124 (1972), 807
- Diamond v. Charles, 476 U.S. 54, 106 S.Ct. 1697, 90 L.Ed.2d 48 (1986), 280
- Diamond Shamrock Corp. v. Zinke & Trumbo, Ltd., 791 F.2d 1416 (10th Cir.1986), 656
- Dice v. Akron, C. & Y. R. Co.**, 342 U.S. 359, 72 S.Ct. 312, 96 L.Ed. 398 (1952), 998, 1003, 1004
- Dickinson v. Petroleum Conversion Corp., 338 U.S. 507, 70 S.Ct. 322, 94 L.Ed. 299 (1950), 1042, 1081, 1082
- Digital Equipment Corp. v. Desktop Direct, Inc.**, 511 U.S. 863, 114 S.Ct. 1992, 128 L.Ed.2d 842 (1994), 1045, 1056, 1060, 1062, 1074
- Dillard v. Crenshaw County, 640 F.Supp. 1347 (M.D.Ala.1986), 1125, 1137
- Dimick v. Schiedt**, 293 U.S. 474, 55 S.Ct. 296, 79 L.Ed. 603 (1935), 507, 520, 635, 642, 643, 644, 645, 648, 992
- Di Mucci, United States v., 879 F.2d 1488 (7th Cir.1989), 189
- Dioguardi v. Durning, 139 F.2d 774 (2nd Cir.1944), 128, 167, 179
- D'Ippolito v. Cities Service Co., 374 F.2d 643 (2nd Cir.1967), 1067
- DM Research, Inc. v. College of American Pathologists, 170 F.3d 53 (1st Cir.1999), 130
- Doe v. Blue Cross & Blue Shield United of Wisconsin, 112 F.3d 869 (7th Cir.1997), 224
- Doe v. Bolton, 410 U.S. 179, 93 S.Ct. 739, 35 L.Ed.2d 201 (1973), 92
- Doe v. Stegall, 653 F.2d 180 (5th Cir.1981), 224
- Doehler Metal Furniture Co. v. United States, 149 F.2d 130 (2nd Cir.1945), 436
- Doelle v. Mountain States Tel. & Tel., 872 F.2d 942 (10th Cir.1989), 965
- D'Oench, Duhme & Co. v. Federal Deposit Ins. Corporation, 315 U.S. 447, 62 S.Ct. 676, 86 L.Ed. 956 (1942), 1007
- Dominguez v. Syntex Laboratories, Inc., 149 F.R.D. 166 (S.D.Ind.1993), 388
- Dominguez v. Syntex Laboratories, Inc., 149 F.R.D. 158 (S.D.Ind.1993), 389
- Donald v. Cook County Sheriff's Dept., 95 F.3d 548 (7th Cir.1996), 216
- Donaldson v. United States, 400 U.S. 517, 91 S.Ct. 534, 27 L.Ed.2d 580 (1971), 277, 278, 279
- Donatelli v. National Hockey League, 893 F.2d 459 (1st Cir.1990), 706
- Donovan v. Penn Shipping Co., Inc., 429 U.S. 648, 97 S.Ct. 835, 51 L.Ed.2d 112 (1977), 643
- Dorrance v. Lee, 976 P.2d 904 (Hawaii 1999), 1156
- Douglas v. NCNB Texas Nat. Bank, 979 F.2d 1128 (5th Cir.1992), 971
- Dubin v. City of Philadelphia, 34 Pa. D. & C. 61 (Pa.Com.Pl.1939), 774
- Duffield v. Robertson Stephens & Co., 144 F.3d 1182 (9th Cir.1998), 113
- Duplan Corp. v. Moulinage et Retorderie de Chavanoz, 509 F.2d 730 (4th Cir.1974), 370
- Durfee v. Duke, 375 U.S. 106, 84 S.Ct. 242, 11 L.Ed.2d 186 (1963), 808
- Durflinger v. Artiles, 727 F.2d 888 (10th Cir.1984), 390
- Dvorak v. Shibata, 123 F.R.D. 608 (D.Neb. 1988), 462
