

**John C. Bean
John D. Ramage**

**FORM
AND
SURPRISE
IN
COMPOSITION**

**Writing and Thinking Across
the Curriculum**



Form and Surprise in Composition

**Writing and Thinking
Across the Curriculum**



4200100206

John C. Bean

John D. Ramage

Montana State University

Macmillan Publishing Company

New York

Copyright © 1986, Macmillan Publishing Company, a division of Macmillan, Inc.

Printed in the United States of America

All rights reserved. No part of this book may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, recording, or any information storage and retrieval system, without permission in writing from the publisher.

Macmillan Publishing Company
866 Third Avenue, New York, New York 10022

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Bean, John C.

Form and surprise in composition.

Includes index.

1. English language—Rhetoric. 2. Interdisciplinary
approach in education. I. Ramage, John D. II. Title.

PE1408.B468 1986 808'.042 85-11472

ISBN 0-02-307470-1

Printing: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8

Year: 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5

ISBN 0-02-307470-1

Preface

In composing *Form and Surprise in Composition: Writing and Thinking Across the Curriculum*, we have had three aims. First, we wanted *Form and Surprise* to be a book about writing as process, informed throughout by the assumption that through language humans make meaning, just as through drafting and revising writers come to discover what they know. Second, we wanted our text to help students develop generic thinking skills essential for success in all disciplines: the ability to ask questions and pose problems, to conduct dialectic inquiry, to generate ideas, to create hierarchical structures of thought, and to argue logically and humanely. Finally, that *Form and Surprise* serve as an introductory freshman composition text particularly suited for institutions emphasizing writing-across-the-curriculum.

WRITING-ACROSS-THE-CURRICULUM

To appreciate the design of our text, readers should know something about our vision of writing-across-the-curriculum. In such a program, we believe, instructors across campus would require some writing in all their courses, and this writing itself would be regarded both as a process and as a mode of learning. The aim of writing-across-the-curriculum, as the faculty of Beaver College put it so well, is to enable students to join the ongoing conversation that characterizes an academic discipline. To enter this conversation, students need the ability to pose questions within the discipline and to conduct the kind of reasoned inquiry that the discipline demands.

To this end, *Form and Surprise* focuses on what educational psychologist William Perry calls the growth from dualistic “right answer” thinking toward more relativistic “issue-oriented” thinking. Our purpose in this text, therefore, is not to teach the styles and formats appropriate for different

disciplines but to show that at the core of all disciplines is a body of problems and issues that generates ongoing discussions. The text attempts to address two kinds of thinking problems common to most beginning college students: the problem of thinking of something to say, known variously as the problem of invention, discovery, or creativity; and the problem of organizing ideas into a structured discourse of generals and specifics.

These two thinking problems are reflected in our title *Form and Surprise*. By “form” we mean an essay’s shape or organization; by “surprise” we mean its inventiveness, its newness, its capacity for disturbing or bringing pleasure. Of course, form and surprise are not separable qualities that can exist independently. But the habits of seeking form and surprise can be developed through the kinds of writing assignments contained in the text.

Based on our experiences in helping to direct the Montana State University Thinking Skills and Writing Project, funded in part by a grant from the Fund for the Improvement of Postsecondary Education, we believe a writing-across-the-curriculum text should prepare students for the kinds of writing tasks they are apt to be given at an institution where writing is a mode of learning. Here are four expectations common at our own university:

1. *Ungraded writing*, particularly short in-class freewrites or journals. Wary of open-ended response journals popular in the sixties, some of our faculty have preferred guided journals in which students respond to daily writing tasks developed in advance by the instructor and aimed at increasing learning of course content as well as thinking skills. The guided journal sequences in our text introduce students to this kind of daily exploratory writing, enabling them to learn the value of “writing for self” as a means of discovery and clarification.
2. *Short essays*, usually two to three pages long but sometimes as short as a single paragraph (at our institution we call one- or two-paragraph essays “microthemes”), that have come to replace longer term papers for most classes at the lower division level. Instructors design the assignments to promote the kinds of learning and thinking valued in the course. Instructors can grade short essays rapidly, thus enabling them to assign more writing. Moreover, if all students are writing on the same topic, instructors can provide “models feedback” by discussing representative essays in class.
3. *Group collaboration*, wherein small groups of students work together on a research or problem-solving project, sometimes producing individual essays based on common research but often producing a single group report. Since group or committee writing is common in business and professional life, some instructors across the curriculum believe that undergraduates should experience the successes and frustrations of group writing. This text provides guidance for students asked to work together on writing projects.

