

Twentieth-Century  
Literary Criticism

TCLC

141



Volume 141

# Twentieth-Century Literary Criticism

**Criticism of the  
Works of Novelists, Poets, Playwrights,  
Short Story Writers, and Other Creative Writers  
Who Lived between 1900 and 1999,  
from the First Published Critical  
Appraisals to Current Evaluations**

**Janet Witaliec  
*Project Editor***



**THOMSON**  
—★—  
**GALE**



**Twentieth-Century Literary Criticism, Vol. 141**

**Project Editor**  
Janet Witalec

**Editorial**  
Jenny Cromie, Scott Darga, Kathy D. Darrow,  
Julie Keppen, Allison Marion, Linda Pavlovski

**Research**  
Michelle Campbell, Tracie A. Richardson

**Indexing Services**  
Laurie Andriot

**Rights & Acquisition Management**  
Ann Taylor

**Imaging and Multimedia**  
Lezlie Light, Dan Newell, Luke Rademacher,  
Denay Wilding

**Composition and Electronic Capture**  
Kathy Sauer

**Manufacturing**  
Stacy L. Melson

© 2004 by Gale. Gale is an imprint of  
The Gale Group, Inc., a division of  
Thomson Learning, Inc.

Gale and Design® and Thomson Learning™  
are trademarks used herein under license.

*For more information, contact*  
The Gale Group, Inc.  
27500 Drake Rd.  
Farmington Hills, MI 48331-3535  
Or you can visit our internet site at  
<http://www.gale.com>

**ALL RIGHTS RESERVED**

No part of this work covered by the copyright  
herein may be reproduced or used in any  
form or by any means—graphic, electronic, or  
mechanical, including photocopying,  
recording, taping, Web distribution, or  
information storage retrieval  
systems—without the written permission of  
the publisher.

This publication is a creative work fully pro-  
tected by all applicable copyright laws, as well  
as by misappropriation, trade secret, unfair  
competition, and other applicable laws. The  
authors and editors of this work have added  
value to the underlying factual material  
herein through one or more of the following:  
unique and original selection, coordination,  
expression, arrangement, and classification of  
the information.

For permission to use material from the  
product, submit your request via the Web at  
<http://www.gale-edit.com/permissions>, or you  
may download our Permissions Request form  
and submit your request by fax or mail to:

**Permissions Department**  
The Gale Group, Inc.  
27500 Drake Rd.  
Farmington Hills, MI 48331-3535  
Permissions Hotline:  
248-699-8006 or 800-877-4253, ext. 8006  
Fax 248-699-8074 or 800-762-4058

Since this page cannot legibly accommodate  
all copyright notices, the acknowledgments  
constitute an extension of the copyright  
notice.

While every effort has been made to secure  
permission to reprint material and to ensure  
the reliability of the information presented in  
this publication, the Gale Group neither  
guarantees the accuracy of the data  
contained herein nor assumes any  
responsibility for errors, omissions or  
discrepancies. Gale accepts no payment for  
listing; and inclusion in the publication of any  
organization, agency, institution, publication,  
service, or individual does not imply  
endorsement of the editors or publisher.  
Errors brought to the attention of the  
publisher and verified to the satisfaction of  
the publisher will be corrected in future  
editions.

**LIBRARY OF CONGRESS CATALOG CARD NUMBER 76-46132**

ISBN 0-7876-7040-5  
ISSN 0276-8178

Printed in the United States of America  
10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1

# Twentieth-Century Literary Criticism

# Guide to Gale Literary Criticism Series

<b>For criticism on</b>	<b>Consult these Gale series</b>
Authors now living or who died after December 31, 1999	<i>CONTEMPORARY LITERARY CRITICISM (CLC)</i>
Authors who died between 1900 and 1999	<i>TWENTIETH-CENTURY LITERARY CRITICISM (TCLC)</i>
Authors who died between 1800 and 1899	<i>NINETEENTH-CENTURY LITERATURE CRITICISM (NCLC)</i>
Authors who died between 1400 and 1799	<i>LITERATURE CRITICISM FROM 1400 TO 1800 (LC)</i> <i>SHAKESPEAREAN CRITICISM (SC)</i>
Authors who died before 1400	<i>CLASSICAL AND MEDIEVAL LITERATURE CRITICISM (CMLC)</i>
Authors of books for children and young adults	<i>CHILDREN'S LITERATURE REVIEW (CLR)</i>
Dramatists	<i>DRAMA CRITICISM (DC)</i>
Poets	<i>POETRY CRITICISM (PC)</i>
Short story writers	<i>SHORT STORY CRITICISM (SSC)</i>
Literary topics and movements	<i>HARLEM RENAISSANCE: A GALE CRITICAL COMPANION (HR)</i> <i>THE BEAT GENERATION: A GALE CRITICAL COMPANION (BG)</i>
Asian American writers of the last two hundred years	<i>ASIAN AMERICAN LITERATURE (AAL)</i>
Black writers of the past two hundred years	<i>BLACK LITERATURE CRITICISM (BLC)</i> <i>BLACK LITERATURE CRITICISM SUPPLEMENT (BLCS)</i>
Hispanic writers of the late nineteenth and twentieth centuries	<i>HISPANIC LITERATURE CRITICISM (HLC)</i> <i>HISPANIC LITERATURE CRITICISM SUPPLEMENT (HLCS)</i>
Native North American writers and orators of the eighteenth, nineteenth, and twentieth centuries	<i>NATIVE NORTH AMERICAN LITERATURE (NNAL)</i>
Major authors from the Renaissance to the present	<i>WORLD LITERATURE CRITICISM, 1500 TO THE PRESENT (WLC)</i> <i>WORLD LITERATURE CRITICISM SUPPLEMENT (WLCS)</i>

## Preface

Since its inception more than fifteen years ago, *Twentieth-Century Literary Criticism* (TCLC) has been purchased and used by nearly 10,000 school, public, and college or university libraries. TCLC has covered more than 500 authors, representing 58 nationalities and over 25,000 titles. No other reference source has surveyed the critical response to twentieth-century authors and literature as thoroughly as TCLC. In the words of one reviewer, “there is nothing comparable available.” TCLC “is a gold mine of information—dates, pseudonyms, biographical information, and criticism from books and periodicals—which many librarians would have difficulty assembling on their own.”

