# The Cambridge Handbook of

Phonology

edited by **Paul de Lacy**

The Cambridge Handbook
of Phonology

Edited by **Paul de Lacy**

CAMBRIDGE
UNIVERSITY PRESS

Contributors

John D. Alderete, Assistant Professor, Department of Linguistics, Simon
    Fraser University.
Arto Anttila, Assistant Professor, Department of Linguistics, Stanford
    University.
Diana Archangeli, Professor, Department of Linguistics, University
    of Arizona.
Eric Baković, Assistant Professor, Linguistics Department, University
    of California, San Diego.
Ricardo Bermúdez-Otero, Lecturer, Department of Linguistics and English
    Language, University of Manchester.
Barbara Bernhardt, Associate Professor, School of Audiology and Speech
    Sciences, University of British Columbia.
Paula Fikkert, Associate Professor, Department of Dutch Language and
    Culture, Radboud Universiteit Nijmegen.
Stefan A. Frisch, Assistant Professor, Department of Communication
    Sciences and Disorders, University of South Florida.
Matthew Gordon, Associate Professor, Department of Linguistics,
    University of California, Santa Barbara.
Carlos Gussenhoven, Professor, Department of Linguistics, Radboud
    Universiteit Nijmegen and Queen Mary, University of London.
T. A. Hall, Assistant Professor, Department of Germanic Studies, Indiana
    University, Bloomington.
John Harris, Professor, Department of Phonetics and Linguistics, University
    College London.
René Kager, Professor, Utrecht Institute of Linguistics OTS
    (Onderzoeksinstituut voor Taal en Spraak), Utrecht University.
John Kingston, Professor, Department of Linguistics, University
    of Massachusetts Amherst.
Paul de Lacy, Assistant Professor, Department of Linguistics, Rutgers,
    The State University of New Jersey.

John J. McCarthy, Professor, Department of Linguistics, University of Massachusetts Amherst.

Alan Prince, Professor II, Department of Linguistics, Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey.

Douglas Pulleyblank, Professor, Department of Linguistics, University of British Columbia.

Keren Rice, Professor, Department of Linguistics, University of Toronto.

Joseph Paul Stemberger, Professor, Department of Linguistics, University of British Columbia.

Donca Steriade, Professor, Department of Linguistics and Philosophy, Massachusetts Institute of Technology.

Bruce Tesar, Associate Professor, Department of Linguistics, Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey.

Hubert Truckenbrodt, Assistent, Seminar für Sprachwissenschaft, Universität Tübingen.

Suzanne Urbanczyk, Associate Professor, Department of Linguistics, University of Victoria.

Adam Ussishkin, Assistant Professor, Department of Linguistics, University of Arizona.

Moira Yip, Professor, Department of Phonetics and Linguistics; Co-director, Centre for Human Communication, University College London.

Draga Zec, Professor, Department of Linguistics, Cornell University.

Acknowledgements

For a book of this size and scope it is probably unsurprising that many people contributed to its formation.

At Cambridge University Press, I owe Andrew Winnard a great deal of thanks. The idea for The Cambridge Handbook of Phonology was his, and it was a pleasure developing the project with him. My thanks also to Helen Barton for providing a great deal of editorial help throughout the process.

One of the most exhausting jobs was compiling, checking, and making consistent the seventeen hundred references. I am very grateful to Catherine Kitto and Michael O'Keefe for dealing with this task, and to Jessica Rett for contributing as well.

Of course, without the contributors, this volume would not exist. My thanks to them for meeting such difficult deadlines and responding so quickly to my queries.

A number of people commented on the initial proposal for this book, and every chapter was reviewed. My thanks go to: three anonymous reviewers, Crystal Akers, Akinbiyi Akinlabi, Daniel Altshuler, Eric Baković, Ricardo Bermúdez-Otero, Lee Bickmore, Andries Coetzee, José Elías-Ulloa, Colin Ewen, Randall Gess, Martine Grice, Bruce Hayes, Larry Hyman, Pat Keating, Martin Krämer, Seunghun Lee, John McCarthy, Laura McGarrity, Chloe Marshall, Nazarré Merchant, Jaye Padgett, Joe Pater, Alan Prince, Jessica Rett, Curt Rice, Sharon Rose, Elisabeth O. Selkirk, Nina Topintzi, Moira Yip, and Kie Zuraw. Of the reviewers, I must single out Kate Ketner and Michael O'Keefe: they carefully reviewed several of the articles each, provided the perspective of the book's intended audience, and also contributed a large number of insightful comments. There are also several times as many people again who 'unofficially' reviewed chapters for each author – my thanks to all those who in doing so contributed to this handbook.