4. *Peer review* at all stages of the writing process. Ideally, every essay submitted as final copy to an instructor, no matter what discipline, should have been read in various draft stages by fellow students. A freshman writing course must therefore train students not only to be writers but also to be courteous and helpful readers of their classmates' works-in-progress. Our text encourages a small group workshop approach to writing and provides specific guidance for peer-reviewing of drafts. The accompanying *Instructor's Manual with Sample Student Essays*, available to all instructors, provides considerable guidance in teaching students how to critique each other's work.

THE WRITING ASSIGNMENTS

The formal writing assignments that comprise the core of our text are aimed at developing patterns of thought useful for college writers. Appropriately for an introductory writing course, students are encouraged to write about personal experience; but they are also encouraged to regard their experiences from a "problematic" point of view that can be transferred to their academic course work. The main writing assignments for the text occur in Chapters 8, 12, and 13. They are arranged progressively in three categories: problem-solution essays (roughly equivalent to exposition), issue-defense essays (roughly equivalent to persuasion), and story-based essays (roughly equivalent to description and narration but composed in what James Britton has referred to as the "poetic mode").

The general logic of *Form and Surprise* can be seen in the text's sequence of ten formal assignments:

1. A short essay that proposes a solution to a problem (Chapter 8).
2. An essay that explains two or more alternative solutions to a problem (Chapter 8).
3. An essay that poses a problem, gives an expected solution, and then shifts to an unexpected solution (Chapter 8).
4. An essay that explains a contradiction or quandary that leaves the writer puzzled (Chapter 8).
5. An analytical essay that presents the writer's answer to a puzzling question about topics selected from different disciplines (Chapter 8).
6. An essay that sets forth reasons in support of a position (Chapter 12).
7. An essay that summarizes an opposing position and then shows weaknesses in that position (Chapter 12).
8. An essay that supports a position through the use of a Rogerian "listening" strategy (Chapter 12).
9. A longer argumentation essay that uses a combination of strategies (Chapter 12).

10. A story-based essay that uses narration and description to convey its “truth” poetically rather than transactionally (Chapter 13).

These assignments are supplemented by a series of short “microthemes” (Chapter 6) aimed at helping students understand principles of form. The microtheme assignments guide students to produce short essays that shift back and forth among several levels of generality. Students who warm up on a few of the microtheme tasks will usually develop the organizational skills needed for success in the longer essays.

A distinguishing feature of our text is the way it helps students discover ideas for their essays. In this text “invention” is more than “pre-writing.” Rather, invention is a fresh way of regarding the world, a habit of problematizing experience, a predisposition to ask questions and find contraries. To this end the text emphasizes James Britton’s “expressive” writing as a means of puzzling and exploring. The heart of invention in this text is the guided journal, which encourages students to develop new habits of thought. The four guided journal sequences are designed to make students more aware of their writing and thinking processes at a personal level.

Our emphasis on journal writing follows from our belief, derived in part from Michael Polanyi, that all knowledge, even the most abstruse and abstract knowledge, is ultimately personal. Either a person understands a concept in his or her own language or parrots it in another’s. The journal sequences serve as self-instructional guides to a variety of discovery strategies ranging from freewriting to formal heuristics, from idea-mapping to Peter Elbow’s believing and doubting game.

A COMPREHENSIVE RHETORIC

As a comprehensive rhetoric, the text also contains explanatory chapters aimed at providing theoretical background for the assignments as well as instruction in skills and concepts (exclusive of sentence-level skills normally treated in handbooks). Included within each chapter are ample “For Class Discussion” exercises suitable either for small group problem-solving tasks or for general class discussion. The major parts of the text can be briefly summarized.