### Scope of the Series

TCLC is designed to serve as an introduction to authors who died between 1900 and 1999 and to the most significant interpretations of these author’s works. Volumes published from 1978 through 1999 included authors who died between 1900 and 1960. The great poets, novelists, short story writers, playwrights, and philosophers of the period are frequently studied in high school and college literature courses. In organizing and reprinting the vast amount of critical material written on these authors, TCLC helps students develop valuable insight into literary history, promotes a better understanding of the texts, and sparks ideas for papers and assignments. Each entry in TCLC presents a comprehensive survey on an author’s career or an individual work of literature and provides the user with a multiplicity of interpretations and assessments. Such variety allows students to pursue their own interests; furthermore, it fosters an awareness that literature is dynamic and responsive to many different opinions.

Every fourth volume of TCLC is devoted to literary topics. These topics widen the focus of the series from the individual authors to such broader subjects as literary movements, prominent themes in twentieth-century literature, literary reaction to political and historical events, significant eras in literary history, prominent literary anniversaries, and the literatures of cultures that are often overlooked by English-speaking readers.

TCLC is designed as a companion series to Gale’s *Contemporary Literary Criticism*, (CLC) which reprints commentary on authors who died after 1999. Because of the different time periods under consideration, there is no duplication of material between CLC and TCLC.

### Organization of the Book

A TCLC entry consists of the following elements:

- The **Author Heading** cites the name under which the author most commonly wrote, followed by birth and death dates. Also located here are any name variations under which an author wrote, including transliterated forms for authors whose native languages use nonroman alphabets. If the author wrote consistently under a pseudonym, the pseudonym will be listed in the author heading and the author’s actual name given in parenthesis on the first line of the biographical and critical information. Uncertain birth or death dates are indicated by question marks. Single-work entries are preceded by a heading that consists of the most common form of the title in English translation (if applicable) and the original date of composition.
- A **Portrait of the Author** is included when available.
- The **Introduction** contains background information that introduces the reader to the author, work, or topic that is the subject of the entry.
- The list of **Principal Works** is ordered chronologically by date of first publication and lists the most important works by the author. The genre and publication date of each work is given. In the case of foreign authors whose

works have been translated into English, the English-language version of the title follows in brackets. Unless otherwise indicated, dramas are dated by first performance, not first publication.

- Reprinted **Criticism** is arranged chronologically in each entry to provide a useful perspective on changes in critical evaluation over time. The critic's name and the date of composition or publication of the critical work are given at the beginning of each piece of criticism. Unsigned criticism is preceded by the title of the source in which it appeared. All titles by the author featured in the text are printed in boldface type. Footnotes are reprinted at the end of each essay or excerpt. In the case of excerpted criticism, only those footnotes that pertain to the excerpted texts are included.
- A complete **Bibliographical Citation** of the original essay or book precedes each piece of criticism. Source citations in the Literary Criticism Series follow University of Chicago Press style, as outlined in *The Chicago Manual of Style*, 14th ed. (Chicago: The University of Chicago Press, 1993).
- Critical essays are prefaced by brief **Annotations** explicating each piece.
- An annotated bibliography of **Further Reading** appears at the end of each entry and suggests resources for additional study. In some cases, significant essays for which the editors could not obtain reprint rights are included here. Boxed material following the further reading list provides references to other biographical and critical sources on the author in series published by Gale.

## Indexes

A **Cumulative Author Index** lists all of the authors that appear in a wide variety of reference sources published by the Gale Group, including *TCLC*. A complete list of these sources is found facing the first page of the Author Index. The index also includes birth and death dates and cross references between pseudonyms and actual names.

A **Cumulative Nationality Index** lists all authors featured in *TCLC* by nationality, followed by the number of the *TCLC* volume in which their entry appears.

A **Cumulative Topic Index** lists the literary themes and topics treated in the series as well as in *Classical and Medieval Literature Criticism*, *Literature Criticism from 1400 to 1800*, *Nineteenth-Century Literature Criticism*, and the *Contemporary Literary Criticism Yearbook*, which was discontinued in 1998.

An alphabetical **Title Index** accompanies each volume of *TCLC*. Listings of titles by authors covered in the given volume are followed by the author's name and the corresponding page numbers where the titles are discussed. English translations of foreign titles and variations of titles are cross-referenced to the title under which a work was originally published. Titles of novels, dramas, nonfiction books, and poetry, short story, or essay collections are printed in italics, while individual poems, short stories, and essays are printed in roman type within quotation marks.

In response to numerous suggestions from librarians, Gale also produces an annual paperbound edition of the *TCLC* cumulative title index. This annual cumulation, which alphabetically lists all titles reviewed in the series, is available to all customers. Additional copies of this index are available upon request. Librarians and patrons will welcome this separate index; it saves shelf space, is easy to use, and is recyclable upon receipt of the next edition.

## Citing *Twentieth-Century Literary Criticism*

When citing criticism reprinted in the Literary Criticism Series, students should provide complete bibliographic information so that the cited essay can be located in the original print or electronic source. Students who quote directly from reprinted criticism may use any accepted bibliographic format, such as University of Chicago Press style or Modern Language Association (MLA) style. Both the MLA and the University of Chicago formats are acceptable and recognized as being the current standards for citations. It is important, however, to choose one format for all citations; do not mix the two formats within a list of citations.

