

# PETER F. DRUCKER



"The first of the analytical futurists and the first of the management philosophers." —*Wall Street Journal*

## The Frontiers of Management

Where Tomorrow's Decisions  
Are Being Shaped Today

"In a class by himself... it is impossible to read the man without learning a lot." —*Fortune*

# THE FRONTIERS OF MANAGEMENT

Where Tomorrow's Decisions  
Are Being Shaped Today

Peter F. Drucker



**PERENNIAL LIBRARY**

Harper & Row, Publishers, New York  
Cambridge, Philadelphia, San Francisco, Washington  
London, Mexico City, São Paulo, Singapore, Sydney

Much of the material in this book has been published elsewhere in slightly different form; see Acknowledgment, page 355.

Chapter 18, "Paying the Professional Schools" (originally titled "Professional Schools Ought to Reap Some of Their Graduates' Earnings"), copyright © 1982 by *The Chronicle of Higher Education*. Reprinted with permission.

A hardcover edition of this book is published by Truman Talley Books, E. P. Dutton. It is hereby reprinted by arrangement with E. P. Dutton, a division of NAL Penguin, Inc.

THE FRONTIERS OF MANAGEMENT. Copyright © 1986 by Peter F. Drucker. All rights reserved. Printed in the United States of America. No part of this book may be used or reproduced in any manner whatsoever without written permission except in the case of brief quotations embodied in critical articles and reviews. For information address Harper & Row, Publishers, Inc., 10 East 53rd Street, New York, N.Y. 10022. Published simultaneously in Canada by Fitzhenry & Whiteside Limited, Toronto.

---

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Drucker, Peter Ferdinand, 1909—

The frontiers of management.

Reprint. Originally published: New York : Truman

Talley Books, c1986.

Includes index.

1. Management. 2. Industrial management. I. Title.

[HD31.D7713 1987] 658 87-45043

ISBN 0-06-097111-8 (pbk.)

---

87 88 89 90 91 mpc 10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1

"His writings are landmarks of the managerial profession."

—*Harvard Business Review*

Peter Drucker is the most influential and widely read thinker and writer on modern organizations and their management. His books have been bestsellers in the United States and in many of the more than twenty languages into which they have been translated. Among them are: *The End of Economic Man* (1939); *Concept of the Corporation* (1946); *The Practice of Management* (1954); *Managing for Results* (1964); *The Effective Executive* (1967); *The Age of Discontinuity* (1969); *Management: Tasks, Responsibilities, Practices* (1974); *Managing in Turbulent Times* (1980); and *Innovation and Entrepreneurship* (1985). He has also been a frequent contributor to magazines and is an editorial columnist for the *Wall Street Journal*.

Drucker has had a distinguished career as a teacher and for more than twenty years has been professor of management at the Graduate Business School of New York University. Since 1971 he has been Clark Professor of Social Science at Claremont Graduate School, California.

***Books by Peter F. Drucker***

**MANAGEMENT**

**The Frontiers of Management**  
**Innovation and Entrepreneurship**  
**The Changing World of the Executive**  
**Managing in Turbulent Times**  
**Management: Tasks, Responsibilities, Practices**  
**Technology, Management and Society**  
**The Effective Executive**  
**Managing for Results**  
**The Practice of Management**  
**Concept of the Corporation**

**ECONOMICS, POLITICS, SOCIETY**

**Toward the Next Economics**  
**The Invisible Revolution**  
**Men, Ideas and Politics**  
**The Age of Discontinuity**  
**The Landmarks of Tomorrow**  
**America's Next Twenty Years**  
**The New Society**  
**The Future of Industrial Man**  
**The End of Economic Man**

**FICTION**

**The Temptation to Do Good**  
**The Last of All Possible Worlds**

**AUTOBIOGRAPHY**

**Adventures of a Bystander**

# PREFACE

---

## The Future Is Being Shaped Today



The thirty-seven chapters in this volume: an Interview, an Afterword, and thirty-five essays and articles, cover a broad range of subjects. Yet they were planned from the beginning to be published eventually in one volume and as variations on one unifying theme: the challenges of tomorrow that face the executive today. If there is one single postulate underlying these pieces, it is that the future is being made by totally anonymous people, a CEO here, a marketing manager there, a training director or a comptroller yonder doing mundane jobs: building a management team; developing a new pricing strategy; changing a training program so that it matches people with different educational backgrounds to new technologies; or working out a new cost-accounting analysis to find out whether automating the welding line will pay off.

