

Explorations in Semantics

Temporality

Universals and Variation

Maria Bittner

WILEY Blackwell

Temporality

Universals and Variation

Maria Bittner



WILEY Blackwell

This edition first published 2014
© 2014 Maria Bittner

Registered Office

John Wiley & Sons Ltd, The Atrium, Southern Gate, Chichester, West Sussex, PO19 8SQ, UK

Editorial Offices

350 Main Street, Malden, MA 02148-5020, USA

9600 Garsington Road, Oxford, OX4 2DQ, UK

The Atrium, Southern Gate, Chichester, West Sussex, PO19 8SQ, UK

For details of our global editorial offices, for customer services, and for information about how to apply for permission to reuse the copyright material in this book please see our website at www.wiley.com/wiley-blackwell.

The right of Maria Bittner to be identified as the author of this work has been asserted in accordance with the UK Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988.

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, except as permitted by the UK Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988, without the prior permission of the publisher.

Wiley also publishes its books in a variety of electronic formats. Some content that appears in print may not be available in electronic books.

Designations used by companies to distinguish their products are often claimed as trademarks. All brand names and product names used in this book are trade names, service marks, trademarks or registered trademarks of their respective owners. The publisher is not associated with any product or vendor mentioned in this book.

Limit of Liability/Disclaimer of Warranty: While the publisher and author have used their best efforts in preparing this book, they make no representations or warranties with respect to the accuracy or completeness of the contents of this book and specifically disclaim any implied warranties of merchantability or fitness for a particular purpose. It is sold on the understanding that the publisher is not engaged in rendering professional services and neither the publisher nor the author shall be liable for damages arising herefrom. If professional advice or other expert assistance is required, the services of a competent professional should be sought.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Bittner, Maria.

Temporality : universals and variation / Maria Bittner. – First Edition.

pages cm. – (Explorations in semantics)

Summary: "Cutting-edge research on directly compositional dynamic semantics of languages with and without grammatical tense" – Provided by publisher.

ISBN 978-1-4051-9040-4 (hardback) – ISBN 978-1-4051-9039-8 (paper) 1. Grammar, Comparative and general–Temporal constructions. 2. Linguistic universals 3. Language and languages–Variation. 4. Semantics. I. Title.

P294.5.B58 2014

415–dc23

2013038469

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library.

Cover design by Design Deluxe

Set in 10/12.5 Palatino LT Std by Laserwords Private Limited, Chennai, India

Printed and bound in Malaysia by Vivar Printing Sdn Bhd

Temporality: Universals and Variation

Explorations in Semantics

Series Editor: Susan Rothstein

Editorial Board

Ruth Kempson, *King's College London*

Angelika Kratzer, *University of Massachusetts, Amherst*

Manfred Krifka, *Humboldt University; Zentrum für Allgemeine Sprachwissenschaft (ZAS), Berlin*

Fred Landman, *Tel Aviv University*

Luigi Rizzi, *University of Siena*

Robert Stalnaker, *Massachusetts Institute of Technology*

This exciting series features important new research by leading scholars in the field of semantics. Each volume focuses on a topic or topics central to the field, including dynamic semantics, aspect, focus, anaphora, and type-shifting, and offers a pedagogical component designed to introduce the topics addressed and situate the new research in the context of the field and previous research. The presentational style emphasizes student accessibility without compromising the sophistication of the research involved.

Explorations in Semantics is an excellent series for students and researchers in the field, as well as scholars in adjacent areas such as syntax, philosophy of language, and computational linguistics.

- 1 *Compositionality in Formal Semantics: Selected Papers of Barbara H. Partee*
Barbara H. Partee
- 2 *Structuring Events: A Study in the Semantics of Lexical Aspect*
Susan Rothstein
- 3 *Indefinites and the Type of Sets*
Fred Landman
- 4 *The Proper Treatment of Events*
Michiel van Lambalgen and Fritz Hamm
- 5 *Sense and Sensitivity: How Focus Determines Meaning*
David Beaver and Brady Clark
- 6 *Temporality: Universals and Variation*
Maria Bittner

Acknowledgments

This book is the culmination of some 15 years of research, so the list of my accumulated intellectual debts is longer than I can acknowledge in detail. But I wish to thank those who stand out in my mind as people to whom this book owes its existence or who had a significant impact on its content.