The examples below follow recommendations for preparing a bibliography set forth in *The Chicago Manual of Style*, 14th ed. (Chicago: The University of Chicago Press, 1993); the first example pertains to material drawn from periodicals, the second to material reprinted from books:

Morrison, Jago. "Narration and Unease in Ian McEwan's Later Fiction." *Critique* 42, no. 3 (spring 2001): 253-68. Reprinted in *Twentieth-Century Literary Criticism*. Vol. 127, edited by Janet Witlec, 212-20. Detroit: Gale, 2003.

Brossard, Nicole. "Poetic Politics." In *The Politics of Poetic Form: Poetry and Public Policy*, edited by Charles Bernstein, 73-82. New York: Roof Books, 1990. Reprinted in *Twentieth-Century Literary Criticism*. Vol. 127, edited by Janet Witlec, 3-8. Detroit: Gale, 2003.

The examples below follow recommendations for preparing a works cited list set forth in the *MLA Handbook for Writers of Research Papers*, 5th ed. (New York: The Modern Language Association of America, 1999); the first example pertains to material drawn from periodicals, the second to material reprinted from books:

Morrison, Jago. "Narration and Unease in Ian McEwan's Later Fiction." *Critique* 42.3 (spring 2001): 253-68. Reprinted in *Twentieth-Century Literary Criticism*. Ed. Janet Witlec. Vol. 127. Detroit: Gale, 2003. 212-20.

Brossard, Nicole. "Poetic Politics." *The Politics of Poetic Form: Poetry and Public Policy*. Ed. Charles Bernstein. New York: Roof Books, 1990. 73-82. Reprinted in *Twentieth-Century Literary Criticism*. Ed. Janet Witlec. Vol. 127. Detroit: Gale, 2003. 3-8.

### **Suggestions are Welcome**

Readers who wish to suggest new features, topics, or authors to appear in future volumes, or who have other suggestions or comments are cordially invited to call, write, or fax the Project Editor:

Project Editor, Literary Criticism Series  
The Gale Group  
27500 Drake Road  
Farmington Hills, MI 48331-3535  
1-800-347-4253 (GALE)  
Fax: 248-699-8054



## Acknowledgments

The editors wish to thank the copyright holders of the criticism included in this volume and the permissions managers of many book and magazine publishing companies for assisting us in securing reproduction rights. We are also grateful to the staffs of the Detroit Public Library, the Library of Congress, the University of Detroit Mercy Library, Wayne State University Purdy/Kresge Library Complex, and the University of Michigan Libraries for making their resources available to us. Following is a list of the copyright holders who have granted us permission to reproduce material in this volume of *TCLC*. Every effort has been made to trace copyright, but if omissions have been made, please let us know.

### **COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL IN *TCLC*, VOLUME 14, WAS REPRODUCED FROM THE FOLLOWING PERIODICALS:**

*America*, v. 109, September 7, 1963. © 1963. All rights reserved. Reproduced with permission of America Press, Inc., 106 West 56th Street, New York, NY 10019. [www.americamagazine.org](http://www.americamagazine.org).—*American Literature*, v. 57, March, 1985; v. 61, March 1989. Copyright © 1985, 1989 Duke University Press, Durham, NC. Reproduced by permission.—*American Political Science Review*, v. 48, June, 1954. Copyright 1954 by American Political Science Association. Reproduced by permission.—*American Scholar*, v. 66, Winter, 1997 for “Who Was Leo Strauss?” by Gregory Bruce Smith. Copyright © 1997 Gregory Bruce Smith. Reproduced by permission of the author.—*Arizona Quarterly*, v. 46, Spring, 1990 for “The Fate of Demonism in William Faulkner” by Bernard Radloff; v. 55, Winter, 1999 for “Class, Character, and ‘Croppers: Faulkner’ Snopeses and the Plight of the Sharecropper” by Matthew Lessig. Copyright © 1990, 1999 by the Regents of the University of Arizona. Reproduced by permission of the publisher and the respective authors.—*Classical World*, v. 66, May, 1973. Reproduced by permission.—*College Literature*, v. 15, Spring, 1988. Reproduced by permission.—*Commentary*, v. 44, October, 1967 for “Philosophy & Poetry” by Arnaldo Momigliano; v. 58, August, 1974 for “On Leo Strauss” by Gertrude Himmelfarb. Copyright © 1967, 1974 by the American Jewish Committee. All rights reserved. Reproduced by permission of the publisher and the respective authors.—*Commonweal*, v. 73, November 4, 1960. Copyright © 1960 Commonweal Publishing Co., Inc. Reproduced by permission of Commonweal Foundation.—*Faulkner Journal*, v. 1, Spring, 1986. Reproduced by permission.—*Journal of Narrative Technique*, v. 25, Fall, 1995. Reproduced by permission.—*Mississippi Quarterly*, v. 51, Summer, 1998. Copyright 1998 Mississippi State University. Reproduced by permission.—*Modern Drama*, v. 23, September, 1980. Copyright © 1980 University of Toronto, Graduate Centre for Study of Drama. Reproduced by permission.—*National Review*, v. 19, April 18, 1967; v. 21, February 25, 1969; v. 41, August 18, 1989. Copyright © 1967, 1969, 1989 by National Review, Inc. 215 Lexington Avenue. New York, NY 10016. Reproduced by permission.—*New York Times Book Review*, June 15, 1913. Reproduced by permission.—*Perspectives on Political Science*, v. 28, Fall, 1999. Copyright © 1999 Helen Dwight Reid Educational Foundation. Reproduced with permission of the Helen Dwight Reid Educational Foundation, published by Heldref Publications, 1319 18th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20036-1802.—*Perspectives on Political Science*, v. 28, Spring, 1999. Copyright © 1999 Helen Dwight Reid Educational Foundation. Reproduced with permission of the Helen Dwight Reid Educational Foundation, published by Heldref Publications, 1319 18th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20036-1802.—*Philosophical Review*, v. 75, April, 1966 for a review of “Thoughts on Machiavelli” by Leo Strauss. Copyright 1966 Cornell University. Reprinted by permission of the publisher and the author.—*Poetics Today*, v. 19, Summer, 1998. © The Porter Institute for Poetics and Semiotics. Reproduced by permission of Duke University Today.—*Renascence*, v. 40, Fall, 1987. Copyright © 1987, Marquette University Press. Reproduced by permission.—*Review of Metaphysics*, v. 47, June, 1994; v. 51, December, 1997. Copyright 1994, 1997 by *The Review of Metaphysics*. Reproduced by permission.—*Social Research*, v. 61, Winter, 1994. Copyright 1994 by New School for Social Research. Reproduced by permission.—*Southern Quarterly*, v. 26, Summer, 1988. Copyright © 1988 by the University of Southern Mississippi. Reproduced by permission.—*Southern Studies*, v. 24, Summer, 1985 for “Faulkner’s Early Narrative Technique and Flags in the Dust” by Philip Cohen. Reproduced by permission of the author.

