

The background of the book cover is a dark, atmospheric painting. It depicts a church at night, with a tall, narrow tower and a domed roof visible on the right. The church is illuminated by a soft, warm light, possibly from within or a nearby fire. In the foreground, several figures are visible: a group of people on the left, and a single figure sitting on a bench or ledge in the center. The overall mood is somber and contemplative, with a focus on light and shadow.

THE INVENTION OF EVENING

Perception and Time in Romantic Poetry

CHRISTOPHER R. MILLER

THE INVENTION OF EVENING
PERCEPTION AND TIME IN
ROMANTIC POETRY

CHRISTOPHER R. MILLER



CAMBRIDGE
UNIVERSITY PRESS

CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS
Cambridge, New York, Melbourne, Madrid, Cape Town, Singapore, São Paulo

Cambridge University Press
The Edinburgh Building, Cambridge CB2 2RU, UK

Published in the United States of America by Cambridge University Press, New York

www.cambridge.org
Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9780521863827

© Christopher R. Miller 2006

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception
and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements,
no reproduction of any part may take place without
the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2006

Printed in the United Kingdom at the University Press, Cambridge

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloguing in Publication data

Miller, Christopher R., 1968–

The invention of evening: perception and time in romantic poetry.
Christopher R. Miller.

p. cm. – (Cambridge studies in Romanticism; 66)

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 13: 978 0 521 86382 7 (hardback)

ISBN 10: 0 521 86382 1 (hardback)

1. English poetry – 19th century – History and criticism. 2. Time in literature. 3. Perception in literature. 4. Romanticism – England.
5. Coleridge, Samuel Taylor, 1772–1834 – Criticism and interpretation.
6. Wordsworth, William, 1770–1850 – Criticism and interpretation. 7. Shelley, Percy Bysshe, 1792–1822 – Criticism and interpretation. 8. Keats, John, 1795–1821 – Criticism and interpretation. I. Title. II. Series.

PR508.T56M55 2006

821'.040933–dc22 2006011419

ISBN-13 978-0-521-86382-7 hardback

ISBN-10 0-521-86382-1 hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication, and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.

THE INVENTION OF EVENING

Lyric poetry has long been considered an art form of timelessness, but Romantic poets became fascinated by one period of time above all others: evening, the threshold between day and night. Christopher R. Miller investigates the cultural background of this development in the tradition of evening poetry, which ranges from the idyllic settings of Virgil to the urban twilights of T. S. Eliot, and especially flourished in the works of Coleridge, Wordsworth, Shelley and Keats. In fresh readings of familiar Romantic poems, Miller shows how evening settings enabled poets to represent the passage of time and to associate it with subtle movements of thought and perception. His investigation leads to new ways of reading canonical works, and of thinking about the kinds of themes which may be evoked by lyric verse.

CHRISTOPHER R. MILLER is Associate Professor of English at Yale University.

CAMBRIDGE STUDIES IN ROMANTICISM

General Editors

Professor Marilyn Butler
University of Oxford

Professor James Chandler
University of Chicago

Editorial Board

John Barrell, *University of York*
Paul Hamilton, *University of London*
Mary Jacobus, *University of Cambridge*
Claudia Johnson, *Princeton University*
Alan Liu, *University of California, Santa Barbara*
Susan Manning, *University of Edinburgh*
Jerome McGann, *University of Virginia*
David Simpson, *University of California, Davis*

