esons did reasons food Neasons thousand Treasons food reasons food reasons To O O Ges II e a Son Season Feason ood-easo n Gs GORGE & Reasons with Contemporary Arguments Good Reasons Good Reason Reasons, Good to Reason Good Reasons Good Reaso GOOG CASONS TO CONTROL REASONS TO CONTROL OF Lester Faigley Jack Selzer



# Good Reasons with Contemporary Arguments

# Lester Faigley

University of Texas at Austin

# Jack Selzer

The Pennsylvania State University

# Allyn and Bacon

Boston London Toronto Sydney Tokyo Singapore

Vice President: Eben W. Ludlow Editorial Assistant: Grace Trudo Marketing Manager: Lisa Kimball

Editorial-Production Administrator: Annette Joseph Editorial-Production Coordinator: Susan Freese

Editorial-Production Service: Omegatype Typography, Inc.

Text Design: Denise Hoffman

Electronic Composition: Omegatype Typography, Inc. Photo Researcher: Linda Sykes Picture Research

Composition Buyer: Linda Cox Manufacturing Buyer: Suzanne Lareau Cover Administrator: Linda Knowles Cover Designer: Susan Paradise



Copyright © 2001 by Allyn & Bacon A Pearson Education Company 160 Gould Street Needham Heights, MA 02494

Internet: www.abacon.com

All rights reserved. No part of the material protected by this copyright notice may be reproduced or utilized in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, recording, or by any information storage and retrieval system, without the written permission of the copyright owner.

Parts I–III are also published under the title  $Good\ Reasons$ , by Lester Faigley and Jack Selzer, copyright © 2000 by Allyn & Bacon.

Between the time website information is gathered and then published, it is not unusual for some sites to have closed. Also, the transcription of URLs can result in unintended typographical errors. The publisher would appreciate being notified of any problems with URLs so that they may be corrected in subsequent editions. Thank you.

Many of the designations used by manufacturers and sellers to distinguish their products are claimed as trademarks. Where those designations appear in this book, and Allyn and Bacon was aware of a trademark claim, the designations have been printed in caps or all caps.

#### Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Faigley, Lester

Good reasons with contemporary arguments / Lester Faigley, Jack Selzer.

p. cm.

Includes index.

ISBN 0-205-28585-6

1. English language—Rhetoric. 2. Persuasion (Rhetoric). I. Selzer, Jack. II. Title.

PE1431.F35 2001 808'.042—dc21

00-025412

Printed in the United States of America

10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1 05 04 03 02 01 00

Text and photo credits appear on pages 641–648, which constitute a continuation of the copyright page.

# Alternate Table of Contents: Types of Arguments

### **Definition Arguments**

Christopher Hitchens, "Scenes from an Execution" 95 Scott McCloud, "Setting the Record Straight" 118 Meghann O'Connor (student), "Cheerleading Is a Competitive Sport" 126 Leslie Marmon Silko, "The Border Patrol State" 173 David Quammen, "Dirty Word, Clean Place" Andrew Sullivan, "Here Comes the Groom" 392 Hadley Arkes, "The Closet Straight" Emily Jenkins, "Inkmarks" 434 Richard Rodriguez, "Complexion" 441 John Updike, "The Disposable Rocket" 453 Ynestra King, "The Other Body: Disability and Identity Politics" Paul Cantor, "Pro Wrestling and the End of History" 464 Edwin Moses, "McCaffrey Must Not Stop with Andro" 499 Robert Samuelson, "The Amazing Smoke Screen" 502 Michael Bamberger, "The Magic Potion" 506 Irving Kristol, "Pornography, Obscenity, and the Case for Censorship" 535 Nadine Strossen, "The Perils of Pornophobia" 539 Shelby Steele, "A Negative Vote on Affirmative Action" 581 Judy Lichtman, Jocelyn Frye, and Helen Norton, "Why Women Need Affirmative Action" 596 Roger Wilkins, "Racism Has Its Privileges" 602 Charles M. Vest, "The Struggle for Inclusion" 615