### **COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL IN *TCLC*, VOLUME 141, WAS REPRODUCED FROM THE FOLLOWING BOOKS:**

Andrews, Carol M. From *Modern American Fiction: Form and Function*. Edited by Thomas Daniel Young. Louisiana State University Press, 1989. Copyright © 1989 by Louisiana State University Press. All rights reserved. Reproduced by permission.—Bercovitch, Sacvan. From *Faulkner in Cultural Context: Faulkner and Yoknapatawpha, 1995*. Edited by Donald M. Kartiganer and Ann J. Abadie. University Press of Mississippi, 1997. Copyright © 1997 by the University Press

of Mississippi. All rights reserved. Reproduced by permission.—Clarke, Deborah. From *Faulkner and Gender: Faulkner and Yoknapatawpha*, 1994. Edited by Donald M. Kartiganer and Ann J. Abadie. University Press of Mississippi, 1996. Copyright © 1996 by the University Press of Mississippi. All rights reserved. Reproduced by permission.—Drury, Shadia B. From *The Political Ideas of Leo Strauss*. Macmillan Press, 1988. Copyright © 1988 by Shadia B. Drury. All rights reserved. Reproduced by permission.—Duvall, John N. From *Faulkner's Marginal Couple: Invisible, Outlaw and Unspeakable Communities*. University of Texas Press, 1990. Copyright © 1990 by the University of Texas Press. All rights reserved. Reproduced by permission.—Fackenheim, Emil L. From *Jewish Philosophers and Jewish Philosophy*. Edited by Michael L. Morgan. Indiana University Press, 1996. Copyright © 1996 by Emil L. Fackenheim. All rights reserved. Reproduced by permission.—Fuller, Timothy. From *Hannah Arendt and Leo Strauss: German Emigres and American Political Thought After World War II*. Edited by Peter Graf Kielmansegg, Horst Mewes, and Elisabeth Glaser-Schmidt. German Historical Institute and Cambridge University Press, 1995. Copyright © 1995 by the German Historical Institute. All rights reserved. Reproduced by permission.—Gunnell, John G. From *The Crisis of Liberal Democracy: A Straussian Perspective*. Edited by Kenneth L. Deutsch and Walter Soffer. State University of New York Press, 1987. Copyright © 1987 by State University of New York Press. All rights reserved. Reproduced by permission.—Gwin, Minrose. From *New Essays on Go Down Moses*. Edited by Linda Wagner-Martin. Cambridge University Press, 1996. Copyright © 1996 by Cambridge University Press. All rights reserved. Reproduced by permission.—Jarraway, David R. From *American Gothic: New Interventions in a National Narrative*. Edited by Robert K. Martin and Eric Savoy. University of Iowa Press, 1998. Copyright © 1998 by University of Iowa Press. All rights reserved. Reproduced by permission.—Mathews, John T. From *Southern Literature and Literary Theory*. Edited by Jefferson Humphries. The University of Georgia Press, 1990. Copyright © 1990 by the University of Georgia Press. All rights reserved. Reproduced by permission.—Parker, Rennie. From *The Georgian Poets: Abercrombie, Brooke, Drinkwater, Gibson and Thomas*. Northcote House, 1999. Copyright © 1999 by Rennie Parker. All rights reserved. Reproduced by permission.—Polk, Noel. From *Children of the Dark House: Text and Context in Faulkner*. University Press of Mississippi, 1996. Copyright © 1996 by the University Press of Mississippi. All rights reserved. Reproduced by permission.—Sturgeon, Mary C. From *Studies of Contemporary Poets*. Dodd, Mead and Co., 1916. Reproduced by permission.—Taylor, Walter. From *On Faulkner: The Best from American Literature*. Edited by Louis J. Budd and Edwin H. Cady. Duke University Press, 1989. Reproduced by permission.—Weygandt, Cornelius. From *The Times of Yeates: English Poetry of To-Day Against an American Background*. Russell and Russell, 1937. Copyright © 1937 by D. Appleton-Century Company, Inc. Copyright © 1964 by Cornelius N. Weygandt. Reissued, 1969 by Russell & Russell. All rights reserved. Reproduced by permission.

**PHOTOGRAPHS AND ILLUSTRATIONS APPEARING IN *TCLC*, VOLUME 141, WERE RECEIVED FROM THE FOLLOWING SOURCES:**

Faulkner, William, photograph. Getty Images. Reproduced by permission.—Lascelles Abercrombie, portrait. By courtesy of the National Portrait Gallery, London. Reproduced by permission.—Strauss, Leo, photograph. Courtesy of the Special Collections Research Center, University of Chicago Library. Reproduced by permission.

# Gale Literature Product Advisory Board

The members of the Gale Group Literature Product Advisory Board—reference librarians from public and academic library systems—represent a cross-section of our customer base and offer a variety of informed perspectives on both the presentation and content of our literature products. Advisory board members assess and define such quality issues as the relevance, currency, and usefulness of the author coverage, critical content, and literary topics included in our series; evaluate the layout, presentation, and general quality of our printed volumes; provide feedback on the criteria used for selecting authors and topics covered in our series; provide suggestions for potential enhancements to our series; identify any gaps in our coverage of authors or literary topics, recommending authors or topics for inclusion; analyze the appropriateness of our content and presentation for various user audiences, such as high school students, undergraduates, graduate students, librarians, and educators; and offer feedback on any proposed changes/enhancements to our series. We wish to thank the following advisors for their advice throughout the year.