This series aims to foster the best new work in one of the most challenging fields within English literary studies. From the early 1780s to the early 1830s a formidable array of talented men and women took to literary composition, not just in poetry, which some of them famously transformed, but in many modes of writing. The expansion of publishing created new opportunities for writers, and the political stakes of what they wrote were raised again by what Wordsworth called those “great national events” that were “almost daily taking place”: the French Revolution, the Napoleonic and American wars, urbanization, industrialization, religious revival, an expanded empire abroad, and the reform movement at home. This was an enormous ambition, even when it pretended otherwise. The relations between science, philosophy, religion, and literature were reworked in texts such as *Frankenstein* and *Biographia Literaria*; gender relations in *A Vindication of the Rights of Woman* and *Don Juan*; journalism by Cobbett and Hazlitt; poetic form, content, and style by the Lake School and the Cockney School. Outside Shakespeare studies, probably no body of writing has produced such a wealth of response or done so much to shape the responses of modern criticism. This indeed is the period that saw the emergence of those notions of “literature” and of literary history, especially national literary history, on which modern scholarship in English has been founded. The categories produced by Romanticism have also been challenged by recent historicist arguments. The task of the series is to engage both with a challenging corpus of Romantic writings and with the changing field of criticism they have helped to shape. As with other literary series published by Cambridge, this one will represent the work of both younger and more established scholars, on either side of the Atlantic and elsewhere.

For a complete list of titles published see end of book.



William Hogarth, *Evening*. Reproduced by permission of the British Museum.

Acknowledgements

This project began with an attempt to explain the peculiar power of a two-word phrase in the last stanza of Keats's ode "To Autumn": "and now." In one grammatical stroke, it seemed to take in the events of the passing season (with the backward glance of its conjunction) and to situate the poem in an ongoing present (with its hovering adverb). And now, over a decade later, it is with the feeling evoked by that cumulative phrase that I wish to thank the people who have meant the most to the writing of this book. I began thinking about the Autumn Ode in a seminar taught by Helen Vendler, and her work – as both generous mentor and exemplary critic – has had a profound influence on my own. Jim Engell, meanwhile, gave me inexhaustible bibliographic help and advice on all things Coleridgean and Wordsworthian. I would also like to thank my Amherst College professors David Sofield and Bill Pritchard, who taught me modern American and British Romantic poetry, respectively; and Vincent Cheng, who introduced me to the study of lyric (and the pleasures of browsing the Norton Anthology) in a summer school course at the University of Southern California in 1985.

I am grateful to my Yale friends and colleagues Leslie Brisman, David Bromwich, Paul Fry, and Sandy Welsh for commenting on various parts of my manuscript in progress; and to Nigel Alderman, Bill Deresiewicz, Ann Gaylin, Pericles Lewis, Tom Otten, Nicole Rice, Elliott Visconsi, and Ruth Bernard Yeazell for their friendship, intellectual company, and encouragement. Gabrielle Starr has been a sympathetic but rigorous reader since graduate school; and I have been fortunate in her friendship and that of her husband, John Harpole. I do not have space enough to acknowledge all of my friends outside of academia, but among them I would like to thank Ted Loos and David Matias in particular.

Several people at Cambridge University Press have shepherded this book from the twilight limbo of the manuscript to the daylight of

publication: Linda Bree, its earliest advocate; Jim Chandler, who supported its publication in the Romanticism series; Maartje Scheltens and Liz Davey, who oversaw its production; Sue Dickinson, who provided meticulous copy-editing; and two anonymous readers, whose comments helped me in revising the introduction and conclusion.

My discussion of Anne Finch's poetry in chapter 1 appeared, in somewhat different form, in *Studies in English Literature* 45:3 (Summer 2005), 603–23; and I thank the editors for permission to reprint parts of that article here. I am also grateful to the editors of the *Journal of English and Germanic Philology* for the opportunity to publish my early thoughts on Coleridge's conversation poems (*JEGP* 101:4 [October 2002]). This book was begun on a Mellon dissertation fellowship at Harvard and completed on a Morse Junior Faculty fellowship at Yale; and its publication has been assisted by a grant from Yale's Hilles Fund. The British Library and the Tate Museum gave permission to reproduce Hogarth's "Evening" and Constable's "Church Porch," respectively.

Finally, I am grateful for the love and support of my parents, Bob and Karen Miller, my brother Rob, the Friedman family, and my wife Natalie Friedman, with whom conversing I forget all time. Our son Noah was born just as I was making the final edits of these pages, and though he cannot take credit or blame for them, he represents to me the ever-present "now" of future readers, and the promise of days and evenings to come.

