# MANUAL OF SURGICAL INTENSIVE CARE

COMMITTEE ON PRE
AND POSTOPERATIVE CARE
AMERICAN COLLEGE OF SURGEONS

Editorial Subcommittee

JOHN M. KINNEY, M.D., Chairman

HENRIK H. BENDIXEN, M.D.

SAMUEL R. POWERS, JR., M.D.

# MANUAL OF SURGICAL INTENSIVE CARE

BY THE COMMITTEE ON PRE AND POSTOPERATIVE CARE



AMERICAN COLLEGE OF SURGEONS

Editorial Subcommittee

JOHN M. KINNEY, M.D., Chairman HENRIK H. BENDIXEN, M.D. SAMUEL R. POWERS, IR., M.D. W. B. Saunders Company: West Washington Square Philadelphia, PA 19105

> 1 St. Anne's Road Eastbourne, East Sussex BN21 3UN, England

1 Goldthorne Avenue Toronto, Ontario M8Z 5T9, Canada

### Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication Data

American College of Surgeons. Committee on Pre and Postoperative Care.

Manual of surgical intensive care.

Therapeutics, Surgical.
 Intensive care units.
 Preoperative care.
 Postoperative care.
 Postoperative care.

RD51.A45 1976 617 76-51009

ISBN 0-7216-1180-X

American College of Surgeons

ISBN 0-7216-1180-X

© 1977 by W. B. Saunders Company. Copyright under the International Copyright Union. All rights reserved. This book is protected by copyright. No part of it may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without written permission from the publisher. Made in the United States of America. Press of W. B. Saunders Company. Library of Congress Catalog card number 76-51009.

### Notice

This volume attempts to provide a useful guide to surgical intensive care. The manual does not attempt to define in exhaustive detail a particular patient's requirements for therapy, nor to prejudge for a particular physician the therapeutic regimen he or she may deem necessary in regard to that patient. The Committee does not claim that methods or approaches other than those presented in this volume may not be equally successful in the management of surgical patients.

### COMMITTEE ON PRE AND POSTOPERATIVE CARE

\*JOHN A. COLLINS, Chairman, Stanford \*STANLEY J. DUDRICK, Vice Chairman, Houston

### Active Members

J. WESLEY ALEXANDER. Cincinnati

ARTHUR E. BAUE, New Haven

\*CHARLES R. BAXTER. Dallas

CHARLES E. BRACKETT, Kansas City

LARRY C. CAREY, Columbus, Ohio

CHARLES J. CARRICO. Seattle

JOSEPH M. CIVETTA. Miami

P. WILLIAM CURRERI, New York

JAMES H. DUKE, JR., Houston

JOHN H. DUFF. London, Canada

RUBEN F. GITTES. Boston

LAZAR J. GREENFIELD. Richmond

New York

FRANK E. GUMP.

E. JOHN HINCHEY. Montreal

JOHN W. MADDEN,

JOHN A. MANNICK. Boston

WILLIAM W. MONAFO, JR., St. Louis

GERALD S. MOSS. Chicago

JOHN M. PALMER, Sacramento

\*SAMUEL R. POWERS, JR., Albany

\*MARC I. ROWE, Miami

GEORGE F. SHELDON, San Francisco

ROGER T. SHERMAN, Tampa

JOHN J. SKILLMAN.