**Barbara M. Bibel**

Librarian  
Oakland Public Library  
Oakland, California

**Dr. Toby Burrows**

Principal Librarian  
The Scholars' Centre  
University of Western Australia Library  
Nedlands, Western Australia

**Celia C. Daniel**

Associate Librarian, Reference  
Howard University  
Washington, D.C.

**David M. Durant**

Reference Librarian  
Joyner Library  
East Carolina University  
Greenville, North Carolina

**Nancy Guidry**

Librarian  
Bakersfield Community College  
Bakersfield, California

**Steven R. Harris**

English Literature Librarian  
University of Tennessee  
Knoxville, Tennessee

**Mary Jane Marden**

Collection Development Librarian  
St. Petersburg College  
Pinellas Park, Florida

**Heather Martin**

Arts & Humanities Librarian  
University of Alabama at Birmingham, Sterne Library  
Birmingham, Alabama

**Susan Mikula**

Director  
Indiana Free Library  
Indiana, Pennsylvania

**Thomas Nixon**

Humanities Reference Librarian  
University of North Carolina, Davis Library  
Chapel Hill, North Carolina

**Mark Schumacher**

Jackson Library  
University of North Carolina  
Greensboro, North Carolina

**Gwen Scott-Miller**

Assistant Director  
Sno-Isle Regional Library System  
Marysville, Washington

**Donald Welsh**

Head, Reference Services  
College of William and Mary, Swem Library  
Williamsburg, Virginia

# Contents

Preface vii

Acknowledgments xi

Literary Criticism Series Advisory Board xiii

<b>Lascelles Abercrombie 1881-1938</b> .....	1
<i>English poet, playwright, and literary critic</i>	
<b>William Faulkner 1897-1962</b> .....	26
<i>American novelist, short story writer, poet, playwright, essayist, and screenwriter</i>	
<b>Leo Strauss 1899-1973</b> .....	219
<i>German essayist and lecturer</i>	

Literary Criticism Series Cumulative Author Index 349

Literary Criticism Series Cumulative Topic Index 445

*TCLC* Cumulative Nationality Index 455

*TCLC-141* Title Index 461

# Lascelles Abercrombie

## 1881-1938

English poet, playwright, and literary critic.

The following entry provides criticism on Abercrombie's works from 1913 through 1999.

### INTRODUCTION

An accomplished poet, playwright, and critic, Abercrombie belonged to the Georgians, a group at the beginning of the twentieth century whose works were characterized by an interest in dramatic form and the adoption of colloquial diction. However, in contrast to his contemporaries, Abercrombie stood alone in his descriptions of the metaphysical landscape. He strove to reveal an otherworldly dimension to feelings and emotions. Although his poetry was not always successful, Abercrombie was admired by colleagues and critics.

### BIOGRAPHICAL INFORMATION

Abercrombie was born into a wealthy and aristocratic family on January 9, 1881. He was introduced to the arts at a young age and began writing when he was just nine years old. However, growing up in a family that included a stockbroker father and politically savvy uncles meant that the young Abercrombie was formally educated in the sciences rather than the arts. In 1900 he enrolled at Owens College in Manchester, England, intent on earning a degree in chemistry. He left just two years later, due to familial problems brought on by the Boer War, a conflict waged between South Africa and Great Britain between 1899 and 1902. The war had a damaging effect on the family's finances, which suffered incredible losses. As a result, Abercrombie left school in 1902 to find work and help support his family. Fueled with a desire to make a living as a writer, Abercrombie first worked as a surveyor, then as a journalist in Liverpool. While his rise to literary acclaim was slow, Abercrombie still managed to make a living in his chosen profession. He worked as a journalist for the *Liverpool Courier*. It would not be long, however, before both his personal and professional life would change drastically. In the early 1900s he met and subsequently married an aspiring artist named Catherine Gwatkin. Through Gwatkin, Abercrombie was exposed to numerous influences that would help boost his career. Goldsworthy Lowes Dickinson, a Cambridge



scholar and friend of Gwatkin, used his own credibility to help Abercrombie's work get published in various local publications, including the *Independent Review* and the *Nation*. While these publications did little to garner him fame or fortune, they did help to establish Abercrombie as a man of literary abilities. As a result, in 1908 Abercrombie's first volume of poetry, *Interludes and Poems* was published. In 1912 Abercrombie published *Emblems of Love*, which experienced critical and popular success. This allowed Abercrombie to quit his job as a journalist and pursue his own writing full time. He and his wife relocated to the country, where they lived happily from 1911 to 1914. In their cottage, affectionately named "The Gallows," Abercrombie enjoyed his most fruitful years as a writer. He also enjoyed the company of other writers who came to visit often, including Robert Frost and Edward Thomas. In 1914 Abercrombie moved back to Liverpool. The years of World War I were difficult for him and his peers. As newspapers quickly phased out their literary columns to cover news of the war, Abercrombie had to find other work.



Poor health kept him out of the war and manual labor jobs. While friends helped secure him a Civil List pension, it was not enough to live on. As a result, Abercrombie turned to teaching. He found financial security in academia, although it took time away from his writing. In 1926 Abercrombie became gravely ill. A period of forced seclusion allowed him to return to writing poetry. He completed several poems during this period, and, in 1930, the Oxford University Press published a volume of his poetry. This was an unusual honor, one of which Abercrombie realized the significance. Only one other poet, Robert Bridges, had ever been bestowed this honor while still living. Abercrombie died on October 27, 1938.