Finally, I thank my colleagues and friends for advising and supporting me in this exhausting endeavour: Colin Ewen, Jane Grimshaw, John McCarthy, Alan Prince, Curt Rice, Ian Roberts, Moira Yip, and my colleagues

in the linguistics department at Rutgers. Finally, I thank my family – Mary and Reg for their unfailing support, and Sapphire and Socrates for their help with editing. Most of all I thank Catherine, whose encouragement and support were essential to my survival.

Contents

Introduction: aims and content

Paul de Lacy

Introduction

Phonological theory deals with the mental representation and computation
of human speech sounds. This book contains introductory chapters
on research in this field, focusing on current theories and recent
developments.

1 Aims

This book has slightly different aims for different audiences. It aims to
provide concise summaries of current research in a broad range of areas for
researchers in phonology, linguistics, and allied fields such as psychology,
computer science, anthropology, and related areas of cognitive science. For
students of phonology, it aims to be a bridge between textbooks and
research articles.

   Perhaps this book's most general aim is to fill a gap. I write this intro-
duction ten years after Goldsmith's (1995) *Handbook of Phonological Theory*
was published. Since then, phonological theory has changed significantly.
For example, while Chomsky & Halle's (1968) *The Sound Pattern of English*
(*SPE*) and its successors were the dominant research paradigms over a
decade ago, the majority of current research articles employ Optimality
Theory, proposed by Prince & Smolensky (2004). Many chapters in this book
assume or discuss OT approaches to phonology.

   Another striking change has been the move away from the formalist
conception of grammar to a functionalist one: there have been more and
more appeals to articulatory effort, perceptual distinctness, and economy
of parsing as modes of explanation in phonology. These are just two of the
many developments discussed in this book.

2   Website

Supplementary materials for this book can be found on the website: http://handbookofphonology.rutgers.edu.

3   Audience and role

The chapters are written with upper-level undergraduate students and above in mind. As part of a phonology course, they will serve as supplementary or further readings to textbooks. All the chapters assume some knowledge of the basics of the most popular current theories of phonology. Many of the chapters use Optimality Theory (Prince & Smolensky 2004), so appropriate background reading would be, for example, Kager's (1999) textbook *Optimality Theory*, and for the more advanced McCarthy's (2002) *A Thematic Guide to Optimality Theory*.

Because it is not a textbook, reading the book from beginning to end will probably not prove worthwhile. Certainly, there is no single common theme that is developed step-by-step throughout the chapters, and there is no chapter that is a prerequisite for understanding any other (even though the chapters cross-reference each other extensively). So, the best use of this book for the reader is as a way to expand his/her knowledge of phonology in particular areas after the groundwork provided by a textbook or phonology course has been laid.

This book is also not a history of phonology or of any particular topics. While it is of course immensely valuable to understand the theoretical precursors to current phonological theories, the focus here is limited to issues in recent research.

4   Structure and content

The chapters in this book are grouped into five parts: (I) conceptual issues, (II) prosody, (III) segmental phenomena, (IV) internal interfaces, and (V) external interfaces.

The 'conceptual issues' part discusses theoretical concepts which have enduring importance in phonological theory: i.e. functionalist vs. formalist approaches to language, markedness theory, derivation, representation, and contrast.

Part II focuses on the segment and above: specifically prosodic structure, sonority, and tone. Part III focuses on subsegmental structure: features and feature operations. The chapter topics were chosen so as to cover a wide range of phenomena and fit in with the aims of phonology courses. However, while the areas in Parts II and III are traditionally considered distinct, the boundaries are at least fluid. For example, Gussenhoven

(Ch.11) observes that research on tone and intonation seems to be converging on the same theoretical devices, so the tone–intonation divide should not be considered a theoretically significant division. In contrast, some traditionally unified phenomena may consist of theoretically distinct areas: Archangeli & Pulleyblank (Ch.15) observe that there may be two separate types of harmony that require distinct theoretical mechanisms. Nevertheless, the division into discrete phenomena is inevitable in a book of this kind as in practice this is how they are often taught in courses and conceived of in research.

Part IV deals with 'internal interfaces' – the interaction of the phonological component with other commonly recognized modules – i.e. phonetics (Kingston Ch.17), syntax (Truckenbrodt Ch.18), and morphology (Ussishkin Ch.19 and Urbanczyk Ch.20).

