

## Imitation to Innovation in China

The Role of Patents in Biotechnology and Pharmaceutical Industries

Yahong Li



# Imitation to Innovation in China

The Role of Patents in Biotechnology and Pharmaceutical Industries

. Dr Yahong Li

Associate Professor, Faculty of Law, the University of Hong Kong

NEW HORIZONS IN INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY

**Edward Elgar** 

Cheltenham, UK . Northampton, MA, USA

#### © Yahong Li 2010

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical or photocopying, recording, or otherwise without the prior permission of the publisher.

Published by Edward Elgar Publishing Limited The Lypiatts 15 Lansdown Road Cheltenham Glos GL50 2JA UK

Edward Elgar Publishing, Inc. William Pratt House 9 Dewey Court Northampton Massachusetts 01060 USA

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Control Number: 2009940738



ISBN 978 1 84844 206 1

Printed and bound by MPG Books Group, UK

#### To Professor John H. Barton, a Great Mentor

and

For Grace and Gloria

#### Foreword

Today, China is undertaking what is probably history's most spectacular investment in basic science, especially in biotechnology. Under its 2006 Science and Technology Plan, it has set out an ambitious goal of investing 2.5 per cent of GDP in research and development by 2020. This will require nearly a quadrupling of expenditure. Among the targeted areas are protein science, development and reproductive biology, transgenic plant breeding, and drug development. China already has more researchers than any other nation except the United States. And China is just developing new plans for bringing more equality to national health care.

It is not clear to me, however, whether this investment will be successful in benefiting the Chinese economy or the Chinese citizen in the street. As noted in a recent OECD report, China's 'capabilities for making productive use of accumulated investment in R&D, human resources for science and technology..., and the related infrastructure have developed much [more] slowly, especially in the business sector,' than has the nation's overall socioeconomic progress.<sup>3</sup> There are many steps in R&D from basic research to the development of products that matter for health care or for food production. At each stage, entrepreneurs and innovators, whether in the government or in the private sector, are needed to take basic ideas, visualize their application, and invest in the research and production facilities needed to turn those ideas into products. China will require an enormous infrastructure of institutions and legislation for this to happen.

Intellectual property may significantly help this process. In the United States, for example, research sponsored by the National Institutes of Health at major research universities is often patented and licensed to venture-capital funded biotechnology companies. These firms bring basic research ideas to the academic to some degree of commercial applicability and then either invest in the needed clinical trials and production processes or sell the ideas through joint ventures with existing larger pharmaceutical firms. Patents are important to the universities, to the venture capital process and to the strategic alliance process.

It is also possible that intellectual property could harm the process. Patents on research tools have complicated biotechnology research in the United States. And in agricultural biotechnology, patents have led to industry conglomeration in ways that have slowed entry by new firms and may have slowed application to important crops such as wheat.

This is the background against which Professor Yahong Li is publishing this important and much needed book. It would be relatively easy to write a book that examines China's patent legislation and compares it with China's commitments under the 1995 Agreement for Trade Related Intellectual Property. It would also be relatively easy to describe criticisms of China's enforcement procedures, typically relying on critiques by foreign firms seeking stronger intellectual property rights. What is special about this book is that it goes well beyond such analysis.

First, Professor Li brings solid knowledge of the biotechnology sector. Therefore she is able to investigate the law to a much deeper degree – not just to note enforcement difficulties but also to examine whether the Chinese implementation of the law in different areas really serves the social policies of encouraging research and the application of that research. The United States is discovering, for example, that details of patent law – what kinds of inventions should receive protection, how broad that protection should be, what rights it provides – make an enormous difference in whether the law in fact helps innovation or hurts it. Professor Li applies this kind of thinking to China.

Second, Professor Li knows the Chinese language and has looked carefully at a variety of Chinese judicial material. Her reviews of specific controversies and decisions provide deep insight into how the Chinese patent granting and enforcement system really works, and allow consideration of the details of patent coverage — details that are crucial for evaluating the effectiveness of the law. I know of no other academic writing in English which has combined knowledge of the industry with knowledge of original Chinese sources.

Third, Professor Li brings understanding of the business matrix of biotechnology, including the working of the US venture capital and research communities. Hence, she is able to provide insight into the really difficult questions that will face China as it attempts to convert this massive research investment into products that benefit the Chinese people. These are not just questions of the scope of patent coverage in biotechnology; they are also questions of the applicability of antitrust law, of the appropriate government rights in government-funded inventions, and of the matrix of contract law and intellectual property law that affects licensing.

In short, Professor Li is publishing a highly valuable book at an optimal time. It will, of course, be valuable to practitioners. But its value is deeper.

