

THE OXFORD HANDBOOK OF

---

INTERNATIONAL  
INVESTMENT LAW

---

*Edited by*

PETER MUCHLINSKI  
FEDERICO ORTINO

AND

CHRISTOPH SCHREUER

OXFORD  
UNIVERSITY PRESS

OXFORD

UNIVERSITY PRESS

Great Clarendon Street, Oxford OX2 6DP

Oxford University Press is a department of the University of Oxford.  
It furthers the University's objective of excellence in research, scholarship,  
and education by publishing worldwide in

Oxford New York

Auckland Cape Town Dar es Salaam Hong Kong Karachi  
Kuala Lumpur Madrid Melbourne Mexico City Nairobi  
New Delhi Shanghai Taipei Toronto

With offices in

Argentina Austria Brazil Chile Czech Republic France Greece  
Guatemala Hungary Italy Japan Poland Portugal Singapore  
South Korea Switzerland Thailand Turkey Ukraine Vietnam

Oxford is a registered trade mark of Oxford University Press  
in the UK and in certain other countries

Published in the United States  
by Oxford University Press Inc., New York

© P Muchlinski, F Ortino, C Schreuer, 2008

The moral rights of the authors have been asserted

Database right Oxford University Press (maker)

Crown copyright material is reproduced under Class Licence

Number C01P000001 with the permission of OPSI

and the Scottish Ministers for Scotland

First published 2008

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced,  
stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means,  
without the prior permission in writing of Oxford University Press,  
or as expressly permitted by law, or under terms agreed with the appropriate  
reprographics rights organization. Enquiries concerning reproduction  
outside the scope of the above should be sent to the Rights Department,  
Oxford University Press, at the address above

You must not circulate this book in any other binding or cover  
and you must impose the same condition on any acquirer

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data

Data available

Library of Congress Cataloguing in Publication Data

Data available

Typeset by Newgen Imaging Systems (P) Ltd., Chennai, India

Printed in Great Britain

on acid-free paper by

CPI Antony Rowe, Chippenham

ISBN 978-0-19-923138-6

1 3 5 7 9 10 8 6 4 2

THE OXFORD HANDBOOK OF  
**INTERNATIONAL  
INVESTMENT LAW**

## PREFACE

---

This volume is the product of the work of the International Law Association's Committee on the International Law on Foreign Investment. Its aim is to provide a representative overview of the most significant issues that arise in the field. The Committee first designed an outline of topics attempting to cover the various areas of international investment law as comprehensively as possible. These topics were then assigned to individual Committee members. Although each chapter is primarily the author's responsibility the volume as a whole is very much a joint effort of the Committee. More than one third of the contributions were written jointly by two authors. (In some cases non-members of the Committee were invited to act as co-authors). Each paper was assigned to one or more readers who provided comments and suggestions on early drafts. Most papers were discussed at Committee meetings leading to further revisions by authors.

Not surprisingly, the overall concept underwent revisions as the project developed. The final product offers thirty-one contributions in three major sections. These three sections address: (a) fundamental issues arising out of the nature, structure, sources and definitions of international investment law (including policy and objectives, applicable law and links to other areas of international law and international trade regulation); (b) substantive issues such as admission, standards of treatment, expropriation, taxation, most-favoured-nation (MFN), emergencies, insurance, corruption and corporate social responsibility; and (c) procedural issues mainly concerning the process of investor-state arbitration.

Unlike international trade, foreign investments typically involve a longer term presence and exposure to influences and interferences by the host state's authorities. The corresponding need for security has led to an elaborate system of protection that is contained in multilateral and bilateral treaties, in customary international law, in domestic statutes and in contracts between states and foreign investors. The case law of arbitral tribunals has also contributed substantially to the development of the law in this field.

Investors and host states share a mutual interest in this legal framework. The investor looks for the stability and certainty that will permit rational business planning and will protect the investment, once made, from adverse interferences of a political nature. Host states, eager to attract investments seek to project an image of security and predictability. As an arbitral tribunal once put it: 'to protect investments is to protect the general interest of development and of developing countries.'<sup>1</sup> The

<sup>1</sup> *Amco v Indonesia*, Decision on Jurisdiction, 25 September 1983, 1 ICSID Reports 389, at para 23.

host state's advantages from international investment law are not merely economic. Standards of due process and good governance required for foreign investment may spill over into domestic law and may set new standards also for the domestic legal system. In addition, settlement of disputes through investor-state arbitration is likely to improve the political climate between the states concerned. It averts diplomatic protection by states on behalf of their nationals, a source of frequent friction between states in the past.

