Microbial Enzymes and Biotechnology

Edited by

WILLIAM M. FOGARTY

Microbial Enzymes and Biotechnology

Edited by

WILLIAM M. FOGARTY

Department of Industrial Microbiology, University College, Dublin, Ireland





APPLIED SCIENCE PUBLISHERS LTD Ripple Road, Barking, Essex, England

Sole Distributor in the USA and Canada ELSEVIER SCIENCE PUBLISHING CO., INC. 52 Vanderbilt Avenue, New York, NY 10017, USA

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data

Microbial enzymes and biotechnology.

- 1. Enzymes—Industrial applications
- I. Fogarty, William M. 661'.8 TP248.E5

ISBN 0-85334-185-0

WITH 73 TABLES AND 29 ILLUSTRATIONS

© APPLIED SCIENCE PUBLISHERS LTD 1983

The selection and presentation of material and the opinions expressed in this publication are the sole responsibility of the authors concerned

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without the prior written permission of the copyright owner, Applied Science Publishers Ltd, Ripple Road, Barking, Essex, England

Printed in Northern Ireland at The Universities Press (Belfast) Ltd

Preface

Biotechnology has its origins in antiquity. Many processes relating to production of foods have been practised for thousands of years, e.g. production of cheese, fermented milk products, beer, wine and vinegar. These fermentations developed from an art form and only recently have they evolved to more technically sophisticated processes, e.g. production of beer and vinegar. The discovery of antibiotics some forty years ago and the subsequent development of antibiotic fermentations was a major milestone in industrial microbiology and may be regarded as the most significant development in biotechnology in the first half of the twentieth century.

The large-scale production of microorganisms, under conditions of rigorous sterility and controlled nutritional and environmental conditions, permitted maximization of the production of these metabolites which formed the basis of a new antibiotic industry producing, for example, penicillin, cephalosporin, tetracyclines, etc. The fundamental knowledge acquired from the large-scale production of microorganisms under such conditions and the concurrent application of process engineering principles to this development formed the basis from which other microbiologically based industries evolved. The microbial enzyme industry is one example of such a development. Although microbial enzymes have been used for centuries in whole-cell processes, the commercial use of isolated microbial enzymes, on a large scale, received a major boost in the 1960s following the inclusion of alkaline proteases in washing powders. The great bulk of enzymes used indus-

trially are of microbial origin and with few exceptions, e.g. glucose isomerase, are produced extracellularly and are hydrolytic in character. In recent years other intracellular enzymes like penicillin acylase and asparaginase have been produced industrially. Industrial enzymology and the development of new enzymological processes embody one of the most significant areas of new growth in biotechnology. Therefore, it is essential that advancements in this field are reviewed and updated regularly. This text is aimed at fulfilling such a need as far as the enzymes of major significance are concerned.

I wish to express my gratitude and appreciation to my colleague Dr C. T. Kelly for help in the preparation of this book.

WILLIAM M. FOGARTY

Contents

Prefo	ice	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	V
List (of Coi	ntributors	xin
Chap	oter 1		
MIC	ROB	IAL AMYLASES	
	W. N	1. FOGARTY	
1	Intro	oduction	1
2		robial Amylases	2
	2.1	α-Amylase	2
	2.2	Amyloglucosidase	22
	2.3	β -Amylase and other exo-acting hydrolases	34
	2.4	Debranching enzymes	41
	2.5	α-Glucosidase	47
	2.6	Enzymes producing Schardinger dextrins	56
3	Imm	obilized Amylases	59
	3.1	Introduction	59
	3.2	Immobilized α -amylase	60
	3.3	Immobilized amyloglucosidase	63
	3.4	Immobilized β -amylase	69
	3.5	Immobilized debranching enzymes	71
Refe	rence	S	72

