


A History of Modern Immunology

The Path Toward Understanding



ZOLTAN A. NAGY



A History of Modern Immunology

The Path Toward Understanding

Zoltan A. Nagy



AMSTERDAM • BOSTON • HEIDELBERG • LONDON
NEW YORK • OXFORD • PARIS • SAN DIEGO
SAN FRANCISCO • SINGAPORE • SYDNEY • TOKYO

Academic Press is an Imprint of Elsevier



Academic Press is an imprint of Elsevier
32 Jamestown Road, London NW1 7BY, UK
225 Wyman Street, Waltham, MA 02451, USA
525 B Street, Suite 1800, San Diego, CA 92101-4495, USA

Copyright © 2014 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted in any form or by any means electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise without the prior written permission of the publisher

Permissions may be sought directly from Elsevier's Science & Technology Rights Department in Oxford, UK: phone (+ 44) (0) 1865 843830; fax (+44) (0) 1865 853333; email: permissions@elsevier.com. Alternatively, visit the Science and Technology Books website at www.elsevierdirect.com/rights for further information

Notice

No responsibility is assumed by the publisher for any injury and/or damage to persons or property as a matter of products liability, negligence or otherwise, or from any use or operation of any methods, products, instructions or ideas contained in the material herein. Because of rapid advances in the medical sciences, in particular, independent verification of diagnoses and drug dosages should be made

British Library Cataloguing-in-Publication Data

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

A catalog record for this book is available from the Library of Congress

ISBN: 978-0-12-416974-6

For information on all Academic Press publications
visit our website at www.store.elsevier.com

Typeset by TNQ Books and Journals

Printed and bound in United States of America

14 15 16 17 18 10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1



Working together
to grow libraries in
developing countries

www.elsevier.com • www.bookaid.org

A History of Modern Immunology

Dedication

**In memory of my friends
Rodney Langman and
György Fehér**

Introductory Words About Science, Scientists, and Immunology

Before we set out to follow through the events of a very exciting era in the history of immunology, I feel I owe the reader at least an attempt to define what science, and more specifically, immunology is all about.

There are several different ways to define science, but if we want to grasp its essence, the following simple statement is adequate: Science is an intellectually driven, often experimental activity, whose goal is to gain insight into the works of the universe.

Hence ideally a scientist is a person, who is blessed (or damned) with a restless mind, and an overdose of curiosity, which properties literally force him/her to keep asking all those What?, Why?, and How? questions that down-to-earth people only ask in their childhood. Not that scientists would be more infantile than others, but their extremely critical mind makes them reject all answers that they have been given by others. It is thus not surprising that the greatest reward for scientists is the moment, when their hard work and good fortune permit them a glimpse into a new facet of reality, be it even a tiny little one that has not been seen by anyone else before. Such rare moments set them into a state of euphoria that cannot be achieved by any other way, for example, by a tenure position at a famous university or even by a Nobel Prize (although these may also be good to have).

Unfortunately, this little sketch I have just drawn of science and its players deviates grossly from the picture that the mass media prefer to convey to the public. According to media representation, science is a very logical and very dry (i.e., boring) undertaking with the final goal of donating a significant benefit to mankind. The problem with this perception is that it confounds science with its potential utility. Undoubtedly, usefulness is an important aspect, and nobody is more aware of it than scientists themselves, particularly when they try to apply for a research grant. Nevertheless, the driver and the final goal of science is understanding and not utility.

For example, physicists, when they started to study nuclear fission hoped for a new insight into the structure of matter, and certainly did not intend to build nuclear power stations, let alone atomic bombs. The sad fact, however, that finally they were the ones to point out that nuclear fission can be used for a bomb, and indeed they participated in the construction of the bomb cast a dark and long-lasting shadow over the public image of science. This example also reveals that, although utility is a side-effect rather than the goal of science, it can sometimes change the life of mankind significantly, and in an often unforeseeable direction. This is why science is usually considered to be

dangerous by the public. However, the statement that science itself is a purely mental pursuit remains valid, danger arising only from its uncontrolled applications. The important thing to keep in mind is that all qualities human beings can enjoy nowadays, beyond the ones given by nature, have resulted from either science or arts (and not from money, as most would think at the dawn of the third millennium).

