

TESTS FOR ENGLISH MAJORS

全国高校英语专业历年考试试题

◆ 试题◆答案◆详析◆听力原稿◆

审订/ 徐鑫 友主编/ 刘世平



中国三块虫版社

43,9.6 L)4

全国高校 英语专业历年考试试题

八级

 $(1993 \sim 2002)$

审 订 张鑫友 主 编 刘世平 副主编 谭 玮 杨 平 编 写 四达外研语言研究部

中国三晚出版社

图书在版编目(CIP)数据

全国高校英语专业历年考试试题. 8级/刘世平主编. 北京:中国三峡出版社. 2002.9 ISBN 7-80099-655-7

I.全… I.刘… I.英语一高等学校一水平考试—试题 N. H319.6 中国版本图书馆 CIP 数据核字(2002)第 057116 号

中国三峡出版社出版发行

(北京市海淀区太平路 23 号院 12 号楼 100036) 电话:(010)68218553 51933037 http://www.zgsx.com E-mail;sanxiqb@sina.com

黄冈青年报印刷厂印刷 新华书店经销 2002年9月第1版2002年9月第1版2002年9月第1次印刷 开本:787×1092 1/16 印张:22 字数:540千 印数:5000册 ISBN7-80099-655-7/H•33 定价:31.90元

前言

全国高等院校英语专业高年级阶段考试(TEM8)是由高等院校外语专业指导委员会主持实施的全国性考试。考试的目的是检查各校执行英语专业高年级教学大纲的情况,促进各校之间的合作与交流,为教育管理部门、教师和学生提供有关信息反馈。

随着考试质量逐渐趋于稳定,目前参加考试的人数在不断地增加,TEM8 考试的权威性及社会影响也在不断提高。为了帮助广大教师,特别是学生了解 TEM8 考试的性质、目的和内容等,我们特地编写了《全国高校英语专业历年考试试题(八级)》一书。

该书由 1993 至 2002 年的 10 套全真题组成,每套试题后附有详细的解析和听力原稿,以帮助考生熟悉考试形式和内容,找出 TEM8 考试的重点,进行有针对性的训练,达到少花时间多获益的目的。

本书配有录音带,可配套使用,考生可熟悉 TEM8 考试听力部分的速度和内容,了解新闻用语,在应试的同时提高自己的听力。

有一点需要说明的是,TEM8考试在1993年至1996年间作了较大的调整。因此,1996年以前的考题在形式上有些不同,但总的框架是一样的,都包括对听、读、写方面技能的测试。

本书是英语专业高年级学生进行 TEM8 考前热身和训练的必备助手,也可供成人教育及高等教育自学考试英语专业本科四年级学生使用。历时两年多的艰苦劳动,本书才得以成书出版,她是众人努力和心血的结晶,个中艰辛自不待言。本书仅限于读者参考,严禁单位或个人以营利为目的的抄袭行为。

目 录

TEST FOR ENGLISH MAJORS -Grade Eight- (2002)	
参考答案	
试题详析	
听力原稿	(29)
TEST FOR ENGLISH MAJORS -Grade Eight- (2001)	(35)
参考答案	··· (55)
试题详析	(57)
听力原稿	(62)
TEST FOR ENGLISH MAJORS -Grade Eight- (2000)	(68)
参考答案	(87)
试题详析	(89)
听力原稿	··· (95)
TEST FOR ENGLISH MAJORS -Grade Eight- (1999)	• (100)
参考答案	• (121)
试题详析	• (123)
听力原稿	• (130)
TEST FOR ENGLISH MAJORS -Grade Eight- (1998)	(135)
参考答案	(155)
试题详析	(157)
听力原稿	(165)
TEST FOR ENGLISH MAJORS -Grade Eight- (1997)	(171)
参考答案	(191)
试题详析	(193)
听力原稿	(199)
TEST FOR ENGLISH MAJORS -Grade Eight- (1996)	(204)
泰老父安	
试题详析	(00=)
听力原稿	(222)
TEST FOR ENGLISH MAJORS -Grade Eight- (1995)	(227
参考答案	(231)
	(239)

	试题详析	\	(261)
TE	ST FOŖ I	ENGLISH MAJORS -Grade Eight- (1994)	(274)
	参考答案		(294)
	试题详析		(296)
	听力原稿		(304)
TE	ST FOR I	ENGLISH MAJORS -Grade Eight- (1993)	(309)
	参考答案		(328)
	试题详析		(330)
	听力原稿		(338)

.