CONTENTS

	ipiei 2	• .
GL		SE-TRANSFORMING ENZYMES
	C. B	UCKE
1	Glu	cose Isomerase
_	1.1	Introduction
	1.2	The story so far
	1.3	The enzyme
	1.4	Glucose isomerase-producing organisms
	1.5	Purification of glucose isomerase
	1.6	Immobilization of glucose isomerase
	1.7	Use of glucose isomerase
	1.8	The future
2	Glu	cose Oxidases
	2.1	Introduction
	2.2	Glucose-2-oxidase
	2.3	Bacterial glucose dehydrogenases
	2.4	Conclusions
R	eferer	nces
		I. FOGARTY and C. T. KELLY
1		oduction
2-		Substrates—Pectic Polysaccharides
	2.1	
	2.2	Occurrence and properties
_	2.3	Commercial pectins
3	Pect	ic Enzymes
	3.1	Classification
	3.2	Assay of pectic enzymes
	3.3	Pectinesterases
,	3.4	Pectic hydrolases
	3.5	Pectic lyases
4	Synt	hesis of Pectic Enzymes by Microorganisms
	4.1	Bacteria
_	4.2	Fungi
5	TUGN E 1	strial Production of Pectic Enzymes
	5.1	Submerged fermentation
	1 /	Semi-solid termentation

5	5.3	Extraction and recovery	165
6	App	dications of Pectic Enzymes	166
	6.1	Fruit processing	168
	6.2	Clarification of fruit juices	169
	6.3	Wine clarification	170
	6.4	Wood preservation	171
	6.5	Miscellaneous applications	172
R	eferer	nces	173
Cha	oter 4		
MIC	ROB	IAL CELLULASES	
	TOR	-MAGNUS ENARI	
1	Intro	oduction	183
2		ulose-Degrading Microorganisms	184
_	2.1	Fungi	185
	2.2	Bacteria	186
3	Nati	re of Cellulases,	187
	3.1	Cellulolytic enzymes	188
=	. 3.2	Properties of cellulolytic enzymes	189
	3.3	Mode of action of cellulases	193
4	Assa	y Methods	199
	4.1	Total cellulolytic activity	201
	4.2	Solubilizing activity	202
. *	4.3	Endoglucanases	203
	4.4	Cellobiohydrolase	203
	4.5	β-Glucosidase	204
	4.6	Immunological methods	204
5	Prod	luction of Cellulases	204
	5.1	Organisms	205
	5.2	Induction and repression	207
	5.3	Cultivation conditions	209
6	Utili	zation of Cellulases	212
	6.1	Cellulosic materials	212
	6.2	Pretreatment of cellulosic materials	214
	6.3	Enzymatic hydrolysis	215
	6.4	Process examples	218
7	Cone	clusion	220
Re		ces	220

CONTENTS

ix

Cha	pter 5	
EXT	RAC	ELLULAR MICROBIAL LIPASES
_	A. R.	MACRAE
1	Intro	oduction 22
2		racteristics of the Lipase Reaction
3	Prod	uction of Microbial Lipases
4		by of Lipases
5		trate Specificity of Microbial Lipases
• ′	5.1	Positional specificity
	5.2	Fatty acid specificity
	5.3	Specificity for the hydrolysis of partial glycerides 23
	5.4	Phospholipase and lipoprotein lipase activity of mic-
	2/• •	robial lipases
6	Pron	perties of Purified Microbial Lipases
7	Indu	strial Applications of Microbial Lipases
•	7.1	Hydrolysis of oils and fats
	7.2	Interesterification of oils and fats
	7.3	Esterification of fatty acids
	7.4	Flavour development in dairy products
	7.5	Washing and cleaning products
R		ces
Cha	pter 6	
PRO	TEIN	IASES
	OWE	N P. WARD
1	Inter	dustion
2		of Proteins as in Nature 25
2		of Proteinases in Nature
•	$\frac{2.1}{2.2}$	Protein turnover
	2.2	Spore formation
	2.3	Spore germination
	2.4	Modulation of gene expression
	2.5	Enzyme modification
3		Enzyme secretion
3 4		lysis of Proteinases
4	4.1	Classification of microbial proteinases
	4.1	Classification of microbial proteinases 262
	4.2	Aminopeptidases
	4.3	Carboxypeptidases
	4.4	Serine proteinases

