

Product Liability

MALCOLM DEWIS LLB (Hons)

DAVID C. HUTCHINS MSc, CEng, MIMechE, FIQA, MASQC

PETER MADGE LLB, ACII, FCIarb

Published in association with The Institute of Quality Assurance



Heinemann: London

William Heinemann Ltd

10 Upper Grosvenor Street, London W1X 9PA
LONDON MELBOURNE TORONTO
JOHANNESBURG AUCKLAND

First published 1980

© M. Dewis, D. C. Hutchins, P. Madge, and The Institute of Quality Assurance, 1980

434 90311 6

Printed in Great Britain by Butler & Tanner Ltd Frome and London

Product Liability

Foreword

The prospect of the introduction of strict product-liability legislation is concentrating managers' thoughts on how to minimize the risks involved in providing products and services to meet market requirements. To understand the subject one needs to know about both the present legal position and the principle of strict liability which is now so widely advocated. A knowledge of the protection that can be provided by insurance cover is also necessary. But most important is familiarity with the policies and procedures which are available to industry to reduce the risks. Quality assurance, already widely recognized as an important management tool, is now generally accepted as the primary means of implementing effective product-liability prevention programmes.

Managers, technologists and businessmen will rapidly have to become knowledgeable in fields which are currently foreign to many of them, particularly in relation to the law, insurance and quality-assurance practices. This book is a timely aid as we seek to acquire experience in these fields, which are becoming increasingly interwoven with our customary work.

It is appropriate that The Institute of Quality Assurance should be associated with this book which breaks new ground in the field of quality assurance. I, too, am delighted to be connected with it. I am confident that it will be essential reading material for all industrial managers with responsibilities in this field.

Robert Feilden.

G. B. R. Feilden, CBE, FRS President, The Institute of Quality Assurance

Table of Cases

Supreme Ct.)	56
Balidov. Improved Machinery Inc.—29 Cal. App. 3d 633, 109 Cal. Rptr. 890 (1973)	123
Barker v. Lull Engineering Co. Inc.—20 Cal 3d 413, 143 Cal. Rptr. 225 (1978)	143 – 4
Baxter v. Ford Motor Co. (U.S.)—168 Wash. 456, 12 P. 20	d
409 (1932)	76, 77
Biddle v. Truvox Engineering Ltd—(1952) I K.B. 101	10, 13
Blyth v. Birmingham Waterworks Ltd—(1856) 11 Ex. 78: Boyd v. Frenchee Chemical Corp.—37F Supp. 306 (N.Y	,
1941)	71
Burnett v. British Waterways Board—(1973) 2 All E.R. 631	;
(1973) I W.L.R. 700	68
Buck v. English Electric Ltd—(1978) 1 All E.R. 271	71
Buckpitt v. Oates—(1968) 1 All E.R. 1145	51
Butterfield v. Forrester—(1808) 11 East 60	69
Bux v. Slough Metals Ltd-(1974) 1 All E.R. 262; (1973)	I
W.L.R. 1358, 15 K.I.R. 126	66, 86
Carlill v. Carbolic Smoke Ball Co.—(1893) 1 Q.B. 256	77
Central Asbestos Co. v. Dodd—(1973) A.C. 518; (1972) 2 Al	1
E.R. 1135	71
Cintrone v. Hertz Truck Leasing and Rental Service-4:	5
N.J. 434, 212 A. 2d 769 (1965)	73,78,
	139,140
Codling v. Paglia—32 New York 2d 330, 298 Northeastern 2d	d
622, 345 New York Supplement 2d 461 (1973)	136
Cole v. Blackstone & Co.—(1943) K.B. 615; 59 T.L.R. 374. 87 S.J. 400	
Comstock v. General Motors Corp.—358 Mich. 163, 99 N.W	27
2d 627 (1959)	
Cronin v. Olson—8 Cal. 3d 121, 501 P. 2d 1153, 104 Cal.Rptr	. 57
433 (1972)	84, 121, 124
TJJ \~7/~/	04, 121, 124