Part V focuses on a variety of areas that do not fit easily into Parts I–IV. These include well-established areas such as diachronic phonology (Bermúdez-Otero Ch.21), areas that have recently grown significantly (e.g. language acquisition – Fikkert Ch.23) or have recently provided significant insight into phonological theory (e.g. free variation – Anttila Ch.22, learnability – Tesar Ch.24, phonological impairments – Bernhardt & Stemberger Ch.25).

Practical reasons forced difficult decisions about what to exclude. Nevertheless, as a number of phonologists kindly offered their views on what should be included I hope that the topics covered here manage to reflect the current concerns of the field.

While phonological research currently employs many different transcription systems, in this book an effort has been made to standardize transcriptions to the International Phonetic Alphabet (the IPA) wherever possible:

http://www2.arts.gla.ac.uk/IPA/index.html.

Chart of the International Phonetic Alphabet
(revised 1993, updated 1996)

THE INTERNATIONAL PHONETIC ALPHABET (revised to 2005)

© 2005 IPA

CONSONANTS (PULMONIC)

	Bilabial	Labiodental	Dental	Alveolar	Postalveolar	Retroflex	Palatal	Velar	Uvular	Pharyngeal	Glottal
Plosive	p b			t d		ʈ ɖ	c ɟ	k g	q ɢ		ʔ
Nasal	m	ɱ		n		ɳ	ɲ	ŋ	N		
Trill	B			r					R		
Tap or Flap		ⱱ		ɾ		ɽ					
Fricative	ɸ β	f v	θ ð	s z	ʃ ʒ	ʂ ʐ	ç ʝ	x ɣ	χ ʁ	ħ ʕ	h ɦ
Lateral fricative				ɬ ɮ							
Approximant		ʋ		ɹ		ɻ	j	ɰ			
Lateral approximant				l		ɭ	ʎ	L			

Where symbols appear in pairs, the one to the right represents a voiced consonant. Shaded areas denote articulations judged impossible.

CONSONANTS (NON-PULMONIC)

Clicks	Voiced implosives	Ejectives
ʘ Bilabial	ɓ Bilabial	' Examples:
ǀ Dental	ɗ Dental/alveolar	p' Bilabial
ǃ (Post)alveolar	ʄ Palatal	t' Dental/alveolar
ǂ Palatoalveolar	ɠ Velar	k' Velar
ǁ Alveolar lateral	ʛ Uvular	s' Alveolar fricative

OTHER SYMBOLS

ʍ Voiceless labial-velar fricative
w Voiced labial-velar approximant
ɥ Voiced labial-palatal approximant
H Voiceless epiglottal fricative
ʕ Voiced epiglottal fricative
ʡ Epiglottal plosive

ɕ ʑ Alveolo-palatal fricatives
ɺ Voiced alveolar lateral flap
ʄ Simultaneous ʃ and X

Affricates and double articulations can be represented by two symbols joined by a tie bar if necessary k͡p t͡s

VOWELS

Front — Central — Back

Close i•y — ɨ•ʉ — ɯ•u
        ɪ Y   ʊ
Close-mid e•ø — ɘ•ɵ — ɤ•o
                ə
Open-mid ɛ•œ — ɜ•ɞ — ʌ•ɔ
              æ   ɐ
Open   a•ɶ — ɑ•ɒ

Where symbols appear in pairs, the one to the right represents a rounded vowel.

SUPRASEGMENTALS

ˈ Primary stress
ˌ Secondary stress   ˌfoʊnəˈtɪʃən
ː Long   eː
ˑ Half-long   eˑ
̆   Extra-short ĕ
| Minor (foot) group
‖   Major (intonation) group
.   Syllable break ɹi.ækt
‿   Linking (absence of a break)

DIACRITICS Diacritics may be placed above a symbol with a descender, e.g. ŋ̊

Voiceless	n̥ d̥		Breathy voiced	b̤ a̤		Dental	t̪ d̪
Voiced	s̬ t̬		Creaky voiced	b̰ a̰		Apical	t̺ d̺
Aspirated	tʰ dʰ		Linguolabial	t̼ d̼		Laminal	t̻ d̻
More rounded	ɔ̹		Labialized	tʷ dʷ		Nasalized	ẽ
Less rounded	ɔ̜		Palatalized	tʲ dʲ		Nasal release	dⁿ
Advanced	u̟		Velarized	tˠ dˠ		Lateral release	dˡ
Retracted	e̠		Pharyngealized	tˤ dˤ		No audible release	d̚
Centralized	ë		Velarized or pharyngealized	ɫ			
Mid-centralized	ɛ̽		Raised	e̝	(ɹ̝ = voiced alveolar fricative)		
Syllabic	n̩		Lowered	e̞	(β̞ = voiced bilabial approximant)		
Non-syllabic	e̯		Advanced Tongue Root	e̘			
Rhoticity	ɚ a˞		Retracted Tongue Root	e̙			

