

# TISSUE CULTURE OF THE NERVOUS SYSTEM

Edited by

GORDON SATO

SAW

Department of Biology University of California, San Diego La Jolla, California

PLENUM PRESS . NEW YC

# Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication Data

Sato, Gordon.

Tissue culture of the nervous system.

(Current topics in neurobiology, v. 1) Includes bibliographies.

 Nerve tissue—Cultures and culture media. 2. Cell culture. I. Title. [DNLM:
 Nervous system—Cytology. 2. Neurochemistry. 3. Tissue culture. W1Cu82P v. 1 1973/WL101 T616 1973]

QM575.S27

ISBN 0-306-36701-7

591.1'88

73-79426

First Printing - January 1974 Second Printing - November 1974

© 1973 Plenum Press, New York A Division of Plenum Publishing Corporation 227 West 17th Street, New York, N.Y. 10011

United Kingdom edition published by Plenum Press, London A Division of Plenum Publishing Company, Ltd. Davis House (4th Floor), 8 Scrubs Lane, Harlesden, London, NW10 6SE, England

All rights reserved

No part of this publication may be reproduced in any form without written permission from the publisher

Printed in the United States of America

# CONTRIBUTORS

#### G. Augusti-Tocco

Laboratorio di Embriologia Molecolare, C.N.R., Arco Felice Naples, Italy

#### Gary Brooker

Department of Pharmacology School of Medicine, University of Virginia Charlottesville, Virginia

#### L. Casola

Istituto Internazionale di Genetica e Biofisica, C.N.R. Naples. Italy

# Glyn Dawson

Departments of Pediatrics and Biochemistry LaRabida-University of Chicago Institute and Joseph P. Kennedy, Jr. Mental Retardation Research Center Pritzker School of Medicine, University of Chicago Chicago, Illinois

# Jean de Vellis

Laboratory of Nuclear Medicine and Radiation Biology and Department of Anatomy, University of California Los Angeles, California

#### Albert Dorfman

Departments of Pediatrics and Biochemistry LaRabida-University of Chicago Institute and Joseph P. Kennedy, Jr. Mental Retardation Research Center Pritzker School of Medicine, University of Chicago Chicago, Illinois

# Barbara P. Grauling

The Departments of Biological Chemistry and Neurosciences and Laboratory of Nuclear Medicine and Radiation Biology School of Medicine, University of California Los Angeles, California

#### A. John Harris

The Salk Institute, San Diego, California

#### Stephen Heinemann

The Salk Institute, San Diego, California

#### Harvey R. Herschman

The Departments of Biological Chemistry and Neurosciences and Laboratory of Nuclear Medicine and Radiation Biology School of Medicine, University of California Los Angeles, California

#### Y. Kidokoro

The Salk Institute, San Diego, California

#### Michael P. Lerner

The Departments of Biological Chemistry and Neurosciences and Laboratory of Nuclear Medicine and Radiation Biology School of Medicine, University of California Los Angeles, California

## R. Levi-Montalcini

Laboratorio di Biologia Cellulare, C.N.R. Rome, Italy

## John D. Minna

Laboratory of Biochemical Genetics National Heart and Lung Institute National Institutes of Health Bethesda, Maryland

### P. G. Nelson

Behavioral Biology Branch, National Institute of Child Health and Human Development National Institutes of Health Bethesda, Maryland

# E. Parisi

Laboratorio di Embriologia Molecolare, C.N.R., Arco Felice Naples, Italy

#### James Patrick

The Salk Institute, San Diego, California

#### S. E. Pfeiffer

Department of Microbiology University of Connecticut Health Center Farmington, Connecticut

#### M. Romano

Istituto Internazionale di Genetica e Biofisica, C.N.R. Naples, Italy

#### Roger N. Rosenberg

Department of Neurosciences and Pediatrics and University Hospital, University of California at San Diego School of Medicine La Jolla, California

#### **David Schubert**

The Salk Institute, San Diego, California

# Nicholas W. Seeds

Department of Biophysics and Genetics and Department of Psychiatry University of Colorado Medical Center Denver, Colorado

#### K. R. Seshan

Department of Biology, Washington University St. Louis, Missouri

#### Joseph Henry Steinbach

The Salk Institute, San Diego, California

#### Allen C. Stoolmiller

Departments of Pediatrics and Biochemistry LaRabida-University of Chicago Institute and Joseph P. Kennedy, Jr. Mental Retardation Research Center Pritzker School of Medicine, University of Chicago Chicago, Illinois

#### F. Zucco

Laboratorio di Embriologia Molecolare, C.N.R., Arco Felice Naples, Italy

此为试读,需要完整PDF请访问: www.ertongbook.com

# Preface

The impetus for compiling this book was the recent development of culture strains of neuroblastoma and glial cells and the immediate and enthusiastic way they have been taken up as model systems. After the first sudden rush of activity, it seems appropriate to pause, to assess progress, and to contemplate the future contributions that may be possible using these culture techniques.

