

Deleuze and Environmental Damage

Violence of the Text

Mark Halsey

ADVANCES IN CRIMINOLOGY

Deleuze and Environmental Damage

Violence of the Text

MARK HALSEY

*University of Melbourne, Australia and
Flinders University of South Australia, Australia*

ASHGATE

© Mark Halsey 2006

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise without the prior permission of the publisher.

The Author hereby asserts his moral rights to be identified as the author of the Work in accordance with the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act, 1988.

Published by
Ashgate Publishing Limited
Gower House
Croft Road
Aldershot
Hampshire GU11 3HR
England

Ashgate Publishing Company
Suite 420
101 Cherry Street
Burlington, VT 05401-4405
USA

Ashgate website: http://www.ashgate.com
--

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data

Halsey, Mark

Deleuze and environmental damage. - (Advances in criminology)

1. Deleuze, Gilles - Knowledge - Environmental law

2. Environmental law - Philosophy

I. Title

344'.046

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Halsey, Mark.

Deleuze and environmental damage : violence of the text / by Mark Halsey.

p. cm. -- (Advances in criminology)

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 0-7546-2491-9

1. Offenses against the environment. 2. Environmental degradation. 3. Ecology -- Philosophy. 4. Human ecology. 5. Criminology. 6. Deleuze, Gilles. I. Title. II. Series.

HV6401.H35 2005

364.1'8--dc22

2005028883

ISBN-10: 0 7546 2491 9

Printed and bound in Great Britain by MPG Books Ltd. Bodmin, Cornwall.

DELEUZE AND ENVIRONMENTAL DAMAGE

Advances in Criminology
Series Editor: David Nelken

Titles in the Series

**Re-Thinking the Political Economy of Punishment: Perspectives on
Post-Fordism and Penal Politics**

Alessandro De Giorgi

**Globalization and Regulatory Character: Regulatory Reform after the Kader
Toy Factory Fire**

Fiona Haines

**Family Violence and Police Response: Learning From Research, Policy and
Practice in European Countries**

Edited by Wilma Smeenk and Marijke Malsch

Crime and Culture: An Historical Perspective

Edited by Amy Gilman Srebnick and René Lévy

Power, Discourse and Resistance: A Genealogy of the Strangeways Prison Riot

Eamonn Carrabine

**Hard Lessons: Reflections on Governance and Crime Control in
Late Modernity**

Edited by Richard Hil and Gordon Tait

Informal Criminal Justice

Edited by Dermot Feenan

Becoming Delinquent: British and European Youth, 1650–1950

Edited by Pamela Cox and Heather Shore

Migration, Culture Conflict and Crime

*Edited by Joshua D. Freilich, Graeme Newman, S. Giōra Shoham
and Moshe Addad*

Critique and Radical Discourses on Crime

George Pavlich

Contrasting Criminal Justice: Getting from Here to There

Edited by David Nelken

Acknowledgements

An author writes not from the standpoint of a solitary individual, but from the perspective of a force marked by countless events. In the writing of this book, the following events have mattered to me: visiting the forests of the Errinundra Plateau in South Eastern Australia; learning of the plight of the Powerful Owl, the Spot Tailed Quoll, and the ecosystems they rely upon; and knowing something of the damage likely to occur whenever forests are mapped according to their prescribed functions as opposed to their unknown qualities. Kate Dorsey, John Fitzgerald, Benjamin Pederick, Michael Garrod, Adam Biggs, Simon Holmes, and Mark Rosenthal all, in their singular ways, influenced the writing of this story. Sincere thanks to Ms Antonia Quadara for her assistance in preparing the manuscript.

Publication of this work was assisted by a publication grant from the University of Melbourne.

Versions of chapters 2, 3 and 8 have appeared, respectively, as:

- Halsey, M. (2004) 'Against "Green" Criminology', *British Journal of Criminology*, Vol. 44, No. 6, pp. 833–53, by permission of Oxford University Press;
- Halsey, M. (2005) 'Ecology and Machinic Thought: Nietzsche, Deleuze, Guattari', *Angelaki: Journal of the Theoretical Humanities*, Vol. 10, No. 3, pp. 33–56, by permission of Routledge;
- Halsey, M. (2004) 'Environmental Visions: Deleuze and the Modalities of "Nature"', *Ethics & the Environment*, Vol. 9, No. 2, pp. 33–64, by permission of Indiana University Press.

