余清秀◎主编

高等院校高级英语教材

GAODENG YUANXIAO GAOJI YINGYU JIAOCAI

## 科技英语

# English



Selected Readings
In Science And Technology



西南交通大学出版社

高等院校高级英语教材

## 科 技 英 语

## **English Selected Readings In Science And Technology**

余清秀 主编

西南交通大学出版社 •成 都•

#### 图书在版编目 (CIP) 数据

科技英语/余清秀主编. 一成都: 西南交通大学出版社, 2003.1 高等院校高级英语教材 ISBN 7-81057-695-X

I. 科... II. 余... III. 科学技术-英语-高等学校-教材 IV. H31

中国版本图书馆 CIP 数据核字(2002)第 110491号

## 科 技 英 语

余清秀 主编

责任编辑 祁素玲 封面设计 何东琳设计工作室

西南交通大学出版社出版发行

(成都二环路北一段 111 号 邮政编码: 610031 发行部电话: 87600564)

http://press.swjtu.edu.cn

E-mail: cbsxx@swjtu.edu.cn

四川森林印务有限责任公司印刷

开本: 850 mm × 1168 mm 1/32 印张: 6.5 字数: 129 千字 印数: 1—3000 册 2003 年 1 月第 1 版 2003 年 1 月第 1 次印刷

ISBN 7-81057-695-X/H • 060

定价: 10.00 元

主编: 余清秀

编委: 江久文 刘 禹 徐 鹰 罗 强

王 惠 马洪慧 官艳玲 杨 红

张梅子 吴正群 谭 勇 何俊彦

## 前 言

随着新世纪的到来和中国加入 WTO 以及科学技术的突飞猛进,英语在越来越广泛的领域中发挥着重要作用,如何提高科技英语阅读能力就受到越来越多的关注。新修订的《大学英语教学大纲》明确提出大学英语应保证四年不断线,要求各校根据实际情况开设高级英语课程。本教材在此背景下应运而生。

本书可作为大学三、四年级高级英语教材。全书共分 12 个单元,每单元由 Text A、Text B组成。Text A可作为课堂讲解,文后配有多种练习题型,旨在检测课文学习的同时巩固已学知识; Text B一般作为学生课外阅读,文后配有阅读理解检测题。

《科技英语》所选内容丰富,涉及工业、经贸、生物技术、信息技术、航空航天、环境保护、计算机技术等方面,既有科技发展的最新成就,也有对科技发展所带来的社会变化的深思。当你坐下来翻阅这一篇篇文章时,你将被带入到一个全新的世界;一个听说过,读到过,但又很不熟悉的世界;一个让你时而顿足、时而沉思的世界。

国与国之间,会有文化上的差异,我们应客观辩证地看待作者对科技发展和社会变化之间关系的思考。但更重要的是,在这里我们不仅可以欣赏原文优美地道的语言,还可以把专业学习与英语学习进行有机的结合,提高阅读兴趣,为进一步的专业英语学习打好基础。

本书由余清秀统筹主编,具体编写分工如下:

余清秀: Unit 1; 刘禹: Unit 2; 徐鹰: Unit 3; 罗强: Unit 4; 王惠、马洪慧: Unit 5; 江久文: Unit 6; 官艳玲: Unit 7; 杨红: Unit 8; 张梅子: Unit 9; 吴正群: Unit 10; 谭勇: Unit 11; 何俊彦: Unit 12。 本书编写过程中参考了国内外大量报刊书籍,因篇幅所限, 在此不便——列出,并对所有的作者表示谢意!

由于时间仓促,加之编者水平有限,书中不当之处,还望读者批评指正。

编 者 2002年12月

## Contents

Unit One		
Text A	Technology and Progress ······	··· j
Text B	The Role of Technology in Society	11
Unit Two		
Text A	The Beat Goes on	18
Text B	Search for New Organs Leads to the Barnyard	28
Unit Three		
Text A	Voice Recognition Leaps into Appliances	33
Text B	Interactive TV Deal Unites Moxi Digital	
	with Digeo ·····	43
Unit Four		
Text A	China's Space Program	48
Text B		
	Launched ·····	59
Unit Five		
Text A	An Unforeseen Revolution:	
	Computers and Expectations	66
Text B	How Computer Viruses Work·····	77
Unit Six		
Text A	The Internet is Changing Higher Education	82
	TV's Digital Future	

Final Procedures for China's WTO Entry 97
Three Misunderstandings about
China's Accession to WTO107
Rebuilding China's Legislative System
for Foreign Investment113
WTO Briefs124
Faster Than a Speeding Bullet131
Trains on Magnetic Field141
Information Superhighway at Work148
The Information Superhighway Will
Connect Us All ·····159
The New Technologies of Information164
The Areas of Application of New Technology
in Daily Life176
Natural Waste Treatment183
Pollution Is a Dirty Word ·····195