TONES AND WORD ACCENTS

LEVEL			CONTOUR		
e̋ or	˥	Extra high	ě or	◯	Rising
é	˦	High	ê	◯	Falling
ē	˧	Mid	e᷄	◯	High rising
è	˨	Low	e᷅	◯	Low rising
ȅ	˩	Extra low	e᷈	◯	Rising-falling
↓		Downstep		↗	Global rise
↑		Upstep		↘	Global fall

This chart is provided courtesy of the International Phonetics Association, Department of Theoretical and Applied Linguistics, School of English, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Thessaloniki 54124, GREECE.

1

Themes in phonology

Paul de Lacy

1.1 Introduction

This chapter has two aims. One is to provide a brief outline of the structure of
this book; this is the focus of Section 1.1.1. The other – outlined in Section
1.1.2 – is to identify several of the major themes that run throughout.

1.1.1 Structure

Several different factors have influenced the contents and structure of this
Handbook. The topics addressed reflect theoretical concerns that have
endured in phonology, but they were also chosen for pedagogical reasons
(i.e. many advanced phonology courses cover many of the topics here).
There were also 'traditional' reasons for some aspects of organization.
While these concerns converge in the main, there are some points of
disagreement. For example, there is a traditional distinction between the
phonology of lexical tone and intonation, hence the separate chapters by
Yip (Ch.10) and Gussenhoven (Ch.11). However, Gussenhoven (11.7) com-
ments that theoretically such a division may be artificial.

Consequently, it is not possible to identify a single unifying theoretical
theme that accounts for the structure of this book. Nevertheless, the topics
were not chosen at random; they reflect many of the current concerns of the
field. In a broad sense, these concerns can be considered in terms of repre-
sentation, derivation, and the trade-off between the two. 'Representation'
refers to the formal structure of the objects that the phonological component
manipulates. 'Derivation' refers to the relations between those objects.

Concern with representation can be seen throughout the following chap-
ters. Chomsky & Halle (1968) (*SPE*) conceived of phonological representation
as a string of segments, which are unordered bundles of features. Since
then, representation has become more elaborate. Below the segment, it is
widely accepted that features are hierarchically organized (see discussion

and references in Hall Ch.13). Above the segment, several layers of constituents are now commonly recognized, called the 'prosodic hierarchy' (Selkirk 1984b). Figure (1) gives a portion of an output form's representation; it categorizes the chapters of this book in terms of their representational concerns. There is a great deal of controversy over almost every aspect of the representation given below – Figure (1) should be considered a rough expositional device here, not a theoretical assertion; the chapters cited should be consulted for details.

(1)

Harris (Ch.6) should be added to the chapters cited in (1); Harris' chapter is concerned with broader principles behind representation, including the notion of constituency, whether certain sub-constituents are phonologically prominent (i.e. headedness), and hierarchical relations.

Not represented in (1) is the interaction between constituents. For example, de Lacy (Ch.12) examines the interaction of tone, the foot, and segmental properties. Similarly, a part of Kager (Ch.9) is about the relation between the foot and its subconstituents. At the segmental level, three chapters are concerned with the interaction of segments and parts of segments: Baković (Ch.14), Archangeli & Pulleyblank (Ch.15), and Alderete & Frisch (Ch.16). For example, Baković's chapter discusses the pressure for segments to have identical values for some feature (particularly Place of Articulation).

Figure (2) identifies the chapters that are concerned with discussing the interaction of different representations. For example, Truckenbrodt (Ch.18) discusses the relation of syntactic phrases to phonological phrases. Ussishkin (Ch.19) and Urbanczyk (Ch.20) do the same for the relation of morphological

and phonological structure. Kingston (Ch.17) discusses the relation of phonological to phonetic structures.