## MAJOR WORKS

Although *Interludes and Poems* did not sell well, it did establish Abercrombie as a literary figure in England. It also illustrated his literary style—a style that would remain with him throughout his career—namely, a fascination with the otherworldly and its influence upon this world, particularly the notion of ecstasy, which figures heavily in several of the volume's poems. Abercrombie was greatly influenced by the work of English novelist and poet Thomas Hardy. A poet of the naturalist movement, Hardy believed in the scientific hypotheses of biologist Charles Darwin and philosopher/mathematician Sir Isaac Newton. He often wrote with a sense of tragic gloom, employing spirits and otherworldly apparitions as literary devices. Abercrombie was so enamored of Hardy and his work that he often borrowed stylistic devices, including the assignment of speeches to mystical or otherwise otherworldly bodies. Abercrombie's interest in Hardy led to his writing *Thomas Hardy: A Critical Study*, which was published in 1912. Among the features of Hardy's work that Abercrombie admired was the use of various perspectives to achieve a unified whole within a literary work. While he was working on his study of Hardy, Abercrombie was simultaneously writing his next volume of poetry, *Emblems of Love*. Strongly influenced by Hardy's idea of infusing a work with a unifying framework, Abercrombie sought to apply the principle of love as his own unifying framework. Composed mostly of free verse interspersed with couplets, *Emblems of Love* solidified Abercrombie's literary reputation. These fruitful years also proved controversial as Abercrombie found himself under the literary microscope, criticized by radical stylists for being part of the Georgian movement. In reality, Abercrombie's work most closely resembled the modernism of those who criticized him. Despite the criticism, Abercrombie continued writing. He published *Speculative Dialogues* in 1913, a work greatly influenced by the exultant philosophy of Friedrich Nietzsche. His work also appeared in *New Numbers*, a periodical that Abercrombie contributed to and published beginning in 1914; and

*Georgian Poetry*, an anthology to which he contributed poems. In the 1920s Abercrombie focused his poetic energies on two stage plays, *Phoenix* (1923), and *The Deserter* (1927), which earned him moderate success. Two other verse plays, *The Sale of St. Thomas* (1911) and *Deborah* (1913), are among Abercrombie's most critically admired works. Abercrombie also published books based upon lectures he delivered during 1920s. These works include *An Essay towards a Theory of Art* (1922), *The Theory of Poetry* (1924), *The Idea of Great Poetry* (1925), and *Romanticism* (1926).

## CRITICAL RECEPTION

As a writer, Abercrombie found himself immersed in his stylistic tendencies, a predisposition that was not always received positively by scholars. Critics argued that Abercrombie was too intent upon style, often forsaking the deeper meaning of his writing in his efforts to employ stylistic devices. Other reviewers have noted that Abercrombie's theoretical works illuminate not only his intentions in writing his poetry but also the ultimate failure of it.

## PRINCIPAL WORKS

*Interludes and Poems* (poetry) 1908  
*Mary and the Bramble* (poetry) 1910  
*The Sale of St. Thomas* (play) 1911  
*Emblems of Love* (poetry) 1912  
*Thomas Hardy: A Critical Study* (criticism) 1912  
*The Adder* (play) 1913  
*Deborah: A Play in Three Acts* (play) 1913  
*Speculative Dialogues* (criticism) 1913  
*The End of the World* (play) 1914  
*The Epic* (criticism) 1914  
*Poetry and Contemporary Speech* (criticism) 1914  
*The Staircase* (play) 1920  
*An Essay towards a Theory of Art* (criticism) 1922  
*\*Four Short Plays* (plays) 1922  
*Phoenix: Tragicomedy in Three Acts* (play) 1923  
*Principles of English Prosody* (criticism) 1923  
*The Theory of Poetry* (criticism) 1924  
*The Idea of Great Poetry* (criticism) 1925  
*Romanticism* (criticism) 1926  
*The Deserter* (play) 1927  
*Twelve Idyls and Other Poems* (poetry) 1928  
*The Poems of Lascelles Abercrombie* (poetry) 1930  
*The Sale of St. Thomas in Six Acts* (play) 1930  
*Lyrics and Unfinished Poems* (poetry) 1940  
*The Art of Wordsworth* (criticism) 1952  
*A Tower in Italy: A Legend* (play) 1976

\*This collection includes the plays *The Adder* (1913), *The End of the World* (1914), *The Staircase* (1920), and *The Deserter* (1927).

## CRITICISM

Louis Untermeyer (review date 15 June 1913)

SOURCE: Untermeyer, Louis. "Deborah: Mr. Abercrombie's Verse Drama of Life among Fisher Folk." *New York Times Book Review* (15 June 1913): 357.

[In the following review, Untermeyer assesses Abercrombie's verse drama *Deborah* as one of the finest examples in its genre of its day.]

Just as the critics have proved, to their own satisfaction, that the classics are dead, that restraint and nobility of thought have perished beneath the blows of a savage and incoherent realism, that a sonorous blank-verse drama cannot be written to-day except possibly in slang, Mr. Lascelles Abercrombie is discovered—and smash go all their solemn predictions and glum assurances. Not that Mr. Abercrombie is any less "modern" than his contemporaries—he is often more brutal than Masefield, more direct and incisive than the Abbey Theatre dramatist—he has, in short, all the qualities that make him a product of his times. But there is one thing that distinguishes him from the rest—he is not alone a more intense person, but a far greater writer. This does not mean that his poetry is "literary" or that it will appeal only to the honest seekers after truth who form societies for the discussion of "The Message of So-and-So." Mr. Abercrombie's work is as unliterary, in the special sense, as Synge's; and it carries no more message than Life does. Take up, for example, *Emblems of Love*, his previous volume, and one finds a blank verse which, for color and richness, compares favorably with the best. It is full of a fine carelessness, a rich unconcern, that gives force and a fiery dignity to his utterance. Here are a few lines from "Vashti":

Given thy flesh, the meaning of thy shape!  
What beauty is there, but thou makest it?  
How is earth good to look on, woods and fields,  
The season's garden, and the courageous hills—  
All this green raft of earth moored in the seas?  
The manner of the sun to ride the air;  
The stars God has imaged for the night?  
Where do these get their beauty from—all these?  
They do but glaze a lantern lit for man  
And woman's beauty is the flame therein.

