




Scholars'
Press

Hussein Chlaib

Geophysical Applications for Levee Assessment

Hussein Chlaib

**Geophysical Applications for Levee
Assessment**

Scholar's Press

Impressum / Imprint

Bibliografische Information der Deutschen Nationalbibliothek: Die Deutsche Nationalbibliothek verzeichnet diese Publikation in der Deutschen Nationalbibliografie; detaillierte bibliografische Daten sind im Internet über <http://dnb.d-nb.de> abrufbar.

Alle in diesem Buch genannten Marken und Produktnamen unterliegen warenzeichen-, marken- oder patentrechtlichem Schutz bzw. sind Warenzeichen oder eingetragene Warenzeichen der jeweiligen Inhaber. Die Wiedergabe von Marken, Produktnamen, Gebrauchsnamen, Handelsnamen, Warenbezeichnungen u.s.w. in diesem Werk berechtigt auch ohne besondere Kennzeichnung nicht zu der Annahme, dass solche Namen im Sinne der Warenzeichen- und Markenschutzgesetzgebung als frei zu betrachten wären und daher von jedermann benutzt werden dürften.

Bibliographic information published by the Deutsche Nationalbibliothek: The Deutsche Nationalbibliothek lists this publication in the Deutsche Nationalbibliografie; detailed bibliographic data are available in the Internet at <http://dnb.d-nb.de>.

Any brand names and product names mentioned in this book are subject to trademark, brand or patent protection and are trademarks or registered trademarks of their respective holders. The use of brand names, product names, common names, trade names, product descriptions etc. even without a particular marking in this works is in no way to be construed to mean that such names may be regarded as unrestricted in respect of trademark and brand protection legislation and could thus be used by anyone.

Coverbild / Cover image: www.ingimage.com

Verlag / Publisher:

Scholar's Press

ist ein Imprint der / is a trademark of

OmniScriptum GmbH & Co. KG

Heinrich-Böcking-Str. 6-8, 66121 Saarbrücken, Deutschland / Germany

Email: info@scholars-press.com

Herstellung: siehe letzte Seite /

Printed at: see last page

ISBN: 978-3-639-71054-0

Zugl. / Approved by: Arkansas, University of Arkansas at Little Rock, Diss., 2013

Copyright © 2014 OmniScriptum GmbH & Co. KG

Alle Rechte vorbehalten. / All rights reserved. Saarbrücken 2014

Hussein Chlaib

Geophysical Applications for Levee Assessment

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF FIGURES	8
LIST OF TABLES	18
1 INTRODUCTION.....	19
1.1 Definitions	19
1.2 Geophysical Methods for Assessing Levee Integrity	19
1.3 Geophysical Methods for Cavity Detection	22
1.4 Geophysical Methods for Subsurface Animal Burrow Detection	25
1.5 Geophysical Methods to Detect Differential Compaction in the Levee Body.....	26
1.6 Problem Statement.....	26
1.7 Levee Monitoring	28
2 GEOPHYSICAL METHODS AND INSTRUMENTS.....	33
2.1 Introduction.....	33
2.2 GPR Method	33
2.2.1 Introduction and applications	34
2.2.2 GPR principles.....	35
2.2.3 GPR equipment	46
2.2.4 Limitations.....	50
2.2.5 Advantages	51
2.2.6 GPR data processing.....	51
2.3 Capacitively Coupled Resistivity (CCR) Method	55
2.3.1 Data processing	59
2.4 POGO Portable Soil Sensor.....	60
2.5 Finite-Difference Time Domain Method (FDTD)	62

3 BIG DAM BRIDGE LEVEE EVALUATION.....64

3.1 Introduction.....64

3.2 Site Description.....64

3.3 Void Detection in Prototype Laboratory Conditions.....66

3.4 GPR Fieldwork69

3.4.1 The GPR data analysis and interpretation71

3.4.2 Levee soil assessment.....72

3.5 The In-situ Dielectric Constant Measurements82

3.6 Concrete Assessment85

4 LOLLIE LEVEE ASSESSMENT: USING THE GPR TO DETECT
SMALL SCALE ANIMAL BURROWS89

4.1 Introduction.....89

4.2 Small Scale Animal Burrow Detection90

4.3 Site Description.....91

4.4 GPR Fieldwork96

4.5 Results and Discussion98

4.5.1 Southern segment98

4.5.2 Middle segment101

4.5.3 Northern segment105

4.5.4 Subsurface layer detection.....110

5 HELENA LEVEE ASSESSMENT: GEOPHYSICAL APPLICATIONS
FOR LOW DENSITY AND PERMEABLE ZONE DETECTION116

5.1 Introduction.....116

5.2 Site Description.....116

5.3 GPR Survey119

5.3.1	Subsurface anomalies detection	120
5.3.2	Subsurface layer detection.....	126
5.3.3	Detecting lateral changes in soil compaction of the levee body	134
5.3.3.1	Theoretical Concepts.....	136
5.3.3.2	Modeling	138
5.3.3.3	Fieldwork for low density zones detection	142
5.3.3.4	EVM on GPR data.....	143
5.4	The CCR Survey	158
6	CONCLUSIONS	165
6.1	Conclusions.....	165
	REFERENCES	170

GEOPHYSICAL APPLICATIONS FOR LEVEE ASSESSMENT

Hussein Khalefa Chlaib

2014

B.S., Baghdad University, Iraq, 1998

M.S., Baghdad University, Iraq, 2001

M.S., University of Arkansas at Little Rock, 2012

Ph.D., University of Arkansas at Little Rock, 2013

DEDICATION

I dedicate my book to my lovely home

IRAQ

With my respect

huss k. ch.