Contents

<i>Frontispiece: William Hogarth, Evening</i>	<i>page iv</i>
<i>Acknowledgements</i>	<i>viii</i>
Introduction	I
1 The pre-history of Romantic time	14
2 Coleridge's lyric "moment"	48
3 Wordsworth's evening voluntaries	81
4 Shelley's "woven hymns of night and day"	112
5 Keats and the "Luxury of twilight"	145
6 Later inventions	177
<i>Notes</i>	204
<i>Bibliography</i>	248
<i>Index</i>	259

Introduction

And now let us go out on the terrace, where “droops the milk-white peacock like a ghost,” while the evening star “washes the dusk with silver.” At twilight nature becomes a wonderfully suggestive effect, and is not without loveliness, though perhaps its chief use is to illustrate quotations from the poets.

Oscar Wilde, “The Decay of Lying” (1891)¹

Oscar Wilde’s aesthetic manifesto is famous for its assertion that painters taught us to see sunsets and fog; but it is no less notable for its parting remark that poets gave us the language of twilight. The evening hour – no longer day and not yet night – has been the preeminent time of lyric utterance since the Romantic era, the period invoked when Wilde’s alter ego Vivian quotes from Blake’s sonnet “To the Evening Star.” An anthology of poems set at this threshold would include, to name only a few, Gray’s “Elegy Written in a Country Churchyard,” Smith’s *Elegiac Sonnets*, Shelley’s “Adonais,” Whitman’s “Crossing Brooklyn Ferry,” Eliot’s “Love Song of J. Alfred Prufrock,” Stevens’ “An Ordinary Evening in New Haven,” and Bishop’s “At the Fishhouses.” If, as Wilde says, evening is useful primarily for illustrating the words of poets, it is remarkable that so many poets have found uses for this time of day, above all other times.

This book proposes a variety of reasons for why this should be so. It defines the poetry of evening as a genre through which to articulate several properties of lyric, including the location of a self in an environment, the representation of temporal lapses, the dynamic between the continuous flux of the world and aesthetic closure of poems, and the intersection of private and public forms of time. I study these properties through readings of both canonical and lesser-known Romantic lyrics, as well as their eighteenth-century precursors; and I conclude by tracing new inflections of twilight in Victorian and early twentieth-century poetry. As a genre of temporal experience, evening is, like all genres, diffuse around the edges – descending from afternoon, deepening into night. As a literary

kind, however, the poetry of evening has a distinctly recognizable set of family resemblances: key-words, symbolic sites, presiding spirits and deities, moralizations, perceptual plots, and so forth. It will be the work of this book to identify these affinities and the poetic dialogue in which they participate: on the nature of the poet's work in relation to other forms of labor and recreation in the world, on the apprehension of time's passage and the temporal dimension of art, on historical change, on experiences of the beautiful and the ordinary, on pastoral nostalgia and urban realities.

The implication of Wilde's remark is that evening is not simply a given and immutable fact but rather a poetic *invention*; and I mean this word in both its common sense and its deeper etymology, both the deliberateness of formal creation and the serendipity of *coming upon* or *finding*. Evening, that is to say, is a natural phenomenon that had to be discovered, named, and valued – as occasion of beauty, as moment of pastoral calm, as time of religious observance, as end of a day's work. The conclusion of Wilde's essay nicely illustrates this point: the coming of twilight is represented as an interruption, a natural event that supervenes upon a conversation about artifice; but it is also a deliberate gesture with a literary history behind it. Indeed, Vivian ends the dialogue with his friend Cyril in the manner of Virgil's *Eclogues*, a *locus classicus* of evening poetry: he remarks that day has passed into dusk while the pair were deep in conversation. The innovation of the *Eclogues*, as Erwin Panofsky once observed, was to represent an ordinary diurnal event as both a pretext for ending and as a moment worth lingering over.² In essence, Wilde's dialogue represents an Edwardian version of the Virgilian colloquy: it begins in the *otium* of afternoon (when shepherds retreat from the heat and have conversations and singing-contests) and ends at twilight (when they must fold their flocks and head home).³ Since Cyril begins by urging Vivian to stop reading and enjoy the afternoon *al fresco*, it is apt that when the latter finally agrees to go outside, he figuratively carries his poetry with him.⁴