## **Unit One**

## Text A Technology and Progress

## Mey Terms

unequivocal adj. 不含糊的;清楚的

gauge n. 计量器

credibility n. 可靠性;可信性

skeptical adj. 持怀疑态度的,不可信的 coincide v. 与同时发生; 意见一致

diffusion n. 传播 fulcrum n. 支点

cumulative adj. 累积的、渐增的

to the fore 崭露头角

detach v. 分开

dissociation n. 与……分离;与……无关系

impoverishment n. 使穷困;除去优点

subtle adj. 微妙的

technocratic adj. 技术管理的

supplant v. 代替

obviate v. 消除,排除

incompatible adj. 矛盾的,性质相反的

Does improved technology mean progress? If some variant of this question had been addressed to a reliable sample of Americans at any time since the early nineteenth century, the answer of a majority almost certainly would have been an unequivocal "yes". The idea that technological improvements are a primary basis for—and an accurate gauge of—progress has long been a fundamental belief in the United States. In the last half-century, however, that belief has lost some of its credibility. A growing minority of Americans has adopted a skeptical, even negative, view of technological innovation as an index of social progress. To chart this change in attitude, we need to go back at least as far as the first Industrial Revolution.

The development of radically improved machinery (based on mechanized motive power) used in the new factory system of the late eighteenth century coincided with the formulation and diffusion of the modern Enlightenment idea of history as a record of progress. This conception became the fulcrum of the dominant American worldview. It assumed that history, or at least modern history, was driven by the steady, cumulative, and inevitable expansion of human knowledge of and power over nature. The new scientific knowledge and technological power were expected to make possible a comprehensive improvement in all the conditions of life—social, political, moral, and intellectual as well as material.

It also assumed that a necessary criterion of progress was the achievement of political and social liberation. The new sciences and technologies were not regarded as ends in themselves, but as instruments for carrying out a comprehensive transformation of society.

With the further development of industrial capitalism, a quite different conception of technological progress gradually came to the fore in the United States. Americans celebrated the advance of science and technology with increasing fervor, but they began to detach the idea from the goal of social and political liberation. Webster suggested the idea of progress had already been dissociated from the Enlightenment vision of political liberation.

This dissociation of technological and material advancement from the larger political version of progress was an intermediate stage in the eventual impoverishment of that radical eighteen-century worldview. This subtle change prepared the way for the emergence, later in the century, of a thoroughly technocratic idea of progress. It was "technocratic" in that it valued improvements in power, efficiency, rationality as ends in themselves.

The initial Enlightenment belief in progress perceived science and technology to be in the service of liberation from political oppression. Over time that conception was transformed, or partly supplanted, by the now familiar view that innovations in science-based technologies are in themselves a sufficient and reliable basis for progress. The distinction, then, turns on the apparent loss of interest in, or unwillingness to name, the social ends for which the scientific and technological instruments of power are to be used. What we seem to have instead of a guiding political goal is a minimalist definition of civic obligation.

The distinction between two versions of the belief in progress helps sort out reactions to many troubling issues raised by the diffusion of high technology. When, for example, the introduction of some new labor-saving technology is proposed, it is useful to ask what the purpose of this new technology is. Only by questioning the assumption that innovation represents progress can we begin to judge its worth. The aim may well be to reduce labor costs, yet in our society the personal costs to the displaced workers are likely to be ignored.

Here I perhaps need to clarify the claim that it is the modern, technocratic worldview of Webster's intellectual heirs, not the Enlightenment view descended from the Jeffersonians, that encourages the more dangerous contemporary fantasies of domination and total control. The political and social aspirations of the generation of Benjamin Franklin and Thomas Jefferson provided tacit limits to, as well as ends for, the progressive vision of the future. But the technocratic version so popular today entails a belief in the worth of scientific and technological innovations as ends in themselves.

All of which is to say that we urgently need a set of political, social, and cultural goals comparable to those formulated at the beginning of the industrial era if we are to accurately assess the worth of new technologies. Only such goals can provide the criteria required to make rational and humane choice among alternative technologies and, more important, among alternative long-term policies.

Does improved technology mean progress? Yes, it certainly could mean just that. But only if we are willing and able to answer the next question: progress toward what? What is it that we want our new technologies to accomplish? What do we want beyond such immediate, limited goals as achieving efficiencies, decreasing financial costs, and eliminating the troubling human element from our workplaces? In the absence of answers to these questions, technological improvements may very well turn out to be incompatible with genuine, that is to say social, progress.

### **Exercises**

### 1. Reading Comprehension

This text is primarily concerned with \_\_\_\_\_\_.
 A. technological progress

	B. the Enlightenment belief in progress
	C. the technocratic concept of progress
	D. the evolution of the concept of progress
2)	The author's attitude to the question "Does improved technology
	mean progress?" probably tends to be
	A. positive B. negative
	C. objective D. subjective
3)	According to the Enlightenment belief, technological innovations
	A. are only technological progress
	B. are ends in themselves
	C. are human progress
	D. should be dissociated from the goal of social and political
	liberation
4)	The technocratic idea regarded technological innovations as
	A. an index of social progress
	B. ends in themselves
	C. instruments to change society
	D. primary basis for progress / service of liberation from political
	oppression
5)	The author tends to be in favor of
	A. the Enlightenment belief in progress
	B. the technocratic concept of progress
	C. both A and B
	D. neither A nor B
6)	Which of the statements is TRUE according to the passage?
	A. The Americans hold the consistent idea that technological
	improvements are a primary basis for progress.
	B. Improved technology means true progress.