8-2-2014

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

It is so nice for your dreams to be a real; this is what happened to me. After more than five years of reading, working, patience and expatriation, finally I got what I am looking for. I am so thankful to my God ALLAH for this gift.

I would like to thank the Iraqi government, particularly the Ministry of higher education and scientific research for the support.

I would like to express my deep gratitude to my amazing professors Dr. Hanan Mahdi and Dr. Hayder Al-Shukri for their guidance, enthusiastic encouragement and useful critiques. My appreciation for them goes beyond words.

I would like to thank my friends Dr. Mert Su, Dr. Ayca Catakli, Dr. Najah Abd, and Dr. Wathiq Abdalnaby for their continuous help during the fieldwork part of this research. I would like to express my deepest appreciation to my friends Ezat H. Mezal, Alaa Al-Hilo, and Zeid Nima for their help and encouragement during my work.

Finally, I would like to express my most sincere gratefulness to my big family; my deceased mother and my brother, their souls were with me everywhere and in every single step, always I ask ALLAH to bless all of them; I am thankful to my father, my sister, my brothers for their encouragement, wisdom, endless support and most importantly their patience during the longing.

And last but not least, I am extremely thankful to my small family; my great wife and my sweet daughters Fatimah and Tiba for their continuous encouragement and their patience, tolerance, and suffering because of the remoteness from our home. None of this work would mean a thing without you on my side.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF FIGURES	8
LIST OF TABLES	18
1 INTRODUCTION.....	19
1.1 Definitions	19
1.2 Geophysical Methods for Assessing Levee Integrity	19
1.3 Geophysical Methods for Cavity Detection	22
1.4 Geophysical Methods for Subsurface Animal Burrow Detection	25
1.5 Geophysical Methods to Detect Differential Compaction in the Levee Body..	26
1.6 Problem Statement.....	26
1.7 Levee Monitoring	28
2 GEOPHYSICAL METHODS AND INSTRUMENTS.....	33
2.1 Introduction.....	33
2.2 GPR Method	33
2.2.1 Introduction and applications	34
2.2.2 GPR principles.....	35
2.2.3 GPR equipment	46
2.2.4 Limitations.....	50
2.2.5 Advantages	51
2.2.6 GPR data processing.....	51
2.3 Capacitively Coupled Resistivity (CCR) Method	55
2.3.1 Data processing	59
2.4 POGO Portable Soil Sensor.....	60
2.5 Finite-Difference Time Domain Method (FDTD)	62

3	BIG DAM BRIDGE LEVEE EVALUATION	64
3.1	Introduction.....	64
3.2	Site Description.....	64
3.3	Void Detection in Prototype Laboratory Conditions.....	66
3.4	GPR Fieldwork	69
3.4.1	The GPR data analysis and interpretation	71
3.4.2	Levee soil assessment.....	72
3.5	The In-situ Dielectric Constant Measurements	82
3.6	Concrete Assessment	85
4	LOLLIE LEVEE ASSESSMENT: USING THE GPR TO DETECT SMALL SCALE ANIMAL BURROWS	89
4.1	Introduction.....	89
4.2	Small Scale Animal Burrow Detection	90
4.3	Site Description.....	91
4.4	GPR Fieldwork	96
4.5	Results and Discussion	98
4.5.1	Southern segment	98
4.5.2	Middle segment	101
4.5.3	Northern segment	105
4.5.4	Subsurface layer detection.....	110
5	HELENA LEVEE ASSESSMENT: GEOPHYSICAL APPLICATIONS FOR LOW DENSITY AND PERMEABLE ZONE DETECTION	116
5.1	Introduction.....	116
5.2	Site Description.....	116
5.3	GPR Survey	119

5.3.1	Subsurface anomalies detection	120
5.3.2	Subsurface layer detection.....	126
5.3.3	Detecting lateral changes in soil compaction of the levee body	134
5.3.3.1	Theoretical Concepts.....	136
5.3.3.2	Modeling	138
5.3.3.3	Fieldwork for low density zones detection	142
5.3.3.4	EVM on GPR data.....	143
5.4	The CCR Survey.....	158
6	CONCLUSIONS.....	165
6.1	Conclusions.....	165
	REFERENCES	170