TEST FOR ENGLISH MAJORS (2002) -GRADE EIGHT-

PAPER ONE

TIME LIMIT: 95 MIN

PART I LISTENING COMPREHENSION (40 min)

In Sections A, B and C you will hear everything ONCE ONLY. Listen carefully and then answer the questions that follow. Mark the correct answer to each question on your coloured answer sheet.

SECTION A TALK

Questions 1 to 5 refer to the talk in this section. At the end of the talk you will be given 15 seconds to answer each of the following five questions. Now listen to the talk.

1.	According to the passage, during the 18th and 19th centuries cities were small in size main	ly
	because	•
	A. the urban population was stable	
	B. few people lived in cities	
	C. transport was backward	
	D. it was originally planned	
2.	Cities survived in those days largely as a result of	
	A. the trade activities they undertook	
	3. the agricultural activities in the nearby areas	
	C. their relatively small size	
	D. the non-economic roles they played	
3.	City dwellers were engaged in all the following economic activities EXCEPT .	
	A. commerce B. distribution	
	C. processing D. transportation	
4.	Jrban people left cities for the following reasons EXCEPT	
	A. more economic opportunities	
	3. a freer social and political environment	
	C. more educational opportunities	
	D. a more relaxed religious environment	
5.	Why did the early cities fail to grow as quickly as expected throughout the 18th century?	
	A. Because the countryside attracted more people.	
	3. Because cities did not increase in number.	
	2. Because the functions of the cities changed.	

D. Because the number of city people was stable. SECTION B INTERVIEW Questions 6 to 10 are based on an interview. At the end of the interview you will be given 15 seconds to answer each of the following five questions. Now listen to the interview. 6. According to Janet, the factor that would most affect negotiations is _____. A. English language proficiency B. different cultural practices C. different negotiation tasks D. the international Americanized style 7. Janet's attitude towards the Americanized style as a model for business negotiations is _____. A. supportive B. negative C. ambiguous D. cautious 8. Which of the following can NOT be seen as a difference between Brazilian and American negotiators? A. Americans prepare more points before negotiations. B. Americans are more straightforward during negotiations. C. Brazilians prefer more eye contact during negotiations. D. Brazilians seek more background information. 9. Which group of people seems to be the most straightforward? A. The British. B. Germans. C. Americans. D. Not mentioned. 10. Which of the following is NOT characteristic of Japanese negotiators? A. Reserved. B. Prejudiced. C. Polite. D. Prudent. **SECTION C NEWS BROADCAST** Question 11 is based on the following news. At the end of the news item, you will be given 15 seconds to answer the question. Now listen to the news. 11. The news item is mainly about ____. A. a call for research papers to be read at the conference B. an international conference on traditional Tibetan medicine C. the number of participants at the conference and their nationalities D. the preparations made by the sponsors for the international conference Questions 12 and 13 are based on the following news. At the end of the news item, you will be given 30 seconds to answer the questions. Now listen to the news. 12. The news item mainly concerns _____ in Hong Kong.