The traditional dichotomy between industrialised countries as home states of investors and developing countries as host states of investments has been overtaken by developments. This is demonstrated by the growing number of bilateral investment treaties between developing countries. More and more countries are becoming aware of their dual role as recipients of foreign investments and as home countries of investors acting abroad. Thus the recent treaty practice of the United States shows signs of a shift toward positions protecting its interest as a recipient of foreign investments. In turn, the PR China has changed its treaty practice towards a more effective protection of its investors abroad. Therefore, the once clearly competing interests of different states are converging.

What this means for the future development of international investment law is not entirely clear. While the number of treaties is growing apace, there are also increasing voices of criticism that point to the unbalanced nature of first generation agreements. These criticisms arise on two main levels. First, it is only the host country that carries binding obligations towards investors under existing treaties. There is no reciprocal requirement for the investor to carry responsibilities. Nor is the home country required to act in any particular way. Thus the existing regime is seen by some as privileging investors over host countries. Secondly, international investment arbitration has been criticised for being non-transparent, inconsistent, unreliable and unpredictable, often leading to very large awards against host countries that may be developing or transitional countries barely able to afford such financial burdens. In addition the legitimacy of an internationalised system of dispute settlement has been questioned by reason of its carrying significant powers of review of national administrative action, but which is not subject to full systems of accountability and appeal, as is the case with a system of judicial tribunals. Both of these criticisms are addressed throughout the volume, though, as will be apparent, different authors have taken different positions on them. In this, the volume reflects the ongoing debates about the direction and evolution of the system of international investment law, as well as providing guidance upon some of the more technical substantive and procedural legal issues currently before arbitral tribunals.

The editors are indebted to John Louth of Oxford University Press who has given invaluable support to this project. Special thanks go to Borzu Sabahi who has prepared the bibliographies and table of cases.

PM  
FO  
CS

## LIST OF CONTRIBUTORS

---

**Pia Acconci**, Associate Professor of European Union Law and International Law, University of Teramo, Italy

**Alan S Alexandroff**, Research Director, Program on Conflict Management and Negotiation, University of Toronto

**Stefan D Amarasinha**, Directorate General for Trade, European Commission

**Vladimír Balaš**, Lecturer in International Law, Charles University, Prague

**Andrea K Bjorklund**, Acting Professor, School of Law, University of California, Davis

**Joachim Delaney**, Clifford Chance LLP, London

**Ignacio Gómez-Palacio**, Founding Partner, Gómez-Palacio y Asociados, Mexico City; Professor, School of Law, Universidad Iberoamericana

**Dorothee Gottwald**, Desk officer, Federal Ministry of the Interior, Berlin

**Louis-Philippe Gratton**, Teaching and Research Assistant, University of Lausanne; PhD Candidate, Université Toulouse 1—Sciences Sociales, France

**Todd Grierson-Weiler**, Adjunct Professor, Faculty of Law, University of Calgary and the Washington College of Law

**Moshe Hirsch**, Arnold Brecht Professor in European Law, Faculty of Law and Department of International Relations, Hebrew University of Jerusalem

**Kaj Hobér**, Partner, Mannheimer Swartling, Stockholm; Professor of East European Commercial Law, Uppsala University

**Anne K Hoffmann**, Rechtsanwältin (Germany) and Solicitor (England and Wales), Python & Peter, Geneva

**Juliane Kokott**, First Advocate General, Court of Justice of the European Communities

**Abba Kolo**, Lecturer in Energy and Investment Law, Centre for Energy Petroleum and Mineral Law and Policy, University of Dundee, Scotland

**Akira Kotera**, Professor, Graduate School of Public Policy, University of Tokyo

**Ian A Laird**, Special Legal Consultant, Fulbright & Jaworski LLP, Washington, DC

**Daniel Barstow Magraw**, President, Center for International Environmental Law, Washington, DC

**Loretta Malintoppi**, Of Counsel, Eversheds, Paris

**Giuditta Cordero Moss**, Professor, Institute of Private Law, University of Oslo

**Peter Muchlinski**, Professor in International Commercial Law, School of Oriental and African Studies, London

**Federico Ortino**, Reader in International Economic Law, King's College London

**Asif H Qureshi**, Professor of International Economic Law, Law School, University of Manchester

**Hilmar Raeschke-Kessler**, Rechtsanwälte beim Bundesgerichtshof, Karlsruhe; Honorary Professor, University of Cologne, Germany