Davie v. New Merton Board Mills Ltd—(1956) 1 All E.R.	
379; (1956) 1 W.L.R. 233	12
Dawsons Ltd. v. Bonnin—(1922) A.C. 156	42
Dean v. General Motors Corp.—301 F. Supp. 187 (E.D.La.	
1969) (La. Law)	125
Dixon v. Bell—(1816) 5 M & S. 198	5, 54, 67
Donoghue v. Stevenson—(1932) A.C. 532	(13, 22,
23, 54, 58, 7	5, 135, 136)
Edwards v. N.C.B.—(1949) 1 K.B. 704; (1949) 1 All E.R. 743 Escola v. Cola Cola Bottling Co.—24 Cal 2d 453, 150 Pac 2d	22
436 (1944)	132, 133
Evans v. Triplex Safety Glass Co.—(1936) 1 All E.R. 283	10, 58, 121
Fanning v. LeMay—38 Ill. 2d 209, 230 N.E. 2d 182 (1967)	116
Farr v. Butters Bros & Co.—(1932) 2 K.B. 606	68, 69
Fisher v. Harrods Ltd—(1966) 1 Lloyd's Rep. 500	60, 62
Ford Motor Co. v. Mathis—(1963) 322 F. 2d 267 (5th Cir.)	63, 81
Froom v. Butcher—(1976) QB 286; (1975) 3 All E.R. 520	70
Frost v. Aylesbury Dairy Co. Ltd.—(1905) 1 K.B. 608	45, 48
Goldberg v. Kollsman Instrument Corp.—12 N.Y. 2d 432,	
240 N.Y.S. 2d 592, 191 N.E. 2d 81 (1963)	80, 81
Grant v. Australian Knitting Mills Ltd—(1936) A.C. 85, P.C.	10, 25,
	55, 132, 140
Green v. American Tobacco Co.—409 F. 2d 1166 (5th Cir.	
1969)	82, 83
Greenman v. Yuba Power Products Inc.— 59 Cal. 2d 57, 377 P. 2d 897, 27 Cal.Rptr. (1962) 78,	88, 90, 114
Griffiths v. Arch Engineering Ltd—(1968) 3 All E.R. 217	59
Griffiths v. Peter Conway Ltd—1939) A All E.R. 685	8, 24
Grimshaw v. Ford Motor Co. (U.S.)—New York Times (14	
Feb. 1978)	90
Hadley v. Baxendale—(1854) 9 Exch. 341	9
Hall v. Dupont De Nemours & Co.—345 F.Supp. 353 (E.D.N.Y. 1972)	121
Harrell v. Beloit Corp. of Alabama—Mobil City Circuit	
Court	88
Hart v. Lancashire and Yorkshire Railway Co.—(1869) 21	
L.T. 261.	56
Henningsen v. Bloomfield Motors Inc. and Chrysler	
Corp.—32 N.J. 358, 161 A. 2d 69 (1960)	47, 49, 77
Hertz Commercial Leasing Corp. v. Transportation	
Credit Cleaning House—59 Misc. 226 298 N.Y.S. 2d 392	
(1969), reversed on other grounds 64 Misc. 2d 910, 316 N.Y.S.	
2d. 585 (1970)	78
Jarvis v. Westminster County Council and Lind—(1970) I	
All E D 042	***