Foreword xi

China will face new tasks in the next decades in ensuring that its expanded scientific research investment genuinely benefits its society, especially in a time of likely economic slowdown. I very much doubt that China's system for harnessing innovation will look much like that of the United States university licensing and venture capital system; it will almost certainly involve different kinds of mechanisms designed for China's society. I am absolutely confident, however, that China's decision-making in this area will benefit from the analysis in this book.

I am privileged to have been Professor Li's dissertation supervisor at Stanford and to provide this Foreword. Her book goes way beyond that dissertation and is a valuable contribution to our understanding of China's patent law and its innovation system.

John Barton Stanford Law School

#### NOTES

1. H. Xin and G. Yidong, China Bets Big on Big Science; Science 311: 1548-9 (17 March 2006)

2. OECD Reviews of Innovation Policy, OECD 2008.

3. Id.

#### Preface and Acknowledgments

Patents played, and may be still playing, an indispensable role in promoting innovation in developed countries such as the United Kingdom, the United States, Germany and Japan. Yet they have become a target of increasing criticism in these countries for blocking innovation and access to medicine due to broad, extended and overlapping protection. What role, then, do patents play in China, the world's largest developing country with a relatively high technological capacity but still in transition from imitation to innovation? How can China use patents to stimulate innovation while avoiding adverse effects that they have had in developed countries? Those issues are the focus of this book as it explores China's biotechnology and pharmaceutical patenting.

Seven years ago when I started this project, I had a rather simple goal in mind, that is, to ascertain how China's patent law governs biotechnology and pharmaceutical inventions. I planned to focus on legislation only, to compare Chinese law on biotechnology and pharmaceutical patenting with the laws of other countries. However, as the research progressed I became less satisfied. than I had been with the existing literature and my original goal. I found that analyzing law without understanding the industries and technology that it governs is like building a skyscraper on sand. My research therefore expanded to include industries, markets and R&D capacity, all of which proved more challenging than I expected. There is a sea of materials and statistics that lack authority and consistency, in need of constant verification and updating. Understanding the science of biotechnology and pharmacology was another fascinating but tremendous challenge. I was humbled by the long and painful process of learning, and grateful for all the help and insight I received from some distinguished scientists at the University of Hong Kong (HKU): Professors C.M. Che of the Department of Chemistry and Professor William Mak of the former Director of Genome Research Center. I was also very fortunate to have had a 'private tutor' to consult with on a daily basis. My husband, Professor X.Y. Guan, a cancer geneticist at HKU Faculty of Medicine, constantly rescued me from the frustration of understanding the difficult concepts of biotechnology. The project would not have moved as smoothly without him.

I met an even greater challenge when trying to understand the implications of business statistics and the scientific literature on patent law, and how patent law influences business and scientific activities. In these areas I was guided by the late Professor John Barton of Stanford Law School, with his enlightening and often provocative questions, comments and suggestions. An ongoing exchange of ideas with him helped to deepen my analyses and arguments, particularly in relation to how patents help to commercialize biotechnology and pharmaceutical inventions, the role of private funding and government support for scientific research, the differences between the commercialization processes of the United States and China, and whether antitrust law has any place in solving the problem of monopoly in China's biotech patenting. I also benefited significantly from the suggestions and comments of Professors Mark Lemley and Henry Greely of Stanford Law School, who were instrumental in shaping my research direction and questions, particularly with respect to the relationship between patent law and the diversification of technologies, and ethical issues in China's biotechnology and pharmaceutical research. The discussion on the interplay between patents and business, science and ethics was further enriched by insights and information from the following scholars and professionals of various disciplines: Professor Paul Cheung, Director of HKU Technology Transfer Office, Professor Tao Zhigang of HKU School of Business, Professor Dan Yang of HKU Department of Chemistry, Professor Edwin Hui of HKU Faculty of Medicine, Mr Alan Fan of Huawei Technologies Co. Ltd, Ms Lin He of Wyeth, and Dr Minyue Zhang of Nanjing University.

The most difficult part of the project, however, was that there had been three drafts and one final amendment to the Chinese patent law since I undertook my research, necessitating constant changes to my manuscript. Another difficulty lay in finding the statistics of patent filings for the biotech and pharmaceutical industries because they were recorded based on patent categories, patent applicants, or by country rather than by industry. In addition to reading the scattered reports and articles on China's biotech and pharmaceutical industries, I enlisted Ms Shan Chi's help in finding the patent applications for biotechnology and pharmaceutical inventions and the subsequent decisions made by China's patent office. In assessing the difficulties and problems embedded in the country's biotechnology and pharmaceutical patenting, I benefited from discussions with Dr Yonghong Li of China's State Intellectual Property Office, Professor Naigen Zhang of Fudan University, Professor Xi Wang of Shanghai Jiaotong University, and Mr Xun Xu of Shanghai Patent and Trademarks Office LLC.