# Causal Analysis Arguments

Rachel Carson, "The Obligation to Endure" 18 James Q. Wilson, "Just Take Away Their Guns" 47 Douglas Rushkoff, "Channel Surfing" 141 Aldo Leopold, "The Land Ethic" 330 Terry Tempest Williams, "The Clan of One-Breasted Women" 374 E. Anthony Rotundo, "Where the Military's Antipathy to Homosexuals Came From" 385 Norman Podhoretz, "How the Gay-Rights Movement Won" 405 Carmen Vazquez, "Appearances" 419 Andrés Martin, "On Teenagers and Tattoos" Emily Jenkins, "Inkmarks" 434 bell hooks, "Straightening Our Hair" 446 Joshua Wolf Shenk, "America's Altered States" 510 Nadine Strossen, "The Perils of Pornophobia" Gregg Easterbrook, "Watch and Learn" 562 Linda Chavez, "Promoting Racial Harmony" 587

# **Evaluation Arguments**

James Q. Wilson, "Just Take Away Their Guns" 47

Marlboro College from *The Fiske Guide to Colleges 1993* 68

Christopher Hitchens, "Scenes from an Execution" 95

Eric Gable and Richard Handler, "In Colonial Williamsburg, the New History Meets the Old" 160

Natascha Pocek (student), "The Diet Zone: A Dangerous Place" 164

Leslie Marmon Silko, "The Border Patrol State" 173

Chris Thomas (student), "Should Race Be a Qualification to Attend College?" 297

Bill McKibben, "Power Play Endangers Hawaii's Rain Forest" 358

Rosa Ehrenreich, "I Hate Trees" 358

Barry Goldwater, "Ban on Gays Is Senseless Attempt to Stall the Inevitable" 390

Entartete Musik, "How the Nazis Interpreted Jazz" 429

bell hooks, "Straightening Our Hair" 446

Garry Trudeau, "My Inner Shrimp" 456 Paul Cantor, "Pro Wrestling and the End of History" 464 Evan Wright, "Sister Act" 472 Absolut Impotence, "An Argument about Alcohol" 494 Robert Samuelson, "The Amazing Smoke Screen" 502 Binge Beer, "Binge Drinking on College Campuses" 505 Michael Bamberger, "The Magic Potion" 506 Joshua Wolf Shenk, "America's Altered States" 510 Cathleen Cleaver, "The Internet: A Clear and Present Danger?" 547 Henry Louis Gates, Jr., "Truth or Consequences: Putting Limits on Limits" 570 Shelby Steele, "A Negative Vote on Affirmative Action" 581 Linda Chavez, "Promoting Racial Harmony" 587 Judy Lichtman, Jocelyn Frye, and Helen Norton, "Why Women Need Affirmative Action" 596 Roger Wilkins, "Racism Has Its Privileges" 602 Dinesh D'Souza, "Sins of Admission" 611 Charles M. Vest, "The Struggle for Inclusion" 615 Geneva Overholser, "Athletics Are Changing Girlhood—For the Better" 624 Curt Levey, "Title IX's Dark Side: Sports Gender Quotas" 626 Ira Berkow, "The Other Side of Title IX" 629

# **Narrative Arguments**

Theo Colborn, Dianne Dumanoski, and John Peterson Myers, "Hand-Me-Down Poisons" 22
Christopher Hitchens, "Scenes from an Execution" 95
Leslie Marmon Silko, "The Border Patrol State" 173
Rosa Ehrenreich, "I Hate Trees" 358
N. Scott Momaday, "The Way to Rainy Mountain" 365
Alice Walker, "Am I Blue?" 370
Terry Tempest Williams, "The Clan of One-Breasted Women" 374
Barry Goldwater, "Ban on Gays Is Senseless Attempt to Stall the Inevitable" 390

#### xviii Alternate Table of Contents

Carmen Vazquez, "Appearances" 419
Emily Jenkins, "Inkmarks" 434
Richard Rodriguez, "Complexion" 441
bell hooks, "Straightening Our Hair" 446
John Updike, "The Disposable Rocket" 453
Garry Trudeau, "My Inner Shrimp" 456
Ynestra King, "The Other Body: Disability and Identity Politics" 458
Joshua Wolf Shenk, "America's Altered States" 510
Ray Bradbury, Afterword to Fahrenheit 451 544
Ira Berkow, "The Other Side of Title IX" 629

## **Rebuttal Arguments**

The Faculty of the University of Washington, "Open Letter to Governor Gary Locke and the 2020 Commission on the Future of Higher Education" 188

