
權力分立新論



5 元照出版

湯德宗 著

權力分立新論

卷二 違憲審查與動態平衡

2005, 增訂三版

國家圖書館出版品預行編目資料

權力分立新論. 卷二, 違憲審查與動態平衡 /

湯德宗著. -- 初版. -- 臺北市:元照,

2005〔民94〕

面; 公分

含索引

ISBN 986-7279-13-1 (精裝)

1. 憲法 一 論文, 講詞等

581.07

94005292

權力分立新論

卷二 違憲審查與動態平衡

1D27GA

2005年4月 初版第1刷

作 者 湯德宗

出版者 元照出版有限公司

100 臺北市館前路 18 號 5 樓

網 址 www.angle.com.tw

定 價 新臺幣 680 元

訂購專線 (02)2375-6688 轉 166 (02)2370-7890

訂購傳真 (02)2331-8496

郵政劃撥 19246890 元照出版有限公司

Copyright © by Angle publishing Co., Ltd.

登記證號: 局版臺業字第 1531 號 ISBN 986-7279-13-1

獻給 息師翁岳生教授

SEPARATION OF POWERS REVISITED

Volume II Constitutional Review and Dynamic Balance

THIRD EDITION

 $\mathbf{B}\mathbf{y}$

DENNIS TE-CHUNG TANG

Research Fellow, Academia Sinica Professor of Law, National Taiwan University

> Taipei, Taiwan ANGLE PRESS 2005

權力分立新論 卷二 違憲審查與動態平衡

			目	次	
表次		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			iii
					v
		法審查的憲	•		
	違憲審查	正當性理論	矿探		1
(M	寸英文摘要	ŧ)(ŧ	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		71
	分立與違				
					75
(14	寸英文摘要	?)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		123
v 10					
				from Author	
					127
(F	†中文摘要	!)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		171
油油	do 未 4.1 dr	n			
	審查制度				_
					₹175
(F	†英文摘要	!)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	257
F3 84	カオルィ	坐如古四啦			
	/	當程序保障			
					261
(P:	英文摘要	!)		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	302
1 1	<u> </u>	146 1	nd knom-		
大 法	百列刷 7	罹力分立原	則」解釋領	下之研析	305

(附英文摘要)......424

大	法	官	解	释	不	同	意	見	的	貫	證	研	笂											4	27
	(F	付字	英ラ	文才	商事	(中		• • • •	• • •	• • •	•••	•••	•••	• • •	• • •	• • •			• • •		• • •	• • • •		4	86
立	法	委	員	言	論	免	責	權	釋	憲	案	專	家	學	者	說	明	會	意	見	書.			4	91
																								5	
	附	大	法	官	釋	字	第	四	Ξ	<u> </u>	號	解	釋	•••	•••	•••			•••	•••		••••	• • • •	5	18
軍	事	審	判	法	釋	憲	案	專	家	學	者	說	明	會	意	見	書	· • • •						5	21
	附	立	法	委	員	蘇	焕	智	等	五.	+	入	人	聲	請	書	•••							5	36
																								5	
國	大	延	任	案	釋	憲	案	專	家	學	者	說	明	會	意	見	書	(_)				5	57
																								5	
																								5	
事	項	索	31																					5	83
																								6	

表次

大法官有關「立法裁量」解釋案要旨	45
各種違憲審查理論模擬操作之比較	
大法官有關「違憲審查權限」解釋案要旨	
「抽象違憲審查」與「具體違憲審查」之司法性格比較	
Composition of Judicial Review Decisions	
Composition of Constitutional	
Interpretations	163
Composition of Concrete Constitutional	
Adjudication	165
Composition of Abstract Constitutional	
Interpretations	167
Composition of Constitutional	
Interpretations	169
Composition of Central Representative Bodies in Taiwan	
around 1990	
大法官現行違憲審查職權分析	250
各國違憲審查制度之比較	251
違憲審查制度三階段改進方案	253
大法官有關「權力分立原則」解釋案要旨	401
大法官有關「權力分立原則」解釋案件比重分析	
大法官解釋案聲請人類別分析	
大法官有關「權力分立原則」解釋案機關聲請人分析	
大法官解釋不同意見制度演進一覽表	
大法官解釋可決門檻變遷一覽表	
第五屆大法官「命令違憲解釋」可決門檻與不同意見	450
1914 A4415 G = 1 - N = 4441 E	458
第五屆大法官「其他憲法解釋」可決門檻與不同意見	
關係統計表	460
歷屆大法官憲法解釋及統一解釋中之(狹義)不同意見	
統計表	462

歷屆大法官憲法解釋及統一解釋中之(廣義)不同意見	
統計表	465
歷屆大法官抽象解釋及具體解釋中之(狹義)不同意見	
統計表	468
歷屆大法官抽象解釋及具體解釋中之(廣義)不同意見	
統計表	471
歷屆大法官不同意見統計分析表	474
第四屆各大法官發表不同意見統計表	476
第五屆各大法官發表不同意見統計表	478
第六屆各大法官發表不同意見統計表	480
第四屆至第六屆連任大法官發表不同意見統計表	482
第四屆至第六屆大法官背景及發表不同意見	
關係統計表	484
釋字第四三六號解釋不同意見立場分析	