Larsen v. General Motors Corp.— 391 F. 2d 495 (8th Cir. 1968)	25
Longmeid v. Holliday—(1851) 6 Ex. 761	23
Luque v. McLean—8 Cal. 3d 136, 104 Cal.Rptr. 443, 501 P.	
2d 1163 (1972)	84, 89, 117
McClanahan v. California Spray Chemical Corp.—75 S.E.	
2d 712 (Va., 1953)	65, 124
McLaughlin v. Mine Safety Appliances Co.—II N.Y. 2d 62,	
226 N.Y.S. 2d 407, 181 N.E. 2d 430 (1962)	85
Macpherson v. Buick Motor Co.—217 N.Y. 382, 111 N.E.	
1050 (1916)	75, 80
Mayne v. Johnstone & Cumbers—(1947) 2 All E.R. 159; 207	
L.T. 199 Maytag Co. v. Arbogast—42 Ga. App. 666, 157 S.E. 350 (1931)	27
Mickle v. Blackmon—252 S.C. 202, 166 S.E. 2d 173 (1969)	75 80
Witche 0. Diackinon—252 5.C. 202, 100 5.E. 20 1/3 (1909)	80
Olley v. Marlborough Court Ltd—(1949) 1 K.B. 532	50
Peryea v. Coca Cola Bottling Co. of New England-286, A.	
2d 877, (R.I. 1972)	82
Phipps v. Rochester Corp.—(1955) 1 Q.B. 450; (1955) 2 W.L.R.	
23; (1955) 1 All E.R. 129	70
Pipper v. Dennison Division of Abex Corp.—Michigan	
Court of Appeals 126 Ga. App. 385, 190 S.E. 2d 815 (1972)	88
Reed v. Dean—(1949) 1 K.B. 188	72
Rivtow Marine Ltd v. Washington Ironworks—(1973) 40	
D.L.R. (3d) 530 (Sup. Ct. of Canada) A. 2d 321 (1971)	72
Sabloff v. Yamaha Motor Co.—113 N.J. Super. 279, 273 A.	
2d 606, aff'd 59 N.J. 365, 283	81, 112, 128
Santor v. A & M Karagheusian Inc—44 N.J. 52, 207 A. 2d	
305 (1965) Schipper v. Levitt Inc.—44 N.J. 70, 207 A. 2d 314 (1965)	79, 82, 139
Seely v. White Motor Co.—63 Cal. 2d 9, 403 P. 2d 145, 45	
Cal. Rptr. 17 (1965)	79, 82, 133
Sills v. Massey Ferguson Inc.—296 F. Supp. 776 (N.D. Ind	
1969)	. 117
Sparton Steel & Alloys Ltd v. Martin Ltd (Contractors)—	
(1972) 3 All E.R. 557	72
Sperling v. Hatch—10 Cal. App. 3d 54, 88 Cal. Rptr. 705 (1970)	
Steer v. Durable Rubber Manufacturing Co. Ltd-The	2
Times (20 November 1958)	55, 75, 132
Stennett v. Hancock & Peters—(1939) 2 All E.R. 578	57
Stewart v. Reavells Garage Ltd—(1952) 2 Q.B. 545	63
Stief v. Sexauer Mfg Co.—380 F. 2d 453 (2d Cir.), cert. denied	
389 U.S. 897 (1967)	85
Taylor v. Rover Ltd—(1966) 2 All E.R. 181; (1966) 1 W.L.R	
	3, 14, 57, 63

Tinline v. Whitecross Insurance Association—(1921) 3 K.B. 327	9
Vacwell Engineering Ltd v. B. D. H. Chemicals Ltd—(1971) 1 Q.B. 88; (1969) 3 All E.R. 1681	63, 64, 85
Walker v. Bletchley Flettons Ltd—(1937) 1 All E.R. 170	23, 24, 57, 61, 70
Webster v. Blue Ship Tea Room Inc.— 347 Mass 421, 198 N.E. 2d 309 (1964)	82, 118
Weekes v. Michigan Chrome & Chemical Co.—352 F. 2d 603 (6th Cir. 1965)	85
White v. Warwick Ltd—(1953) 1 W.L.R. 1285 Winterbottom v. Wright—10 M & W. 109, 152 Eng.Rep. 402	46, 51
(1842) Wright v. Dunlop Ltd—(1973) 13 K.I.R. 255 24, 61; Wright v. Tyne Improvement Commissioners—(1968) 1 All	53, 57 , 63, 66, 67
E.R. 807	46
Yachuk v. Oliver Blais Ltd—(1949) A.C. 386	41
Zygmaniak v. Kawasaki Motors—131 N.J. Super 403, 330 A 2d 56 (1974)	89

List of Statutes

1893	Sale of Goods Act S. 12 S. 13 S. 14 S. 14 (1) S. 15	43, 43,	44, 44, 44,	53, : 48, : 48, : 48, :	50 50 50 8
1938	Hire Purchase Act			4	43
1945	Law Reform (Contributory Negligence) Act				69
1948	Law Reform (Personal Injuries) Act				51
1961	Factories Act S. 12 S. 13 S. 14 (1) S. 17 (1) S. 17 (2) S. 28 (5)	12,	21,	I	59 20 20 19 10 10
1961	Consumer Protection Act 6, S. 1 S. 3 (2)	28,	29,		31 30 30
1968	Trades Descriptions Act		6,	34,	35
1969	Employers' Liability (Defect Equipment) Act			12,	13
1971	Consumer Protection Act S. 2 (A)			30, 30,	
1973	Fair Trading Act				41
1973	Supply of Goods (Implied Terms) Act 46, 48, S. 8 S. 9 S. 10			44,	83 50 44