	4.5	Thiol protessases	27
	4.6	Acid proteinases	27
	4.7	Metallo-proteinases	27
5	Gen	eral Aspects of Microbial Proteinase Production	28
	5.1	Strain isolation	28
	5.2	Control of proteinase production	28
6	App	lications of Microbial Proteinases	28
	6.1	Laundry detergent enzymes	28
	6.2	The tanning industry	28
	6.3	Microbial proteinases in the dairy industry	28
	6.4	Protein hydrolysis	29
	6.5	Proteinases in peptide synthesis	29
	6.6	Proteinases in brewing and cereal extraction	30
	6.7	Proteinases in milling and baking	30
	6.8	Medical applications of proteinases	30
	6.9	Other applications	30
R	eferen	ces	30
1		PRIEST oduction	2.4
2			31
2	2.1	hanisms of Protein Secretion	32
	$\frac{2.1}{2.2}$		32
	2.2		~~
		Co-translational secretion of proteins	
	2/	Co-translational secretion of proteins	32
	2.4	Co-translational secretion of proteins The signal sequence	32 32
	2.5	Co-translational secretion of proteins The signal sequence Membrane-associated intermediates in secretion Alternative export mechanisms	32: 32: 32:
3	2.5 2.6	Co-translational secretion of proteins The signal sequence Membrane-associated intermediates in secretion Alternative export mechanisms Aspects of secretion in eucaryotes	32 ² 32 ² 32 ²
3	2.5 2.6 Regu	Co-translational secretion of proteins The signal sequence Membrane-associated intermediates in secretion Alternative export mechanisms Aspects of secretion in eucaryotes ulation of Enzyme Synthesis	32: 32: 32: 32: 32:
3	2.5 2.6 Regu 3.1	Co-translational secretion of proteins The signal sequence Membrane-associated intermediates in secretion Alternative export mechanisms Aspects of secretion in eucaryotes alation of Enzyme Synthesis Environmental control	32: 32: 32: 32: 32: 32:
3	2.5 2.6 Regu 3.1 3.2	Co-translational secretion of proteins The signal sequence Membrane-associated intermediates in secretion Alternative export mechanisms Aspects of secretion in encaryotes Ilation of Enzyme Synthesis Environmental control Genetic regulation in procaryotes	32: 32: 32: 32: 32: 32: 32: 33:
3	2.5 2.6 Regu 3.1 3.2 3.3	Co-translational secretion of proteins The signal sequence Membrane-associated intermediates in secretion Alternative export mechanisms Aspects of secretion in eucaryotes lation of Enzyme Synthesis Environmental control Genetic regulation in procaryotes Genetic regulation in eucaryotes	32 32 32 32 32 33 33 35
4	2.5 2.6 Regu 3.1 3.2 3.3 Cond	Co-translational secretion of proteins The signal sequence Membrane-associated intermediates in secretion Alternative export mechanisms Aspects of secretion in eucaryotes ulation of Enzyme Synthesis Environmental control Genetic regulation in procaryotes Genetic regulation in eucaryotes cluding Remarks	32 32 32 32 32 32 33 35 35
4	2.5 2.6 Regu 3.1 3.2 3.3 Cond	Co-translational secretion of proteins The signal sequence Membrane-associated intermediates in secretion Alternative export mechanisms Aspects of secretion in eucaryotes lation of Enzyme Synthesis Environmental control Genetic regulation in procaryotes Genetic regulation in eucaryotes	32: 32: 32: 32: 32: 33: 35: 35:
4 R	2.5 2.6 Regu 3.1 3.2 3.3 Condeferen	Co-translational secretion of proteins The signal sequence Membrane-associated intermediates in secretion Alternative export mechanisms Aspects of secretion in eucaryotes ulation of Enzyme Synthesis Environmental control Genetic regulation in procaryotes Genetic regulation in eucaryotes cluding Remarks	32 32 32 32 32 32 32 33 35 35 35 35 36