A. Internet centresB. an IBM seminarC. e-governmentD. broadcasting

13. The aims of the three policy objectives include all the following EXC	CEPT
A. improvement of government efficiency	
B. promotion of e-commerce	
C. integration of service delivery	
D. formulation of Digital 21 Strategy	
Questions 14 and 15 are based on the following news. At the end of t	the news item, you will be
given 30 seconds to answer the questions. Now listen to the news.	
14. Which of the following records was the second best time of the year	by Donovan Bailey?
A. 9.98. B. 9.80.	
C. 9. 91. D. 9. 95.	
15. The record shows that Bailey was	
A. still suffering from an injury	
B. getting back in shape	
C. unable to compete with Greene	
D. less confident than before	
SECTION D NOTE-TAKING & GAP-FILLING	
Fill in each of the gaps with ONE word. You may refer to your notes.	Make sure the word you
fill in is both grammatically and semantically acceptable.	The same of the sa
Study Activities in University	
In order to help college and university students in the process of l	earning, four key study
activities have been designed and used to encourage them to make knowl	edge their own.
1. Essay writing: central focus of university work esp. in the	
humanities, e.g. (1)	(1)
benefits: 1) helping to select interesting content in books	
and to express understanding.	
2) enabling teachers to know progress and to	
offer (2)	(2)
3) familiarizing students with exam forms.	
2. Seminars and classroom discussion: another form to	
internalize knowledge in specialized contexts.	
benefits: 1) (3) enables you to know the	(3)
effectiveness of and others' response to your	
speech immediately.	
2) Within the same period of time, more topics	
can be dealt with than in (4)	(4)
3) The use of a broader range of knowledge is	
encouraged.	
3. Individual tutorials: a substitute for group discussion.	
format: from teacher (5) to flexible conversation.	(5)
benefit: encouraging ideas and interaction.	

4. Lectures: a most (6)	used study activity.	(6)
	(7) than discussions or tutorials.	(7)
	e demanding in note-taking.	
advantages: 1) prov	iding a general (8) of a subject	(8)
_	er discussion.	
2) offeri	ing more easily understood versions of a	l
theor	·y.	
3) updat	ting students on (9)	(9)
devel	opments.	
4) allow	ing students to follow different	ţ
(10)	·	(10)
PART II PROOFR	EADING & ERROR CORREC	TION (15 min)
TAKI II TROOFK	EADING & ERROR CORREC	TION (15 min)
The passage contains Tl	EN errors. Each indicated line contains a	maximum of ONE error. In
each case, only ONE word	is involved. You should proofread the p	assage and correct it in the
following way:		
For a wrong word,	underline the wrong word and write t	he correct one in the blank
	provided at the end of the line.	
For a missing word,	mark the position of the missing word	with a " \ " sign and write
	the word you believe to be missing in t	he blank provided at the end
	of the line.	
For an unnecessary word,	cross the unnecessary word with a slash	"/" and put the word in the
	blank provided at the end of the line.	
EXAMPLE		÷
When ∧ art museum wants	a new exhibit,	(1) <u>an</u>
it never buys things in finish	ned form and hangs	(2) <u>never</u>
them on the wall. When a n	atural history museum	
wants an exhibition, it must	t often build it.	(3) <u>exhibit</u>
	nents to the general use of a standard	
in pronunciation comparal	ble to that existing in spelling	
	e fact that pronunciation is learnt	
'naturally' and unconscio	ously, and orthography is learnt	(1)
deliberately and consciously	y. Large numbers of us, in fact,	
remain throughout our live	s quite unconscious with what our	(2)
speech sounds like when we	speak out, and it often comes as a	(3)
shock when we firstly hear	a recording of ourselves. It is not a	(4)
voice we recognize at once	, whereas our own handwriting, is	
something which we almo	ost always know. We begin the	(5)

- 4 -

natural' learning of pronunciation long before we start learning	
to read or write, and in our early years we went on unconsciously	(6)
imitating and practicing the pronunciation of those around us for	
many more hours per every day than we ever have to spend	(7)
learning even our difficult English spelling. This is 'natural',	(8)
therefore, that our speech-sounds should be those of our	
immediate circle; after all, as we have seen, speech operates as a	
means of holding a community and giving a sense of	(9)
'belonging'. We learn quite early to recognize a 'stranger',	
someone who speaks with an accent of a different community-	•
perhaps only a few miles far.	(10)

PART II READING COMPREHENSION (40 min)

SECTION A ERADING COMPREHENSION (30 min)

In this section there are four reading passages followed by a total of fifteen multiple-choice questions. Read the passages and then mark your answers on your coloured answer sheet.