For Mum, Dad and Nicky.

Contents

<i>List of Figures</i>	<i>viii</i>
<i>Acknowledgements</i>	<i>ix</i>
Introduction	1
The case of Goolengook	3
Telling the story	4
PART A	
1 Modernity and Ecological Thought: A Brief Critique	11
Modernity, humanity, rationality	12
Modernity, nature, ecophilosophy	14
In sum	34
2 Environment and Criminological Thought	41
Review and critique	43
3 Ecology and Machinic Thought: Deleuze/Guattari	57
Precedents to machinic thought	61
Deleuze/Guattari	68
4 Event, Method, Lexicon	81
Event	82
Method	85
Lexicon	90
PART B	
5 Becoming Known	97
18,000 BCE: site of Aboriginal occupation	98
1770: site within the British Empire	99
1788: site within the waste lands of the Colony of New South Wales	99
1836: site within the Pastoral District of Port Phillip	100
1847: site within unsettled districts	101
1848–55: site of depasturing	101
1851: site within the Pastoral District of Gippsland, Colony of Victoria	106
1855: site within the sovereign state of Victoria	106
1856–60: site of depasturing	107

1865: site of potential reservation of timber	111
1869: site of prevalent timber	111
1871: site within the County of Croajingolong	112
1872: site of depasturing	113
1876: site of remnant 'wild people'	116
1885: site of alleged payable gold-field	118
1887: site of unknown qualities	122
1889: site of botanical exploration	126
1888–91: site of geodetic survey	130
 6 Becoming Forest	 135
1908 (March): site of protected forest	135
1917: site exterior to chief saw-milling localities	141
1921: site within the Orbest Forest District	142
1924–25: site of potential conservation	143
1928 (June): site lacking commercial value	145
Interlude	146
1959: site of forest produce	152
1960 (April): site of permanently reserved forest (stage 1)	154
1972: site of forest management block	155
 7 Becoming Contested	 161
1977 (March): site of uncommitted land	161
1977: site of Stringybark forests	164
1981: site of invisibilities	164
1981: site of botanical significance	165
1981: site of global zoological significance	168
1982: site for supply of 'defective material'	168
1982 (March): site of permanently reserved forest (stage 2)	170
1983: site of institutionalised conflict	171
1986: site within East Gippsland forest management area	171
1986 (July): site within the Bemm River Water Supply Catchment	172
1986 (December): site of multiple use	173
1988 (May): site of national park	178
1989 (May): site subject to code of forest practices	179
1990: site for the realisation of sustainable yields	181
1991 (March): site of cyanide anomalies	182
1991 (April): site of national park extension	183
1991 (June): site of hydrological conservation	183
1991 (November): site of biological significance	186
1992: site of heritage river	189
1992 (October): site of acid dyke sampling	190
1993 (June 7): site of hardwood forest resources	190
1993 (June): site of uneconomic mineralisation	193

1994: site of old-growth forest and ecological vegetation classes	194
1995 (July): site of Commonwealth intervention	197
1995 (December): site of multiple forest management	198
1996 (July): site of resource inventory	204
1996 (October): site of national park management strategy	205
1996 (November): site subject to revised code of forest practices	206
1996 (December): site of logging reprieve	207
1997 (January): site of protester base camp	207
1997 (February): site subject to regional forest agreement	208
1997 (March): site of police presence	208
1997 (April): site of arrests (round 1)	208
1997 (May): site earmarked for timber harvesting	209
1997 (June): site of 'scientific lament'	210
1997 (June): site of arrests (round 2)	210
1997 (July): site of public relations exercise	211
1997 (July): site of arrests (round 3)	213
1997 (August): site of geological destruction/indigenous desecration	213
1997 (November): site of 'concluded' logging operations	213
1997 (December): site of police publicity	214
1998 (February): site subject to Magistrates Court decision	214
1998 (March): site of continuing conflict	215
1998 (May): site subject to statutory amendment	215
1998 (May): site of forest operation zone	215
1998 (October): site subject to Supreme Court decision	218
1998 (November): site of flora and fauna reserve	220
1998 (December): site of corporate concern	221
1999 (January): site for immediate timber extraction	221
1999 (February): site invoked at Senate Inquiry	222
1999 (April): site displaying signs of conflict	222
1999 (November): site subject to statutory repeal	223
2000 (February): site of increased conflict	223
2000 (May): site subject of feature article	223
2000 (October): site of police raid	224
Interruption	224