I owe a debt of gratitude to Professor Dr Heinz Goddar of Boehmert & Boehmert for reading my manuscript and providing me with many insightful comments that helped me to rethink some of the issues. I am very thankful that Professor Jon M. Garon of Hamline University Law School and Professor Peter Yu of Drake University Law School read my manuscript and pointed out several places that required improvement. My appreciation also goes to Dr Jasemine Chambers of the US Patent and Trademarks Office, for suggesting that the latest US biotechnology patenting case, *In re Kubin*, should be discussed in Chapter 7 of this book.

The completion of this book would not have been possible without understanding and support from the Dean of HKU Faculty of Law, Professor Johannes Chan, and the former and current Heads of HKU Department of Law, Mr Michael Jackson and Professor Hualing Fu. I am also grateful for the opportunity provided by HKU to participate in two university strategic research themes – Drug Discovery and Synthesis, and Ethical and Legal Issues for Genomics, Proteomics and Bioinformatics – from which I learned more than I contributed. I was also fortunate to receive a significant grant from the Research Grant Committee of Hong Kong government which was very important in helping me carry out my research.

I would like to express my appreciation to Edward Elgar Publishing (EE) for its vision and commitment in promoting the understanding of IPRs around the world, and for publishing my book. My special thanks go to EE staffs Mr Ben Booth, Ms Jenny Wilcox and Ms Sylvia Trevis for patiently and efficiently working with me from the beginning of the book project.

This book is dedicated to the late Professor John Barton, my JSD supervisor at Stanford Law School, who passed away shortly before the manuscript was completed. I am saddened by the fact that he will never see the book in print, and feel profoundly honored to have his Foreword published in the book, displaying his deep concern about the conflict between patents and social development, his tireless search for a better solution, and his wholehearted support for the endeavors of a younger generation of intellectual property law scholars. The publication of this book now has a new meaning — it is a living testimony of Professor Barton's life-long dedication and contribution to legal education and to the scholarship of intellectual property law, particularly on the interplay between law, science and social development.

Yahong Li Hong Kong

#### List of Abbreviations and Acronyms

'863' Program National High-Tech Development Program

'973' program National Basic Research Program

1035 Project Medical and Pharmaceutical Innovation Project

Bt Bacillus thuringiensis

CAFC Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit

CAS Chinese Academy of Sciences

CAS-MPG CAS and the Max Planck Society

CAU China Agriculture University

CBD Convention on Biodiversity

CIPR Commission on Intellectual Property Rights

CNCBD China National Center for Biotech Development

CTD Committee on Trade and Development

EC European Commission

ED erectile dysfunction

EPC European Patent Convention

EPO Erythropoietin

EPO European Patent Office

ESCRO Embryos Stem Cell Research Oversight

ESCs embryonic stem cells

EU European Union

FDI foreign direct investment

FDZJ Fudan-Zhangjiang Bio-Pharmaceutical Co. Ltd.