Wilde refers, without historical specificity, to "the poets," but Virginia Woolf more specifically identifies evening as a recent invention. In a witty digression on Renaissance iconography, she notes in *Orlando* (1928) that this time of day was not sufficiently appreciated by the Elizabethan world in which her narrative begins:

The brilliant amorous day was divided as sheerly from night as land from water. Sunsets were redder and more intense; dawns were whiter and more auroral. Of our crepuscular half-lights and lingering twilights they knew nothing. The rain fell vehemently, or not at all. The sun blazed or there was darkness. Translating

this to the spiritual regions as their wont is, the poets sang beautifully how roses fade and petals fall. The moment is brief, they sang; the moment is over; one long night is slept by all.⁵

This is a significant mimetic shift – a change that we can see in comparing two well-known sonnets, two centuries apart. In Shakespeare's Sonnet 73, twilight serves as a trope for the penultimate and transitory: "In me thou seest the twilight of such day, / As after sunset fadeth in the west, / Which by and by black night doth take away, / Death's second self, that seals up all in rest" (5–8).⁶ In Wordsworth's sonnet, "It is a beauteous evening," on the other hand, this moment becomes an occasion of utterance – directly perceived rather than metaphorically invoked.⁷ The present-tense experience of evening is virtually unheard of in Elizabethan lyric, with the notable exception of Spenser's "Epithalamion," in which the eager bridegroom marks the passage of time as he awaits the arrival of his beloved. While Shakespeare and his contemporaries wrote sonnets *about* time and mutability, Wordsworth and his predecessors in the eighteenth-century sonnet revival often situated themselves *in* a particular time; and the impersonal construction of Wordsworth's opening declarative, "It is" – common to expressions of time and weather – reflects this change.⁸ Under Northrop Frye's archetypal terms, Wordsworth's sonnet typifies the eighteenth-century era of "Sensibility" and its new emphasis on "process" rather than "product"; it takes part in a literature that represents its own fluid conditions of becoming, as in the epistolary mode of Richardson's novels, the digressive and self-referential frolics of *Tristram Shandy*, and the time- and space-specific tours of landscape poetry.⁹

In terms of cultural rather than specifically literary change, Wordsworth's solemnized setting can also be read as a nostalgic reaction against the secularization of time, in which the canonical hours of prayer gave way to the clock-reckoning of modernity. The de-sanctification of time long predates Wordsworth's poem, of course, but it was registered with particular acuity in the Romantic era. Two brief examples will illustrate this point. In his *Letters from England* (1807), Robert Southey, posing as a Spanish visitor by the name of Don Manuel Alvarez Espriella, remarked on the absence of holy markers in everyday English life. "Here are no vespers to unite a whole kingdom at one time in one feeling of devotion," the homesick Don reports, and "if bells are heard, it is because bell-ringing is the popular music."¹⁰ Percy Shelley makes a similar observation in the guise of a visitor to Italy in his conversation poem "Julian and Maddalo." The two titular characters – thinly veiled alter egos of the poet and his friend Byron – have a conversation while a vesper-bell calls the

inmates of a Venetian madhouse to evening prayer. Their act of passive listening marks the two as outsiders (non-Catholics and non-believers), but in metaphorical terms, the bell also tolls for them. In Maddalo's improvised psychomachia, the knell of parting day becomes a mental vespers calling believers and skeptics alike: "the soul, / Hung in a heaven-illumined tower, must toll / Our thoughts and our desires to meet below / Round the rent heart and pray" (123-6).¹¹