Of course, the media, in order to avoid inconsistency with the picture they painted of science, also try their best in creating a false image of scientists. Accordingly, scientists who are selected to appear in public must look very stern and serious (although they can still be somewhat handsome), they must emanate unusual mental power, and their behavior must resemble that of a high priest in ancient Egypt. Admittedly, some colleagues like to use this image as a respectable disguise, but most scientists are not like this. Indeed, they are just like other people: they can be aggressive or timid, egomaniac or humble, dictatoristic or self-enslaving, careeristic or modest, political or naïve, business-like or puristic, conformistic or anarchistic, opportunistic or revolutionary, but they all have one thing in common: their inability to stop asking questions and seeking answers.

Let us turn now to immunology that, based on the foregoing discussion, is easily defined as the particular branch of life sciences, whose aim is to understand how the immune system functions. This definition has always been valid, even at times when the immune system existed solely as an assumption, and immunology appeared to be equal to vaccination, or antibodies, or serological reactions, and it will remain valid until the last piece of stone is placed into the wall of the knowledge tower of the immune system.

As the title of this book indicates, I shall attempt to summarize here the major events in the construction of the immunology tower during a period roughly corresponding to the last third of the twentieth century. There were several reasons for choosing this period. First, this era followed immediately the so-called 'immunological revolution', and was thus the time when most questions about the biology of the immune system were raised and also found their answers. Second, because I had the privilege to be an immunologist in this period, I shared all the excitement associated with it, and can thus convey its events to the reader on the basis of personal experience. Finally, the time that has elapsed since then provides one with the wisdom of hindsight, as well as sufficient distance to cool down and look back with sharper, more critical eyes.

Although the book was originally planned to summarize the history of immunology from about 1970 onward, I realized that the story would remain 'hanging mid-air' without at least a short résumé of the preceding 10–15 years, when most knowledge was generated on which modern immunology has been based. Furthermore, the language spoken by immunologists also originated from this time. Therefore, the highlights of this fruitful era are included, for the sake of non-immunologists, as a 'pre-history'. The science then generated can now be found in every immunology textbook, and the detailed history of this era is well covered in Arthur Silverstein's book.¹

To return to the metaphor used above, I should point out that the immunology tower has not been built of uniform bricks, but rather of individually carved stones of different shapes and sizes, similarly to the Inca buildings in Matshupitshu and Sachsahuayman. But unlike the Inca buildings, the construction of the immunology tower has not been led by a chief architect, and thus every single stone reflects the idea of its mason about the best fit. Consequently, many (or perhaps most) of the stones would not fit. Nevertheless, ideas and data that have, in retrospect, turned out to be misfits will also be included here, because nothing illustrates better the development of a cognitive process than the errors made on the way. Not to mention that the omission of errors and inclusion of only the highlights would have reduced the book to an 'executive summary'. Nonetheless, this book is not meant to be a complete historical account of all immunological research conducted during the last third of the twentieth century. To keep a better focus, I will only cover topics that appeared most central for our understanding, corresponding largely to what was considered 'mainstream' immunology at that time.

Another, perhaps unusual feature of this book is that it will not only deal with science, but also with the personalities of scientists. I have always found it a great injustice to remember only the names of scientists in conjunction with their contributions, and not their personality, although the latter was often more interesting than the former. This applies all the more to immunology that has abounded in interesting, colorful personalities. In an attempt to correct this injustice at least to some extent, I included short comments or anecdotes about many of the participants of the immunology game. More often than not, these comments just represent snapshots that have, for inexplicable reasons, remained stuck in my memory. At this place, I apologize to those colleagues, who may not agree with their snapshots. My only excuse is my good intention to preserve at least a fragmentary image of their personalities, without becoming either insulting or flattering.

Also, to render the text more 'palatable', whenever it comes to personal experience or views, I will pass on the narrative to an imaginary 'Doctor G' (who is the author in singular first person, in analogy to 'K' in Franz Kafka's 'Castle'). This arrangement permits a clear distinction between objective and subjective/interpretative passages, and also a more direct colloquial style for the latter.

The language of the book is kept intentionally simple, to facilitate understanding of the complicated scientific content. In the referencing, I did not strive for completeness, but selected primary publications that first described a key discovery important for understanding of the topic discussed.

Despite all efforts for clarity and simplification, an appropriate background will be mandatory for full comprehension of the text, and thus the readership for whom I would recommend this book is, on the first place, research and clinical immunologists, as well as students and teachers of immunology. Novices in any of the covered subdisciplines may make particularly good use of the book, as

they could get the complete background information of the respective area, with all key discoveries, references and interpretations by a short reading. For the same reason, the book may be useful for research managers in the pharma and biotech industry, who are running or planning to run immunology projects. Of course, immunology aficionados with a biomedical background are also welcome, in general all those, whose interest – beyond merely gathering chronologically ordered information – is in the process of how our understanding of the immune system has evolved.