	D. J. J. J. J. J.	
xiv	Product Liability	
	S. 11	48
	S. 55 (3); (4) S. 55 (4)	49
1974	Health and Safety at Work Act (S. 2) S. 2 (2)	(c. 37)
	S. 6 (9)	18
	S. 6 (10)	19
	S. 53	19
1974	Consumer Credit Act (c. 39) S. 192 (3) (a)	43, 48
1975	Limitation Act	71
1977	S. 2 (I) S. 2 (2) S. 2 (3) S. 3 (2) S. 5	1, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, 61, 65, 69 14, 51, 68, 73 51 68 49
	S. 5 (I) S. 6 (I) S. 6 (2) S. 12 (I) S. 7	68 50 49 50 45
1978	Consumer Safety Act	1, 7, 12, 14, 15, 26, 30, 32
		60, 66, 120
	S. I (I) S. I (2)	32 32
	S. 2 (I)	33, 37
	S. 2 (3) S. 2 (4)	33 33

List of Statutes	xv
36, 37,	34 38 39
	List of Statutes 36, 37,

Statutory Instruments

Packaging and Labelling of Dangerous Substances Regulations SI No. 209 26, 27, 28, 40, 66

Contents

	page
Foreword by G. B. R. Feilden	v
Table of Cases	1X
List of Statutes	xiii
Part I: Law by Malcolm Dewis	I
1. Product-liability Law and Enforcement	3
2. Criminal Liability	17
3. Contractual Liability and the Retailer	42
4. Liability in Tort and the Manufacturer	53
5. American Product-liability Law	74
6. A Product-liability System for the United Kingdom	
and Europe	108
Bibliography	141
Appendix 1. Regulations Made under the Consumer	
Protection Act 1961 and Still in Force	142
Appendix 2. State of the Art in Design Defect Cases	143
Part II: Insurance by Peter Madge	145
7. Introduction	147
8. The Insurance Policy	151
9. Exceptions to the Policy	162
10. Policy Conditions	170
11. Extensions to the Policy Met in Practice	174
Appendix 3. Products-liability Policy	178
Appendix 4. Products-liability Proposal Form	181
Part III: Quality Assurance by David C.	
Hutchins	183
12. Introduction	185
13. Planning the System	199

viii Product Liability

14. Quality Assurance in Design	216
15. Reliability in Design	231
16. Quality Control in Manufacture	246
17. When Things Go Wrong	266
Bibliography	278
Index	279

Part I

LAW

by Malcolm Dewis

1 Product-liability Law and Enforcement

The aim of this part of the text dealing with product-liability law is to ascertain how far producers are liable for defects in their products and, if so, to whom, and what they can do in order to avoid or minimize liability. For these purposes it will be necessary to define the expressions 'defect' and 'liability' and also ascertain how far the establishment of good quality-control systems by companies may affect the legal position. The legal part of the book ranges from an analysis of criminal liability in connection with products and the relevant enforcement agencies, such as trading standards departments and the Health and Safety Executive, to tort, with its emphasis (at present) on negligence, though reference is also made to the recommendations of the Royal Commission on Civil Liability and Compensation for Personal Injury. As part of civil law, mention is also made of contractual liability for product defects, the position of retailers and the recent Unfair Contract Terms Act 1977. In other words the legal aspect of the book is principally concerned with products that are dangerous in the sense that they may give rise to prosecution or alternatively have caused physical injury or damage to property, and the liability that attaches to manufacturers and suppliers of such products. This includes consumer and industrial products, and the Consumer Safety Act and the Health and Safety at Work Act are examined. Finally European developments concerning product liability are considered along with the American regime of product liability and the need for insurance.

Doctrine of Product Liability

A doctrine of product liability denotes a system where liability in law exists in respect of defects in products. The liability may arise in respect of defects which cause physical or, alternatively, economic loss, a distinction accommodated in English law by tort and contract;