C. The technocratic view is more advanced than that of the
Enlightenment.
D. There are different perspectives of the relationship of technology to society.
7) When we assess the worth of new technologies, we should
consider whether
A. they increase efficiencies
B. they decrease financial costs
C. they represent progress
D. we've combined its technological progress and social progress
8) The example of the introduction of some new labor-saving
technology is to prove that
A. different perspectives result in different reactions
B. technological innovation presents progress
C. the aim of the technology is to reduce labor costs
D. we should not dissociate technological innovations from social
goals
9) Among the following persons, who held the technocratic idea
about technology?
A. Jefferson B. Webster
C. Franklin D. Jeffersonian
10) The immediate goals of new technologies are
A. achieving efficiencies
B. decreasing financial costs
C. eliminating the troubling human element from our workplaces
D. all of the above
2. Structure & Vocabulary
1) Everyone says our team will win, but I am
6

	A. skeptical on it	B. skeptical of it
	C. skeptical in it	D. skeptical up it
2)	You can detach the handletl	ne door by undoing this screw.
	A. from	B. of
	C. about	D. away
3)	He didn't others	opinion, so he didn't sign the
	contract.	
	A. coincide with	B. coincide in
	C. coincide with in	D. coincide in with
4)	The crisis in the Middle East sud-	denly as an expert
	negotiator.	
	A. came to the fore	B. came him to the fore
	C. brought him to the fore	D. make him to the fore
5)	It's difficult to technologic	al innovation social
	progress.	
	A. associate to	B. dissociate to
	C. dissociate with	D. dissociate with
6)	All Chinese celebrated China's entry	into WTO
	A. to increasingly fore	
	B. with increasing fervor	•
	C. with increasingly fever	
	D. with increasingly fervor	
7)	The unique quality to be success is h	nard work through which you
	may a fortune.	
	A. accumulate	B. cumulative
	C. accelerate	D. cumulate
8)	It's unequivocal that investment in ed	lucation has become
	the development of a country.	
	A. indispensable of	B. indispensable to

C. indispensable with	D. indispensable in	
9) His poetry is so good that it is almost _	Shakespeare's.	
A. comparable with	B. compared with	
C. comparable to	D. comparative with	
10) What he is a political career, so he is very active i		
activities.		
A. aspires after	B. aspires to	
C. aspires for	D. aspirates for	

#### 3. Translate the Following into English

- 1)她年纪轻轻就通过律师考试,不久就成为了有名的律师。 (to the fore)
- 2)随着科技的传播,人们逐渐认识到他们对社会的影响。 (diffusion)
- 3)科技创新对社会的进步作出了贡献,这一点是不用怀疑的,可仍然有些人持怀疑态度。(unequivocal, skeptical)
- 4)人们认为人类知识和经验的积累、扩大是技术革新的基础。 (cumulative)
- 5)他所说的结果与事实不相吻合。(incompatible with)

#### 4. Translate the Following into Chinese

- 1) The idea that technological improvements are a primary basis for—and an accurate gauge of—progress has long been a fundamental belief in the United States.
- 2) A growing minority of Americans has adopted a skeptical, even negative, view of technological innovation as an index of social progress.
- 3) The new sciences and technologies were not regarded as ends in themselves, but as instruments for carrying out a comprehensive transformation of society.

- 4) The distinction between two versions of the belief in progress helps sort out reactions to the many troubling issues raised by the diffusion of high technology.
- 5) In the absence of answers to these questions, technological improvements may very well turn out to be incompatible with genuine, that is to say social, progress.

Early humans used natural resources to satisfy their \_\_\_\_ (1)

#### 5. Cloze

for air, water, food, and (2). These natural, unprocessed
resources were readily available in the biosphere, and the residues
generated by the use of such (3) were generally compatible
(4), or readily assimilated by, the (5). Primitive
humans ate plant and animal foods without even disturbing the
atmosphere with the (6) from a campfire. Even when use of
(7) became common, the relatively small amounts of smoke
generated were easily and rapidly dispersed and (8) by the
atmosphere.
Early civilizations often (9) the same rivers in which they
bathed and deposited their( 10 ), yet the impact of such use was
relatively slight, as natural cleansing mechanisms easily restored
water quality. These early (11) used caves and other natural
shelters or else fashioned their homes from wood, dirt, or animal skins.
Often nomadic, early populations left behind (12) items that
were not readily broken down and absorbed by the (13),
hydrosphere, or lithosphere. And those items that were not broken
down with time were so few in number and so innocuous as to present
no significant solid waste problems.

Only as early peoples began to gather together in larger, more or