Long before the advent of established strains, cultures of nervous tissue had already contributed to neurobiology. Ross Harrison, in 1906, in a single experimental series, established tissue culture as a promising new technique in cell biology and settled the Golgi–Cajal controversy as to whether axonic processes originated as outgrowths from the cell body or were formed first in the intercellular spaces and were later connected to the cell body. Harrison observed process growth from nerve cells in cultures, thus settling the matter in favor of Cajal. Of great importance to neurobiology is the discovery by Rita Levi-Montalcini of nerve growth factor. Cultures of spinal ganglia played a major role in the discovery, isolation, and characterization of the factor (Levi-Montalcini *et al.*, 1954). In my opinion, this discovery, although very well known, has not yet been adequately recognized for its germinal influence on neurobiology and embryology.

Progress since the advent of clonal cultures has been more modest. I would like to cite two pieces of work which emphasize the technical advantages of these cultures. The culture techniques have separated the neural and glial elements of nervous tissue, have freed the cells from restrictions found *in vivo*, and have enormously increased the ease with which these cells can be manipulated. To illustrate the first point, Jean de Vellis and coworkers demonstrated the stimulation of glycerol phosphate dehydrogenase in rat brain by adrenocortical steroids. It was not known whether this reaction was taking place in neurons or glia or both. Culture experiments have shown that glia respond and neurons or neuroblastoma cells do not (de Vellis and Inglish, 1969). The second point is illustrated with work on vitamin B<sub>12</sub>

viii Preface

deficiency. Nervous tissue along with hemopoetic tissue is adversly affected by vitamin  $B_{12}$  deficiency, and the biochemical nature of the lesion has escaped elucidation. If neuroblastoma or glioma cultures are made vitamin  $B_{12}$  deficient, they can accumulate large quantities of odd chain fatty acids in their plasma membranes. Cultures of nervous tissue origin are more prone to accumulate odd chain fatty acids than cultures from other tissues. This phenomenon was more readily discovered in culture than in the whole animal because the whole animal probably cannot tolerate this substitution to any great extent and would be dead before levels of odd chain fatty acids became easily detectable. Cells in culture, freed from the responsibility of performing sensitive functions vital to life, can tolerate substitution to a much greater extent (Barley *et al.*, 1972). This example illustrates to an extreme degree the ease of manipulation in culture. Here membrane constituents can be varied at will and correlated with physiological function.

Given the technical advantages of these cultures, what can we expect in the future? Are problems such as the regulation of synapse formation, the mechanism of neurotransmitter substances, the biochemistry of action-potential generation, and the function of glia amenable to culture experimentation? What about higher cognitive processes? Are these even in the realm of biochemistry and cell biology? These questions are the ultimate concern of our contributors. Although all share in common the *in vitro* culture methods, their individual approaches and concerns are, appropriately for this stage, diverse.

GORDON SATO, Professor

Department of Biology
University of California/San Diego
La Jolla, California

# REFERENCES

Harrison, R. G. 1906. Observations on the living developing nerve fiber. Anat. Rec. 1: 116-124.

Levi-Montalcini, R., Meyer, H., and Hamberger, V. 1954. In vitro experiments on the effects of mouse sarcoma 180 and 37 on the spinal and sympathetic ganglia of the chick embryo. Cancer Res. 14:49–57.

de Vellis, J., and Inglish, D. 1969. Glycerolphosphate dehydrogenase induction in a cloned glial cell culture by glucocorticoids, pp. 151–152. Second Intern at. Meet. Soc. Neurochem., Milan, Italy.

Barley, F. W., Sato, G., and Abeles, R. H. 1972. Effect of vitamin B<sub>12</sub> deficiency in tissue culture. *J. Biol. Chem.* **247**:4270.