At this place I would like to express my deep thanks to many colleagues, who helped me along the way. I am most indebted to Melvin Cohn for his following the development of the manuscript with interest and providing invaluable comments, references and encouragement. I thank Arthur Silverstein for reviewing the manuscript and commenting on it from the perspective of the historian. I owe a debt to Hugh McDevitt for reviewing part of the manuscript and giving valuable advice. Finally I thank Christophe Benoist, Zlatko Dembic, Donald Forsdyke, Robert Huber, Robert Kerbel, Paul Lehmann, Sebastian Meier-Ewert, Hans-Georg Rammensee, Thomas Revesz, Edward Rosloniec, and Ronald Schwartz for their help in refreshing my memories and providing references.

REFERENCE

1. Silverstein AM. *A History of Immunology*. San Diego: Acad. Press; 1989.

Part I	
Pre-history with Far-reaching Consequences	1
1. The Immunological Revolution	3
1.1. The Clonal Selection Theory	4
1.2. The Birth of B and T Lymphocytes	7
1.3. T-B Cell Collaboration	9
1.4. The Structure of Immunoglobulins	10
1.5. Allergy: From Disease Symptoms to IgE	12
References	14
Part II	
The History	17
2. A Very Special Location: The Basel Institute for Immunology	19
Reference	24
3. Immunological Specificity	25
References	30
4. Monoclonal Antibodies: The Final Proof for Clonal Selection	33
4.1. Discovery	33
4.2. Immunology Goes Business	34
4.3. The Technology Avalanche: Antibody Engineering	37
References	39
5. The First Victory of Molecular Biology: Mechanisms of the Generation of Antibody Diversity	41
5.1. Theoretical Treatment of the Problem	41
5.2. The Experimental Solution	43

5.3.	What did we Learn from the Mechanisms of GOD?	45
5.4.	A Baroque Embellishment of Antibody Diversity: The Idiotypic Network	48
	References	53
6.	The Major Histocompatibility Complex	55
6.1.	MHC Class I	55
6.1.1.	Discovery	55
6.1.2.	Class I MHC Molecules	58
6.1.3.	Class I MHC-Associated Traits and Their Relationship	60
6.1.4.	Participants of MHC Research in the Classic Era	61
6.2.	MHC Class II	63
6.2.1.	Immune Response Genes	63
6.2.2.	Stimulation in the Mixed Lymphocyte Reaction	67
6.2.3.	MHC Class II (Ia) Antigens	69
6.2.4.	Biochemical Characterization of Class II MHC Molecules	70
6.2.5.	What are Immune Response Genes in Reality?	74
6.2.6.	Mechanisms of MHC-Controlled Unresponsiveness	77
6.3.	Sorting Out the Genetics of MHC	83
6.3.1.	The Era of Formal Genetics	83
6.3.2.	A Simplified Interpretation of MHC Based on Biochemical and Functional Studies	85
6.3.3.	Molecular Biology has the Last Word: The Organization of MHC at the DNA Level	90
	References	93
7.	Antigen Processing and Presentation	99
7.1.	The Rules of Peptide Binding to MHC Molecules	99
7.1.1.	T Cell Epitopes	99
7.1.2.	Peptide-Binding Motifs for Class I MHC Molecules	102
7.1.3.	Peptide-Binding Motifs for Class II MHC Molecules	105
7.2.	The Most Revealing Crystallographic Study in the History of Immunology: The Three-Dimensional Structure of MHC Molecules	112
7.2.1.	The Peptide-Binding Site of Class I Molecules	112
7.2.2.	The Peptide-Binding Site of Class II Molecules	116
7.3.	Antigen Processing and Loading Pathways	121
7.3.1.	Initial Evidence for Antigen Processing	121
7.3.2.	The Class I Pathway	123
7.3.3.	The Class II Pathway	125
7.4.	The Case for a Specialized Antigen-Presenting Cell	128
7.5.	Antigen Processing and Presentation: Phenomena that Beg for a Concept	131
	References	134