Part I, “Some Basic Principles and Skills,” explains the theory of language and cognition underlying the text, including composing as a recursive process, the advantage to writers of “problematizing experience,” the way effective writing converts information into meaning, and various principles for achieving form and surprise in an essay. Part II, “Problem-Solution Essays,” includes an extensive discussion of question-asking, both as an introduction to problem-solution essays and as a way of encouraging students to view themselves as active learners. Part III, “Issue-Defense

Essays," contains a discussion of argumentation that goes beyond both formal logic and Toulmin logic in its system for helping students generate arguments. Part IV, "Story-Based Essays," explains our view of narrative and descriptive writing as a way of creating story, the language where all disciplines converge. Part V, "Readings about Thinking, Writing, and Learning," provides a short anthology of readings related to thinking, writing, and learning. From these readings we have drawn much of the illustrative and problem-posing materials used in Part I. Finally, the book concludes with an appendix about working in groups that offers a rationale for using small groups and offers advice to students for making their small groups work.

THE INSTRUCTOR'S MANUAL WITH SAMPLE STUDENT ESSAYS

The text is supplemented with a comprehensive Instructor's Manual derived from the pooled experiences of more than a dozen teachers who have used the text in various draft forms over a three-year period. The Instructor's Manual also contains representative sample essays for many of the text's assignments. These essays—both weak and strong—can be reproduced for use in class discussions and "norming" sessions of the kind explained in the Appendix to the text.

BACKGROUND AND ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The approach taken in this text to the teaching of writing has grown out of a major writing-across-the-curriculum project at Montana State University known as the "MSU Thinking Skills and Writing Project." Through interaction with faculty from across campus, aided by such influential workshop leaders as Ken Bruffee of Brooklyn College, Harvey Wiener of La Guardia Community College, John R. Hayes of Carnegie-Mellon University, Karen Spear of the University of Utah, Joanne Kurfiss of Weber State College and Will Pitkin of Utah State University, our vision of writing-across-the-curriculum has grown and changed. An early draft of the book was used in an experimental section of freshman writing in 1983, and over the next two years the 2,000 or so students who have used evolving versions of the text have had the greatest influence on its shape.

As those familiar with recent pedagogy will recognize, our book owes much to the ideas of many scholars. We would like to single out for special appreciation several major figures who have most influenced our thinking: Kenneth Bruffee, whose work in the philosophy of collaborative learning redirected our whole approach to composition; Ann Berthoff, whose NEH Summer Seminar in Philosophy and Composition introduced coauthor John Ramage to the rich body of scholarship relating language to thought; Toby

Fulwiler and Randall Freisinger, whose work at Michigan Technological University has done much to promote the value of expressive writing in the academic disciplines; Andrea Lunsford, whose research into the cognitive strategies of beginning writers has influenced our sense of assignment design; and Peter Elbow, who taught us the believing and doubting game.

We would also like to offer thanks and appreciation to the following people who contributed so much to the development of our text: to Stuart Knapp, Academic Vice-President of Montana State University, who has unflaggingly supported writing at our institution and who had the political courage to establish the university's Teaching/Learning Program, which has generously funded a whole series of pilot projects in writing-across-the-curriculum; to the Fund for the Improvement of Postsecondary Education for its major institutional grant creating the MSU Thinking Skills and Writing Project; to Jack Folsom, the director of the MSU Thinking Skills and Writing Project, and to our colleague from the School of Business, Dean Drenk, our copartner in the project, who is among the most influential voices for writing-across-the-curriculum on our campus; to Cheryl Roller, Adele Pittendrih, Kathy Ramage, Carol Haviland, and Judy Keeler, the composition professionals who piloted our text in its earliest versions and gave us invaluable suggestions for revisions; to those faculty from Beaver College, SUNY-Binghamton, and Georgia State University who participated with us in a FIPSE-supported consortium on thinking skills; to Bob Morasky, Shannon Taylor, Paula Petrik, Glenn Lehrer, Ron Mussulman, Rita Flanin-gam, Nat Owings, Dick McConnen, Larry Kirkpatrick, Denny Lee, Steve Custer, Ken Weaver, Shirley Cudney, and other faculty from MSU who have been leaders in the writing-across-the-curriculum movement; to Paul Fer-lazzo, the former head of MSU's English Department, for his understanding and generous encouragement; to the following external reviewers who gave us timely and often biting criticism of our early drafts—Roger J. Bresnahan (Michigan State University), Toby Fulwiler (University of Vermont), C. W. Griffin (Virginia Commonwealth University), David A. Jolliffe (Uni-versity of Illinois at Chicago), Becky Kirschner (Michigan State University, Institute for Research on Teaching), Andrea Lunsford (University of British Columbia), and William E. Smith (Utah State University); to the following students who have provided the examples of student writing used through-out the text—Bonnie Abbott, Molly Weaver, Jeff Pentel, Tracy Williams, Catherine Clarke, Anita Foster, Judy Gehman, Marvin Drake, Mark Babb, Barbara Moore, and Wendy Foster; to Susan Didriksen, for whom we first began our work at Macmillan, and to Eben W. Ludlow, who well deserves his reputation as one of the best editors in the business; and finally to our families who put up with us throughout the ordeal of writing—Kit, Matt, Andrew, Stephen, and Sarah Bean; Kathy, Laura, and Chris Ramage.