# Contents

Chap	ter 1
	-Term Cultures of Embryonic and Mature Insect Nervous and
Ne	uroendocrine Systems
R. L	evi-Montalcini and K. R. Seshan
I.	Introduction
II.	The Object of Choice: Periplaneta americana
III.	Material and Techniques
IV.	Specific Problems6
	A. Cell Migration and Axonal Growth from Embryonic
	Brain and Ganglia6
	B. Selective Outgrowth of Nerve Fibers and Interconnections
	In Vitro Between Thoracic Ganglia and Limb Bud Primordia 13
* *	C. In Vitro Studies on the Insect Neuroendocrine System17
	Concluding Remarks and Perspectives
VI.	References
Chap	ter 2
Diffe	rentiation of Aggregating Brain Cell Cultures
Nich	olas W. Seeds
I.	Introduction
II.	Aggregation
III.	Migration
IV.	Biochemical Differentiation40
V.	Morphological Differentiation47
VI.	Prospectus49
VII.	Acknowledgments50
VIII.	References50

# Chapter 3

Differentiation	and	Interaction	of	Clonal	Cell	Lines	of	Nerve	and	Mu	scl	6
-----------------	-----	-------------	----	--------	------	-------	----	-------	-----	----	-----	---

David Schubert, A. John Harris, Stephen Heinemann, Y. Kidokoro, James Patrick, and Joseph Henry Steinbach

I.	Introduction
II,	Origin of Cell Lines57
	A. Neuroblastoma Cl300
	B. Myoblast
III.	Morphological Differentiation
	A. Neuroblastoma61
	B. Myoblast
IV,	Chemical Differentiation
	A. Neuroblastoma
	B. Myoblast
V.	Electrical Differentiation
	A. Neuroblastoma
	B. Myoblast
VI.	Differentiation and Cell Culture
VII.	Tropic Interaction Between Neuroblastoma and Myoblast
	Cell Lines83
VIII.	Summary
IX.	References84
Chan	to: 1
Chap	
	emical Characterization of a Clonal Line of Neuroblastoma
G. Au	igusti-Tocco, E. Parisi, F. Zucco, L. Casola, and M. Romano
I.	Introduction87
II.	Nucleic Acid and Protein Content
III.	RNA Biosynthesis
	A. Uridine Incorporation into RNA90
	B. Sedimentation Pattern on Sucrose Gradient of Newly
	Synthesized RNA90
IV.	Protein Biosynthesis
	A. Uptake and Incorporation of Leucine95
	B. Analysis of Proteins by Polyacrylamide Gel
	Electrophoresis
V.	Glycoproteins of the Cell Surface
VI.	Discussion
VII.	References 105

Contents xi

Chapi	ter 5
Regul	ation of Neuronal Enzymes in Cell Culture
Roger	N. Rosenberg
I. II.	Introduction107Dissociated Primary Mouse Brain Cultures108A. Monolayer Cultures108B. Suspension–Rotation Cultures112
III. IV.	Neuroblastoma C1300 Ajax Mouse Cells in Culture
V.	B. Neurotransmitter Inactivation
VI.	B. The Brain Code
Chapi	ter 6
Electr	rophysiological Studies of Normal and Neoplastic Cells in Tissue Culture
P. G.	Nelson
I. III. IV. V.	Introduction
Chapi	ter 7
	tic Analysis of the Mammalian Nervous System Using Somatic Cell lture Techniques
John	D. Minna
I. II. III.	General Methods for Genetic Analysis of the Nervous System

	C. Regulation of Adenosine-Cyclic-3',5'-Monophosphate	177
	(c AMP)  D. Evidence for Pleiotropic Negative Control of	1:7:7
	D. Evidence for Pleiotropic Negative Control of Neuroectodermal Properties	177
***		177
IV.	Generation of Propagating Cell Lines from Normal Neurons	170
44	and Glia	100
V,	Conclusions and Future Forecast	
VI.	Acknowledgments	101
VII.	References	181
Chap	ter 8	
	ous System-Specific Proteins in Cultured Neural Cells	
Harv	ey R. Herschman, Barbara P. Grauling, and Michael P. Lerner	
I.	Introduction	
11.	Experimental Neural Tumors	
III.	S100 Protein Production by C6 Rat Glial Cells	
IV.	Synthesis and Degradation of S100 Protein in C6 Cells	
	A. Synthesis of S100 Protein by C6 Cells	
	B. Degradation of S100 Protein in C6 Cells	195
V.	Production of 14-3-2 Protein by Human Neuroblastoma	
	Cells in Culture	
VI.	Conclusions	200
VII.	Acknowledgments	201
VIII.	References	20
Chap	ter 9	
1	I Lines of Glial Cells	
S. E.	Pfeiffer	
I.	Introduction	203
II.	Isolation of Clonal Lines	
	A. General Approach	
	B. Specific Approach	
III.	Cellular Location of S100 Protein.	
	A. S100 Protein in Experimental Rat Tumors	
	B. S100 Protein in Human Tumors	
	C. S100 Protein in Clonal Cell Lines	
IV.	Studies of Myelination in Vitro	
	A. Demyelinating Disease.	
	B. Primary Tissue Culture Systems	
	C. Clonal Line of Rat Peripheral Neurinoma Cells	
V.	Prospectus	
VI.	References	