First and foremost, Hans Kamp has been instrumental on both counts. Since 1997, conversations with Hans and seemingly casual remarks with lasting impact have led me to embark on various lines of inquiry – beginning with dynamic semantics, then temporal discourse reference, and finally presupposition – which ultimately merged into this book. Hans also carefully read the first draft of Part I and gave me extensive written comments that led me to restructure and rethink that part entirely.

I am also deeply grateful to Judith Tonhauser and three other (anonymous) reviewers for carefully reading the pre-final book manuscript and writing exceptionally helpful reviews, which led to the final restructuring and revisions. Lisa Matthewson and two other (anonymous) reviewers of the book proposal had important initial impact.

Thanks are also due to the participants in my lecture series and other presentations at the Institute for Computational Linguistics at Stuttgart University (2000–2012) – yet another debt I owe to Hans Kamp; my 2001–2013 Rutgers courses on dynamic semantics; ESLLI 2006 course on Temporal Anaphora in Tenseless Languages; LSA 2009 course on Cross-Linguistic Compositional Semantics; and other presentations over this period. Conversations with consultants on Kalaallisut, Mandarin Chinese, and my native Polish played a different but equally crucial role.

Last but not least, I thank Susan Rothstein for inviting me, long ago, to write a book for her *Explorations in Semantics* series. Over the subsequent years, both Susan and the entire editorial team at Wiley Blackwell patiently nurtured the project to its successful completion. I could not have written this book without their staying the course, in spite of many missed deadlines, during the initial gestation period; their enthusiastic encouragement throughout the entire process; and, most importantly, without the expert review process at the key junctures – both the book proposal and the pre-final book manuscript.

Glosses

ENGLISH (Indo-European, Germanic)

Gloss and category in this book

PST	past tense (was)
PRS	present tense (is)
FUT	future tense (will be)
<PST	backshifted past tense (had been)
<PRS	backshifted present tense (was)
<FUT	backshifted future tense (would be)
INF	infinitive (to be)
PRE	prospective aspect ({is was} going to be)
PRF	perfect aspect (have been)
PRG	progressive aspect (is being polite)

Huddleston and Pullum (2002)

preterite tense
present tense
modal auxiliary (mood)
backshifted preterite tense
backshifted present tense
–
infinitive
idiom
perfect tense
progressive aspect

POLISH (Indo-European, Slavic)

Gloss and category in this book

\I	imperfective aspect feature (<i>by-</i> ‘be\I’)
\P	perfective aspect feature (<i>poby-</i> ‘stay\P’)
PRF	perfect aspect inflection (* ~ <i>pobywszy</i>)
DUR	durative aspect inflection (<i>będąc</i> ~ *)
PST	past tense (<i>byłem ~ pobyłem</i>)
PRS	present tense (<i>jestem</i> ~ *)
FUT	future tense (<i>będę ~ pobędę</i>)
INF	infinitive (<i>być ~ pobyć</i>)

Bielec (1998)

imperfective verb
perfective verb
past participle
present participle
past tense
present tense
future tense
infinitive

1, 2, 3	1st, 2nd, 3rd person (<i>bylem</i> , <i>byles</i> <i>byl</i>)	1st, 2nd, 3rd person
S(G), P(L)	singular, plural number (<i>byl</i> , <i>byli</i>)	singular, plural number
M, F, N	masc., fem., neuter gender (<i>byl</i> , <i>byla</i> , <i>bylo</i>)	masc., fem., neuter gender
=SBJ	subjunctive mood clitic (<i>že=by=m byl</i>)	conditional tense

MANDARIN (Sino-Tibetan, Chinese)

<i>Gloss and category in this book</i>		<i>Henne et al. (1977)</i>
E/	eventive aspect feature	action verb
S/	stative aspect feature	quality verb
DUR	durative aspect particle (<i>zhe</i>)	durative aspect
PNC	punctual aspect particle (<i>le</i>)	perfective aspect
PRE	pre-state, aspectual modal (<i>hui ~ yào</i>)	modal verb
EXP	expect(ed), attitudinal modal (<i>hui</i>)	modal verb
DES	desire(d), attitudinal modal (<i>yào</i>)	modal verb
\top v, v_{\top}	topical 3rd person (subject, object)	topic zero
\perp v, v_{\perp}	background 3rd person (subject, object) –	
M	measure (event <i>v</i> or mass <i>n</i>)	measure (verbal, partitive, ...)
CL	classifier (count <i>n</i>)	measure (individual)