This, after all, is the lesson of this century. It has been a century of unprecedented disasters and cataclysms: two world wars, a Stalin, a Hitler, a Mao, and scores of lesser but no less murder-

ous villains. Indeed we packed into every decade as much "history" as one usually finds in a century; and little of it was benign. Yet most of this world, and especially the developed world, somehow managed not only to recover from the catastrophes again and again but to regain direction and momentum—economic, social, even political. The main reason was that ordinary people, people running the everyday concerns of everyday businesses and institutions, took responsibility and kept on building for tomorrow while all around them the world came crashing down. Thus tomorrow is being shaped today.

And what kind of tomorrow it will be thus depends heavily on the knowledge, insight, foresight, and competence of the decision makers of today, and especially of the decision makers in our institutions, that is, on executives. Yet these executives are busy people. Every one of them is already fully occupied with the daily crisis—and the daily crisis is indeed the one absolutely predictable event in the working day of the executive. To enable these busy people to see and to understand the long-range implications and impacts of their immediate, everyday, urgent actions and decisions is thus the purpose to which every one of the pieces in this volume addresses itself.

There is a second theme that runs through these thirty-seven diverse and different articles and essays: Change is opportunity. Every one of the pieces in this volume looks at changes. Some are profound and major ones, such as the impact of information on organization, the meaning of the U.S. entrepreneurial surge in the last decade, or the problems created by the success of management. Other changes are perhaps ephemeral and transitory—though for that matter no less important—for example, the mismatch between traditional jobs and the expectations and qualifications of a new, young, and educated work force. Every one of these changes might be seen as a threat and is indeed seen as such by a good many executives. Every one needs to be seen and exploited as an opportunity—for doing something different, for doing something new, and, above all, for doing something better, something more productive, some-

thing more profitable. This volume therefore aims not only at providing knowledge, insight, foresight, and competence; it aims at creating vision.

PETER F. DRUCKER  
*Claremont, California*  
*Summer 1986*





# THE FRONTIERS OF MANAGEMENT

# CONTENTS

Preface: The Future Is Being Shaped Today	ix
Interview: A Talk with a Wide-Ranging Mind	i

## PART I. ECONOMICS

1 The Changed World Economy	21
2 America's Entrepreneurial Job Machine	50
3 Why OPEC Had to Fail	56
4 The Changing Multinational	61
5 Managing Currency Exposure	66
6 Export Markets and Domestic Policies	71
7 Europe's High-Tech Ambitions	76
8 What We Can Learn from the Germans	81
9 On Entering the Japanese Market	86
10 Trade with Japan: The Way It Works	92
11 The Perils of Adversarial Trade	98
12 Modern Prophets: Schumpeter or Keynes?	104

## PART II. PEOPLE

13 Picking People: The Basic Rules	119
14 Measuring White-Collar Productivity	129
15 Twilight of the First-Line Supervisor?	134
16 Overpaid Executives: The Greed Effect	138
17 Overage Executives: Keeping Firms Young	144
18 Paying the Professional Schools	149
19 Jobs and People: The Growing Mismatch	154
20 Quality Education: The New Growth Area	160

**PART III. MANAGEMENT**

21 Management: The Problems of Success	167
22 Getting Control of Staff Work	194
23 Slimming Management's Midriff	198
24 The Information-Based Organization	203
25 Are Labor Unions Becoming Irrelevant?	208
26 Union Flexibility: Why It's Now a Must	214
27 Management as a Liberal Art	220

**PART IV. THE ORGANIZATION**

28 The Hostile Takeover and Its Discontents	231
29 The Five Rules of Successful Acquisitions	257
30 The Innovative Organization	261
31 The No-Growth Enterprise	267
32 Why Automation Pays Off	271
33 IBM's Watson: Vision for Tomorrow	275
34 The Lessons of the Bell Breakup	289
35 Social Needs and Business Opportunities	320

Afterword: Social Innovation—Management's New Dimension	341
--	-----

Acknowledgments	355
-----------------	-----

Index	357
-------	-----

# INTERVIEW

---

## A Talk with a Wide-Ranging Mind

**Q:** *The last book of yours was the one in which you wrote about the deliberateness of the innovation process. Has there been any deliberateness in your own life? Was there a plan for Peter Drucker?*

**A:** In retrospect, my life makes sense, but not in prospect, no. I was probably thirty before I had the foggiest notion where I belonged. For ten or twelve years before that I had experimented, not by design but by accident. I knew, even as a little boy, that I didn't want to stay in Austria, and I knew that I didn't want to waste four years going to a university. So I had my father get me a job as far away as one could go and as far away from anything that I was eventually headed for. I was an apprentice clerk in an export house. Then I worked in a small bank in Frankfurt. It's a job I got because I was bilingual in English and German. That was October 1929. The stock market crashed, and I was the last in and the first out. I needed a job and got one at the local newspaper. It was a good education, I must say.