Contents xiii

Chapt Induct	er 10 tion of Enzymes by Glucocorticoids and Catecholamines in a Rat Glia	ıl Cell
Lin		
Jean o	de Vellis and Gary Brooker	
I.	Introduction	231
II.	Materials and Methods	
III.	Induction of Glycerol Phosphate Dehydrogenase by Cortisol	
IV.	Effect of Catecholamines on Cyclic AMP and	
	Lactic Dehydrogenase	236
V.	Differential Sensitivity of GPDH and LDH Inductions to	
	Inhibitors of RNA Synthesis	
VI.	Conclusions	
VII.	References	243
CI.		
Chapt		
	Aetabolism of Glycosphingolipids and Glycosaminoglycans	
Allen	C. Stoolmiller, Glyn Dawson, and Albert Dorfman	
I.	Introduction	247
11.	Glycosphingolipids	
	A. Glycosphingolipids of the Nervous System	
	B. Biosynthesis of Glycosphingolipids	
	C. Catabolism of Glycosphingolipids	
	D. Metabolism of Glycosphingolipids in Normal Nerve Cells.	252
	E. Glycosphingolipid Metabolism in Cultured Cell Strains of Nervous System Origin	255
III.	Glycosaminoglycans	
111.	A. Chemistry of Glycosaminoglycans	
	B. Biosynthesis of Glycosaminoglycans	
	C. Glycosaminoglycans in Nervous Tissue	
	D. Production of Glycosaminoglycans in Cultured Cell	
	Strains of Nervous System Origin	269
IV.	Collagen Synthesis	273
V.	Conclusions	
VI.	References	274
Y Y		
Indov		201

# Chapter 1

# Long-Term Cultures of Embryonic and Mature Insect Nervous and Neuroendocrine Systems

R. Levi-Montalcini Laboratorio di Biologia Cellulare C.N.R. Rome, Italy

and

K. R. Seshan

Department of Biology, Washington University

St. Louis, Missouri, U. S. A.

# I. INTRODUCTION

More than a half century of extensive work on the vertebrate nervous system cultured *in vitro* under different experimental conditions has brought to light the merits and the limitations of these techniques. While a considerable amount of information has been gathered on growth and differentiation of nerve cells, on axonal growth, on the relationship between glial and nerve cells, and, recently, also on bioelectrical properties of neuronal circuits *in vitro* (Crain and Peterson, 1964, 1967; Crain *et al.*, 1970), little has been learned concerning the organization of nerve cells at the supracellular level and no attempts have been made to explore, with the aid of these techniques, the problem of neuronal specificity and the building of wiring circuits between nerve cell populations and between nerve cells and their end organs. The reasons which suggested restriction of the study to only a few neurobiological

This work was supported in part by grants from the National Institutes of Health (NS-03777) and the National Science Foundation (GB-16330X).

problems are numerous. To mention only some of the limiting factors, we remind the reader that this system in vertebrates is from its very inception a highly organized system and cannot operate when submitted to dissociation into small fragments, with each one cultured alone or in proximity to other parts of the same system or of nonnervous structures. Disruption of the continuity of the neuraxis and destruction of the blood capillary network, which permeates the entire system and provides the nutrition and blood supply of individual nerve units, are most damaging factors which cannot possibly be mitigated by any technical skill or ingenious device. In order to permit survival if not proper function of the nerve cell populations, which must rely on diffusion rather than on blood vascular channels, the explants must be reduced to what has been defined as "the critical cubic millimeter" (Lumsden, 1968). The fragments of the nervous system undergo, as a rule, flattening and thinning in long-term cultures. This condition favors exchanges with the medium, and nerve cells located at the periphery of the explants survive reasonably well, but cell-to-cell interconnections through nerve circuits are grossly altered and nothing can be learned about their normal function, leaving aside the more complex problem of the operation of neuronal circuits between distant nuclei and between these and their end organs.