**August Reinisch**, Professor of International and European Law, University of Vienna; Professorial Lecturer, Bologna Center of SAIS/Johns Hopkins University

**Borzu Sabahi**, Adjunct Professor of Law, Doctoral (SJD) Candidate, Georgetown University Law Centre, Washington, DC

**Engela C Schlemmer**, Professor of Law, Department of Constitutional, International and Indigenous Law, School of Law, University of South Africa

**Christoph Schreuer**, Professor, University of Vienna; Chair, ILA International Law on Foreign Investment Committee

**Audley Sheppard**, Partner, Clifford Chance LLP; Rapporteur of the ILA International Arbitration Committee (1996–2006)

**Ole Spiermann**, Professor, Faculty of Law, University of Copenhagen

**Jacomijn J van Haersolte-van Hof**, Advocaat and Counsel, Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer, Amsterdam

**Thomas W Wälde**, Professor and Jean-Monnet Chair, Centre for Energy Petroleum and Mineral Law and Policy, University of Dundee, Scotland; Essex Court Chambers

**Matthew Weiniger**, Partner, Herbert Smith LLP, London

**Friedl Weiss**, Professor of European Law and International Economic Law, University of Vienna

**David AR Williams QC**, Barrister, Bankside Chambers, Auckland, New Zealand;  
Essex Court Chambers, London

**Katia Yannaca-Small**, Legal Advisor on International Investment, OECD, Paris

**Andreas R Ziegler**, Professor, Faculty of Law and Criminal Sciences, University of  
Lausanne



# CONTENTS

---

<i>Table of Cases</i>	xi
<i>Table of International Treaties and Conventions</i>	xxxiii
<i>Table of Rules and Resolutions</i>	lvi
<i>Table of Legislation</i>	lix
<i>List of Contributors</i>	lxiii

## PART I FUNDAMENTAL ISSUES

1. Policy Issues	3
PETER MUCHLINSKI	
2. Investment, Investor, Nationality, and Shareholders	49
ENGELA C SCHLEMMER	
3. Applicable Law	89
OLE SPIERMANN	
4. Multilateral Investment Rules Revisited	119
STEFAN D AMARASINHA AND JULIANE KOKOTT	
5. Interactions between Investment and Non-investment Obligations	154
MOSHE HIRSCH	
6. Trade and Investment	182
FRIEDL WEISS	

## PART II SUBSTANTIVE ISSUES

7. Admission and Establishment	227
IGNACIO GÓMEZ-PALACIO AND PETER MUCHLINSKI	

8. Standards of Treatment	259
TODD J GRIERSON-WEILER AND IAN A LAIRD	
9. Coverage of Taxation under Modern Investment Treaties	305
THOMAS W WÄLDE AND ABBA KOLO	
10. Most-Favoured-Nation Treatment	363
PIA ACCONCI	
11. Expropriation	407
AUGUST REINISCH	
12. Emergency Exceptions: State of Necessity and <i>Force Majeure</i>	459
ANDREA K BJORKLUND	
13. Investment Insurance	524
ANDREAS R ZIEGLER AND LOUIS-PHILIPPE GRATTON	
14. State Responsibility and Attribution	549
KAJ HOBÉR	
15. Corruption	584
HILMAR RAESCHKE-KESSLER AND DOROTHEE GOTTWALD	
16. Regulatory Transparency	617
AKIRA KOTERA	
17. Corporate Social Responsibility	637
PETER MUCHLINSKI	

### PART III PROCEDURAL ISSUES

18. Methods of Dispute Resolution	691
AUGUST REINISCH AND LORETTA MALINTOPPI	
19. Procedural Transparency	721
JOACHIM DELANEY AND DANIEL BARSTOW MAGRAW	
20. Independence, Impartiality, and Duty of Disclosure of Arbitrators	789
LORETTA MALINTOPPI	