PART C

8 On the Unsaid: Text, Nature(s), Damage	229
Modalities of 'Nature'	229
(Some) criminological implications (toward the acategorical)	250
Conclusion	253
References	259
Index	273

List of Figures

- Figure 1 Map displaying location and distribution of forest stands and compartments for Goolengook forest management block, 1992. Sourced from Department of Natural Resources and Environment, Orbost, Victoria 62
- Figure 2 Photograph of author standing on the track dividing the northeastern portion of Goolengook forest block from Ada block. The featured tree is most likely a Messmate (*eucalyptus obliqua*) and stands about 70 metres (220 feet) tall. Photography: Adam Biggs 63
- Figure 3 Map displaying sites of biological significance within Goolengook forest management block, 1991. Extracted from Lobert, B.O., Gillespie, G.R., Lunt, I.D., Peacock, R.J. and Robinson, D. (1991) Ecological Survey Report no 35 Flora and Fauna of the Goolengook Forest Block, East Gippsland, Victoria, East Melbourne: Department of Conservation and Environment 127
- Figure 4 Photograph of block 839, Goolengook, compartment 513, stand 10, post-logging operations, April 1999. Still held to be sacred by descendants of the Bidwell tribe, the rock was blown apart by forestry workers in order to make room for a logging road approximately 400 metres from the rock debris. For a sense of scale, note the figure sitting on top of the rock abutting the track. Photography: Mark Halsey 191

Introduction

Early in 2001 I visited an exhibition at the South Australian Museum devoted to the extinct thylacine – also known as the ‘Tasmanian Tiger’. One display in particular caught my eye – a text from 1886 which ran as follows,

It is quite time some other name was commonly adopted for the comparatively harmless marsupial, generally spoken of as ‘The Tiger’. It is not the tenacious brute the name implies, and under no circumstances would it attack ever a child. On two occasions I have met with recently arrived emigrants who objected to leave town to secure work in the country for fear they or their children might be devoured (*The Tasmanian Mail*, September 1886).

I cite this text because it is a clear example of how words can significantly alter the course of events. Indeed, writers such as Friedrich Nietzsche and Michel Foucault contend that words are themselves events since they are the acoustical outcome of (violent) struggles, impositions, and forgettings (see Nietzsche, 1992; Foucault, 1980a, pp. 139–64). No one knows precisely how many ‘tigers’ there were prior to Europeans arriving in 1788 in ‘Australia’. What is known is that these animals once roamed not just the island of Tasmania but across the mainland of Australia and probably numbered somewhere in the low tens of thousands. Due predominantly to their perceived threat to people and livestock, successive governments of the late nineteenth and early twentieth centuries issued bounties to anyone who could produce a dead tiger. By 1900, around 2000 such bounties had been paid in Tasmania alone. The tiger is believed to have been driven into extinction on the mainland around 3000 years ago. On July 10, 1936, the Tasmanian Government passed legislation to protect ‘its’ Tasmanian Tigers. However, less than two months later, the last known tiger died in captivity at the Hobart Zoo.

At this point, it is important to ask: What’s in a name? In the above example, it would seem necessary to say that the name ‘tiger’ brought with it nothing less than the urge toward the decimation of a species. And it did so largely because the term ‘tiger’ took the form of an overcoded signifier – a word capable of projecting (however erroneously) a very particular series of imaginings based around such terms as ‘ferocity’, ‘danger’, and ‘unpredictability’. In some cultures, though, such as parts of India and Siberia, the tiger signified (and continues to signify) everything majestic and noble. This, of course, was not the case in Australia where it was ascribed demonic characteristics (savage, cunning, ruthless). Ironically, the scientific name given the Tasmanian ‘Tiger’ was *Didelphis cynocephalus*, which means, literally, ‘marsupial with a dog-like head’. Being a marsupial, this ‘tiger’ had

a pouch and suckled its young. Although portrayed in folklore and newspapers as preying 'mercilessly' on sheep and other livestock, it is now believed to have spent most of its time eating other small marsupials and birds and even insects when food was particularly scarce. But even this is conjecture since, due to its 'early' extinction, scant little is known about the social habits and life cycle(s) of the thylacine. In short, the capacity to inquire and become acquainted with this animal's various flows (of movement), speeds (of maturation) and intensities (of play, hunting, aggression), was irrevocably interrupted by the will to locate and destroy it. This is not to say that the fate of the thylacine would have been better if it had been spoken of as a kind of dog or a marsupial rather than as a member of the giant cat family. But it is to contend that its fate would have been *different* – perhaps even preferable to the present state of affairs.