KALAALLISUT (Eskimo-Aleut, Inuit)

<i>Gloss and category in this book</i>		<i>Bergsland (1955)</i>
SG, PL	singular, plural number	singular, plural number
1, 2	1st, 2nd person	1st, 2nd person
3_{\top} , 3_{\perp}	topical 3rd, background 3rd person	4th, 3rd person
DEC	declarative, matrix mood	indicative
QUE	interrogative, matrix mood	interrogative
IMP	imperative, matrix mood	imperative
OPT	optative, matrix mood	optative
FCT	factual, dependent mood	causative
HYP	hypothetical, dependent mood	conditional
HAB	habitual, dependent mood	causative habitual
ELA	elaborating, dependent mood	contemporative or participial

-...T	-mood _{topical.subject}	mood suppletion
-...⊥	-mood _{background.subject}	mood suppletion
-...T⊥	-mood _{topical.subject background.object}	mood suppletion
=RPT	reportative, evidential mood clitic	class 4 enclitic

Contents

<i>List of Figures</i>	<i>ix</i>
<i>Acknowledgments</i>	<i>xiii</i>
<i>Glosses</i>	<i>xv</i>
Introduction	1
Part I Semantic Universals	13
1 Direct Semantic Composition	15
1.1 Simple Type Logic (TL ₀)	16
1.2 A CG.TL ₀ Fragment of English	17
1.3 Dynamic Type Logic (DL ₀)	23
1.4 A CG.DL ₀ Fragment of English	27
1.5 Centering: A Blind Spot of English-Based Logics	34
2 Nominal Reference with Centering	41
2.1 Center v. Periphery: Anaphora to Structured Lists	42
2.2 Kalaallisut Third Person Inflections as Top-Level Anaphora	48
2.3 Mandarin Third Person Features as Top-Level Anaphora	53
2.4 English Third Person Pronouns as Shallow Anaphora	57
2.5 Simple Update with Centering (UC ₀)	61
3 Tense as Temporal Centering	67
3.1 Polish Third Person Inflections as Top-Level Anaphora	68
3.2 Polish Tenses as Top-Level Temporal Reference	69
3.3 English Tenses as Temporal (In)definites	73
3.4 English Tenses as Top-Level Temporal Reference	78
3.5 UC ₀ with Temporal Centering (UC _τ)	85

4	Aspect as Eventuality Centering	93
4.1	Polish Aspect Features v. Inflections	94
4.2	Mandarin Aspect Features v. Particles	101
4.3	English Aspectual Auxiliaries	108
4.4	UC _τ with Mereology (UC _{τ+})	112
5	Quantification as Reference to Sets	118
5.1	Nominal Quantification and Anaphora	119
5.2	Nominal Quantification and Temporal Reference	127
5.3	Temporal Quantification and Anaphora	130
5.4	UC _{τ+} with Discourse Referents for Sets (UC _τ)	134
6	Mood as Illocutionary Centering	142
6.1	Illocutionary Moods with(out) Reportative Recentering	143
6.2	(Not-)at-Issue Content as Modal Discourse Reference	146
6.3	(Not-)at-Issue with Start-Up Illocutionary Referents	150
6.4	Dependent Moods as Perspectival (Re)centering	157
6.5	UC _ε with Illocutionary Referents (UC _{εω})	160
7	(In)direct Speech and Attitude Reports	166
7.1	Mood with(out) Reportative Recentering Revisited	167
7.2	At-Issue Reports with Finite Complements	174
7.3	At-Issue Reports with Non-Finite Complements	179
7.4	UC: Combining UC _τ and UC _{εω}	185
	Part II Temporal Variation	191
8	Tense-Based Temporality in English	193
8.1	Indexical Past with(out) Recentering Aspect	194
8.2	Indexical Non-Past with(out) Recentering Aspect	200
8.3	Reports: Speaker's View of Subject's (Non-)Past	203
8.4	Quantification: Tenses in Distributive Contexts	206
8.5	A CG.UC Fragment of English	209
9	Tense-Aspect-Based Temporality in Polish	219
9.1	Relative Past (Im)perfective	220
9.2	Relative Non-Past (Im)perfective	226
9.3	Reports: Subject's (Non-)Past	230
9.4	Quantification: Distributed (Im)perfectives	233
9.5	A CG.UC Fragment of Polish	237