In the hope of finding a more suitable object for the *in vitro* study of the organization and functional properties of the nervous system, we started 4 years ago a research project on the insect nervous system. For reasons to be mentioned in the following section, the object of choice was the cockroach, *Periplaneta americana*. A synthetic medium devised in our laboratories proved to support not only long-term survival of explants of brain, ganglia, and the neuroendocrine system of this insect but also nerve cell migration and vigorous axonal growth from nerve cells located in intact explants or migrated out into the medium. Ganglia dissected out from cockroach embryos became interconnected by cable-like fiber bundles similar to the connectives which form in ontogenesis between these ganglia; nerve fibers emerging from thoracic ganglia assembled in nerves and innervated limb primordia positioned at some distance from them.

The results to be reported below should be considered as preliminary excursions in a field which is opening for the first time to exploration. Although cells and organs from a variety of insects are now routinely cultured in many laboratories with a success which stands comparison with that scored long before with vertebrate cells and organs (Silvana, 1971; Echalier and Ohanessian, 1970), *in vitro* studies on the insect nervous and neuroendocrine systems have not until now been the object of specific investigations. While in fact Marks and coworkers have since 1965 presented evidence for nerve fiber outgrowth from regenerating leg tissues dissected out from cockroach

nymphs and cultured *in vitro* under different experimental conditions, this and subsequent papers by the same authors focused mainly on the effects of hormones and of humoral substances released by explants on nerve fiber outgrowth from the cut stump of the leg regenerates (Marks and Reinecke, 1965; Marks *et al.*, 1968).

In contrast to these and other investigations whose main object was the in vitro study of hormonal action on various insect tissues (Marks, 1970; Schneider, 1967: Williams and Kambysellis, 1969) inclusive of nerve tissue, our research program has centered entirely on problems which are unique to the nervous system and apply to that of insects as well as of lower and higher vertebrates. Among the advantages presented by the insect nervous system is the fact that it consists of fairly small ganglionic masses the whole of which can be explanted in vitro, thus avoiding disruption of nerve tissue and injury to cell populations and neuronal circuits. Lack of a closed circulatory system in arthropods has, according to Treherne (1968), favored the evolution of rapid diffusion processes of ions and molecules within the solid nerve structures. Metabolites and gas exchanges in brain and ganglia explanted in vitro from insect embryos or nymphal forms do not therefore differ from those in the living organisms to the extent that they differ in vertebrate nerve tissues. An additional reason which may account for the long-term survival in vitro of intact insect brain and ganglionic nerve cells is their location in a cortical rind: thus nerve cells are far more accessible to metabolites and oxygen than the vertebrate nerve cells embedded in the dense matrix of the neural tube.

In this chapter, we shall consider the results of some of the investigations performed on embryonic and mature insect nervous and neuroendocrine systems. Authors of these studies, partly in association with the writers, are J. S. Chen, R. S. Chen, and P. Amaldi.

# II. THE OBJECT OF CHOICE: Periplaneta americana

Practical considerations as well as the availability of an extensive literature on *Periplanata americana* suggested its selection as the test object of these studies.

This insect is widespread throughout the world in temperate and tropical climates, it survives and breeds remarkably well under laboratory conditions, and large colonies can be maintained with no specially trained technical help. The insect, which is 30–35 mm long, has a life span of 12–14 months and does not face the cataclysm of metamorphosis but goes through a slow and continuous developmental process which transforms the embryo into the adult without substantial changes in the structural organization of its nerve structures. The nervous system of the embryo (which spends 29–45 days in the

ootheca depending on the outside temperature: at  $29^{\circ}$ C the embryos hatch at the end of the first month, whereas it takes  $1\frac{1}{2}$  months to complete the development at  $15^{\circ}$ C) is a miniature but otherwise faithful copy of the nervous system of the mature insect. Molting, which occurs first in embryonic life and then repeatedly during the 12 subsequent months in the nymph, makes possible the stepwise size increase of the immature insect, which emerges from the last molt with a well-developed, although rather inefficient flight apparatus but is similar in other respects to the tiny embryo.