The purpose of this brief recounting is to suggest that naming is far from being a neutral or simple process. Instead, naming matters. Names levy effects. They either preclude or leave open particular kinds of potentials, capacities and juxtapositions of bodies. Within sociological and criminological circles, this is something that has been known for some time (see Becker, 1963; Goffman, 1963; Lemert, 1967). But in the main such work has confined itself to an examination of the effects which flow from the application of juridical categories (criminal, delinquent) or from the social categories which signify some kind of marginal existence (deviant, queer, abnormal). Whilst in no way wishing to downplay the importance of such work, I want to suggest that an analysis of such labels generates a fairly limited understanding of the factors which contribute to 'social harm'. On a first count, labels (names, categories – call them whatever) need themselves to be put into critical relief – they need, in other words, to be given a genealogy (a body capable of displaying the struggles and costs that belie their usage in 'everyday speech'). Secondly, I think there are a whole raft of processes which contribute *en masse* to social, and in particular, ecological harm (the two most often infusing the other) (see Guattari, 2000). Such processes remain under-theorised by labelling theorists as well as criminological and socio-legal theory more generally. Accordingly, one of the key purposes of this book is to offer a micropolitical account of the evolution of such taken-for-granted concepts as 'Nature', 'sustainability', and 'environmental harm'. For what law prescribes as permissible in respect of Nature, and *ipso facto*, what it deems to be ecologically criminal, is intimately linked to how such terms have been spoken of, imagined, and otherwise deployed over time. To believe other than this is to turn away from the ethical, and at times violent, dimensions that go along with speaking and writing the world.

It is *the process, impact, and ethics of naming Nature* that is the subject of this book. In more specific terms, this book is centred around a study of the categories and thresholds used over time to map and transform a particular area of what can loosely be termed 'forested terrain'. And it is a study of the socio-ecological costs arising from such thresholds and transformations. Although written from a criminological and socio-legal perspective, this is not, however, a study specifically about 'crime' nor indeed about 'environmental crime'. It is instead about the way

such terms as ‘harm’, ‘sustainability’, ‘ecological significance’, ‘value’, and ‘right’, have been coded, decoded, and recoded by various means, at various times, with particular results. Further, this is not a study about ‘justice’ – at least, not in the transcendental sense of the term. But it is most certainly about the way law marks the earth. More particularly, it is about the composition of the various knowledges law calls upon to justify its ‘justness’, its ‘rightness’, and its ‘comprehensivity’ when it permits, for instance, the conversion of a 10,000 year old ecosystem into scantling for houses or paper for copying machines. In simple terms, this is a book about the modes of envisaging and enunciating a particular geopolitical space over time and the violences which make such visions and enunciations possible. As shall become clear, such violence has little if anything to do with traditional weapons such as guns, fists, or knives. Instead, it is *a violence borne by way of the slow and largely inaudible march of the categories and thresholds associated with using and abusing Nature*. This, therefore, is a work intended to (further) challenge orthodox framings of the relationships spanning crime, law, and environment.

The case of Goolengook

Since late 1996, a dedicated group of persons (sometimes called protesters, other times called ‘ferals’, ‘greenies’, ‘dole bludgers’, and the like) have agitated against the logging activities carried out in Goolengook forest block located in far eastern Victoria, Australia. During such time, there have been verbal and physical confrontations, hundreds of arrests, dozens of court hearings (including one at Supreme Court level), and numerous attempts by authorities to divide the body of Goolengook up in a manner deemed fair and equitable to all parties. At the time of writing, protesters are taking up position in and around coupes scheduled for logging in 2005. Whether arrests will occur is difficult to say. What is certain, though, is that the forest in this part of the world will be further depleted of processes and relationships little understood by governments, scientists, foresters and even by protesters and environmental groups.