10 Aspect-Based Temporality in Mandarin	246
10.1 Non-Future: Verifiable Topic State	247
10.2 Future: Prospective Topic State or Comment	253
10.3 Reports: Attitudinal Topic State or Comment	257
10.4 Quantification: Topical Habit or Distributive Comment	260
10.5 A CG.UC Fragment of Mandarin	262
11 Mood-Based Temporality in Kalaallisut	272
11.1 Non-Future: Verifiable Eventualities	273
11.2 Future: Verifiable Eventualities with Future c-Points	278
11.3 Reports: Verifiability from Agent's Perspective	282
11.4 Quantification: Verifiable Habits	287
11.5 A CG.UC Fragment of Kalaallisut	290
Conclusion	298
Bibliography	308
Author Index	319
Subject Index	323

List of Figures

1.1	Notation for basic terms of TL_0	17
1.2	Some English categories and corresponding TL_0 types	19
1.3	Notation for types and basic terms of DL_0	25
1.4	DRT-style notation for DL_0 terms	26
1.5	Some English categories and corresponding DL_0 types	29
1.6	DRT-style notation for PLA_0 terms	39
2.1	List extensions and related orders	62
2.2	Notation for basic terms of UC_0	64
2.3	DRT-style notation for UC_0 terms	64
3.1	Model for Polish sentence (4)	70
3.2	Model for Polish discourse (7i–ii)	72
3.3	Indefinite np :: tense in English	74
3.4	Pronoun :: tense in English	75
3.5	Anchored (in)definite :: tense in English	77
3.6	Moens and Steedman (1988) eventuality algebra $\langle \mathcal{D}_\varepsilon \cup \mathcal{D}_{\sigma'}, \triangleright, \blacktriangleleft, \dots \rangle$	77
3.7	Bach (1986) mereological algebra $\langle \mathcal{D}_\varepsilon \cup \mathcal{D}_{\sigma'}, \sqsubseteq, \blacktriangle, \nabla, \dots \rangle$	79
3.8	UC_τ dref algebra $\langle \mathcal{D}_\varepsilon \cup \mathcal{D}_{\sigma'}, \sqsubseteq, \triangleright, \blacktriangleleft, \blacktriangledown, \blacktriangleright, \blacktriangleleft, \dots \rangle$	80
3.9	Model for English discourse (30i–iii)	80
3.10	Model for English discourse (32i–iii)	82
3.11	Notation for basic terms of UC_τ	89
3.12	DRT-style notation for UC_τ terms	89
4.1	Polish grammatical aspect features and inflections	94

4.2	Polish diagnostic tests for aspectual classes (Młynarczyk 2004)	95
4.3	Polish aspectual classes	96
4.4	Model for Polish imperfective (2a) v. perfective (2b)	96
4.5	Model for Polish flashback discourse (4i–iii)	98
4.6	Model for Polish discourse (5i–ii)	100
4.7	Mandarin aspectual classes	102
4.8	Mandarin diagnostic tests for aspectual classes	103
4.9	Model for Mandarin discourse (8i–ii)	105
4.10	<i>Sig(nificant)</i> -points for Mandarin punctual aspect <i>le</i>	106
4.11	Model for Mandarin sentence (10a)	108
4.12	Model for Mandarin sentence (10b)	108
4.13	Model for English discourse (16i–ii)	112
4.14	Additional DRT-style notation for $UC_{\tau+}$	116
5.1	Model for English discourse (6i–ii)	128
5.2	Additional DRT-style notation for $UC_{\tau }$	138
6.1	Attitudinal orders and ideals	155
6.2	Additional DRT-style notation for $UC_{\varepsilon\omega }$	163
7.1	Models $\{a \mid b \mid c\}$ for Kalaallisut (1): $\{v^{\bullet}_{T\varepsilon} \mid v^e_{T\varepsilon} \mid v^s_{T\varepsilon}\}$ -DEC	168
7.2	Models $\{a \mid b \mid c\}$ for Kalaallisut (2): $xp^{Ts} \{v^{\bullet}_{T\sigma} \mid v^e_{T\sigma} \mid v^s_{T\sigma}\}$ -DEC	168
7.3	Model for Kalaallisut (3): v-DEC elaborated by v-FCT	169
7.4	Model for Kalaallisut (3 _R): v-DEC elaborated by $[RPT_{\perp}^T \dots v-FCT]$	170
7.5	Model for Kalaallisut (4): $[RPT^T \dots DEC]$ with comment by $[RPT_T \dots FCT]$	171
7.6	Model for Kalaallisut (4): $[RPT^T \dots DEC]$ elaborated by $[RPT_{\perp}^T \dots FCT]$	171
7.7	Model for Kalaallisut (5): Plain optative (v-OPT)	173
7.8	Model for Kalaallisut (5 _R): RPT^T with comment by v-OPT _T	173
7.9	Models $\{a \mid b \mid c\}$ for Polish (6): Matrix $TNS_{T\varepsilon}$	176
7.10	Models $\{a \mid b \mid c\}$ for Polish (7): $say_{\varepsilon} \backslash l^{Ts}$ with comment by $TNS_{T\sigma}$	176
7.11	Models $\{a \mid b \mid c\}$ for English (8): $say^e \text{ that}^{Ts}$ with comment by $<TNS_{T\varepsilon, T\sigma}$	177
7.12	Model for English (9): "Intentional identity" as anaphora	179