- Dyer v. MacDougall**, 201 F.2d 265 (2nd Cir.1952), 431, 433, 434, 435
- Earl v. Bouchard Transp. Co., Inc., 917 F.2d 1320 (2nd Cir.1990), 646
- Eastman Kodak Co. v. Image Technical Services, Inc., 504 U.S. 451, 112 S.Ct. 2072, 119 L.Ed.2d 265 (1992), 425, 438
- Eastus v. Blue Bell Creameries, L.P., 97 F.3d 100 (5th Cir.1996), 918
- Edelson v. Soricelli, 610 F.2d 131 (3rd Cir. 1979), 966
- Edmonson v. Leesville Concrete Co., Inc., 500 U.S. 614, 111 S.Ct. 2077, 114 L.Ed.2d 660 (1991), 582
- Edwards v. Logan, 38 F.Supp.2d 463 (W.D.Va.1999), 757



- Edwards v. People of State of California, 314 U.S. 160, 62 S.Ct. 164, 86 L.Ed. 119 (1941), 684
- Edwards v. Superior Court, 130 Cal.Rptr. 14, 549 P.2d 846 (Cal.1976), 340
- E.E.O.C. v. Massey Yardley Chrysler Plymouth, Inc., 117 F.3d 1244 (11th Cir. 1997), 642
- EFCO Corp. v. U.W. Marx, Inc., 124 F.3d 394 (2nd Cir.1997), 1145
- Effron v. Sun Line Cruises, Inc., 67 F.3d 7 (2nd Cir.1995), 815
- Eisen v. Carlisle and Jacquelin**, 417 U.S. 156, 94 S.Ct. 2140, 40 L.Ed.2d 732 (1974), 310, **313**, 823
- Eisen v. Carlisle and Jacquelin, 391 F.2d 555 (2nd Cir.1968), 317, 318
- Eisen v. Carlisle & Jacquelin, 370 F.2d 119 (2nd Cir.1966), 1041, 1057
- Elfman v. Glaser, 313 Mass. 370, 47 N.E.2d 925 (Mass.1943), 1141
- Eliason v. Hamilton, 111 F.R.D. 396 (N.D.Ill.1986), 389
- Elkins v. Richardson-Merrell, Inc., 8 F.3d 1068 (6th Cir.1993), 425
- Elliott v. Perez, 751 F.2d 1472 (5th Cir. 1985), 181
- Ellis v. Great Southwestern Corp., 646 F.2d 1099 (5th Cir.1981), 843
- El Paso Co., United States v., 682 F.2d 530 (5th Cir.1982), 370
- E/M Lubricants, Inc. v. Microfral, S. A. R. L., 91 F.R.D. 235 (N.D.Ill.1981), 677, 678
- Engalla v. Permanente Medical Group, Inc., 64 Cal.Rptr.2d 843, 938 P.2d 903 (Cal. 1997), 113
- Erie R. Co. v. Tompkins**, 304 U.S. 64, 58 S.Ct. 817, 82 L.Ed. 1188 (1938), 308, 915, **922**, 929, 930, 931, 937, 938, 939, 940, 942, 943, 948, 949, 962, 963, 964, 965, 966, 967, 970, 972, 975, 976, 989, 995, 996, 997, 1003, 1006, 1007, 1008
- ESAB Group, Inc. v. Centricut, Inc., 126 F.3d 617 (4th Cir.1997), 888
- Estin v. Estin, 334 U.S. 541, 68 S.Ct. 1213, 92 L.Ed. 1561 (1948), 677
- Evans v. Jeff D., 475 U.S. 717, 106 S.Ct. 1531, 89 L.Ed.2d 747 (1986), 105, 493
- Evans v. Wright, 505 F.2d 287 (4th Cir. 1974), 503
- Executive Software North America, Inc. v. United States Dist. Court for Cent. Dist. of California, 24 F.3d 1545 (9th Cir. 1994), 903
- Exum, United States v., 748 F.Supp. 512 (N.D.Ohio 1990), 477