Ostensibly, there are many ways to write about this conflict. Typically, however, this has involved talking about Goolengook and other such forest conflicts in terms of greenies versus loggers, or greenies versus government, or, on occasion, loggers versus government. Like most David and Goliath narratives, such accounts make for interesting reading. But stories based on dichotomies such as those just mentioned do not, arguably, sufficiently articulate the nuances contributing to forest conflict as *event* – that is, as something which is both a discursive invention (i.e. an object of our policies, laws, imaginings) and a body consistently eluding efforts to frame, categorise, think, speak – in short, *represent*, ‘its’ aspects.

In an attempt to write forest conflict as event (as a site of intensities permanently oscillating between a becoming-the-same and a becoming-other), this book applies the ideas of French poststructuralist writers Gilles Deleuze and Felix Guattari to what is now the longest running forest conflict to occur in Australia since European

settlement/occupation. As will become clear, the conflict at Goolengook is about something much more than 'forests' – Australian or otherwise. Indeed the struggles taking place in and over this area raise critically important questions concerning who we are (subjectivity), what we can do (power), what we can know (epistemology), and who we might become (desire). Moreover, it raises questions as to the ontological consistency and ecological utility of terms like 'we', 'society', 'global', 'Nature', 'environment', 'forest block', 'old-growth', 'truth', 'harm', 'right', 'crime' and so forth. A primary aim of this book, therefore, is to demonstrate how a geopolitical terrain has been *textually configured* over time (by law, by management plans, by mining leases, by Indigenous knowledges, and other) in order to subsequently show how, why and for whom it 'works', as well as how, why and for whom it recurs as a problematic site.

Writing a micropolitical account of forest conflict will involve three interrelated tasks. In the first instance, it will mean *tracing* the various texts (or abstract machines) which have heralded the imposition of particular modes of environmental regulation. In the second, it will mean *articulating* how various of Deleuze and Guattaris' concepts impact the dichotomies underpinning such regulatory policies. And in the third, a micropolitics of environmental (forest) conflict will involve *mapping* the kinds of assemblages and abstract machines capable of creating new configurations of bodies and ecologies – a configuration which might allow a becoming-the-same (becoming-anti-ecological) to find the space to become-other (to become-subsistent).

Telling the story

The book is assembled in the following way. Chapter 1 offers an overview of modernist accounts of environmental damage/conflict. I demonstrate that each of the five main ecological schools of thought underpinning contemporary environmental regulatory mechanisms are unable to account for the highly complex relationships pertaining between language, power, knowledge and various identities/social roles. More specifically, I argue that modern accounts of environmental damage proceed in monolithic fashion (the irresponsible consumer monolith under liberal ecology, the capitalist monolith under ecomarxism, the patriarchal monolith under ecofeminism, the hierarchical monolith under deep ecology, and the domination monolith under social ecology) and that this produces a hypostatised rendering of the 'causes' and 'effects' of ecological ruin. Here, a range of problems (concerning chiefly language, subjectivity, and the textual production of damaged or 'pristine' terrains) are shown to disappear below the grid of intelligibility made possible by modernist ecologist thought.

Chapter 2 explicates the way in which environmental issues have been constructed and theorised within criminology to date. Three broad crimino-ecophilosophical approaches are identified (spanning the five ecological schools discussed in Chapter 1) and the shortcomings associated with each are discussed. Critically, I show how 'green' criminology has largely neglected to address the micropolitical forces which

contribute *en masse* to environmental problems (and, indeed, to what is framed as ecological damage). These micropolitical forces (identified later in the book as 'Modalities of Nature') have to do with the way Nature is *envisioned*, the *speed* at which Nature is transformed, the *categories* or lexicon used to 'build' Nature, and the *proximities* (or relations between bodies) which stem from the preceding modalities. I suggest that in order for criminology to know something of environmental conflict and regulation it must first understand the limits embedded within its own discourse concerning a) what counts as a criminological problem, and b) what might be done about such issues. The chapter concludes by calling for a theoretical approach capable of addressing these issues and the impact these have on the legal and extra-legal limits of environmental damage.