7.13	Models $\{a \mid b \mid c\}$ for Kalaallisut (10): Verifiability from event of <i>-say</i> [*]	181
7.14	Models $\{a \mid b \mid c\}$ for Kalaallisut (11): Verifiability from state of <i>-believe</i> ^s	181
7.15	Models $\{a \mid b\}$ for Polish (12): <i>want</i> \ ^s elaborated by $\{INF_{\perp\sigma} \mid np\ SBJ_{\perp\sigma}\}$	182
7.16	Model for English (13i-ii): "Modal subordination" as anaphora	183
8.1	Model for English (4i-iii): (Non-)anaphoric PST with(out) recentering PRF	198
8.2	Model for English (5i-iii): (Non-)anaphoric PST with(out) recentering PRG	198
8.3	Models $\{a \mid b \mid c \mid d\}$ for English (6): Future reference by PRS or FUT	200
8.4	Model for English (10i-iii): Non-past variant of (5i-iii)	202
8.5	Models $\{a \mid b \mid c\}$ for English (11): PST <i>say that</i> ^{Ts} w. comment by ${}^{<TNS}_{T\varepsilon, T\sigma}$	204
8.6	Models $\{a \mid b\}$ for English (13): <i>want</i> ^s elaborated by $\{INF_{\perp\sigma} \mid np\ INF_{\perp\sigma}\}$	205
9.1	Models $\{a \mid b\}$ for Polish (1): (Im)perfective past of <i>process</i> base v_{ε}	221
9.2	Models $\{a \mid b\}$ for Polish (2): $[when \setminus P-PST]^T \dots \{\setminus I_{\perp\varepsilon} \mid \setminus P_{\perp\varepsilon}\} -PST_{T\tau}$	221
9.3	Models $\{a \mid b\}$ for Polish (3): $\{\setminus I-DUR^{Ts} \mid \setminus P-PRF^{Ts}\}$ with $\setminus P$ -comment	222
9.4	Model for Polish (4i-iii): $?_{\varepsilon}$ -relative perfective past	223
9.5	Model for Polish (5i-iii): (Im)perfective past	224
9.6	Models $\{a \mid a'\}$ for Polish (6): $\setminus I$ -PRS as present $\{\text{state} \mid \text{pre-state}\}$	227
9.7	Models $\{a \mid a'\}$ for Polish (7): $[when \setminus P-FUT]^T \dots \{\setminus I_{\perp\varepsilon} \mid \setminus P_{\perp\varepsilon}\} -FUT_{T\tau}$	227
9.8	Model for Polish (8i-iii): Non-past variant of (5i-iii)	228
9.9	Models $\{a \mid b \mid c\}$ for Polish (9): <i>say</i> \ ^{Ts} with comment by $TNS_{T\sigma}$	231
9.10	Models $\{a \mid b\}$ for Polish (10): <i>want</i> \ ^s elaborated by $\{INF_{\perp\sigma} \mid np\ SBJ_{\perp\sigma}\}$	232
10.1	Model for Mandarin (1i-ii): Past as topic state with verifiable c-point	248
10.2	Mandarin diagnostic tests for aspectual classes	249
10.3	<i>Sig(nificant)</i> -points for Mandarin punctual aspect <i>le</i>	250
10.4	Model for Mandarin (5i-ii): Non-future as verifiable topic state	252
10.5	Model for Mandarin (6b): Verifiability from future c-point	253
10.6	Model for Mandarin (7i-iii): Past pre-state with unrealized c-point	254
10.7	Model for Mandarin (8i-ii): Future variant of (5i-ii)	254
10.8	Model for Mandarin (9i-ii): Conditional variant of (8i-ii)	256
10.9	Models $\{a \mid b \mid c\}$ for Mandarin (10i-ii): Attitudinal variants of (9i-ii)	258