Chapter 1

Microbial Amylases

William M. Fogarty

Department of Industrial Microbiology, University College, Dublin, Ireland

1 INTRODUCTION

Amylases, starch-degrading enzymes, have numerous biotechnical applications, e.g. in the production of syrups containing oligosaccharides, maltose and glucose. The precise composition of the endproduct can be controlled so that products with desired physical properties may be obtained (Palmer, 1975). Enzymatic degradation of starch on an industrial scale has been practised for many years and has replaced to a considerable extent the traditional acid-catalysed processes (Barfoed, 1976; Underkofler et al., 1965). In the USA, over 75% of syrup and solid dextrose is now produced by enzymatic processes. New developments have taken place in the area of starch-degrading enzymes. Thermostable α -amylase from Bacillus licheniformis and the amylopectin debranching enzyme pullulanase, from aerogenes, have become available commercially. There is considerable interest in the commercial application of immobilized amyloglucosidase. Since the discovery of microbial β -amylases in this laboratory (Fogarty & Griffin, 1973, 1975; Griffin & Fogarty, 1973a, b) the commercial potential of this enzyme has stimulated interest in numerous laboratories throughout the world.

This chapter will review the more important microbial amylases from a technological viewpoint and summarize important attributes of more recently described enzymes. Earlier work has been reviewed by Fischer & Stein, 1960; Greenwood & Milne, 1968a; Fogarty & Kelly,

1979, 1980 and Norman, 1979. Reviews on the properties and chemistry of starch—the natural substrate for amylases—have been published elsewhere (Banks & Greenwood, 1975; Greenwood, 1976; Manners, 1974; Radley, 1976). Methods for the detection and assay of amylolytic enzymes are based on the use of starch or a derivative of starch as the substrate and have been outlined recently (Fogarty & Kelly, 1979). New chromogenic substrates have been developed recently for the quantitative assay of α -amylases (McCleary, 1980).

2 MICROBIAL AMYLASES

2:1 α -Amylase

2.1.1 Mode of Action and Distribution

 α -Amylase (α -1,4-D-glucan glucanohydrolase, EC 3.2.1.1, endoamylase) is distributed widely in microorganisms (Table 1). It hydrolyses α -1,4-glucosidic bonds in amylose, amylopectin and glycogen in an endo-fashion, but α -1,6-glucosidic linkages in branched polymers are not attacked. The properties and mechanisms of action of α amylases depend on the source of the enzyme. They are all endoacting enzymes, and therefore effect a rapid decrease in iodine-staining power and a simultaneous rapid decrease in the viscosity of starch solutions. Hydrolysis of amylose by α -amylase causes its conversion into maltose and maltotriose, initially. Hydrolysis of maltotriose, which is a poor substrate, follows in a second stage reaction (Walker & Whelan, 1960a). Hydrolysis of amylopectin by α -amylase also yields glucose and maltose in addition to a series of branched α -limit dextrins. These dextrins of four or more glucose residues contain all the α -1,6-glucosidic linkages of the original structure. With amylopectin or glycogen, the second stage of α -amylase degradation involves slow hydrolysis of maltotriose as well as slow hydrolysis of specific bonds near the branch points of the α -limit dextrins as, for example, the hydrolysis of 6^3 - α -maltotriosyl maltotetraose to 6^3 - α -glucosyl maltotetraose and maltose. Different α -amylases produce different α -limit dextrins (Whelan, 1960; Umeki & Yamamoto, 1975a, 1977). In addition to singly branched α -limit dextrins, it is highly probable that some α-amylases produce multiple-branched dextrins (French, Roberts & Whelan, 1960). Isomaltose is not formed in these reactions (Manners & Marshall, 1971) because α -1,6 linkages are resistant to