Brief Contents

CHAPTER 1	The Writing Process: An Introduction to the Text	1
PART I	SOME BASIC PRINCIPLES AND SKILLS	19
GUIDED JOURNAL: SEQUENCE 1	Thinking About Thinking, Writing, and Learning	21
CHAPTER 2	Problematizing Experience: A Discussion of Reasoning for Writers	41
3	Form and Surprise: Turning Information into Meaning	67
4	Three Principles of Form for Writers	84
5	Three Principles of Surprise for Writers	111
6	Practicing Form: Microthemes about Thinking and Learning	132
PART II	PROBLEM-SOLUTION ESSAYS	151
CHAPTER 7	The Art of Asking Questions	152
GUIDED JOURNAL: SEQUENCE 2	Asking Questions, Exploring Answers	190
8	Assignments for Problem-Solution Essays	205

PART III	ISSUE-DEFENSE ESSAYS	255
	GUIDED JOURNAL: SEQUENCE 3 Finding and Exploring Issues	261
CHAPTER 9	Issue-Defense Essays: Developing an Argument	269
10	Some Common Strategies of Argumentation	294
11	Issue-Defense Essays: Evaluating Arguments	318
12	Assignments for Issue-Defense Essays	334
PART IV	STORY-BASED ESSAYS	353
CHAPTER 13	Story Essays: Beyond the Thesis Statement	355
	GUIDED JOURNAL: SEQUENCE 4 Writing Stories	370
PART V	READINGS ABOUT THINKING, WRITING, AND LEARNING	383
<i>Caroline Bird</i>	"The Dumbest Investment You Can Make"	385
<i>Carl R. Rogers</i>	"Communication: Its Blocking and Its Facilitation"	393
<i>Fritz Machlup</i>	"Poor Learning from Good Teachers"	399
<i>Peter Elbow</i>	"Embracing Contraries in the Teaching Process"	406
<i>Tim Andryk</i>	Three Bighorn Sheep Essays	420
	Two-Paragraph Version	400
	Scientific Essay	421
	Adventure Version for Popular Audience	424
APPENDIX	The Writing Community: Working in Groups	428
INDEX		449

Detailed Contents

CHAPTER 1	The Writing Process: An Introduction to the Text	1
	The Writing Processes Used by Experienced Writers	1
	Why Experienced Writers Need a Succession of Drafts	5
	Writing to Discover Meaning	5
	Writing to Discover Audience	7
	Writing to Discover Purpose	8
	Beginning Writers and the Problem of Alienation	9
	Two Metaphors for Describing the Writing Process	10
	The Embryo	11
	The Cabinetmaker	11
	The Writing Process and the Significance of Our Title: <i>Form and Surprise</i>	15
	Form	16
	Surprise	16
	The Writing Process and the General Structure of This Text	17

PART I

SOME BASIC PRINCIPLES AND SKILLS 19

GUIDED JOURNAL SEQUENCE 1:	Thinking about Thinking, Writing, and Learning	21
	An Introduction to Journal Writing	21
	Writing the Journal	23