Ronald Bailey, "Seven Doomsday Myths about the Environment" 351

Rosa Ehrenreich, "I Hate Trees" 358

Hadley Arkes, "The Closet Straight" 396

Peter J. Gomes, "Homophobic? Read Your Bible" 402

Gerald W. Lynch, "Legalizing Drugs Is Not the Solution" 490

Joseph Califano, Jr., "It's Drugs, Stupid" 495

Cathleen Cleaver, "The Internet: A Clear and Present Danger?" 547

Jeffrey Shallit, "The Fallacies of Internet Censorship" 552

Curt Levey, "Title IX's Dark Side: Sports Gender Quotas" 626

Alisa Solomon, "Twisting and Tainting Title IX" 632

# **Proposal Arguments**

Barry Goldwater, "Ban on Gays Is Senseless Attempt to Stall the Inevitable" 390 Andrew Sullivan, "Here Comes the Groom" 392 Hadley Arkes, "The Closet Straight" 396 Brainchild, "Advertising Safer Sex Practices" 444 Evan Wright, "Sister Act" 472 Joseph P. Kane, "The Challenge of Legalizing Drugs" 484 Joseph Califano, Jr., "It's Drugs, Stupid" 495 Edwin Moses, "McCaffrey Must Not Stop with Andro" 499 Binge Beer, "Binge Drinking on College Campuses" 505 Irving Kristol, "Pornography, Obscenity, and the Case for Censorship" 535 Cathleen Cleaver, "The Internet: A Clear and Present Danger?" 547 Gregg Easterbrook, "Watch and Learn" 562 Henry Louis Gates, Jr., "Truth or Consequences: Putting Limits on Limits" 570 Linda Chavez, "Promoting Racial Harmony" 587 Judy Lichtman, Jocelyn Frye, and Helen Norton, "Why Women Need Affirmative Action" 596 Roger Wilkins, "Racism Has Its Privileges" 602 Dinesh D'Souza, "Sins of Admission" 611 Norman Matleff, "Why Not a Lottery to Get into the University of California?" 617 Ira Berkow. "The Other Side of Title IX" 629

## **Visual Arguments**

Scott McCloud, "Setting the Record Straight" 118
Stop Stadium Welfare 217, 219
Total Firearm Deaths 231–235
1995 Antarctic Ozone Hole 236
Mike Ritter, "Well, yes, Mr. President..." 389
Entartete Musik, "How the Nazis Interpreted Jazz" 429
Brainchild, "Advertising Safer Sex Practices" 444
Absolut Impotence, "An Argument about Alcohol" 494
John Trever, "Mr. Chairman, I propose a \$1.10
per pack tax on Twinkies!" 504

XX

Binge Beer, "Binge Drinking on College Campuses" 505
Walt Handelsman, "Information Superhighway" 538
Chuck Asay, "I objected to this book . . ." 569
Henry Payne, "A Beginner's Guide to Universityspeak" 595

# Irony and Satire in Arguments

Rosa Ehrenreich, "I Hate Trees" Mike Ritter, "Well, yes, Mr. President . . ." Entartete Musik, "How the Nazis Interpreted Jazz" 429 Brainchild, "Advertising Safer Sex Practices" 444 John Updike, "The Disposable Rocket" 453 Garry Trudeau, "My Inner Shrimp" 456 Paul Cantor, "Pro Wrestling and the End of History" 464 Evan Wright, "Sister Act" 472 Absolut Impotence, "An Argument about Alcohol" John Trever, "Mr. Chairman, I propose a \$1.10 per pack tax on Twinkies!" Binge Beer, "Binge Drinking on College Campuses" 505 Walt Handelsman, "Information Superhighway" 538 Ray Bradbury, Afterword to Fahrenheit 451 544 John Perry Barlow, "A Declaration of the Independence of Cyberspace" 552 Chuck Asay, "I objected to this book . . ." 569 Henry Payne, "A Beginner's Guide to Universityspeak"



Like many other college writing teachers, we have come to believe that a course focusing on argument is an essential part of a college writing curriculum. Most students come to college with little experience in reading and writing extended arguments. Because so much writing in college concerns arguments in the disciplines, a basic course in writing arguments is foundational for an undergraduate education. You will find that college courses frequently require you to analyze the structure of arguments, to identify competing claims, to weigh the evidence offered, to recognize assumptions, to locate contradictions, and to anticipate opposing views. The ability to write cogent arguments is also highly valued in most occupations that require college degrees. Just as important, you need to be able to read arguments critically and write arguments skillfully if you are to participate in public life after you leave college. The long-term issues that will affect your life after your college years—education, the environment, social justice, and the quality of life, to name a few—have many diverse stakeholders and long, complex histories. They cannot be reduced to slogans and sound bites. If you are to help make your views prevail in your communities, you must be able to participate in sustained give-and-take on a range of civic issues.