TABLE 1 DISTRIBUTION OF SOME MICROBIAL α -AMYLASES

Organism	Reference		
Bacillus acidocaldarius	Buonocore et al. (1976)		
Bacillus amyloliquefaciens	Welker & Campbell (1967c); Granum (1979)		
Bacillus caldolyticus	Grootegoed et al. (1973); Heinen & Heinen (1972)		
Bacillus coagulans	Bliesmer & Hartman (1973)		
Bacillus licheniformis	Meers (1972); Saito & Yamamoto (1975); Chiang et al. (1979); Medda & Chandra (1980); Morgan & Priest (1981)		
Bacillus stearothermophilus	Manning & Campbell (1961); Ogasahara et al. (1970c); Davis et al. (1980)		
Bacillus subtilis	Bailey & Markkanen (1975); Kokubu et al. (1978); Matsuzaki et al. (1974a, b); Kennedy & White (1979)		
Bacillus subtilis var.	Fujimori et al. (1978); Matsuno et al.		
amylosacchariticus	(1978); Toda & Narita (1968)		
Bacillus sp.	Srivastava et al. (1980)		
Bacillus spp.	Boyer & Ingle (1972); Horikoshi (1971a);		
(alkalophilic)	Yamamoto et al. (1972)		
Bacteroides amylophilus	McWethy & Hartman (1977)		
Clostridium acetobutylicum	Ensley et al. (1975)		
Lactobacillus amylophilus Micrococcus halobius	Nakamura & Crowell (1979)		
_	Onishi & Sonoda (1979)		
Streptomyces aureofaciens Streptomyces hygroscopicus	Hostinova & Zelinka (1978)		
Streptomyces praecox	Hidaka & Adachi (1980)		
Thermoactinomyces vulgaris	Suganuma et al. (1980)		
	Allen & Hartman (1972); Shimizu et al. (1978)		
Thermomonospora curvata	Stutzenberger & Carnell (1977)		
Thermomonospora vulgaris	Allam et al. (1977)		
Thermomonospora viridis	Upton & Fogarty (1977)		
Acinetobacter sp.	Onishi & Hidaka (1978)		
Thermophile V-2	Hasegawa et al. (1976)		
Pseudomonas hydrogenovora	Igarashi et al. (1980)		
Pseudomonas saccharophila Aspergillus awamori	Markowitz et al. (1956)		
Aspergillus batatae	Watanabe & Fukimbara (1967)		
Aspergillus foetidus	Bendetskii et al. (1974) Hang & Woodams (1977)		
Aspergillus niger	Aski et al. (1971); Ramachandran et al. (1978)		
Aspergillus oryzae	Vallier et al. (1977); Yabuki et al. (1977); Bata et al. (1978)		
Aspergillus terricola	Aravina & Ponomarera (1977)		

TABLE 1—contd.

Organism	Reference	
Mucor miehei	Adams & Deploey (1976)	
Mucor pusillus	Adams & Deploey (1976); Somkuti & Steinberg (1980)	
Neurospora crassa	Gratzner (1972)	
Paecilomyces subglobosum	Kim & Byun (1978)	
Penicillium expansum	Belloc et al. (1975)	
Lipomyces kononenkoae	Spencer-Martins & van Uden (1979)	
Lipomyce's starkeyi	Moulin & Galzy (1979)	
Schwanniomyces castellii	Oteng-Gyang et al. (1981)	
Torulopsis ingeniosa	Moulin & Galzy (1978c); Clementi et al. (1980)	
Endomycopsis fibuligera	Clementi et al. (1980)	

 α -amylases and they confer some stability on certain α -1,4 linkages near the branch points. Formation of enzyme-substrate complexes appears to be restricted by the presence of these branch $(\alpha$ -1,6) linkages.

Bacillus amyloliquefaciens liquefying α -amylase produces singly branched dextrins from β -limit dextrin. They consist of not more than nine glucose units (Umeki & Yamamoto, 1977). The structure of one of these, a singly branched heptaose, was determined (Umeki & Yamamoto, 1975a). This dextrin was a mixture of six singly branched heptaoses with different branch points but they all contained a 6^2 - α -maltosylmaltotriose unit in their structures. They differed only in the manner of linkage, by α -1,4 glucosidic bonds of two glucose units or one maltose residue, to the core dextrin.