CHAPTER 2	Problematizing Experience: A Discussion of Reasoning for Writers	41
	The Uses of Contraries: An Introduction to Active Reasoning	42
	The Case for Linking Dialectic Thinking to Writing	45
	How We Got Here: Personal Experience as the Seed of Reasonable Thinking	48
	Putting Reason to Work: Playing with Three Problems of Writing	52
	Problem 1: The Writer's Mind at War with Itself	52
	Problem 2: Stereotyping, or, The Absence of Contraries	54
	Problem 3: The Mind of the Reader at War with Itself	60
	Conclusion	65
CHAPTER 3	Form and Surprise: Turning Information into Meaning	67
	Preliminary Exercise: Converting Data into a Paragraph	67
	Turning Information into Meaning: A Short Illustration	69
	Turning Information into Meaning: Larger Patterns	73
	Changing the Length of Your Essays: The Principle Behind Expansion and Contraction	76
	Turning Information into Meaning: Form and Surprise	78
CHAPTER 4	Three Principles of Form for Writers	84
	Preliminary Exercise: Two Essays for Comparison	84
	The Tension Between Form and Surprise: A Review	88
	Principle 1: Achieve Form by Working Toward a Final Draft That Can Be Tree-Diagrammed	90
	Using Complete Sentences in Tree Diagrams	93
	Principle 2: Achieve Form by Developing an Understanding of Unity and Cohesion	94
	The Causes of Non-Cohesive Prose and Some Cures	96
	Using Transitions and Other Logical Connectives	97
	Repeating Pronouns and Key Meaning Words	97
	Adding Intermediate Generalizations	98
	Unity and Cohesion at the Level of the Whole Essay	98
	Principle 3: Achieve Form by Laying Out the Whole Before Presenting the Parts	99
	Thesis Statements, Purpose Statements, and Blueprint Statements	101

Writer's Versions of Thesis Statements, Purpose Statements, and Blueprint Statements	101
The Differences Between a Thesis Statement and a Purpose Statement	104
Readers Versions of Thesis Statements, Purpose Statements, and Blueprint Statements	104
Writing Introductions and Conclusions	107
Introductions	107
Conclusions	108

CHAPTER 5 Three Principles of Surprise for Writers 111

What Do We Mean by Surprise?	111
Principle 1: Achieve Surprise by Trying to Change Some Aspect of Your Reader's View of the World	113
Changing a Reader's World View: Asking Power Questions	115
Principle 2: Achieve Surprise by Moving Up and Down the Scale of Abstraction	116
Levels of Generalizations and Details	117
Using Details Effectively	118
Principle 3: Achieve Surprise Through Effective Style	122
The Impact of Style on Surprise	123
A Brief Analysis of Style	124

CHAPTER 6 Practicing Form: Microthemes about Thinking and Learning 132

The Difference Between Journal Writing and Microtheme Writing	132
MICROTHEME 1: A Group Paragraph with Parallel Subparts	133
Writing Your Microtheme as a Group	136
Moving Beyond the Microtheme	137
MICROTHEME 2: Another Parallel Subparts Paragraph	139
MICROTHEME 3: Writing a Summary	140
How to Write a Summary	141
Differences Between "Author's Abstract" Summaries and "Research Paragraph" Summaries	144
MICROTHEME 4: A Contrast Paragraph	145
Signalling Form in Your Microtheme	148