Chapter 3 is centred around the concept of *machinic thought* and its significance for thinking through the sources of environmental conflict and new forms of environmental regulation. Here, a way of thinking-acting is advanced that regards as futile all programmes aimed at the final resolution of the struggle between humans and Nature, science and opinion, or, in Nietzsche's terms, good and evil. The chapter therefore introduces the notion of difference (or the acategorical) and shows how and why such a concept is critical to ecological matters. Chiefly, I argue that modern modes of environmental regulation are built around systems of representation which do violence to the production of difference – the difference immanent to persons, rivers, deserts, forests, invertebrates – in short, the difference immanent to life itself. It is in this context that the work of Nietzsche (who, with the possible exception of Thales, can be regarded as the philosopher of flows/becoming-immanent par excellence) is surveyed. In particular, Nietzsche's work on rhetoric and culture is used to open up the isomorphic relationship between words and things such that questions which ask 'What is Nature?' or 'What is environmental harm?' become, at least temporarily, unanswerable – perhaps even (given a genealogical standpoint) non-sensical.

The chapter then moves to a substantive discussion of the work of Deleuze and Guattari – two writers who have contributed significantly to the creation of a lexicon capable of subverting the binaries (humans/Nature, cause/effect, harm/benign conduct, crime/order, law/disorder) characteristic of modern thought. Several of their key concepts are clearly explicated and their relevance to environmental matters made plain. Particular emphasis is placed on their notion of a *plane of consistency* since it is this concept which allows such terms as 'Nature', 'environment', 'wilderness' – all those 'things' which form the traditional objects of ecological struggles and criminophilosophical discourse – to be placed to one side. This strategy fits with one of the central aims of the book – namely, to move away from categorical accounts of environmental damage (where all things are known ahead of time) in order that Nature might be conceived as something problematic, as something fleeting, as something which eludes efforts to quantify, capture or code. Overall, then, the chapter is designed to convey the basic premises and strengths of poststructuralist thought with respect to problems of Nature/Naturing.

The fourth chapter briefly contextualises the key site for analysis (that is Goolengook forest block) and clarifies the methodology and terminology engaged to bring this site into (and out of) relief throughout the remainder of the book. This is a critically important chapter as it sets out the technique used to ‘free’ particular sites of environmental contestation from the political, legal, scientific and popular renderings that traditionally constrain the shape of environmental discourse. I show how and why disputed terrains are best conceived as *events* and that each event needs to be written in terms of its multiplicities in place of its prescribed functions. In keeping with Deleuze’s reading of Spinoza, the question of what each disputed area *is* or is not (essence) is replaced by the question of what each terrain can or cannot *do* (affect) subsequent to the production of different texts (historic, legal, scientific, corporate). When matched with those given in Chapter 3, the concepts outlined in this chapter (machine, assemblage, fold) facilitate the production of a *chirographic machine*. In essence, the function of this machine will be to produce an archive of naming and envisioning capable of dislodging orthodox renderings of Nature and its so-called ‘proper’ relationship to Man over time. This machine – this meticulous documenting of major and minor texts with respect to a ‘single’ terrain – assists the emergence of the *becoming-other* of Goolengook as event.

The production of the chirographic machine occurs over the course of Chapters 5, 6 and 7 which collectively serve to relay something of the impact of two centuries of (European) textual incorporation of the site in question. Chapter 5 shows – to a particular chronological moment – the ways in which various texts named, categorised, and ascribed different ‘values’ to this space. It portrays, in other words, the texts which contributed to Goolengook ‘becoming-known’ (to Europeans) (beginning with archaeological evidence of Aboriginal occupation around the time of the last ice age and concluding with the geodetic survey documents which heralded the arrival of roads and industrialisation).

Chapter 6 cites and deconstructs the texts that helped to configure Goolengook as exhibiting one – and only one – kind of quality. That is, it demonstrates the changes in the mode of envisioning, transforming, and speaking Nature which contributed to Goolengook ‘becoming-forest’. It begins with the *Forests Act* 1907, moves to an examination of the impact of technological advances (chainsaw, footed track, articulated vehicle), international events (World War II, housing construction boom), as well as ‘natural disasters’ (the Great Fire of 1939), and concludes with a discussion of the instruments which allowed south eastern Victoria to be converted into a series of forest blocks (thus signifying the privileging of industrial processes over geological speeds and rhythms).

Chapter 7 details the texts which have subsequently conferred upon Goolengook a multitude of possible qualities, values and uses. Here, I examine the different methodologies and epistemological assumptions embedded in these textual encodings and their subsequent role(s) in producing Goolengook as a site of conflict (as ‘becoming-contested’). The chapter begins with a text which constructs portions of Goolengook as a place of unremarked potential and concludes with a reference to this site a place subject to police raids.