We find that other argument textbooks spend too much time on complicated schemes and terminology for analyzing arguments and too little time thinking about helping students produce real arguments that work. This book begins by considering why people take the time to write arguments in the first place. People write arguments because they want things to change. They want to change attitudes and beliefs about particular issues, and they want things done about problems they identify. We start out by making you examine exactly why you might want to write an argument and how what you write can lead to extended discussion and long-term results. We then provide you with practical means to find good reasons that support convincingly the positions you want to advocate. *Good Reasons with Contemporary Arguments* is also distinctive in its attention to the delivery and presentation of arguments—to the visual aspects of argument, in other words—and to arguments in electronic media. It encourages you to formulate arguments in different genres and different media.

Several textbooks on writing arguments that have appeared in recent years use Stephen Toulmin's method of analyzing arguments. We take a simpler approach. Toulmin's method provides useful analytic tools, but we do not find it a necessary one to teach the practical art of making arguments. In fact, our experience is that Toulmin's terminology is often more confusing than helpful. The key to the Toulmin method is understanding how warrants work. Warrants, in the Toulmin scheme, are the assumptions, knowledge, and beliefs that allow an audience to connect evidence with a claim. We feel that you will understand this concept better if you focus not on "Toulminizing" an argument but on conceptualizing the rhetorical situation—examining what assumptions, knowledge, and beliefs a particular audience might have about a specific issue. The only technical terms this book uses are the general classical concepts of pathos, ethos, and logos: sources of good reasons that emerge from the audience's most passionately held values, from the speaker's expertise and credibility, or from reasonable, commonsense thinking.

Likewise, you will not find explicit discussions of syllogisms, enthymemes, or logical fallacies in *Good Reasons with Contemporary Arguments*. We have avoided introducing these terms because, like the Toulmin terminology, they too often hinder rather than help. The crux of teaching argument, in our view, is to get you to appreciate its rhetorical nature. What makes a good reason *good* in public debate is not that it follows logically from a set of truth claims arranged in syllogisms but that the audience accepts the writer or speaker as credible and accepts the assumptions, knowledge, and beliefs on which the argument is based—and thus accepts the reasons given as *good reasons*.

Another difference is that our book does not make a sharp distinction between what some people think of as rational and irrational arguments. Rationality is a socially constructed concept. Until the twentieth century, it was rational to believe that women should not participate in politics. To question the absolute nature of rationality is not to say that rationality does not exist. Driving on the right side of the road is rational in North, South, and Central America and most of western Europe, just as driving on the left side is rational in Great Britain, Ireland, India, and Japan. But insisting on a dichotomy between rational and irrational has some unfortunate consequences, including a sharp division between argument and persuasion. Advertisements are often held up as typifying persuasion that plays to emotion rather than reason. Other pieces of writing, however, are not so easy to classify as either argument or persuasion. For example, personal and fictional narratives often include arguments or have an argumentative aim. Personal narratives are critical in many essays because they supply cultural knowledge of other perspectives and group experiences, which in turn enables the writer to advance good reasons in support of an argumentative purpose. We treat narratives in Good Reasons with Contemporary Arguments as an important aspect of argument. We also pay attention to ads and other genres of persuasion that are usually not represented in textbooks on argument. In short, you will find examples in the readings that illustrate the wide range of argument.

The dichotomy between rational and irrational also leads to an almost total neglect of the visual nature of writing. Visual thinking remains excluded from the mainstream literacy curriculum in the schools, and it is taught only in specialized courses in college in disciplines such as architecture and art history. This exclusion might be justified (though we would argue otherwise) if writing courses were still bound by the technology of the typewriter, but the great majority of college students today prepare their work on personal computers. Commonly used word-processing programs and Web page editors now allow you to include pictures, icons, charts, and graphs, making design an important part of an argument. While we still believe that the heart of an argument course should be the critical reading and critical writing of prose, we also believe that the basics of visual persuasion should be included as well. In chapter 11, therefore, you will find an extensive discussion of visual design and how good design can support good reasons.