TEXT A

Do you ever feel as though you spend all your time in meetings?

Henry Mintzberg, in his book *The Nature of Managerial Work*, found that in large organizations managers spent 22 per cent of their time at their desk, 6 per cent on the telephone, 3 per cent on other activities, but a whopping 69 per cent in meetings.

There is a widely-held but mistaken belief that meetings are for "solving problems" and "making decisions." For a start, the number of people attending a meeting tends to be inversely proportional to their collective ability to reach conclusions and make decisions. And these are the least important elements.

Instead hours are devoted to side issues, playing elaborate games with one another. It seems, therefore, that meetings serve some purpose other than just making decisions.

All meetings have one thing in common: role-playing. The most formal role is that of chairman. He sets the agenda, and a good chairman will keep the meeting running on time and to the point. Sadly, the other, informal, role-players are often able to gain the upper hand. Chief is the "constant talker", who just loves to hear his or her own voice.

Then there are the "can't do" types who want to maintain the status quo. Since they have often been in the organization for a long time, they frequently quote historical experience as an excuse to block change: "It won't work, we tried that last year and it was a disaster." A more subtle version of the "can't do" type, the "yes, but ...," has emerged recently. They have learnt about the need to sound positive, but they still can't bear to have things change.

Another whole sub-set of characters are people who love meetings and want them to continue until 5:30 pm or beyond. Irrelevant issues are their speciality. They need to call or attend meetings, either to avoid work, or to justify their lack of performance, or simply because they do

not have enough to do.

Then there are the "counter-dependents", those who usually disagree with everything that is said, particularly if it comes from the chairman or through consensus from the group. These people need to fight authority in whatever form.

Meetings can also provide attenders with a sense of identification of their status and power. In this case, managers arrange meetings as a means of communicating to others the boundaries of their exclusive club; who is "in", and who is not.

Because so many meetings end in confusion and without a decision, another game is played at the end of meetings, called reaching a false consensus. Since it is important for the chairman to appear successful in problem-solving and making a decision, the group reaches a false consensus. Everyone is happy, having spent their time productively. The reality is that the decision is so ambiguous that it is never acted upon, or, if it is, there is continuing conflict, for which another meeting is necessary.

In the end, meetings provide the opportunity for social intercourse, to engage in battle in front of our bosses, to avoid unpleasant or unsatisfying work, to highlight our social status and identity. They are, in fact, a necessary though not necessarily productive psychological sideshow. Perhaps it is our civilized way to moderating, if not preventing, change.

- 16. On role-playing, the passage seems to indicate that chairman
 - A. talks as much as participants
 - B. is usually a "constant talker"
 - C. prefers to take the role of an observer
 - D. is frequently outshone by participants
- 17. Which of the following is NOT a distinct characteristic of the three types of participants?
 - A. Submissiveness.

B. Stubbornness.

C. Disobedience.

D. Lack of focus.

- 18. The passage suggests that a false consensus was reached at the end of a meeting in order to
 - A. make room for another meeting
 - B. bring an illusory sense of achievement
 - C. highlight the importance of a meeting
 - D. go ahead with the agreed programme

TEXT B

Cooperative competition. Competitive cooperation. Confused? Airline alliances have travellers scratching their heads over what's going on in the skies. Some folks view alliances as a blessing to travellers, offering seamless travel, reduced fares and enhanced frequent-flyer benefits. Others see a conspiracy of big businesses, causing decreased competition, increased fares and fewer choices. Whatever your opinion, there's no escaping airline alliances: the marketing hype is unrelenting, with each of the two mega-groupings, Oneworld and Star Alliance, promoting itself as the best choice for all travellers. And, even if you turn away from

their ads, chances are they will figure in any of your travel plans. By the end of the year, Oneworld and Star Alliance will between them control more than 40% of the traffic in the sky. Some pundits predict that figure will be more like 75% in 10 years.