- Exxon Co., U.S.A., a Div. of Exxon Corp. v. Banque De Paris Et Des Pays-Bas, 889 F.2d 674 (5th Cir.1989), 997
- Falkirk Min. Co. v. Japan Steel Works, Ltd., 906 F.2d 369 (8th Cir.1990), 748
- Farpella-Crosby v. Horizon Health Care, 97 F.3d 803 (5th Cir.1996), 610
- Farrar v. Hobby, 506 U.S. 103, 113 S.Ct. 566, 121 L.Ed.2d 494 (1992), 80
- Fautek v. Montgomery Ward & Co., Inc., 96 F.R.D. 141 (N.D.Ill.1982), 359
- Feathers v. McLucas, 261 N.Y.S.2d 8, 209 N.E.2d 68 (N.Y.1965), 701
- Federal Reserve Bank of Minneapolis v. Carey-Canada, Inc., 123 F.R.D. 603 (D.Minn.1988), 477
- Federated Dept. Stores, Inc. v. Moitie**, 452 U.S. 394, 101 S.Ct. 2424, 69 L.Ed.2d 103 (1981), 877, 878, **1132**, 1136, 1137, 1138, 1139, 1140
- Felder v. Casey, 487 U.S. 131, 108 S.Ct. 2302, 101 L.Ed.2d 123 (1988), 1005
- Feliciano v. DuBois, 846 F.Supp. 1033 (D.Mass.1994), 174
- Feltner v. Columbia Pictures Television, Inc., 523 U.S. 340, 118 S.Ct. 1279, 140 L.Ed.2d 438 (1998), 540
- Ferens v. John Deere Co., 494 U.S. 516, 110 S.Ct. 1274, 108 L.Ed.2d 443 (1990), 843
- Fidelity & Deposit Co. of Maryland v. United States, 187 U.S. 315, 23 S.Ct. 120, 47 L.Ed. 194 (1902), 599
- Finance Inv. Co. (Bermuda) Ltd. v. Geberit AG, 165 F.3d 526 (7th Cir.1998), 149
- Finley v. United States, 490 U.S. 545, 109 S.Ct. 2003, 104 L.Ed.2d 593 (1989), 885, 890, 891
- Firelock Inc. v. District Court In and For the 20th Judicial Dist. of State of Colo., 776 P.2d 1090 (Colo.1989), 468
- Firestone Tire & Rubber Co. v. Risjord, 449 U.S. 368, 101 S.Ct. 669, 66 L.Ed.2d 571 (1981), 1036, 1057, 1058, 1063
- First American Corp. v. Price Waterhouse LLP, 154 F.3d 16 (2nd Cir.1998), 796
- First Nat. Bank of Circle, United States v., 652 F.2d 882 (9th Cir.1981), 450
- First Nat. Bank of Waukesha v. Warren, 796 F.2d 999 (7th Cir.1986), 1073
- Fisch v. Manger, 24 N.J. 66, 130 A.2d 815 (N.J.1957), 642
- Fischer v. Powers, 597 N.W.2d 774 (Wis. App.1999), 1128
- Flagg Bros., Inc. v. Brooks, 436 U.S. 149, 98 S.Ct. 1729, 56 L.Ed.2d 185 (1978), 40
- Flaminio v. Honda Motor Co., Ltd., 733 F.2d 463 (7th Cir.1984), 964
- Flanagan v. United States, 465 U.S. 259, 104 S.Ct. 1051, 79 L.Ed.2d 288 (1984), 1058
- Flexner v. Farson, 248 U.S. 289, 39 S.Ct. 97, 63 L.Ed. 250 (1919), 683, 685
- Flynn v. Gorton, 207 Cal.App.3d 1550, 255 Cal.Rptr. 768 (Cal.App. 4 Dist.1989), 1156
- Fogerty v. Fantasy, Inc., 510 U.S. 517, 114 S.Ct. 1023, 127 L.Ed.2d 455 (1994), 102, 491
- Folding Carton Antitrust Litigation, In re, 76 F.R.D. 420 (N.D.Ill.1977), 332
- Ford v. Philips Electronics Instruments Co., 82 F.R.D. 359 (E.D.Pa.1979), 392