If our goal is to help you become an active citizen in a participatory democracy, then it would be counterproductive for us to ignore that most of the writing you will do in your future public and private life will be electronically mediated. Most students now have access to the most powerful publishing technology ever invented—the World Wide Web. Until very recently, students who published on the Web had to learn HTML and had to manipulate cumbersome file transfer programs. But current word-processing programs and WYSIWYG ("what you see is what you get") editors now bypass the step of coding HTML, and the process of putting a Web page on a server has become almost as simple as opening a file on a PC. The Web has become a vast arena of argument, with nearly every interest group maintaining a Web presence. Chapter 12 provides an introduction to arguments on the Web.

The popularity of argument courses is not an accident. Even though we hear frequently that people have become cynical about politics, they are producing self-sponsored writing in quantities never before seen. It's almost as if people have rediscovered writing. While writing personal letters is perhaps becoming a lost art, participating in online discussion groups, putting up Web sites, and sending e-mail have become commonplace. Citizen participation in local and national government forums, in a multitude of issue-related online discussions, and in other forms such as online magazines is increasing daily. You already have many opportunities to speak in the electronic polis. We want you to recognize and value the breadth of information available on the Internet and to evaluate, analyze, and synthesize that information. And we want to prepare you for the changing demands of the professions and public citizenship in your future.

Those goals govern our selection of example arguments included in part IV: Contemporary Arguments. So that you can see how argument is a social act—that is, how arguments develop out of and respond to other arguments we have grouped selections around interesting current issues: the environment, gay rights, the body, controlling substances, censorship, affirmative action, and Title IX. In each section, we represent a range of viewpoints so that you can

see how arguers develop their points in response to the perspectives of others—and so that you might develop your own arguments (in some cases) around those various points of view. So that you can observe the range of argumentative styles and approaches that we discuss in the book, you will notice an unusual diversity in the samples provided: You will encounter not only a diversity of opinions and genres (including ads, cartoons, and photos as well as print arguments) but also a diversity of writers and writing styles. You will see arguments that originally appeared on the Internet and others from magazines and newspapers. You will encounter well-known citizens such as Richard Rodriguez, Alice Walker, Henry Louis Gates, Jr., bell hooks, and N. Scott Momaday as well as ordinary citizens who make extraordinary cases. And you will find examples of the kinds of arguments that we discuss throughout parts I through III: definitions, evaluations, narratives, refutations, and proposals.

Our goal in writing this text has been to offer in one book everything that you will need to become a more effective arguer and a more sophisticated reader of the arguments you encounter each day.

# **Acknowledgments**

We are much indebted to the work of many outstanding scholars of argument and to our colleagues who teach argument at the University of Texas and at Penn State. In particular, we thank the following reviewers for their comments and suggestions: William A. Covino, Florida Atlantic University; Richard Fulkerson, Texas A&M University—Commerce; David Harvey, Central Arkansas University; Jeffrey Walker, The Pennsylvania State University; and Stephen Wilhoit, University of Dayton.

We are particularly grateful to our students, who have given us opportunities to test these materials in class and who have taught us a good deal about the nature of argument.

We appreciate the work of all those who contributed to this book, including Janet Zepernick, who offered good ideas on effective research in chapter 13; Greg Barnhisel, who prepared the Instructor's Manual; Andrew Alexander, who assisted us in finding possible selections for part IV and who researched material for headnotes and introductions; and several people who suggested particular items or assisted us in obtaining permissions: Robert Burkholder and Anne Hoag of Penn State; Blake Scott of the University of Florida; H. Lewis Ulman of Ohio State University; Timothy Crusius of Southern Methodist University; and Fred Courtright. Our editor, Eben Ludlow, convinced us to write the book and gave wise guidance throughout. Grace Trudo, Lisa Kimball, Annette Joseph, Susan Freese, and the expert production staff at Omegatype all contributed their many talents to the book. Finally, we thank our families, who make it all possible.