But why, after years of often ferocious competition, have airlines decided to band together? Let's just say the timing is mutually convenient. North American airlines, having exhausted all means of earning customer loyalty at home, have been looking for ways to reach out to foreign flyers. Asian carriers are still hurting from the region-wide economic downturn that began two years ago—just when some of the airlines were taking delivery of new aircraft. Alliances also allow carriers to cut costs and increase profits by pooling manpower resources on the ground (rather than each airline maintaining its own ground crew) and code-sharing—the practice of two partners selling tickets and operating only one aircraft.

So alliances are terrific for airlines—but are they good for the passenger? Absolutely, say the airlines: think of the lounges, the joint FFP(frequent flyer programme) benefits, the round-theworld fares, and the global service networks. Then there's the promise of "seamless" travel: the ability to, say, travel from Singapore to Rome to New York to Rio de Janiero, all on one ticket, without having to wait hours for connections or worry about your bags. Sounds utopian? Peter Buecking, Cathay Pacific's director of sales and marketing, thinks that seamless travel is still evolving. "It's fair to say that these links are only in their infancy. The key to seamlessness rests in infrastructure and information sharing. We're working on this." Henry Ma, spokesperson for Star Alliance in Hong Kong, lists some of the other benefits for consumers: "Global travellers have an easier time making connections and planning their itineraries." Ma claims alliances also assure passengers consistent service standards.

Critics of alliances say the much-touted benefits to the consumer are mostly pie in the sky, that alliances are all about reducing costs for the airlines, rationalizing services and running joint marketing programmes. Jeff Blyskal, associate editor of Consumer Reports magazine, says the promotional ballyhoo over alliances is much ado about nothing. "I don't see much of a gain for consumers: alliances are just a marketing gimmick. And as far as seamless travel goes, I'll believe it when I see it. Most airlines can't even get their own connections under control, let alone coordinate with another airline."

Blyskal believes alliances will ultimately result in decreased flight choices and increased costs for consumers. Instead of two airlines competing and each operating a flight on the same route at 70% capacity, the allied pair will share the route and run one full flight. Since fewer seats will be available, passengers will be obliged to pay more for tickets.

The truth about alliances and their merits probably lies somewhere between the travel utopia presented by the players and the evil empires portrayed by their critics. And how much they affect you depends on what kind of traveller you are.

Those who've already made the elite grade in the FFP of a major airline stand to benefit the most when it joins an alliance: then they enjoy the FFP perks and advantages on any and all of the member carriers. For example, if you're a Marco Polo Club "gold" member of Cathay Pacific's Asia Miles FFP, you will automatically be treated as a valuable customer by all members

of Oneworld, of which Cathay Pacific is a member—even if you've never flown with them before.

For those who haven't made the top grade in any FFP, alliances might be a way of simplifying the earning of frequent flyer miles. For example, I belong to United Airline's Mileage Plus and generally fly less than 25,000 miles a year. But I earn miles with every flight I take on Star Alliance member--All Nippon Airways and Thai Airways.

If you fly less than I do, you might be smarter to stay out of the FFP game altogether. Hunt for bargains when booking flights and you might be able to save enough to take that extra trip anyway. The only real benefit infrequent flyers can draw from an alliance is an inexpensive round-the-world fare.

The bottom line: for all the marketing hype, alliances aren't all things to all people-but

everybody can get some benefit out of them.	
19. Which is the best word to describe air travellers' reaction to airline alliances?	

A. Delight.

B. Indifference.

C. Objection.

D. Puzzlement.

- 20. According to the passage, setting up airline alliances will chiefly benefit .
 - A. North American airlines and their domestic travellers
 - B. North American airlines and their foreign counterparts
 - C. Asian airlines and their foreign travellers
 - D. Asian airlines and their domestic travellers
- 21. Which of the following is NOT a perceived advantage of alliances?