8. The Intricate Behavior of T Cells	141
8.1. Major Histocompatibility Complex Restriction of T-Cell Recognition	141
8.1.1. Discovery	141
8.1.2. MHC Restriction of Cytotoxic T-cell Responses	145
8.1.3. MHC Restriction of Helper T-Cell Responses	147
8.1.4. Theoretical Implications of MHC Restriction: One Receptor or Two Receptors?	152
8.1.5. Immunology and its Theoreticians	155
8.2. Chase for the Antigen Receptor of T Cells	158
8.2.1. Early Attempts at Identifying TCR: 'Ig or not Ig, this is the Question'	158
8.2.2. Serological Approaches to the Isolation of TCR	161
8.2.3. The Winner is Again Molecular Biology: The TCR Gene Complex	165
8.2.4. How T Cells Recognize Antigen: Crystallography of TCR-peptide-MHC Complexes	168
8.3. T-Cell Recognition: From Facts Toward Understanding	171
8.3.1. T-Cell Function in a Nutshell	172
8.3.2. Specificity Requirements for Docking of TCR on MHC	173
8.3.3. The Biology of Docking	175
8.3.4. Peptide Recognition by TCR	175
8.4. Thymus and the T-Cell Repertoire	177
8.4.1. Early Events of Thymocyte Development	177
8.4.2. The Germline Repertoire	178
8.4.3. Selection of the T-Cell Repertoire in the Thymus	179
8.4.4. The Mature T-Cell Repertoire	185
8.5. Alloreactivity: The Continuing Puzzle	189
8.5.1. Relationship Between Alloreactive and Self-MHC Restricted T Cells	190
8.5.2. Further Peculiarities of Alloresponses	192
8.5.3. Alloreactivity: A Pot-Pourri of Different Responses	193
8.6. Functional Subclasses of T Cells	198
8.6.1. Ly1 and Ly2,3: Markers to Distinguish Between Helper and Cytotoxic T Cells	198
8.6.2. How T-Cell Surface Markers Became Coreceptors	200
8.6.3. Th1 and Th2: Functionally Distinct Subsets of T Helper Cells	206
8.6.4. The Rise and Fall of Suppressor T Cells	210
8.6.5. Rebirth of the Phoenix: From Ts to Treg	212
8.7. Cell Adhesion, Costimulation, Co-Inhibition	217
8.7.1. Cell Adhesion Receptors of the Immune System	217
8.7.2. Costimulation, Co-Inhibition	219
References	222

9. Acquired Immunological Tolerance	235
9.1. Discovery	235
9.2. The Era of Theories	237
9.2.1. The Concept of Self–Non-Self Discrimination	237
9.2.2. What is Immunological Self?	239
9.2.3. Early Theories of Self–Non-Self Discrimination	241
9.2.4. The Two-Signal or Associative-Recognition-of-Antigen Model	244
9.2.5. Alternative Models	251
9.2.6. An Aside on the Regulation of Effector Response	255
9.2.7. The Bottom Line	257
9.3. The Era of Mechanistic Studies	258
9.3.1. Clonal Deletion of T Cells	258
9.3.2. Clonal Deletion of B Cells	262
9.3.3. Clonal Anergy	265
9.3.4. An Unexpected Turn: Display of Peripheral Self in the Thymus	268
9.4. Can Self Tolerance Be Understood?	271
9.4.1. Importance of the Perinatal Window	272
9.4.2. What Happens After the Perinatal Window Closed?	273
9.4.3. Adult Tolerance in the B-Cell Compartment	273
9.4.4. Adult Tolerance in the T-Cell Compartment	274
9.4.5. Final Plea	275
References	276
10. Autoimmunity	281
10.1. Genetic Factors Predisposing to Autoimmune Disease	282
10.1.1. Genetic Association with the Major Histocompatibility Complex	282
10.1.2. Selective Antigen Presentation: A Possible Mechanism for MHC–Disease Association	289
10.1.3. Other Possible Mechanisms for MHC–Disease Association	293
10.1.4. Non-MHC Genes Predisposing to Autoimmunity	296
10.2. How is Autoimmunity Initiated?	297
10.2.1. Escape from Central Tolerance	298
10.2.2. Escape from or Breaking of Peripheral Tolerance	299
10.2.3. Availability of Autoantigen	301
10.2.4. Environmental Triggers	302
10.3. Some Aspects of Pathogenesis	306
10.4. Approaches to Immunotherapy	308
10.4.1. Targeting Antigen Presentation by MHC Molecules	308
10.4.2. Targeting the Antigenic Ligand	309

10.4.3. Targeting the T-Cell Antigen Receptor	310
10.4.4. Targeting Co-Receptors, Co-Stimulation, and Cell Adhesion Molecules	311
10.4.5. Targeting the Effector Response	312
10.4.6. The Take-Home Lesson	314
10.5. What Is Needed for Better Understanding of Autoimmunity?	315
References	319
Concluding Remarks	327
Index	331