By situating a lyric at evening, the poet often self-consciously articulates the strangeness of his or her vocation in relation to other ways of being in the world: while other people's work is ending, the poet's has just begun. The writing of poetry is fundamentally an invisible, mental form of labor, and evening constitutes a temporal marker of that work – a crux between experience and reflection, and between the self and the world of ongoing events. Milton's *Penseroso*, who conceives of poetic apprenticeship as an inspired insomnia while the rest of the world sleeps, is the archetypal figure for this kind of temporal reckoning, and his descendants include Gray's churchyard elegist (who disregards the curfew-bell while weary villagers heed it), Cowper's sofa-bound philosopher, Smith's melancholy wanderer, and Wordsworth's alter ego William, who in "The Tables Turned" urges his studious friend to come outdoors to enjoy the "first sweet evening yellow."

Wordsworth's invitation is hardly the naïve escape from culture into nature that it purports to be. Eighteenth-century poets had long drawn on the descriptive and temporal vocabulary of *Paradise Lost*; and as Dustin Griffin has noted, Milton's twilight set-pieces were widely imitated.¹² Moreover, Wordsworth's evening walk had already been copiously mapped by the eighteenth-century discourse of the Picturesque in painting, amateur sketching, and locodescriptive poetry. In essence, the Picturesque promoted a way of viewing the natural world as site of connoisseurship, in which material things (hills, trees) and ephemeral phenomena (clouds, sunsets) became aesthetic experiences subject to rubrics and critiques. In the most practical terms, the end of the day, with its softened light and lengthened shadows, was considered the best time for drawing or painting landscapes.

Evening was also symbolically significant as a genre of time and human experience. As one of the four compass-points of the day, it has an iconographic history stretching back to classical Greece and Rome; and, as art historian Sean Shesgreen has noted, the allegorical representation of these times enjoyed a revival in Dutch and Flemish *points du jour* of the sixteenth and early seventeenth centuries, which depicted typical activities

and offered verse inscriptions on mortality, transience, and the cycle of labor and rest.¹³ In these images, Evening is often represented by a pastoral scene and presided over by Hesperus, the grandfather of the Hesperides – quasi-deities who live in the perpetual twilight of the West, guarding the Isles of the Blessed and their inaccessible apples. Invoked in Virgil's *Eclogues* as a herald and arch-shepherd, Hesperus is traditionally represented as a herdsman. In the eighteenth century, however, the mythological apparatus of deities and the generic conventions of pastoral were targets of satire; and when Hogarth undertook a *points du jour* series in *The Four Times of the Day* (1738), he chose pointedly urban settings and represented the temporal divinities as ordinary Londoners. In his "Evening," home-bound shepherds are replaced by a bedraggled family (husband, wife, baby, and two quarrelsome children) returning from a hot summer day of grimly determined recreation at Sadler's Wells – a suburban pleasure-ground that falls decidedly short of an Arcadian idyll.¹⁴ The prose equivalent of this scene can be found in the work of Hogarth's friend Henry Fielding, who frequently deflates a grandiose temporal description with a comic translation, as in this passage from *Joseph Andrews* (1742):

Now the rake Hesperus had called for his breeches, and having well rubbed his drowsy eyes, prepared to dress himself for all night; by whose example his brother rakes on earth likewise leave those beds, in which they had slept away the day. Now Thetis the good housewife began to put on the pot in order to regale the good man Phoebus, after his daily labours were over. In vulgar language, it was evening when Joseph attended his lady's orders.¹⁵

Long before Wordsworth disavowed "poetic diction," Fielding was making fun of it in his novels. Even before the announced drop into the demotic, the "vulgar" has made its presence known, in the depiction of Hesperus as rake, a foil to the homebody Phoebus and the nuptial god Hymen, who lights the way to the marriage-chamber of newlyweds. Fielding's set-piece – mock-epic in its analogical prolixity and mock-pastoral in its replacement of the bucolic with the urbane – exemplifies a satirical or anti-idyllic strain in the evening tradition that I will be tracing in the following chapters, particularly in the last.