In retrospect, the one thing I was good at was looking at phenomena and asking what they meant. I knew in 1933 how Hitler would end, and I then began my first book, *The End of Economic Man*, which could not be published until 1939, because no publisher was willing to accept such horrible insights. It was very clear to me that Hitler would end up killing the Jews. And it was also very clear that he would end up with a treaty with Stalin.

I had been quite active in German conservative politics even though I had a foreign passport, and so I knew that Hitler was not for me. I left and went first to England and then, four years later, to this country. I worked in London for an insurance company as a securities analyst and as an investment banker. If I had wanted to be a rich man I would have stayed there, but it bored me to tears.

**Q:** *Would you define entrepreneur?*

**A:** The definition is very old. It is somebody who endows resources with new wealth-producing capacity. That's all.

**Q:** *You make the point that small business and entrepreneurial business are not necessarily the same thing.*

**A:** The great majority of small businesses are incapable of innovation, partly because they don't have the resources, but a lot more because they don't have the time and they don't have the ambition. I'm not even talking of the corner cigar store. Look at the typical small business. It's grotesquely understaffed. It doesn't have the resources and the cash flow. Maybe the boss doesn't sweep the store anymore, but he's not that far away. He's basically fighting the daily battle. He doesn't have, by and large, the discipline. He doesn't have the background. The most successful of the young entrepreneurs today are people who have spent five to eight years in a big organization.

**Q:** *What does that do for them?*

**A:** They learn. They get tools. They learn how to do a cash-flow analysis and how one trains people and how one delegates and how one builds a team. The ones without that background are the entrepreneurs who, no matter how great their success, are being pushed out. For example, if you ask me

what's wrong with [Apple Computer Inc. cofounders] Wozniak and Jobs . . .

**Q:** *That's exactly what I was going to ask.*

**A:** They don't have the discipline. They don't have the tools, the knowledge.

**Q:** *But that's the company that we've looked to for the past five or six years as being prototypical of entrepreneurial success.*

**A:** I am on record as saying that those two young men would not survive. The Lord was singularly unkind to them.

**Q:** *Really?*

**A:** By giving them too much success too soon. If the Lord wants to destroy, He does what He did to those two. They never got their noses rubbed in the dirt. They never had to dig. It came too easy. Success made them arrogant. They don't know the simple elements. They're like an architect who doesn't know how one drives a nail or what a stud is. A great strength is to have five to ten years of, call it management, under your belt before you start. If you don't have it, then you make these elementary mistakes.

**Q:** *People who haven't had this big-company experience you prescribe: would you tell them that they shouldn't attempt their own enterprise?*

**A:** No, I would say read my entrepreneurial book, because that's what it's written for. We have reached the point [in entrepreneurial management] where we know what the practice is, and it's not waiting around for the muse to kiss you. The muse is very, very choosy, not only in whom she kisses but in where she kisses them. And so one can't wait.

In high tech, we have the old casualty rate among young companies, eight out of ten, or seven out of ten. But outside of high tech, the rate is so much lower.

**Q:** *Because?*

**A:** Because they have the competence to manage their enterprises and to manage themselves. That's the most difficult thing for the person who starts his own business, to redefine his own role in the business.

**Q:** *You make it sound so easy in the book.*

**A:** It is simple, but not easy. What you have to do and how you do it are incredibly simple. Are you willing to do it? That is another matter. You have to ask the question.

There is a young man I know who starts businesses. He is on his fifth. He develops them to the point that they are past the baby diseases and then sells out. He's a nanny. You know, when I grew up there were still nannies around, and most of them used to give notice on the day their child spoke its first word. Then it was no longer a baby. That's what this particular fellow is, a baby nurse. When his companies reach twenty-nine employees he says, "Out!" I ask why and he says, "Once I get to thirty people, including myself, then I have to manage them, and I'm simply not going to do anything that stupid."