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1-1: A schematic diagram of a standard levee design by USACE with a slurry wall, shown in gray introduced in the middle (URL 1).	28
Figure 1-2: Internal erosion due to seepage pathways caused by linked burrows. Red arrows indicate the direction of water flow.	29
Figure 1-3: Examples of dam failures resulting from piping. (a) Internal erosion (piping) through an embankment. (b) Quail Creek Dam failure 1989, Utah. (c) Teton Dam failure 1976, Idaho. (d) Swift No. 2 power canal dike failure 2002, Washington (Richards and Reddy, 2010)...	30
Figure 2-1: (a) Schematic diagram of GPR system operation with a mono-static antenna. White lines illustrate possible ray paths of transmitted EM wave. (b) GPR profile collected for the two metallic pipes.	37
Figure 2-2: The relationship between in-situ measurements of dielectric constant and water content (a) and clay content (b) of glacial deposits (after Sutinen, 1992).	38
Figure 2-3: Relationship between attenuation and signal frequency (modified from Davis and Annan, 1989).	45
Figure 2-4: The SIR-3000 GPR system and 270 MHz antenna connected to a survey wheel.	47
Figure 2-5: The SIR-3000 GPR system and 900 MHz antenna connected to a survey wheel.	48
Figure 2-6: (a) The SIR-30 GPR system mounted in a survey car with two antennas, 400 MHz and 200 MHz. Distance is measured by Distance Measurement Instrument (DMI). (b) Two GPR profiles, 400 MHz (upper profile) and 200 MHz (lower profile).....	49
Figure 2-7: GPR profile (900 MHz antenna) before time zero (a) and after time zero (b) processing.	53
Figure 2-8: GPR profile with 400 MHz antenna. (a) before background removal and (b) after background removal processing.	54

Figure 2-9: Dipole-dipole configuration used in the CCR method, I is current, V is voltage, n is the transmitter-receiver spacing distance (rope length) and a is the dipole length.	57
Figure 2-10: The G-858 CCR system used in the fieldwork and attached to a survey vehicle.	58
Figure 2-11: The G-858 CCR system, including: Console, sensor, battery, fiber optic isolator cable, tow cable, transmitter, and receiver.....	58
Figure 2-12: POGO Portable Soil Sensor (Stevens® Water Monitoring System, Inc.). The sensor consists of three parts, the POGO system, the PDA, and the Hydra probe II and the connection cables.	61
Figure 2-13: Correct installation of the Hydra Probe in the soil. Note the full contact between the metallic parts (tins and base) with soil.	62
Figure 3-1: Big Dam Bridge and the BDB Levee located in Pulaski County, Arkansas. The thick yellow line represents the BDB Levee.	65
Figure 3-2: Surface image of the BDB Levee with a GPR survey line. Note the slurry wall (concrete) along the levee.	65
Figure 3-3: (a) Schematic diagram of the laboratory model used to collect GPR data over an air-filled cavity and (b) GPR profile for subsurface air-filled cavity. Dashed red vertical line in the GPR data represents the location of the single scan plotted to the right.	67
Figure 3-4: (a) Schematic diagram of the laboratory model used to calculate GPR data over a water-filled cavity, and (b) GPR profile for subsurface water-filled cavity. Dashed red vertical line in the GPR data represents the location of the single scan plotted to the right.	68
Figure 3-5: Two-channel data acquisition system with 400 MHz and 200 MHz antennas (upper panel). The lower panel shows GPR profiles for these antennas.....	70
Figure 3-6: (a) GPR profile using 900 MHz antenna (upper left panel) shows an air-filled cavity. A single scan (upper right panel) crosses the cavity showing a high positive peak. (b) Similar to a) for water saturated clay	

clast or clay-filled cavity. Single scan (lower right) shows negative peak (normal polarity).....	72
Figure 3-7: (a) 900 MHz antenna profile (200 m long). (b) Portion of the profile associated with the anomaly. (c, d) Ground truth images for the empty continuous cavity.	73
Figure 3-8: (a) 900 MHz antenna profile (200m long). (b) Portion of the profile associated with the anomaly (continuous animal burrow). (c, d) Ground truth images for this anomaly.	75
Figure 3-9: (a) 900 MHz antenna profile. (b) Portion of the profile showing 2 distinct anomalies, and (c, d) round truth images of the anomalies (clay clast).	76
Figure 3-10: (a) Portion of the 900 MHz antenna profile showing anomaly located at distance 141 m. (b and c) Images of the cavity.	77
Figure 3-11: (a) 900 MHz antenna profile. (b) Portion of the profile associated with the anomaly (continuous animal burrow). (c and d) Ground truth data of this anomaly.	78
Figure 3-12: GPR signal at 20.5 m that belongs to empty cavity shown in Figure 3.11.	79
Figure 3-13: (a) 900 MHz antenna profile. (b) Portion of the profile associated with the anomaly (continuous empty cavity). (c and d) Ground truth data of this anomaly.	80
Figure 3-14: (a) 900 MHz antenna profile. (b) Portion of the profile associated with the anomaly (piece of water saturated clay clast or silt or clay-filled cavity).....	81
Figure 3-15: Middle scan of GPR signal at 258.25 m related to the previous anomaly that shown in Figure 3.14 which might be a water saturated clay clast or silt or clay-filled cavity.	82
Figure 3-16: Google image of the BDB Levee. Yellow stars represent locations of the electromagnetic property (ϵ_r and σ (S/m)) measurements of the soil at	