GBHI Guangzhou Bio-Medicine and Health Institute

G-CSF granulocyte colony-stimulating factor

GDP gross domestic product

GLP good laboratory practice

GM genetically modified

GM-CSF granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor

GMP good manufacture practice

GSK GlaxoSmithKline

HAU Huanan Agriculture University

HBV hepatitis B virus

HCRFs homologous complement restriction factors

HCV hepatitis C virus

HESC human embryonic stem cell

HGP Human Genome Project

HLPP Human Liver Proteome Project

HUGO Human Genome Organization

HUPO Human Proteome Organization

IL-2 Interleukin 2

IPR intellectual property right

IUPGR The International Undertaking on Plant Genetic Resources

IVF in vitro fertilization

LDC least developed country

LDL low-density lipoprotein

MCP Gene methylcyclopropene gene

DAF Gene decay accelerating factor gene

MOST Ministry of Science and Technology

MPCs multinational pharmaceutical companies

MSD Merck Sharp & Dohme

NCE new chemical entity

NIH National Institute of Health

NPC National People's Congress

NPCSC National People's Congress Standing Committee

PAA patent administrative agencies

PCR polymerase chain reaction

PCT Patent Cooperation Treaty

PRC People's Republic of China

PREB Patent Re-Examination Board

PVR plant variety right

QSAR quantitative structure-activity relationship

S&T Science and Technology

SARS Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome

SCI Science Citation Impact

SETC State Economic and Trade Commission

SFDA State Food and Drug Administration

SIMM Shanghai Institute of Materia Medica

SIPO State Intellectual Property Office

SMEs small and medium-sized enterprises

SNP single nucleotide polymorphism

SPC Supreme People's Court

TCM traditional Chinese medicines

TPA Tissue Plasminogen Activator

TRIPS Trade-Related Aspects of IPRs Agreement

373.7	Ť	1	1
XV	п	п	1

#### Imitation to Innovation in China

UNESCO UN Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization

UPOV International Union for the Protection of New Varieties of

Plants

USPTO United State Patent and Trademark Office

WARF Wisconsin Alumni Research Foundation

WIPO World Intellectual Property Organization

WWBP Worldwide Biotech & Pharmaceutical Company

YUT Yunnan University Technology Ltd

#### Contents

Foreword Preface and Aci List of Abbrevia	knowledgments ations and Acronyms	ix xii xv
	THE ROLE OF PATENTS IN INNOVATION: INTRODUCTION	1
<ol> <li>Background</li> <li>The Theoret</li> </ol>	and Questions ical Debates on the Role of Patents in Innovation	2
	AN OVERVIEW OF CHINA'S BIOTECHNOLOGY AND PHARMACEUTICAL INDUSTRIES	21
The Biotechn     The Pharmac	nology Industry	21 25
CHAPTER 3	INNOVATION CAPACITY AND INFRASTRUCTURE	30
2. The Government	rends and Capacities ment's Role in R&D estment and International Collaboration	30 38 43
CHAPTER 4	MODELS OF R&D AND COMMERCIALIZATION	52
<ol> <li>Incremental</li> <li>Moving Tow</li> <li>Commercial</li> </ol>	vard Innovation	52 53 57 59 65

CHAPTER 5	PTER 5 THE ROLE OF PATENTS IN INNOVATION: CASE STUDIES	
2. Growing Pa	of Patent Filing and Granting atent Disputes of Patents on Innovation	69 82 89
CHAPTER 6	PATENTABLE BIOTECHNOLOGY AND PHARMACEUTICAL INVENTIONS	96
	d and General Bio-Patent Regime Biotechnologies and Pharmaceuticals	96 98
CHAPTER 7	PATENTABILITY OF BIOTECHNOLOGY AND PHARMACEUTICAL INVENTIONS	120
2. Non-obviou	rom a Mixed to an Absolute Test usness or Inventiveness tractical Applicability	120 123 131
CHAPTER 8	PATENT RIGHTS IN BIOTECHNOLOGY AND PHARMACEUTICAL INVENTIONS	136
2. Protection	of Patent Rights of Patent Rights to Patent Rights	136 141 146
CHAPTER 9	THE ROLE OF PATENTS IN INNOVATION: FURTHER REFLECTIONS	157
<ol> <li>Are Patents</li> <li>What Pater</li> <li>Innovation</li> </ol>	ogical Capacity Suitable for Innovation? s Relevant to Innovation? nt Regime is Most Appropriate for Innovation? and Balance of Rights: Which is More Important? the Role of Patents in Innovation g Remarks	158 159 164 168 172 176
Index		181

### 1. The Role of Patents in Innovation: Introduction

The topic of the role of patents in innovation is not new, rather, it is an old topic which has been debated for centuries by many scholars in many books and articles. This book does not intend to repeat what had been said in the existing literature, but attempts to add new findings to the existing stock of knowledge, and use these new findings to correlate or verify some of the existing conclusions. The new findings contain a central question which needs to be answered, that is: do patents promote innovation in biotechnology and pharmaceutical industries in China? This is a country which is largely different from most countries in the world as it is the largest developing country; a transitional economy with a strong public element, and the world's fourth-largest economy with relatively high technological capacity. Phrasing this question in another way: how do patents' role in China's biotechnology and pharmaceutical industries differ from their role in those industries in industrialized and other developing countries? The new findings will be derived from a thorough research into biotechnology and pharmaceutical patenting in China in later chapters: its technological background, legal standards, and empirical data analyses of patent applications and litigations, and so on. However, these findings will be of little meaning unless compared with the existing literature on the topic. Therefore, an introduction of existing debates in this chapter on the role of patents in innovation, including the debates about patent's role in industrialized countries and in developing countries, will serve as a theoretical foundation for the discussions of the new findings about the patent's role in China later on. In the meantime, to help readers understand the justifications and significances of some events, facts and legal issues discussed in later chapters, this chapter also provides background information on how the issue of patents and innovation was raised and what are the laws and policies concerning patents and innovation in China.