(2)   **Syntax**   **Morphology**

          Truckenbrodt [Ch.18]   *Ussishkin [Ch.19]*
                                            Urbanczyk [Ch.20]

                            Phonology
                              |
                        Kingston [Ch.17]
                              |
                          Phonetics

There is also a 'derivational' theme that runs through the book chapters. McCarthy (Ch.5) focuses on evidence that there are relations between morphologically derived forms, and theories about the nature of those relations. Discussion of derivation has traditionally focused on the relation between input and output forms, and between members of morphological paradigms. However, the traditional conception of derivation has been challenged in Optimality Theory by McCarthy & Prince's (1995a, 1999) Correspondence Theory – the same relations that hold between separate derivational forms (i.e. input~output, paradigmatic base~derivative) also hold in the same output form between reduplicants and their bases; thus Urbanczyk's (Ch.20) discussion of reduplication can be seen as primarily about derivation, in this broadened sense.

Of course, no chapter is entirely about the representation of constituents; all discuss derivation of those constituents. In serialist terms, 'derivation of constituents' means the rules by which those constituents are constructed. In parallelist (e.g. Optima.ity Theoretic) terms, it in effect refers to the constraints and mechanisms that evaluate competing representations.

There is a set of chapters whose primary concerns relate to both representation and derivation: Prince (Ch.2), Gordon (Ch.3), Rice (Ch.4), and Steriade (Ch.7) discuss topics that are in effect meta-theories of representation and derivation. Gordon (Ch.3) examines functionalism – a name for a set of theories that directly relate to or derive phonological representations (and potentially derivations) from phonetic concerns. Rice (Ch.4) discusses markedness, which is effectively a theory of possible phonological representations and derivations. Steriade (Ch.7) discusses the idea of phonological contrast, and how it influences representation and derivation.

Rice's discussion of markedness makes the current tension between representation- and derivation-based explanations particularly clear. Broadly speaking, there have been two approaches to generalizations like "an epenthetic consonant is often [ʔ]". One assigns [ʔ] a representation that is different (often less elaborate) than other segments; the favouring of epenthetic [ʔ] over other segments is then argued to follow from general derivational principles of structural simplification. The other is to appeal to derivational principles such as (a) constraints that favour [ʔ] over every other segment and (b) no constraint that favours those other segments over

[?]; [?] need not be representationally simple (or otherwise remarkable) in this approach. These two approaches illustrate how the source of explanation – i.e. derivation and representation – is still disputed. The same issue is currently true of subsegmental structure – elaborated derivational mechanisms may allow simpler representational structures (Yip 2004).

Part V of this book contains a diverse array of phonological phenomena which do not fit easily into the themes of representational and derivational concerns. Instead, their unifying theme is that they are all areas which have been the focus of a great deal of recent attention and have provided significant insight into phonological issues; this point is made explicitly by Fikkert (Ch.23) for language acquisition, but also applies to the other areas: diachronic phonology (Bermúdez-Otero Ch.21), free variation (Anttila Ch.22), learnability (Tesar Ch.24), and phonological disorders (Bernhardt & Stemberger Ch.25). There are many points of interconnection between these chapters and the others, such as the evidence that phonological disorders and language acquisition provide for markedness.

Standing quite apart from all of these chapters is Prince (Ch.2). Prince's chapter discusses the methodology of theory exploration and evaluation.

In summary, no single theoretical issue accounts for the choice of topics and their organization in this book. However, many themes run throughout the chapters; the rest of this chapter identifies some of the more prominent ones.

1.1.2 Summary of themes

One of the clearest themes seen in this book is the influence of Optimality Theory (OT), proposed by Prince & Smolensky (2004).[1] The majority of chapters discuss OT, reflecting the fact that the majority of recent research publications employ this theory and a good portion of the remainder critique or otherwise discuss it.[2] However, one of the sub-themes found in the chapters is that there are many different conceptions and sub-theories of OT, although certain core principles are commonly maintained. For example, some theories employ just two levels (the input and output), while others employ more (e.g. Stratal OT – McCarthy 5.4). Some employ a strict and totally ordered constraint ranking, while others allow constraints to be unranked or overlap (see Anttila 22.3.3 and Tesar 24.4 for discussion). Theories of constraints differ significantly among authors, as do conceptions of representation (see esp. Harris Ch.6).

Another theme that links many of the chapters is the significance of representation and how it contributes to explanation. The late 1970s and 1980s moved towards limiting the form of phonological rules and elaborating the representation by devices such as autosegmental association, planar segregation, lack of specification, and feature privativity. In contrast, Harris (6.1) observes that the last decade has seen increased reliance on constraint form and interaction as sources of explanation. Constraint