21. Consent to Arbitration	830
CHRISTOPH SCHREUER	
22. Jurisdiction and Admissibility	868
DAVID AR WILLIAMS QC	
23. The Jurisdictional Threshold of a Prima-Facie Case	932
AUDLEY SHEPPARD	
24. The Relationship between International Tribunals and Domestic Courts	962
JACOMIJN J VAN HAERSOLTE-VAN HOF AND ANNE K HOFFMANN	
25. Parallel Proceedings	1008
KATIA YANNACA-SMALL	
26. Compensation, Damages, and Valuation	1049
THOMAS W WÄLDE AND BORZU SABAHI	
27. Review of Awards	1125
VLADIMÍR BALÁŠ	
28. An Appellate System in International Investment Arbitration?	1154
ASIF H QURESHI	
29. Compliance and Enforcement	1171
ALAN S ALEXANDROFF AND IAN A LAIRD	
30. A Doctrine of Precedent?	1188
CHRISTOPH SCHREUER AND MATTHEW WEINIGER	
31. Tribunal's Powers versus Party Autonomy	1207
GIUDITTA CORDERO MOSS	
<i>Index</i>	1245

# TABLE OF CASES

## DECISIONS OF INTERNATIONAL COURTS AND TRIBUNALS

### International Arbitrations

Access to Information under the OSPAR Convention (Ireland v United Kingdom) 126 ILR 334 (2005) .....	1193
Administration of Posts and Telegraphs of Czechoslovakia v Radio Corporation of America, Award, 1932, (1936) 30 AJIL 523 .....	95
Alsing Trading Company Ltd v Greece, Award, 22 December 1954, 23 ILR 633 .....	95
Ambatielos Case (Greece v United Kingdom) Award, 6 March 1956, UNRIAA, 1963, vol XII 107.....	389, 392, 397, 400
Merits: obligation to arbitrate, Judgment of 19 May 1953, ICJ Reports 1953 .....	935, 937, 949, 972
American Bottle Company (US v Mexico) (1929) 4 RIAA 435 .....	1024
American Independent Oil Company (Aminoil) v Government of State of Kuwait, 21 ILM 976 (1982) .....	1062, 1067, 1074, 1089, 1097, 1100, 1104, 1107, 1114, 1116
American International Group v Islamic Republic of Iran, Award No 93-2-3, 19 December 1983. ....	408
Arbitral Award made by the King of Spain (Honduras v Nicaragua), 23 December 1906 .....	1015
BP v Libya Award, 10 October 1973, 53 ILR 300 .....	92, 93, 99, 101, 712
Decision on Competence, 1 August 1974, 53 ILR 375 .....	92
Bechtel Enterprises International v Overseas Private Investment Corporation, American Arbitration Association, No. 50 T195 00509 02, 3rd September 781, 2003 .....	530
Beta International Corp v Alpha International SA, Award, 1989, ASA Bulletin 239 (1991).....	586, 592, 608
Biederman v Kazakhstan, unpublished .....	1076, 1079, 1117
Biloune and Marine Drive Complex Ltd v Ghana Investments Centre and the Government of Ghana, UNCITRAL ad hoc Tribunal, Award on Jurisdiction and Liability, 27 October 1989, 95 ILR 183 .....	159, 174, 415, 427, 428, 446, 453, 1076, 1079
Bogdanov v Moldova, SCC, Award, 22 September 2005 .....	1089, 1096, 1117, 1231, 1236
Bridas SAPIC v Government of Turkmenistan, Case No. 9058/FMS/KGA, 21 October 1999 .....	916, 1096