While evening has been represented in painting as a discrete time of day, it has been valued in the temporal art of poetry as a *process*, a participle as well as a noun: derived from the Old English verb *aefnian*, the word denotes the coming of *even*. ("Even," which survives in such words as "eventide" and "evensong," is cognate with the Modern German *Abend*.) The older, participial sense of the word has become obsolete in

common usage, but it has had a kind of twilight afterlife in lyric poetry. The etymology of "twilight" is itself instructive: the prefix "twi" denotes two-ness (the phenomenon of both dawn and evening skies), but as the *OED* suggests, it might also indicate the *tween*-ness of this intermediate period. The poetry of evening overlaps with the poetry of night, of course, but I mean to preserve a fundamental distinction between the two. A night-poem such as Donne's "Nocturnal upon St. Lucies Day" is set in the dark middle of things, a moment in which time seems to stand still; in contrast, its counterpart in the Romantic era, Coleridge's Dejection Ode, is set at the cusp between day and night, and the lapse of time is registered in significant ways.¹⁶ Evening represents the transitional, and the frequent "now" of evening poetry is not so much a single moment as a passage, a flowing of past into present: Collins' "Now air is hushed," Cowper's "Now stir the fire"; Wordsworth's "And now . . . the picture of the mind revives again"; Keats's "And now with treble soft / The red-breast whistles"; Eliot's "And now the lighting of the lamps."¹⁷

By studying the temporal form of evening poems, I offer a corollary to M. H. Abrams' influential spatial model of the "greater Romantic lyric." In Abrams' narrative of poetic evolution, the potentially endless line of eighteenth-century topographical poetry was turned into a compact circle: the speaker of such poems as "Tintern Abbey" and "Frost at Midnight" begins in a specific place, "departs" through memory or imagination, and "returns" to the establishing scene with changed awareness of his place in the world.¹⁸ Abrams' identification of this procedure helps us to see certain Romantic poems as solving the aesthetic problem of landscape poetry that Samuel Johnson called a "want of method" – that is, the failure to give coherent narrative and perceptual order to "appearances subsisting all at once."¹⁹ As Abrams suggests, Wordsworth and Coleridge solved this problem by internalizing the locodescriptive idiom as a mental movement.

Such a virtual excursion frequently has a temporal dimension, and this has everything to do with how and why the speaker returns to the opening scene. In "This Lime-Tree Bower My Prison," for instance, Coleridge's vicarious walking-tour takes place in the lapse of afternoon into evening; and in "Tintern Abbey," Wordsworth traverses an internally imagined span of time, from the afternoon of his opening salutation to the imaginary moonlight of his prayer for his sister. Through Abrams' helpful rubric, we can see that in "Fears in Solitude," for instance, Coleridge does "return" at the end, but this form of closure is motivated partly by the natural and symbolic fact that it is getting dark. The notion

of the greater Romantic lyric, as Abrams' honorific suggests, applies to only a handful of exemplary lyrics; and by articulating a poetics of evening, I wish to offer a way of understanding the affinities between poems that fit Abrams' rubric (such as Coleridge's conversation poems) and poems that do not (such as Keats's *Autumn Ode*), and between canonical Romantic lyrics and their predecessors.

Mikhail Bakhtin's concept of the "chronotope" gives us a helpful way of thinking about evening as a temporal form and about the relation between lyric and narrative. In Bakhtin's definition, the chronotope is a hybrid form of "space-time," a place in a story (such as a road or a parlor) in which time is made palpable.²⁰ Though he applies this term primarily to novels, Bakhtin allows its relevance to lyric, particularly in reference to what he calls the "bucolic-pastoral-idyllic" chronotope – a feature common to both poems and novels of the eighteenth century, when "the problem of time in literature was posed with particular intensity."²¹ Bakhtin pays more attention to *topos* than *chronos*; that is, he identifies certain characteristic sites of narrative action (the parlor, the roadway) but has little to say about the specific time in which action transpires. In the realm of lyric, however, I wish to focus on the other side of the equation – the time (and even duration) of poetic utterance more than the spot in which it takes place. I call evening a chronotope for several reasons: it is associated with typical spatial sites, including the bower, the hilltop, the field, the graveyard, and the shoreline; it is a literary *topos* originating in pastoral and epithalamium; and it is a liminal period in which a lapse of time becomes acutely perceptible.