MICROTHEME 5: *Using Different Sources of Detail* 149

Option 1: A Descriptive Microtheme 149

Option 2: A Statistical Microtheme 149

Option 3: A Microtheme Based on your

Grasp of Ideas 150

PART II

PROBLEM-SOLUTION ESSAYS 151

CHAPTER 7 The Art of Asking Questions 152

A General Introduction to Question-Asking 152

Developing the Habit of Question-Asking 154

Using Question-Asking to Narrow a Topic 154

Stimulating Question-Asking with Idea Maps 155

Playing the Question Game 156

The Difference Between a Question and a Problem 158

Using Question-Asking Strategies to Improve Your Study
Habits 160

Knowledge/Comprehension Questions 160

Analysis Questions 162

Turning Questions into Thesis Statements: Good Questions,
Surprising Answers 166

Using Heuristics to Ask Analysis Questions 170

The "Many Attributes" Heuristic: An Introduction to Using
Heuristics 170

The Psychology of Using Heuristics 171

The "Set Questions" Heuristic 172

The "Journalist's Questions" Heuristic 174

The "Pentad" Heuristic 175

The "Particle/Wave/Field" Heuristic 179

The "Range of Variation" Heuristic 182

Asking Empirical Research Questions for Science 183

**GUIDED JOURNAL SEQUENCE 2: Asking Questions, Exploring
Answers 190**

Introduction 190

Part 1: Getting the Question-Asking Habit 192

Part 2: Writing As a Mode of Learning in Your Other
Courses 196

Part 3: Seeking Surprise	200
Part 4: Heuristics and Scientific Question-Asking	203

CHAPTER 8 **Assignments for Problem-Solution Essays** 205

Explanation of Problem-Solution Essays	205
ASSIGNMENT 1: <i>Two-Paragraph Problem-Solution Essay</i>	209
Option 1: Personal Power Question	210
Option 2: Analysis Question	212
Option 3: Role-playing a Famous Problem-Solver	213
What Do I Do If My Solution Takes More Than One Paragraph?	213
ASSIGNMENT 2: <i>Problem-Alternative Solutions Strategy</i>	214
Problems with Alternative Solutions	214
ASSIGNMENT 3: : <i>Question-Expected Answer-Surprising Reversal Strategy</i>	221
Option 1: Giving a Surprising Answers to an Analysis Question	226
Option 2: Using Stories to Oppose a Popular Viewpoint	226
ASSIGNMENT 4: <i>Contradiction-Quandary Strategy</i>	228
Option 1: Opposing Scenes	229
Option 2: Opposing Viewpoints	231
Option 3: A Mini-Research Essay	233
ASSIGNMENT 5: <i>Findings-Discussion Essays</i>	236
The Purpose of Separating the Observing Mode from the Analyzing Mode	240
Option 1: Analyzing a Statistical Table	242
Option 2: Analyzing Sexism in Cartoons	249
Option 3: Conducting a Mini-Scientific Experiment	249
Option 4: Comparing Conceptions of Childhood in an 18th Century Painting and a Modern Advertisement	251
Option 5: An Article Review	254

PART III

ISSUE-DEFENSE ESSAYS 255

What is an Issue-Defense Essay?: A Definition	256
Responding to Issue-Questions: The Power of "Because"	257
The Process of Writing an Issue-Defense Essay	259

GUIDED JOURNAL SEQUENCE 3: Finding and Exploring Issues **261**

Finding Issues	261
Exploring Issues	266

CHAPTER 9 Issue-Defense Essays: Developing an Argument **269**

Writing to Convince: An Introduction	269
How Human Beings Determine a "Structure of Reasons"	270
Formal Logic: The Strengths and Limits of Syllogistic Reasoning	272
Syllogistic Reasoning (Deduction)	272
The Problem with Syllogistic Reasoning for Writers	274
Inductive Reasoning	275
The Connection Between Inductive and Deductive Reasoning	276
What We Will Borrow From Formal Logic	277
Informal Logic: The Strengths and Limits of Toulmin's Legal Model for Argumentation	277
What We Will Borrow From Toulmin	280
Our Own System for Preparing Arguments	281
Converting "Because" Clauses Into Loose Syllogisms	282
Using Loose Syllogisms to Uncover Assumptions	284
Getting from a Loose Syllogism to an Essay	285
Summing Up the Process of Developing an Essay: A Case Study	289

CHAPTER 10 Some Common Strategies of Argumentation **294**

Arguers and Arguments to Avoid	294
What We Can Argue About: Value Claims and Truth Claims	297
Value Claims "X is Good/Bad"; "We Should/Should Not Do X"	298
Truth Claims	302
"X is an Instance of Y"	303
"X Resembles Y"	304
"X Causes Y"	306
"X is a Consequence of Y"	309