Canadian Cattlemen for Fair Trade v US .....	727
Canfor Corp v United States .....	746, 801, 802, 1035, 1036, 1037, 1039, 1040, 1041, 1042, 1044
Channel Tunnel Group and France-Manche v United Kingdom and France, Partial Award, 30 January 2007 .....	112, 174
Claude Reymond Award .....	586, 604
CME Czech Republic SA v Czech Republic Partial Award, 13 September 2001 .....	114, 343, 415, 426, 452, 453, 711, 995, 1000, 1011, 1091, 1197
Final Award, 14 March 2003 .....	66, 92, 106, 114, 270, 283, 434, 567, 568, 735, 847, 875, 914, 1009, 1011, 1015, 1018, 1019, 1020, 1022, 1024, 1033, 1051, 1055, 1060, 1069, 1071, 1077, 1084, 1094, 1107, 1117, 1138, 1139, 1140, 1142, 1199, 1216
Court of Appeal Judgment, 15 May 2003 .....	114
Company Z v State Organisation ABC, Award, April 1982, (1983) 8 Yearbook of Commercial Arbitration 94 .....	97, 100
Dorner claim (1954) 21 ILR 164 (1954) .....	1076, 1094
EnCana v Ecuador, Award, 3 February 2006 .....	91, 113, 268, 307, 331, 340, 344, 348, 349, 350, 351, 354, 356, 709, 916, 1097, 1192
Ethyl Corporation v Canada, 24 June 1998, Jurisdiction Phase, UNCITRAL, 38 ILM 708 (1999) .....	143, 431, 844, 845, 862, 914, 944, 1197
Eureko v Poland, Partial Award, 19 August 2005, 12 ICSID Reports 335 .....	107, 420, 432, 450, 451, 453, 570, 711, 806, 807, 825, 841, 863, 919, 967, 973, 977, 980, 984, 1031, 1090, 1197, 1237
Forests of Central Rhodope (Merits) 3 RIAA 1405 (1933) .....	469, 479
French Company of Venezuelan Railroads Case (France v Venezuela) 10 RIAA 285 .....	465, 479, 517
GAMI Investments Inc v United Mexican States, Final Award, 15 November 2004 .....	83, 86, 274, 710, 797, 914, 994, 1101, 1102, 1111
Grand Rivers Enterprises Six Nations Ltd v US, Decision on Jurisdiction, 20 July 2006 .....	1192
Himpurna v PLN, Award, 4 May 1999, 25 YB Comm Arb 11 (2000) .....	586, 592, 594, 597, 598, 604, 1098, 1099, 1105, 1117
International Bank of Washington v OPIC, 11 ILM 1216 (1972) .....	175
International Thunderbird Gaming v Mexico, Award, 26 January 2006 .....	176, 275, 298, 337, 343, 354, 710, 914, 1064, 1088, 1089
Jaffa-Jerusalem Railway Arbitration 1922 .....	1077
Jalapa Railroad and Power Co., American-Mexican Claims Commission, 1948, 8 Whiteman Digest of International Law 908 (1976) .....	418
Kügele v Polish State (1932) 6 ILR 59 .....	347, 348, 349
Kuwait v Aminoil, Award, 24 March 1982, 66 ILR 529 .....	101, 448, 711
LAFICO v Burundi 96 Int'l L Rep. 279 (1991) .....	474, 502
Lauder v Czech Republic, Final Award, 3 September 2001 .....	444, 567, 711, 735, 844, 850, 914, 995, 996, 1009, 1011, 1020, 1027, 1033, 1091, 1094, 1138, 1197
Lena Goldfields Arbitration, 5 Annual Digest 3 (1930) .....	93, 97, 712, 753
Liamco v Libya, Award, 12 April 1977, 62 ILR 145 .....	92, 99, 100, 712, 1104, 1118
Martini Case (Italy v Venezuela), 3 May 1930, 2 RIAA (194) .....	718

## Methanex v United States

## Decision on Petitions from Third Persons to Intervene as

*Amici Curiae*, 15 January 2001. . . . . 736, 738, 745, 746, 748, 749, 760, 762,  
771, 773, 778, 779, 781, 782, 784

Partial Award, 7 August 2002 . . . . . 147, 260, 348, 863, 920, 944, 945, 949, 973, 1102

## Application of Non-disputing Parties for Leave to File a

Written Submission, 9 March 2004 . . . . . 748

Final Award, 3 August 2005, 44 ILM 1345 (2005) . . . . . 170, 215, 415, 437, 438, 449,  
451, 640, 710, 732, 733, 743, 871, 914, 921, 922, 1201

Morris v OPIC, Award, 3 December 1987, 27 ILM 487 (1987) . . . . . 332

## Mox Plant Case (Ireland v UK)

Request for Provisional Measures, ITLOS, Case No. 10, 3 December 2001 . . . . . 1018

Order of 3 December 2001, 41 ILM (2002) . . . . . 405 1018, 1193

## Myers (SD) Inc v Canada, UNCITRAL,

Award of 12 November 2000, 40 ILM 1408 (2001) . . . . . 80, 147, 163–166, 168,  
169, 173, 174, 175, 177, 213, 215, 232, 261, 266, 271, 282,

292, 293, 294, 297, 300, 415, 433, 437, 441, 443, 455, 631, 671,

673, 727, 800, 801, 1063, 1069, 1078, 1084, 1085, 1086, 1087, 1094, 1118

Second Partial Award, October 2002 . . . . . 1058

North American Dredging Co (USA v Mexico) (1926) 4 UN Rep 26 . . . . . 999

## Nykomb Synergistics Technology Holding AB v Latvia, Award,

16 December 2003 . . . . . 287, 288, 289, 290, 341, 441, 564, 565,  
580, 709, 847, 1084, 1085, 1090, 1093, 1096, 1103, 1118