This is by no means an exceptional passage—Mr. Abercrombie's work is not a loose quilt of rambling pattern with occasional "purple patches"—and it is this very free and even language that makes it difficult to quote. It is almost impossible to take an illustrative passage out of his dramatic interlude, *The Sale of St. Thomas*, or a couplet from the brief but exquisite *Mary and the Bramble*. Here, as in his earliest volume, *Interludes and Poems*, one feels an attitude none the less reveren-

tial because it is not narrowly religious. Mr. Abercrombie treats his religion symbolically; he has taken Christian legend and retold it eloquently—and always in the most telling and artistic way—as myth. And it is as myths, in the highest sense, that the artist must use them. For the supreme quality of the world's great legends is that they are weak and contradictory when taken literally; thrilling and convincing, profoundly religious and profoundly true, when taken mythologically and symbolically.

In *Deborah*, his latest volume, the symbolism is more apparent and more human. In fact, it is so apparent that the casual reader, concerned with the purely narrative content, is apt to miss it altogether. The scene is laid in a fishing and pilot village on a great estuary; the background is gray water and gray sky. With the exception of a dozen words spoken by a visiting doctor, all the conversation is given to half a dozen uneducated and uncultured men and women. And yet, or rather because of this, how rich the language is!

It was Mr. Synge who said:

In the modern literature of towns, richness is found only in sonnets, or prose poems, or in one or two elaborate books that are far away from the profound and common interests of life. . . . In a good play every speech should be as fully flavored as a nut or apple, and such speeches cannot be written by any one who works among people who have shut their lips on poetry.

Mr. Abercrombie's fisher-folk and Mr. Synge's peasants, though they are as unlike as wine and fire, have this in common—their speech is full of a wild and vigorous poetry. In the matter of atmosphere and coloring *Deborah* puts one in mind of Synge, particularly in his "Riders to the Sea." But in the latter the sea was the tragic and invisible actor; in *Deborah* it is love that is the fierce and fatal protagonist. Love, in the dual rôle of sweetheart and mother, enters the gray world of these folk and sets it blazing with passionate joy and passionate hatred. And it is love that burns out and leaves them in a blackness greater than ever. Mr. Abercrombie has never written anything more tense and terrible than the third act of this play. At its very opening one is shaken and held by such lines as:

The wind comes out of the open marsh a spirit  
Raving to find naught, all those empty miles,  
To throw itself against, and feeling only  
Its own rage in the air. But when it lights  
Upon these walls, then there's glee in the wind,  
And a din aloft like devils blowing trumpets;  
And then 'twill fall to hissing 'round the eaves  
And fumbling at the thatch for a way in;  
While seemingly, for a blood-beat or two,  
Half of the gale crouches a short way off;  
And then a hundred beasts of wind leap howling,  
And pounce upon the roof with worrying paws,  
And roar to feel the walls not shaken down.

To miss reading *Deborah* is to miss the most spontaneous and intense tragedy of our days. And to miss Lascelles Abercrombie is to miss one who reflects much of the spirit of the age.

**Mary C. Sturgeon (essay date 1916)**

SOURCE: Sturgeon, Mary C. "Lascelles Abercrombie." In *Studies of Contemporary Poets*, pp. 11-35. New York: Dodd, Mead, and Company, 1916.

[In the following excerpt, Sturgeon examines the ways in which Abercrombie's poetry represents the age in which it was written.]

In the sweet chorus of modern poetry one may hear a strange new harmony. It is the life of our time, evoking its own music: constraining the poetic spirit to utter its own message. The peculiar beauty of contemporary poetry, with its fresh and varied charm, grows from that; and in that, too, its vitality is assured. Its art has the deep sanction of loyalty: its loyalty draws inspiration from the living source.

There is a fair company of these new singers; and it would seem that there should be large hope for a generation, whether in its life or letters, which can find such expression. Listening carefully, however, some notes ring clearer, stronger, or more significant than others; and of these the voice of Mr Abercrombie appears to carry the fullest utterance. It is therefore a happy chance that the name which stands first here, under a quite arbitrary arrangement, has a natural right to be put at the head of a group of the younger moderns.

But that is not an implicit denial to those others of fidelity to their time. It is a question of degree and of range. Every poet in this band will be found to represent some aspect of our complex life—its awakened social conscience or its frank joy in the world of sense: its mysticism or its repudiation of dogma, in art as in religion: its mistrust of materialism or keen perception of reality: its worship of the future, or assimilation of the heritage of the past to its own ideals: its lyrical delight in life or dramatic re-creation of it: its insistence upon the essential poetry of common things, or its discovery of rare new values in experience and expression.

This poetry frequently catches one or another of those elements, and crystallizes it out of a mere welter into definite form and recognizable beauty. But the claim for Mr Abercrombie is that he has drawn upon them more largely: that he has made a wider synthesis: that his work has a unity more comprehensive and complete. It is in virtue of this that he may be said to represent his age so fully; but that is neither to accuse him of shout-

ing with the crowd, nor to lay on the man in the street the burden of the poet's idealism. He is, indeed, in a deeper sense than politics could make him, a democrat: perhaps that inheres in the poetic temperament under its shyness. But intellectuality and vision, a keen spirit and a sensuous equipment at once delicate and bountiful, are not to be leashed to the common pace. That is a truism, of course: so often it seems the destiny of the poet to be at one with the people and yet above them. But it needs repetition here, because it applies with unusual force. This is a poet whose instinct binds him inescapably to his kind, even when his intellect is soaring where it is sometimes hard to follow.