# Contents

	Preface xxi
Part	Persuading with Good Reasons: What Do We Mean by Argument?
Chapter	What to Argue About 5
	A Book That Changed the World 5 Why Silent Spring Became a Classic 7
	<ul> <li>Tactics of Silent Spring 9</li> <li>Analyzing Arguments: Pathos, Ethos, and Logos 12</li> <li>Reading Arguments 13</li> </ul>
	■ TIPS Reading with a Pencil in Your Hand 14  Finding Arguments 16  ■ Position and Proposal Arguments 16
	Rachel Carson, "The Obligation to Endure" 18
ralë ulli n	Theo Colborn, Dianne Dumanoski, and John Peterson Myers, "Hand-Me-Down Poisons" 22
	■ Getting Started: Listing and Analyzing Issues 26
	■ Getting Started: Making an Idea Map 28
Chapter 2	Persuading with Good Reasons 31
	The Basics of Arguments 31

Alternate Table of Contents: Types of Arguments xv

	TIPS	What	Is	Not	Arguable	34
--	------	------	----	-----	----------	----

The Basics of Reasoning 35

#### Finding Good Reasons 37

Can You Argue by Definition—from "the Nature of the Thing"? 38

Can You Argue from Value? 39

Can You Compare or Contrast? 39

Can You Argue from Consequence? 40

Can You Counter Objections to Your Position? 42

Questions for Finding Good Reasons 43

#### Supporting Good Reasons 44

Deciding Which Good Reasons to Use 46

James Q. Wilson, "Just Take Away Their Guns" 4

■ Getting Started on Your Draft 51

# Chapter 3 Thinking More about Your Audience 53

What Exactly Is an Audience? 53

#### Readers Do More Than Absorb Information 54

Readers Begin with Purposes 55

Readers Begin with Expectations 56

Readers Compose as They Read 56

Readers React to What They Read 56

Constructing Your Readers 57

### Who Will Read Your Argument? 57

What Does Your Audience Already Know—and Not Know? 58

What Are Your Audience's Attitudes toward You? 58

What Are Your Audience's Attitudes toward Your Subject and toward What You Want to Say? 58

# Why People Reach Different Conclusions from the Same Evidence 59

 How Different Assumptions Produce Different Claims from the Same Evidence 65

Creating Your Readers 66

"Marlboro College" from The Fiske Guide to Colleges 1993 68

■ Getting Started: Writing for Particular Audiences 72

Chapter 4	The Style of Arguments 73	
	Facts Alone Do Not Persuade 73	
	Ethos: Creating an Effective Persona 78	
	<ul> <li>Advice on Argument from Benjamin Franklin's Autobiography 82</li> </ul>	
	Choosing an Appropriate Voice 82  TIPS Strong Beginnings 87	
Ox OS on the Estate of the American has	Pathos: Appealing to Your Reader's Values 89  TIPS Strong Endings 90	
581 3	The Language of Arguments 91	
M (	Christopher Hitchens, "Scenes from an Execution" 95	
	■ Steps in Writing a Rhetorical Analysis 10	14
	Some Types of Arguments: Options for Arguments 107	
Chapter 5 D	Definition Arguments	
Si Si	cott McCloud, "Setting the Record Straight"	18
₹ M	leghann O'Connor (student), "Cheerleading Is a ompetitive Sport" 126	
	■ Steps in Writing a Definition Argument	29
	ausal Arguments   13	
₹ De	ouglas Rushkoff, "Channel Surfing"   141	
	Steps in Writing a Causal Argument   151	
hapter 7 Ev	valuation Arguments 153	
Er W	ic Gable and Richard Handler, "In Colonial illiamsburg, the New History Meets the Old"	60
M Na	ntascha Pocek (student), "The Diet Zone: Dangerous Place" 164	
	Steps in Writing an Evaluation Argument	167

# Chapter 8 Narrative Arguments 169

Leslie Marmon Silko, "The Border Patrol State" 173

Steps in Writing a Narrative Argument 179

# Chapter 9 Rebuttal Arguments 181

The Faculty of the University of Washington, "Open Letter to Governor Gary Locke and the 2020 Commission on the Future of Higher Education" 188

■ Steps in Writing a Rebuttal Argument 192

# Chapter 10 Proposal Arguments 195

Donna Lopiano, "Title IX: It's Time to Live Up to the Letter of the Law" 200

■ Steps in Writing a Proposal Argument 204

# Part III Effective Arguments: Designing, Documenting, and Revising Arguments 207

# Chapter | | Effective Visual Design 209

Understanding Visual Arguments 209
Text 210
Images 211
Graphic Design 211

Print Is a Visual Medium 212

Design Basics 218
Arrangement 220
Consistency 222
Contrast 224

The Rhetoric of Type 226

Graphic Presentation of Information 229
Tables and Charts 229