## Occidental Exploration and Production Company v

Republic of Ecuador, Award, 1 July 2004 . . . . . 106, 112, 113, 114, 275, 278, 280, 283,  
285, 307, 329, 330, 331, 338, 339, 340, 346, 349, 356, 388, 436,  
440, 708, 844, 850, 916, 1084, 1088, 1107, 1118

## Petrobart Limited v The Kyrgyz Republic, Arbitration Institute

of the Stockholm Chamber of Commerce, Arb No. 126/2003,

Award 29 March 2005 . . . . . 442, 709, 844, 912, 913,  
1061, 1087, 1118

## Pope &amp; Talbot v Canada

Award on Motion to Dismiss, 26 January 2000 . . . . . 944, 955, 956, 958, 1084

Interim Award, 26 June 2000 . . . . . 213, 267, 414, 415, 426, 440, 455

Award on the Merits of Phase 2, 10 April 2001 . . . . . 213, 214, 232, 291, 292, 293, 294,  
295, 296, 350, 351, 353, 383, 632

Award on Damages, 31 May 2002 . . . . . 176, 285, 384, 1113, 1118

## Revere Copper and Brass Inc v Overseas Private Investment

Corporation, Award, 24 August 1978, 56 ILR 261 . . . . . 99, 337, 348, 439,  
451, 452, 1100

## Rudloff Case, US-Venezuelan Claims Commission,

Interlocutory Decision, 9 RIAA 244 (1903) . . . . . 411

## Ruler of Qatar v International Marine Oil Company Ltd,

Award, June 1953, 20 ILR 534 . . . . . 96

Russian Indemnity Case, XI RAA 434 (1912) . . . . . 500, 501, 507

## Saluka v Czech Republic

Jurisdiction over Counterclaims, 7 May 2004 . . . . . 1098

Partial Award, 17 March 2006 . . . . .	170, 176, 261, 262, 268, 269, 272, 275, 282, 283, 285, 287, 288, 289, 290, 300, 432, 433, 437, 438, 482, 631, 634, 709, 735, 900, 915, 1084, 1088, 1089, 1142
Sapphire v NIOC, Award, 15 March 1963, 35 ILR 136 . . . . .	93, 96, 97, 273, 712
Saudi Arabia v Aramco, Award, 23 August 1958, 27 ILR 117 . . . . .	93, 96, 97, 712
Sedelmayer v Russian Federation, Award, 7 July 1998 . . . . .	408, 996, 1118, 1173, 1177, 1182–1185
Shufeldt Claim, Award, 24 July 1930, 2 RIAA 1079 . . . . .	418, 1093
Société Riale v Ethiopia, Award, 15 January 1929 . . . . .	95
SwemBalt v Latvia, Award, 23 October 2000 . . . . .	110, 1119, 1211, 1234
Texaco v Libya	
Preliminary Award, 27 November 1975, 53 ILR 393 . . . . .	100
Award, 19 January 1977, 53 ILR 420 . . . . .	92, 99, 1057, 1058, 1068
Trail Smelter Arbitration (US v Canada) (1938, 1941) 3 RIAA 1905 . . . . .	669, 1016
Turiff Construction (Sudan) Ltd v Government of the Republic of Sudan (PCA Arbitration) . . . . .	710
United Parcel Services of America, Inc v Canada	
Decision on Hearing of Respondent's Objection to Competence and Jurisdiction, 5 January 2001 . . . . .	744, 745, 953
Decision re Amicus Intervention, 17 October 2001 . . . . .	736, 737, 738, 744, 745, 746, 750, 760, 761, 771, 784
Award on Jurisdiction, 22 November 2002 . . . . .	944, 945, 949, 973, 1201
USA (LF Neer) v Mexico (Neer Claim) (1927) AJIL 555 . . . . .	24, 269
US-Trucking, USA-MEX-98-208-01, 6 February 2001 . . . . .	292, 294, 297
Wintershall AG v Qatar, Partial Award, 5 February 1988, (1989) 28 ILM 795 . . . . .	106, 711
Yaung Chi Oo Trading Pte Ltd v Government of the Union of Myanmar ASEAN Case No. ARB/01/1 31 March 2003, 42 ILM 540 (2003) . . . . .	54, 58, 65, 380, 398, 847
<b>International Centre for the Settlement of Investment Disputes (Decisions and Awards of ICSID Tribunals including cases under the Additional Facility procedure)</b>	
AES Corporation v Argentina, Decision on Jurisdiction, 26 April 2005 . . . . .	65, 844, 847, 865, 874, 875, 890, 894, 967, 1193, 1194, 1195
AGIP SPA v People's Republic of the Congo	
ICSID Case No. ARB/77/1 . . . . .	63, 95, 337
AMT v Zaire ICSID Case No. ARB/93/1, Award of 21 February 1997, 36 ILM 1531 (1997) . . . . .	26, 55, 837, 1063
AMCO Asia Corp, Pan American Development Ltd v Indonesia, ICSID Case No. ARB/81/1	
Decision on the Proposal to Disqualify an Arbitrator, 24 June 1982 . . . . .	794, 795
Decision on Jurisdiction, 25 September 1983, 1 ICSID Reports 389 . . . . .	63, 77, 832, 861, 862, 895, 896, 897, 942, 947, 955, 1019, 1116, 1191
Decision on Request for Provisional Measures, 9 December 1983, 1 ICSID Reports . . . . .	410 759
Award, 20 November 1984, 1 ICSID Reports 413 . . . . .	114, 432, 443