A. Baggage allowance.

B. Passenger Comfort.

C. Convenience.

D. Quality.

- 22. One disadvantage of alliances foreseen by the critics is that air travel may be more expensive as a result of .
 - A. less convenience

B. higher operation costs

C. less competition

- D. more joint marketing
- 23. According to the passage, which of the following categories of travellers will gain most from airline alliances?
 - A. Travellers who fly frequently economy class.
 - B. Travellers who fly frequently business class.
 - C. Travellers who fly occasionally during holidays.
 - D. Travellers who fly economy class once in a while.

TEXT C

It is nothing new that English use is on the rise around the world, especially in business circles. This also happens in France, the headquarters of the global battle against American cultural hegemony. If French guys are giving in to English, something really big must be going on. And something big is going on.

Partly, it's that American hegemony. Didier Benchimol, CEO of a French e-commerce software company, feels compelled to speak English perfectly because the Internet software business is dominated by Americans. He and other French businessmen also have to speak English because they want to get their message out to American investors, possessors of the world's deepest pockets.

The triumph of English in France and elsewhere in Europe, however, may rest on something more enduring. As they become entwined with each other politically and economically, Europeans need a way to talk to one another and to the rest of the world. And for a number of reasons, they've decided upon English as their common tongue.

So when German chemical and pharmaceutical company Hoechst merged with French competitor Rhone-Poulenc last year, the companies chose the vaguely latinate Aventis as the new company name—and settled on English as the company's common language. When monetary policymakers from around Europe began meeting at the European Central Bank in Frankfurt last year to set interest rates for the new Euroland, they held their deliberations in English. Even the European Commission, with 11 official languages and a traditionally French-speaking bureaucracy, effectively switched over to English as its working language last year.

How did this happen? One school attributes English's great success to the sheer weight of its merit. It's a Germanic language, brought to Britain around the fifth century A. D. During the four centuries of French-speaking rule that followed Norman Conquest of 1066, the language morphed into something else entirely. French words were added wholesale, and most of the complications of Germanic grammar were shed while few of the complications of French were added. The result is a language with a huge vocabulary and a simple grammar that can express most things more efficiently than either of its parents. What's more, English has remained ungoverned and open to change—foreign words, coinages, and grammatical shifts—in a way that French, ruled by the purist Academie Francaise, has not.

So it's a swell language, especially for business. But the rise of English over the past few centuries clearly owes at least as much to history and economics as to the language's ability to economically express the concept win-win. What happened is that the competition—first Latin, then French, then, briefly, German—faded with the waning of the political, economic, and military fortunes of, respectively, the Catholic Church, France, and Germany. All along, English was increasing in importance: Britain was the birthplace of the Industrial Revolution, and London the world's most important financial centre, which made English a key language for business. England's colonies around the world also made it the language with the most global reach. And as that former colony the U.S. rose to the status of the world's preeminent political, economic, military, and cultural power, English became the obvious second language to learn.

In the 1990s more and more Europeans found themselves forced to use English. The last generation of business and government leaders who hadn't studied English in school was leaving the stage. The European Community was adding new members and evolving from a paper-shuffling club into a serious regional government that would need a single common language if it were ever to get anything done. Meanwhile, economic barriers between European nations have been disppearing, meaning that more and more companies are beginning to look at the whole continent as their domestic market. And then the Internet came along.

The Net had two big impacts. One was that it was an exciting, potentially lucrative new industry that had its roots in the U.S., so if you wanted to get in on it, you had to speak some English. The other was that by surfing the Web, Europeans who had previously encountered English only in school and in pop songs were now coming into contact with it daily.