One is right, perhaps, in believing that this affinity with his time is instinctive, for it reveals itself in many ways, subtler or more obvious, through all his work. As forthright avowal it naturally occurs most in his earlier poems. There is, for example, the humanitarianism of the fine "**Indignation**" ode in his first volume, called *Interludes and Poems*. This is an invocation of righteous anger against the deplorable conditions of the workers' lives. A fierce impulse drives through the ode, in music that is sometimes troubled by its own vehemence.

Wilt thou not come again, thou godly sword,  
Into the Spirit's hands?

. . . . .

Against our ugly wickedness,  
Against our wanton dealing of distress,  
The forced defilement of humanity,

. . . . .

And shall there be no end to life's expense  
In mills and yards and factories,  
With no more recompense  
Than sleep in warrens and low styes,  
And undelighted food?  
Shall still our ravenous and unhandsome mood  
Make men poor and keep them poor?—

In the same volume there is a passage which may be said to present the obverse of this idea. It occurs in an interlude called "**An Escape**," and is only incidental to the main theme, which is much more abstract than that of the ode. A young poet, Idwal, has withdrawn from the society of his friends, to meditate about life among the hills. All the winter long he has kept in solitude, his spirit seeking for mastery over material things. As the spring dawns he is on the verge of triumph, and the soul is about to put off for ever its veil of sense, when news reaches him from the outer world. His little house, from which he has been absent so long, has been broken into, and robbed, by a tramp. The friend who comes to tell about it ends his tale by a word of sympathy—"I'm sorry for you"—and Idwal replies:

It's sorry I am for that perverted tramp,  
As having gone from being the earth's friend,

Whom she would have at all her private treats.  
 Now with the foolery called possession he  
 Has dirtied his own freedom, cozen'd all  
 His hearing with the lies of ownership.  
 The earth may call to him in vain henceforth,  
 He's got a step-dame now, his Goods. . . .

Evidence less direct but equally strong is visible in the later work. It lies at the root of the tragedy of *Deborah*, a heroine drawn from fisher-folk, who in the extremity of fear for her lover's life cries:

O but my heart is dying in me, waiting:  
 . . . . .

For us, with lives so hazardous, to love  
 Is like a poor girl's game of being a queen.

And it is found again, gathering materials for the play called *The End of the World* out of the lives of poor and simple people. Here the impulse is clear enough, but sometimes it takes a subtler form, and then it occasionally betrays the poet into a solecism. For his sense of the unity of the race is so strong that natural distinctions sometimes go the way of artificial ones. He has so completely identified himself with humanity, and for preference with the lowly in mind and estate, that he has not seldom endowed a humble personality with his own large gifts. Thus you find Deborah using this magnificent plea for her sweetheart's life:

. . . there's something sacred about lovers.  
 . . . . .

For there is wondrous more than the joy of life  
 In lovers; there's in them God Himself  
 Taking great joy to love the life He made:  
 We are God's desires more than our own, we lovers,  
 You dare not injure God!

Thus, too, a working wainwright suddenly startled into consciousness of the purpose of the life-force muses:

Why was I like a man sworn to a thing  
 Working to have my wains in every curve,  
 Ay, every tenon, right and as they should be?  
 Not for myself, not even for those wains:  
 But to keep in me living at its best  
 The skill that must go forward and shape the world,  
 Helping it on to make some masterpiece.

And with the same largesse a fiddling vagabond, old and blind, thief, liar, and seducer, is made to utter a lyric ecstasy on the words which are the poet's instrument:

Words: they are messengers from out God's heart  
 Intimate with him; through his deed they go,  
 This passion of him called the world, approving  
 All of fierce gladness in it, bidding leap  
 To a yet higher rapture ere it sink.  
 . . . There be

Who hold words made of thought. But as stars slide  
 Through air, so words, bright aliens, slide through  
 thought,  
 Leaving a kindled way.

Now, since Synge has shown us that the poetry in the peasant heart does utter itself spontaneously, in fitting language, we must be careful how we deny, even to these peasants who are not Celts, a natural power of poetic expression. But there is a difference. That spontaneous poetry of simple folk which is caught for us in *The Playboy of the Western World* or *The Well of the Saints*, is generally a lyric utterance springing directly out of emotion. It is not, as here, the result of a mental process, operating amongst ideas and based on knowledge which the peasant is unlikely to possess. One may be justified, therefore, in a show of protest at the incongruity; we feel that such people do not talk like that. The poet has transferred to them too much of his own intellectuality. Yet it will probably be a feeble protest, proportionate to the degree that we are disturbed by it, which is practically not at all. For as these people speak, we are convinced of their reality: they live and move before us. And when we consider their complete and robust individuality, it would appear that the poet's method is vindicated by the dramatic force of the presentment. It needs no other vindication, and is no doubt a reasoned process. For Mr Abercrombie makes no line of separation between thought and emotion; and having entered by imagination into the hearts of his people, he might claim to be merely interpreting them—making conscious and vocal that which was already in existence there, however obscurely. There is a hint of this at a point in *The End of the World* where one of the men says that he had *felt* a certain thought go through his mind—"though 'twas a thing of such a flight I could not read its colour." And in this way Deborah, being a human soul of full stature, sound of mind and body and all her being flooded with emotion, would be capable of feeling the complex thought attributed to her, even if no single strand of its texture had ever been clear in her mind. While as to the fiddling lyrist, rogue and poet, one sees no reason why the whole argument should not be closed by a gesture in the direction of Heine or Villon.

We turn now to the content of thought in Mr Abercrombie's poetry—an aspect of his genius to be approached with diffidence by a writer conscious of limitations. For though we believed we saw that his affinity with the democratic spirit of his age is instinctive, deeply rooted and persistent, his genius is by no means ruled by instinct. It is intellectual to an extreme degree, moving easily in abstract thought and apparently trained in philosophic speculation. Indeed, his speculative tendency had gone as far as appeared to be legitimate in poetry, when he wisely chose another medium for it in the volume of prose *Dialogues* published in 1913.