圖 次

司法院現行制度(多元多軌)	253
司法院定位第一階段改革示意圖	
(一元多軌:92/10/01~96/12/31)	254
司法院定位第二階段改革示意圖	
(一元多軌:97/01/01~99/12/31)	255
司法院定位第三階段改革示意圖	
(一元單軌:100/01/01~)	256
憲法上「正當程序保障」示意圖	299
憲法第八條構造示意圖	300
美國憲法增修條文第四條、第五條關係示意圖	301
美國憲法中的三權分立與制衡:	
"野心必須用野心來對抗"	420
我國憲法中的五權分立制衡:	
"五權分治平等相維"	421
「權力分立原則解釋案」佔「憲法解釋案」比重變化圖	422
「憲法解釋案」佔「公布解釋案」比重變化圖	423
美國軍事審判體系	

SEPARATION OF POWERS REVISITED

Volume II Constitutional Review and Dynamic Balance

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
List of Tables	ix
List of Figures	xiii
The Constitutional Basis for the Judicial Review of	
Legislative Discretion: A Preliminary Inquiry of	
Theories of Judicial Review of Constitutionality	
in Taiwan	1
(English Abstract)	71
The Doctrine of Separation of Powers and the Limits of	
Judicial Review of Constitutionality: Rethinking the	
Legitimacy of Interpreting the Constitution in the Abstrac	t
by the Council of Grand Justices	75
(English Abstract)	
Judicial Review and the Transition from Authoritarianism	
in Taiwan	127
(Chinese Abstract)	
,	

Reforming the Constitutional Review System: A Transformation Path from Multiple High Courts with Variant Jurisdictions to One Supreme Court with United Constitutional Jurisdiction	
(English Abstract)257	7
Concrete Review of Constitutionality and Due Process Guarantee: Reinforcing and Reforming Interpretation	
No. 535 of the Council of Grand Justices	2
A Critical Review of the Council of Grand Justices'	
Interpretations of the Separation-of-Powers Doctrine305 (English Abstract)424	5 1
An Empirical Study of Separate Opinions in the Council of Grand Justices of Taiwan	
Expert Testimony at the Council of Grand Justices on the Application of the Speech and Debate Immunity of Legislators	
Expert Testimony at the Council of Grand Justices on the Constitutionality of the Military Court System	
Expert Testimony at the Council of Grand Justices on the Constitutionality of the Constitutional Amendments of 1999	

Subje	ct Index	583
Case	Index	601

List of Tables

Legislative Discretion
Simulation of Three Theories of Judicial Review70
Summary of Interpretations by the Council of Grand Justices Elaborating the Power of Judicial Review of Constitutionality116
Judicial Characters of Judicial Review of Constitutionality in the Abstract Compared to that in Cases or Controversies122
Composition of Judicial Review Decisions (Original in English)
Composition of Constitutional Interpretations (Original in English)
Composition of Concrete Constitutional Adjudication (Original in English)
Composition of Abstract Constitutional Interpretations (<i>Original in English</i>)167
Composition of Constitutional Interpretations (Original in English)169
Composition of Central Representative Bodies in Taiwan around 1990 (Original in English)
Current Jurisdictions over Constitutional Review of the Council of Grand Justices
Constitutional Review of Germany, France, the US and Taiwan251

Three-Stage Reform Package of Constitutional Review253
Summary of Interpretations by the Council of Grand Justices concerning the Separation-of-Powers Doctrine
Analysis of the Composition of Interpretations by the Council of Grand Justices concerning the Separation-of-Powers Doctrine
Analysis of the Applicants for Interpretations by the Council of Grand Justices concerning the Separation-of-Powers Doctrine
Analysis of the Agency Applicants for Interpretations by the Council of Grand Justices concerning the Separation-of-Powers Doctrine
Evolution of Separate Opinions in Constitutional Review by the Council of Grand Justices
Evolution of the Thresholds for Passing an Interpretation of Constitution by the Council of Grand Justices457
Correlation between the Passage Threshold and the Production of Separate Opinions in the Council of Grand Justices: Interpretations concerning Constitutionality of Administrative Regulations rendered by the 5th Council
Correlation between the Passage Threshold and the Production of Separate Opinions in the Council of Grand Justices: Other Types of Constitutional Interpretations rendered by the 5th Council 460

Statistics of Dissenting Opinions in the Constitutional Interpretations and Unification Interpretations rendered by the Council of Grand Justices
Statistics of Separate Opinions in the Constitutional Interpretations and Unification Interpretations rendered by the Council of Grand Justices
Statistics of Dissenting Opinions in the Abstract Constitutional Interpretations and Concrete Constitutional Interpretations rendered by the Council of Grand Justices
Statistics of Separate Opinions in the Abstract Constitutional Interpretations and Concrete Constitutional Interpretations rendered by the Council of Grand Justices
Statistics of Separate Opinions rendered by Each Term of the Council
Statistics of Separate Opinions by Each Justice of the 4th Term of the Council
Statistics of Separate Opinions by Each Justice of the 5th Term of the Council
Statistics of Separate Opinions by Each Justice of the 6th Term of the Council
Statistics of Separate Opinions rendered by the re-elected Justices of the 4th to the 6th Term of the Council
The Origins and Output of Separate Opinions of Justices of the 4th to the 6th Term of the Council

Stances of the Separate Opinions issued in Interpretation No. 436	
(Oct. 3, 1997)4	185