Annulment Decision, 16 May 1986, 1 ICSID Reports 509 . . . . .	100, 104, 700, 847, 1138, 1139, 1146, 1147, 1148, 1191
Decision on Jurisdiction, 10 May 1988, 3 ICSID Rev-FILJ 166 (1988), 27 ILM 1281 (1988), 89 ILR 552 . . . . .	324, 1016, 1072, 1091, 1094
Award No. 2, 31 May 1990, 1 ICSID Reports 569 . . . . .	96, 105, 273
Second Annulment Decision, 19 December 1992 . . . . .	1146, 1149
ADF Group, Inc v United States of America, Final Award, 9 January 2003, ICSID Rev-FILJ 195 (2003) . . . . .	270, 271, 292, 294, 384, 385, 705, 1201
Adriano Gardella SpA v Government of Ivory Coast ICSID Case No. ARB/78/1 . . . . .	63
ADT v Hungary ICSID Case No. ARB/03/16, Award, 2 October 2006 . . . . .	109, 112, 351, 843, 1116
Aguas Argentinas, SA, Suez, Sociedad General de Aguas de Barcelona SA and Vivendi Universal v Argentine Republic ICSID Case No. ARB/03/19 Decision on the Challenge to the President of the Committee, 17 ICSID Rev-FILJ (2002) . . . . .	796, 964, 965, 1038
Decision on Jurisdiction, 3 August 2006 . . . . .	848, 1198
Order in Response to a Petition by Five Non-governmental Organizations for Permission to Make an Amicus Curiae Submission, 2 February 2007 . . . . .	771, 785, 786
Order in Response to a Petition for Transparency and Participation as <i>Amicus Curiae</i> , 19 May 2005 . . . . .	732, 733, 748, 749, 756, 762, 771, 773, 774, 778, 779, 780, 781, 783, 784
Aguas Cordobesas, SA, Suez and Sociedad General de Aguas de Barcelona, SA v Argentine Republic ICSID Case No. ARB/03/18 . . . . .	1038
Aguas Del Tunari v Bolivia ICSID Case No. ARB/02/3, 29 August 2002 . . . . .	781
Decision on Jurisdiction, 21 October 2005 . . . . .	863, 894, 897–901, 984, 985, 1199
Aguas Provinciales de Santa Fe, SA, Suez, Sociedad General de Aguas de Barcelona, SA ICSID Case No. ARB/03/17 . . . . .	1038
AIG v Kazakhstan ICSID Case No. ARB/01/6, 7 October 2003 (not published) . . . . .	1079, 1116, 1177, 1181–1182
Alcoa Minerals of Jamaica/Kaiser Bauxite Company/Reynolds Jamaica Mines and Reynolds Metals Company v Government of Jamaica ICSID Case No. ARB/74/2, 3 & 4 . . . . .	63, 878
Alemanni v Argentine Republic ICSID Case No. ARB/07/8 . . . . .	519
Archer Daniels Midland Company and Tate & Lyle Ingredients Americas, Inc v United Mexican States ICSID Case No. ARB (AF)/04/5, Order of the Consolidation Tribunal, 20 May 2005 . . . . .	307, 1036, 1043, 1044
Asian Agricultural Products Ltd (AAPL) v Republic of Sri Lanka ICSID Case No. ARB/87/3, Award, 27 June 1990, 30 ILM 577 (1991) . . . . .	26, 40, 85, 108, 110, 115, 252, 298, 372, 382, 837, 1067, 1073, 1074, 1079, 1116
Atlantic Triton Company Ltd v The Republic of Guinea ICSID Case No. ARB/84/1 . . . . .	64
Autopista Concesionada de Venezuela CA v Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela ICSID Case No. ARB/00/5 Decision on Jurisdiction, 27 September 2001 . . . . .	66, 77, 79, 110, 856, 1073, 1076, 1107, 1117