Doubly branched limit dextrins are produced by saccharifying α -amylase of Bacillus subtilis (Umeki & Yamamoto, 1972). They all contain a 6^3 - α -glucosylmaltotriose residue at the reducing end and an isomaltosyl residue at the non-reducing end. Two highly branched dextrins containing nine and ten glucose units were isolated and both were shown to be mixtures of four triply branched dextrins and were made up of 6^3 - α -glucosylmaltotriose and/or 6^2 - α -glucosylmaltotriose as a limking unit (Umeki & Yamamoto, 1975b).

α-Amylases from different sources have been purified or crystallized. Examples of purified preparations include those of various Bacillus spp., e.g. B. subtilis (Moseley & Keay, 1970; Yamamoto, 1955), B. coagulans (Campbell, 1954), B. stearothermophilus (Man-

ning & Campbell, 1961; Ogasahara et al., 1970a; Pfueller & Elliott, 1969), B. amyloliquefaciens (Welker & Campbell, 1967c; Granum, 1979), B. litheniformis (Saito, 1973; Morgan & Priest, 1981); and other organisms, e.g. Acinetobacter sp. (Onishi & Hidaka, 1978), Pseudomonas saccharophila (Markowitz et al., 1956), Aspergillus oryzae (Akabori et al., 1954; Fischer & De Montmollin, 1951a, b; Toda & Akabori, 1963; Underkoffer & Roy, 1951), Aspergillus niger (De Song Chong & Tsujisaka, 1976; Minoda & Yamada, 1963), Micrococcus halobius (Onishi & Sonoda, 1979), Streptomyces hygroscopicus (Hidaka & Adachi, 1980) and Thermoactinomyces vulgaris (Shimizu et al., 1978). Polyacrylamide-gel electrophoresis has been used to demonstrate homogenéity of the crystalline α -amylases of B. amyloliquefaciens (Welker & Campbell, 1967c), Bacillus stearothermophilus (Pfueller & Elliot, 1969) and A. oryzae (McKelvy & Lee, 1969). Electrophoresis of purified α -amylase of Bacillus licheniformis (Saito, 1973) indicated that it contained four active protein bands although the enzyme behaved as a single peak during ultracentrifugation.

Because of their commercial and industrial uses α -amylases from many sources have been studied in great detail. The genus *Bacillus* is the single most important bacterial source of this enzyme. Of particular commercial significance, because of their great thermostability, are the enzymes produced by *B. amyloliquefaciens* and *B. licheniformis*.

2.1.2 Liquefying and Saccharifying α-Amylases

The bacilli produce saccharifying and liquefying α -amylases (Table 2) (Fukumoto, 1963; Welker & Campbell, 1967a, b). They are distinguishable by their mechanisms of starch degradation because the saccharifying α -amylase produces an increase in reducing power about twice that of the liquefying enzyme (Fukumoto, 1963; Pazur & Okada, 1966). Bacillus subtilis var. amylosacchariticus, B. subtilis Marburg and Bacillus natto all produce saccharifying α -amylase (Matsuzaki et al., 1974b; Yoneda et al., 1974). Bacillus amyloliquefaciens produces large quantities of liquefying α -amylase (Welker & Campbell, 1967a, b).

On the basis of biochemical and physiological properties, Welker & Campbell (1967a) showed that highly amylolytic strains of B. subtilis were, in fact, B. amyloliquefaciens. These data confirmed the observation of Fukumoto (1943a), who classified the strains as B. amyloliquefaciens n.sp. Fukumoto (Fukumoto, 1943a, b). This organism was

TABLE 2
COMPARISON OF THE PROPERTIES OF Bacillus subtilis var. amylosacchariticus (SACCHARIFYING α -AMYLASE) AND Bacillus amyloliquefaciens (LIQUEFYING α -AMYLASE) STARCH DEFRADING ENZYMES

	B. subtilis var. amylosachariticus	B. amyloliquefaciens
pH optimum	6.8	5.9
Temperature optimum (°C) Thermostability (50%	en e	70
inactivation, °C)	68	80
Hydrolysis limit on	42	16
soluble starch (%) Molecular weight	43 41000	16 49 000
Isoelectric point	ξ - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	5.2
End-products Adsorption on	G_1, G_2	G_6
raw starch (%)	6	88
K _m (starch)	2·31 mg/ml	6·9 mg/ml