In traditional ideas of genre, time is the medium of narrative, whether dramatic, epic, or novelistic; and timelessness – a suspension or pause – is the condition of lyric. I propose, however, to show how evening poetry registers increments and lapses of time; and in this way, I offer a set of qualifications to the general claims about lyric made by Sharon Cameron in *Lyric Time*. Cameron proposes that if plot is the soul of Aristotelian tragedy, the moment is the soul of lyric.²² There is a fundamental truth to this statement, but it more accurately applies to the main subject of Cameron's study – the poems of Emily Dickinson, with their frequently expressed desire to escape or nullify earthly temporality – than to those of Dickinson's predecessors. I wish to show that the temporal features that Paul Ricoeur attributes to narrative – the Augustinian dialectic of expectation, memory, and attention, and the Heideggerian sense of being-in-time – can be equally ascribed to the Romantic lyric.²³ Indeed, in a revealing aside, Cameron acknowledges that "Romantics often frame

their lyrics in narrative settings,” and thus demonstrate “a resistance to full temporal disembodiment” (217). This can be stated more forcefully: not only did the Romantics resist “full temporal disembodiment,” they signally registered temporality – the movement of speech and thought, the Heraclitean flow of the world.

The exception noted by Cameron was addressed by Paul de Man in his famous commentary on Wordsworth’s Lucy poem, “A Slumber Did My Spirit Seal.” In de Man’s reading, a timeless realization – the poet’s knowledge of mortality – is formally extended “along the axis of an imaginary time.”²⁴ A single moment is elaborated, as if in stop-action photography, as a grammatical shift from past (“A slumber did my spirit seal”) to present (“No motion has she now”). Countering a perceived tendency among critics to value symbol (atemporal fusion of subject and object) over allegory (temporal sequence), de Man asserts that the poem is not so much a verbal icon as a formal construction of thought. The iconic brevity of Wordsworth’s two-stanza poem makes de Man’s claims about lyric time particularly striking; but I will argue that these claims can also be applied to Coleridge’s conversation poems, whose dynamic evening settings reflect the temporality of thought and utterance, and to the poems of Shelley and Keats that follow in their wake.²⁵

The subject of evening poetry has received fairly scant attention in Romantic criticism, with the notable exception of two essays by Geoffrey Hartman: one on the “fickle and minor genre” of the evening-star poem; and the other on the “Hesperian” mode of Collins’ “Ode to Evening” and its successor, Keats’s ode “To Autumn,” which depart from the rhetoric and procedure of the sublime Pindaric ode or cultic hymn. In Hartman’s reading, evening in these two odes represents a poetic “ideology” of the calmly descriptive over the feverishly epiphanic, the gradual over the sudden.²⁶ I wish to extend the reach of Hartman’s findings by aligning this ideology with a popular nocturnal *sensibility* associated with the sonnet revival of the 1780s and 90s (what is understood, in terms of literary periodization, as Sensibility, *tout court*); and by tracing a poetic lineage that runs from Virgil’s *Eclogues* to Wallace Stevens’ “The Man on the Dump” and beyond – that is to say, from the time when evening is poetically discovered as formal principle and aesthetic experience to a historical moment when it is acknowledged as an outworn but still useful setting and trope.

Every poem, as T. S. Eliot argued in “Tradition and the Individual Talent,” changes the tradition in which it participates; likewise, every new genre-name or formal description ought to effect a similar realignment.