Award, 23 September 2003.....	105, 110, 115
Azinian v Mexico, Award, 1 November 1998, 5 ICSID Reports 272.....	114, 705, 797, 1029
Azurix Corporation v Argentine Republic ICSID Case No. ARB/01/12	
Decision on Jurisdiction, 17 July 2003, 7 ICSID Reports 494.....	115, 797, 798, 806, 1027
Decision on Jurisdiction, 8 December 2003.....	82, 83, 172, 844, 850, 865, 946, 949, 967, 984, 995, 999, 1024, 1096, 1117
Award, 14 July 2006.....	111, 268, 283, 288, 300, 409, 419, 438, 442, 450, 581, 890, 1071, 1083, 1086, 1103, 1111, 1192
Banro American Resources Inc and Société Aurifère du Kivu et du Maniema SARL v Democratic Republic of the Congo ICSID Case No. ARB/98/7, Award, 1 September 2000, 17 ICSID Rev-FILJ 362 (2002).....	918, 919, 1013
Bayindir v Pakistan, Decision on Jurisdiction ICSID Case No. ARB/03/29, Decision on Jurisdiction, 14 November 2005.....	65, 67, 111, 385, 844, 950, 967, 972, 973, 1195
Beccara v Argentine Republic ICSID Case No. ARB/07/5.....	519
Benvenuti & Bonfant v People's Republic of the Congo ICSID Case No. ARB/77/2, Award, 8 August 1980.....	63, 428, 454, 874, 1019, 1023, 1024, 1117, 1176, 1177, 1178, 1179
CAA and CGE v Argentina, Award, 21 November 2000, 5 ICSID Reports 406.....	106, 108
CDC v Seychelles Award, 17 December 2003, 11 ICSID Reports 211.....	100
Annulment Decision, 29 June 2005, ICSID Reports 237.....	100, 701
CMS Gas Transmission Co v Argentina ICSID Case No. ARB/01/8 Decision of the Tribunal on Objections to Jurisdiction of 17 July 2003, 42 ILM 788 (2003).....	83, 85, 115, 844, 850, 866, 927, 946, 949, 984, 995, 999, 1012, 1077, 1101
Award, 20 April 2005.....	382, 1058, 1064, 1074, 1083, 1086, 1087, 1088, 1098, 1099, 1105, 1112
Award, 12 May 2005, 44 ILM 1205 (2005).....	29, 109, 110, 112, 275, 283, 332, 426, 440, 462, 463, 464, 474, 475, 478, 479, 480, 481, 482, 484, 485, 486, 487, 488, 489, 490, 491, 492, 493, 494, 503, 504, 508, 512, 514, 515, 521, 841, 966, 978, 982, 1197, 1198
Decision on Annulment, 25 September 2007.....	115, 462, 489, 494, 495, 498, 512, 521
Cable TV v St. Kitts and Nevis, Award, 13 January 1997, 5 ICSID Reports 108.....	862, 905
Camuzzi International SA v Argentine Republic ICSID Case No. ARB/03/2, Decision on Jurisdiction, 11 May 2005.....	83, 115, 396, 837, 865, 967, 1038
Casado and President Allende Foundation v Republic of Chile ICSID Case No. ARB/98/2.....	799, 800
CDSE v Costa Rica, Award, 17 February 2000, 5 ICSID Reports 157.....	110
Ceskoslovenska Obchodni Banka AS (CSOB) v Slovak Republic ICSID Case No. ARB/87/4 Decision on Jurisdiction, 24 May 1999, 14 ICSID Rev-FILJ 251 (1999).....	64, 65, 66, 115, 400, 832, 862, 865, 872, 874, 877, 878, 1102, 1113, 1117
Decision, 1 December 2000, 5 ICSID Reports 358.....	114, 400