Abbreviations: G₁, glucose; G₂, maltose; G₆, maltohexaose.

described subsequently as B. amyloliquefaciens (Welker & Campbell, 1967a, b, c), a strain of B. subtilis (Hagihara, 1960) or B. subtilis var. amyloliquefaciens (Tsuru, 1962; Tsuru & Fukumoto, 1963). The bacterium producing the saccharifying enzyme is referred to as B. subtilis or B. subtilis var. amylosacchariticus (Fukumoto, 1963). Authentic strains of B. subtilis produce α -amylases that differ immunologically and electrophoretically from strains of B. amyloliquefaciens (Welker & Campbell, 1967b).

Methods of crystallizing α -amylases of B. amyloliquefaciens have been reported (Welker & Campbell, 1967c). The enzymes from five strains are identical with regard to pH and temperature optima, UV absorption spectra, immunological and electrophoretic properties. However, the enzymes differ with respect to temperature and pH stabilities, activity on various substrates, $K_{\rm m}$ and energy of activation. These differences were not explained by structural alterations since it was shown (Borgia & Campbell, 1978) that the primary structure of the α -amylase of the five strains was identical or only possessed slight modifications. Almost identical compositions for the five α -amylases were established by amino acid analyses. The N-terminal amino acid of each amylase was valine and the C-terminal residue in each case was

tyrosine. Isoelectric points for the enzymes ranged between 5-09 and 5.18. These data, therefore, strongly support the view that the amylases from five strains of B. amploliquefaciens are basically identical and strengthen the view that the five strains represent a species other than B. subtilis. The position regarding the alkaline proteases produced by these organisms is somewhat similar. Differences between subtilisin Novo (or BPN') and subtilisin Carlsberg in electrophoretic mobility were shown to be the result of differences in amino acid sequences (Smith et al., 1966). Bacillus subtilis Novo and B. subtilis BPN' have been shown to be strains of B. amyloliquefaciens (Welker & Campbell, 1967a). The amino acid composition of the α -amylase of B. amyloliquefaciens (Borgia & Campbell, 1978) is not unlike that presented for the α -amylase of B. subtilis (B. amyloliquefaciens strain T) (Junge et al., 1959), but it is different from that reported for α -amylase of authentic strains of B. subtilis (Yamane et al., 1973). Furthermore, it had been shown in earlier work (Welker & Campbell, 1967b) that B. subtilis α-amylase does not cross react with antiserum prepared against B. amylolique faciens α -amylase and there appear to be differences between the structures of the two enzymes (Nagata et al., 1980).

The saccharifying amylase of B. subtilis var. amylosacchariticus produces more glucose from starch than the liquefying α -amylase of B. amyloliquefaciens (Fukumoto, 1963; Pazur & Okada, 1966), although the saccharifying enzyme does possess liquefying properties. Furthermore, it is immunologically distinct from the liquefying enzyme (Moseley & Keay, 1970) and, in contrast, possesses both cyclodextrinase (Moseley & Keay, 1970) and maltase (Yoshida et al., 1969) activities. The rate of production of glucose from starch is much slower than the rate of production of reducing sugars (Keay, 1970). The enzyme does not function, therefore, as an amyloglucosidase, by exclusively hydrolysing glucose from the chain ends. Immunological methods demonstrated that the liquefying properties of the saccharifying enzyme were not due to a contaminating carbohydrase. Attempts to separate the amylase, cyclodextrinase and maltase activities of saccharifying amylase by inhibitors, electrophoresis, heat inactivation or chromatography were unsuccessful and it was concluded that the three activities were due to one molecular species (Keay, 1970).

2.1.3 General Characteristics of α -Amylases

 α -Amylases are generally stable in the pH range 5.5-8.0 and to extremes of pH in the presence of a full complement of calcium. Optimal