**Q:** *That example would tend to confirm the conventional wisdom, which holds that there are entrepreneurs and there are managers, but that the two are not the same.*

**A:** Yes and no. You see, there is entrepreneurial work and there is managerial work, and the two are not the same. But you can't be a successful entrepreneur unless you manage, and if you try to manage without some entrepreneurship, you are in danger of becoming a bureaucrat. Yes, the work is different, but that's not so unusual.

Look at entrepreneurial businesses today. A lot of them are built around somebody in his fifties who came straight out of college, engineering school, and went to work for GE. Thirty years later, he is in charge of market research for the small condenser department and is very nicely paid. His mortgage is paid up and his pension is vested, the kids are grown, and he enjoys the work and likes GE, but he knows he's never going to be general manager of the department, let alone of the division. And that's when he takes early retirement, and three weeks later, he's working for one of the companies around Route 128 in Boston. This morning I talked to one of those men. He had been in market planning and market research for a du Pont division—specialty chemicals—and he said, "You know, I was in my early fifties, and I enjoyed it, but they wanted to transfer me. . . ." Do I have to finish the story?

So now he's a vice-president for marketing on Route 128 in a company where he is badly needed, an eight-year-old company of engineers that has grown very fast and has outgrown its marketing. But he knows how one does it. At du Pont, was he an entrepreneur or was he a manager? He knows more about how one finds new markets than the boys at his new company do. He's been doing it for thirty years. It's routine. You come out with something, and it works fine in the market, but then you see what other markets there are that you never heard of. There are lots of markets that have nothing to do with the treatment of effluents, or whatever that company does, but they didn't know how to find them until this fellow came along.

There is entrepreneurial work and there is managerial work, and most people can do both. But not everybody is attracted to them equally. The young man I told you about who starts companies, he asked himself the question, and his answer was, "I don't want to run a business."

*Q: Isn't there some irony in the fact that you who study organizations aren't part of one?*

*A: I couldn't work in a large organization. They bore me to tears.*

*Q: Aren't you being very hard on the Route 128 and Silicon Valley people? You've called them arrogant, immature.*

*A: High tech is living in the nineteenth century, the pre-management world. They believe that people pay for technology. They have a romance with technology. But people don't pay for technology: they pay for what they get out of technology.*

If you look at the successful companies, they are the ones who either learn management or bring it in. In the really successful high-tech companies, the originator isn't usually there five years later. He may be on the board; he may be honorary chairman; but he is out, and usually with bitterness. The Apple story is different only in its dimensions. Steve Jobs lacked the discipline. I don't mean the self-discipline. I mean the basic knowledge and the willingness to apply it.

High tech, precisely because it has all the glamour, is



prone to arrogance far more than any other. But it's not confined to high tech.

**Q:** *Where else?*

**A:** Finance. There's a different kind of egomaniac there, but still an egomaniac. Partly for the same reason. They make too much money too soon. It spoils you, you know, to get \$450,000 in stock options at age twenty-three. It's a very dangerous thing. It's too much excitement.

**Q:** *This entrepreneurial society that you write about in the book, how did it develop? And are you absolutely persuaded that it's not just a fad?*

**A:** Certainly, demographics have had a lot to do with it. You go back thirty years, twenty-five years, and the able graduates of, let's say, Harvard Business School all wanted to go into big business. And it was a rational, intelligent thing to do because the career opportunities were there. But now, you see, because of the baby boom, the pipelines are full.

Another reason we have an entrepreneurial society, and it's an important reason, is that high tech has made it respectable. The great role of high tech is in creating the climate for entrepreneurs, the vision. And it has also created the sources of capital. When you go to the venture capitalists, you know, most of them are no longer emphasizing high tech. But all of them began in high tech. It was high tech that created the capital flow. And how recent this is is very hard to imagine. In 1976, I published a book on pension funds in which I said that one of the great problems in making capital formation institutional is that there won't be any money for new businesses. That was only ten years ago and at that time what I said was obvious. Today it would be silly.

The third thing promoting the entrepreneurial society perhaps is the most important, although I'm not sure whether I'm talking chicken or egg. There's been a fundamental change in basic perception over the past, make it, fifty years. The trend was toward centralization—in business, in government, and in health care. At the same time, when we came out of World War II we had discovered management. But management was