None of this means English has taken over European life. According to the European Union, 47% of Western Europeans (including the British and Irish) speak English well enough to carry on a conversation. That's a lot more than those who can speak German (32%) or French (28%), but it still means more Europeans don't speak the language. If you want to sell shampoo or cell phones, you have to do it in French or German or Spanish or Greek. Even the U.S. and British media companies that stand to benefit most from the spread of English have been hedging their bets—CNN broadcasts in Spanish; the Financial Times has recently launched a daily German-language edition.

But just look at who speaks English: 77% of Western European college students, 69% of managers, and 65% of those aged 15 to 24. In the secondary schools of the European Union's non-English-speaking countries, 91% of students study English, all of which means that the transition to English as the language of European business hasn't been all that traumatic, and it's only going to get easier in the future.

- 24. In the author's opinion, what really underlies the rising status of English in France and Europe is _____.
 - A. American dominance in the Internet software business
 - B. a practical need for effective communication among Europeans
 - C. Europeans' eagerness to do business with American businessmen
 - D. the recent trend for foreign companies to merge with each other
- 25. Europeans began to favour English for all the following reasons EXCEPT its
 - A. inherent linguistic properties
 - B. association with the business world
 - C. links with the United States
 - D. disassociation from political changes
- 26. Which of the following statements forecasts the continuous rise of English in the future?
 - A. About half of Western Europeans are now proficient in English.
 - B. U.S. and British media companies are operating in Western Europe.
 - C. Most secondary school students in Europe study English.
 - D. Most Europeans continue to use their own language.
- 27. The passage mainly examines the factors related to _____.
 - A. the rising status of English in Europe
 - B. English learning in non-English-speaking E. U. nations
 - C. the preference for English by European businessmen
 - D. the switch from French to English in the European Commission

TEXT D

As humankind moves into the third millennium, it can rightfully claim to have broken new ground in its age-old quest to master the environment. The fantastic achievements of modern technology and the speed at which scientific discoveries are translated into technological applications attest to the triumph of human endeavour.

At the same time, however, some of these applications threaten to unleash forces over which we have no control. In other words, the new technology Man now believes allows him to dominate this wider cosmos could well be a Frankenstein monster waiting to turn on its master.

This is an entirely new situation that promises to change many of the perceptions governing life on the planet. The most acute challenges facing the future are likely to be not only those pitting man against his fellow man, but those involving humankind's struggle to preserve the environment and ensure the sustainability of life on earth.

A conflict waged to ensure the survival of the human species is bound to bring humans closer together. Technological progress has thus proved to be a double-edged sword, giving rise to a new form of conflict: a clash between Man and Nature.

The new conflict is more dangerous than the traditional one between man and his fellow man, where the protagonists at least shared a common language. But when it comes to the reactions of the ecosystems to the onslaught of modern technology, there is no common language.

Nature reacts with weather disturbances, with storms and earthquakes, with mutant viruses and bacteria—that is, with phenomena having no apparent cause and effect relationship with the modern technology that supposedly triggers them.

As technology becomes ever more potent and Nature reacts ever more violently, there is an urgent need to rethink how best to deal with the growing contradictions between Man and Nature.

For a start, the planet, and hence all its inhabitants, must be perceived as an integral whole, not as a dichotomous mass divided geographically into the rich and developed and the poor and underdeveloped.

Today, globalization encompasses the whole world and deals with it as an integral unit. It is no longer possible to say that conflict has shifted from its traditional east-west axis to a north-south axis. The real divide today is between summit and base, between the higher echelons of the international political structure and its grassroots level, between governments and NGOs, between state and civil society, between public and private enterprise.

The mesh structure is particularly obvious on the Internet. While it is true that to date the Internet seems to be favouring the most developed sectors of the international community over the less developed, this need not always be the case. Indeed, it could eventually overcome the disparities between the privileged and the underdeveloped.

On the other hand, the macro-world in which we live is exposed to distortions because of the unpredictable side-effects of a micro-world we do not and cannot totally control.

This raises the need for a global system of checks and balances, for mandatory rules and constraints in our dealings with Nature, in short, for a new type of veto designed to manage what