10.10	Model for Mandarin (11i-ii): Speech report and anaphoric attitudes	259
11.1	Kalaallisut aspectual classes	273
11.2	Models $\{a \mid b \mid c\}$ for Kalaallisut (5): Verifiability with T_{ε} -anchor	275
11.3	Models $\{a \mid b \mid c\}$ for Kalaallisut (6): Verifiability with T_{σ} -anchor	275
11.4	Models $\{a \mid b \mid c\}$ for Kalaallisut (7): Verifiability with \perp_{τ} -anchor	276
11.5	Model for Kalaallisut (8i-ii): Non-future as verifiable topic state	277
11.6	Model for Kalaallisut (9i-ii): Future variant of (8i-ii)	279
11.7	Model for Kalaallisut (10i-ii): Conditional variant of (9i-ii)	280
11.8	Model for Kalaallisut (11i-ii): Optative variant of (10i-ii)	281
11.9	Models $\{a \mid b \mid c\}$ for Kalaallisut (13): Verifiability from state of <i>-believe^s</i>	283
11.10	Models $\{a \mid b \mid c\}$ for Kalaallisut (14): Verifiability from event of <i>-say[*]</i>	284
11.11	Model for Kalaallisut (17): Verifiability from source speech act	285
11.12	Models $\{a \mid b \mid c\}$ for Kalaallisut (19): Verifiable habits	287

Introduction

In any human language, speakers have grammatical means to refer to past, present, as well as future times, events, and states. They can speak directly, expressing their own attitudes; or indirectly, attributing words or attitudes to other people. Moreover, they can refer to a particular event or state, or to a habitual pattern. To express these universal types of temporal reference, different languages use different grammatical categories. They may also use the same categories in language-specific ways.

Discourses (1) through (4), in four diverse languages, illustrate both the universal types of temporal reference and the range of variation to be analyzed in this book. The four languages differ in the grammatical *TAM-categories* – tense (*T*), aspect (*A*), and/or mood (*M*) – which they require to form a finite sentence. English is classified as *tense-based*, because a tense inflection or auxiliary (e.g. past -PST, present -PRS, or future FUT) is required to form a finite sentence, whereas grammatical aspect (e.g. perfect *have* v.PRF) is optional. Polish is *tense-aspect-based*, because each Polish verb has a grammatical aspect feature (perfective *∇P* or imperfective *∇I*) and to form a finite sentence must be inflected for tense (-PST, -PRS, or -FUT). Tenseless Mandarin is *aspect-based*, because Mandarin verbs likewise have grammatical aspect features (eventive *E*/ or stative *S*/). Finally, tenseless Kalaallisut is *mood-based*, because to form a finite sentence a Kalaallisut verb must be inflected for matrix mood (e.g. declarative -DEC). In addition, Kalaallisut has a separate paradigm of dependent moods (e.g. factual -FCT, hypothetical -HYP, habitual -HAB) and a mood-like reportative evidential clitic (=RPT). Only semantically relevant grammatical categories, to be analyzed in this book, are glossed (using abbreviations listed in the Glosses at the front of the book; ‘*ref.*’ abbreviates ‘reference’).

- (1) TENSE-based temporality: *English* (Indo-European, Germanic)
- | | | | | | |
|----|-----|----------|--------|-------|---------------------|
| i. | Ann | has | gone | home. | <i>present ref.</i> |
| | Ann | have.PRS | go.PRF | home | |