Another advantage, which became apparent as we learned more about this insect from early embryonic life up to maturity, is its remarkable resistance to environmental changes and to infectious and other noxious agents. The embryos can be extracted from the oothecae, where they are aligned in two tightly packed parallel rows, and can be reared from the second week to hatching in a CO<sub>2</sub>-conditioned humidified incubator at 29°C. Throughout this time, they can be manipulated, submitted to surgical intervention and microinjections of various drugs, and examined for hours under the stereomicroscope without, in most instances, lethal consequences. Mortality is in fact very low, even when limbs or antennae are amputated or additional organs implanted at the beginning of the third week of incubation. The fact that each ootheca contains 14–16 embryos, available for *in vivo* and *in vitro* experiments, gives this insect a remarkable advantage over other blattoids or orthopterans, which are either viviparous or hatch from individual eggs.

A considerable amount of work has been invested in the study of the nervous system of this cockroach, which is in fact better known at the structural, ultrastructural, biochemical, and electrophysiological levels than most other insects. Here are listed only some of the treatises and articles which are pertinent to the objects of our studies: Beattie (1971), Callec *et al.* (1971), Cornwell (1968), Farley and Milburn (1969), Frontali and Mancini (1970), Hyde (1972), Pearson (1972), Pearson and Iles (1970), Pichon and Callec (1970).

Closely related to the nervous system from a structural and functional viewpoint is the neuroendocrine or retrocerebral complex, which plays a key role in the life of insects, comparable in many respects to that of the vertebrate hypophyseal system. The similarity between the vertebrate and invertebrate neuroendocrine systems extends also to their relationship with brain structures and to the segregation in both phyla of this organ complex in a neural and a nonneural derivative. In insects, the paired corpora cardiaca have a neural origin and share some aspects in common with the neurohypophysis, while the corpora allata, small paired glands, possess important morphogenetic and nonmorphogenetic hormonal functions. "While the structural analogy between parts of the endocrine systems of insects and vertebrates is an

interesting one," writes Smith, "it should not be pressed too far; for, unlike the corpus cardiacum, the neurohypophysis possesses no intrinsic secretory cell bodies and, of course, the hormones associated with the two complexes are entirely different in their effects" (Smith, 1968a, p. 96).

# III. MATERIAL AND TECHNIQUES

Oothecae protruding from the ovipositor are detached from the insect body, cleaned, and stored in a humidified incubator at 29°C. At the moment of use, the oothecae are rinsed in iodine, alcohol, and distilled water; the seams of the dorsal cristae of the oothecae are carefully split with sterilized forceps and the embryos are collected in Schneider's insect solution. For the present experimental studies on the nervous system and nonnervous tissues (alimentary canal and limb and antenna primordia), 16-day-old embryos were used, while the neuroendocrine complex was isolated from 12- and 20-day embryos.

Whole brains and subesophageal, thoracic, and abdominal ganglia are dissected out under the stereomicroscope. Together, in some instances, with the brain is explanted also the rostral part of the alimentary canal, the pharynx, which closely adheres to the posterior brain vesicle known as the tritocerebrum. Other segments of the alimentary canal, the esophagus, the gizzard, the midgut, and the posterior gut, are also dissected out and stored until the moment of use. All the embryonic explants are of a size not exceeding 1-1.5 mm. The neuroendocrine complex, consisting of corpora cardiaca and allata from nymphal and adult specimens, is dissected out under rigorously sterile conditions from nymphal and adult forms and cultured in the same medium used for embryonic tissues. This consists of 5 parts of Schneider's insect solution and 4 parts of Eagle's basal medium, mixed at the moment of use. Tissues dissected out from embryos or post-hatching forms are cultured in small cylindrical glass vessels 13 mm in diameter and 7 mm high, half filled with culture medium. The tissues are pressed on a coverslip laid on the bottom of the culture dish until they firmly adhere to its surface. Five to six culture vessels are then arranged in petri dishes 64 mm in diameter, and cotton soaked with sterile water is placed inside the dishes between each vessel to maintain a vapor-saturated atmosphere; the dishes are then stored in a desiccator filled with 95% air and 5% CO2 and placed in an incubator at 29°C. The cultures are examined daily at the inverted microscope and then at higher magnification, still in living condition, with the Nomarski interference microscope. The same cultures are studied again upon fixation and staining with routine histological techniques or, more frequently, with the silver Cajal-DeCastro technique. Many cultures are also fixed and used for electron