ROBY C. THOMPSON, JR., Minneapolis

HASTINGS K. WRIGHT. New Haven

<sup>\*</sup>Executive Committee

### Senior Members

WILLIAM A. ALTEMEIER, Cincinnati

WALTER F. BALLINGER, St. Louis

IVAN W. BROWN, JR., Lakeland, Florida

JOHN F. BURKE, Boston

GEORGE H. A. CLOWES, JR., Boston

WILLIAM R. COLE, Sedalia, Missouri

WILLIAM F. COLLINS, JR., New Haven

FRANCIS J. COX, San Francisco

WILLIAM R. CULBERTSON, Cincinnati

WILLIAM R. DRUCKER, Charlottesville

RICHARD H. EDGAHL, Boston

BEN EISEMAN, Denver

WILLIAM B. KIESEWETTER, Pittsburgh

JOHN M. KINNEY, New York

JOHN W. KIRKLIN, Birmingham

STANLEY M. LEVENSON, New York

ROBERT A. L. MACBETH, St. John, Canada

LLOYD D. MACLEAN, Montreal

JAMES V. MALONEY, JR., Los Angeles

WALTER H. MORAN, JR., Morgantown, West Virginia

GEORGE L. NARDI, Boston

ERLE E. PEACOCK, JR., New Orleans

BASIL A. PRUITT, JR., Fort Sam Houston

BENJAMIN F. RUSH, JR., Newark

WILLIAM R. SANDUSKY, Charlottesville

RUBERT B. TURNBULL, JR., Cleveland

WILLIAM R. WADDELL, Denver

CARL W. WALTER, Boston

W. DEAN WARREN, Atlanta

WARREN A. WILSON, Los Angeles

EDWARD R. WOODWARD, Gainesville

ROBERT ZEPPA,

GEORGE D. ZUIDEMA, Baltimore

# Contributors

- HENRIK H. BENDIXEN, M.D. Professor and Chairman, Department of Anesthesiology, College of Physicians and Surgeons, Columbia University. Chief of the Anesthesiology Service, Presbyterian Hospital, New York.
- HARVEY R. BERNARD, M.D., F.A.C.S. Professor of Surgery, Albany Medical College of Union University, Albany, New York,
- LAWRENCE B. BONE, M.D. Clinical Instructor in Surgery, State University of New York at Buffalo. Chief Resident in Surgery, E. J. Meyer Memorial Hospital and Veterans Administration Hospital, Buffalo, New York.
- JOHN R. BORDER, M.D., F.A.C.S. Professor of Surgery, State University of New York at Buffalo. Director of the Trauma Service and Attending in Surgery, E. J. Meyer Memorial Hospital, Buffalo, New York.
- JOHN E. BRIMM, M.D. Postdoctoral Fellow, Department of Pathology, University of California, San Diego.
- JOSEPH M. CIVETTA, M.D., F.A.C.S. Professor of Surgery, Anesthesiology, Medicine and Pathology, University of Miami School of Medicine. Director, Surgical Intensive Care, Jackson Memorial Hospital, Miami, Florida.
- JOHN A. COLLINS, M.D., F.A.C.S. Professor of Surgery and Chairman of the Department of Surgery, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, California.
- J. FRANCIS DAMMANN, JR., M.D. Professor of Pediatric Cardiology and Biomedical Engineering, University of Virginia, Charlottesville.
- S. RAYMOND GAMBINO, M.D. Professor of Pathology, College of Physicians and Surgeons, Columbia University. Director of the Clinical Chemistry Service, Presbyterian Hospital, New York.
- AKE GRENVIK, M.D. Professor of Anesthesiology and Critical Care Medicine, and Director of Critical Care Medicine, University of Pittsburgh School of Medicine. Medical Director, Intensive Care Unit, Presbyterian-University Hospital, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania.
- FRANK E. GUMP, M.D., F.A.C.S. Professor of Surgery, College of Physicians and Surgeons, Columbia University. Attending Surgeon, Presbyterian Hospital, New York.

vi CONTRIBUTORS

JOHN M. KINNEY, M.D., F.A.C.S. Professor of Surgery, College of Physicians and Surgeons, Columbia University, New York.

- DONALD S. KORNFELD, M.D. Professor of Clinical Psychiatry, College of Physicians and Surgeons, Columbia University. Attending Psychiatrist, New York Psychiatric Institute, New York.
- HAROLD LAUFMAN, M.D., F.A.C.S. Professor of Surgery, Albert Einstein College of Medicine. Director, Institute for Surgical Studies, and Attending Surgeon, Montefiore Hospital and Medical Center, Bronx, New York.
- STANLEY M. LEVENSON, M.D., F.A.C.S. Professor of Surgery, Albert Einstein College of Medicine. Director, Surgical ICU-Burn Unit, Bronx Municipal Hospital Center, Bronx, New York.
- RICHARD M. PETERS, M.D., F.A.C.S. Professor of Surgery and Bioengineering, University of California, San Diego. Head, Division of Thoracic Surgery, University Hospital, San Diego, California.
- HENNING PONTOPPIDAN, M.D. Professor of Anaesthesia, Harvard Medical School. Chief, Respiratory Unit and Respiratory Care Department, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston.
- SAMUEL R. POWERS, Jr., M.D., D.Sc.(Med.), F.A.C.S. Professor of Surgery, Albany Medical College. Attending Surgeon-in-Chief, Albany Medical Center Hospital, Albany, New York.
- BASIL A. PRUITT, Jr., M.D., COL MC, F.A.C.S. Commander and Director, U.S. Army Institute of Surgical Research, Brooke Army Medical Center, Ft. Sam Houston, Texas.
- MICHAEL A. RIE, M.D. Instructor in Anesthesia, Harvard Medical School. Assistant in Anesthesia and Assistant in Medicine, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston.
- PETER SAFAR, M.D. Professor and Chairman, Department of Anesthesiology, University of Pittsburgh School of Medicine. Director, Department of Anesthesiology, Presbyterian-University Hospital, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania.
- RAJIINDAR SINGH, M.D. Assistant Professor of Cardiology, Chicago Medical School. Chief of Cardiology, Veterans Administration Hospital, North Chicago, Illinois.
- WILLIAM M. STAHL, Jr., M.D., F.A.C.S. Professor of Surgery, New York University School of Medicine. Attending Staff, University Hospital, Bellevue Hospital and Manhattan Veterans Administration Hospital, New York.
- GARY W. WELCH, M.D., Ph.D. Assistant Professor, Department of Anesthesiology, University of Massachusetts Medical School, Worcester.
- CARL W. WALTER, M.D., F.A.C.S. Clinical Professor of Surgery Emeritus, Harvard Medical School. Surgeon Emeritus, Peter Bent Brigham Hospital, Boston.

# Preface

This volume is divided into three general sections: Development of Surgical Intensive Care, General Aspects of Surgical Intensive Care and Management of Specific Conditions. The chapters vary in length and several have been given extra space because they represent subjects of central importance (such as the management of ventilatory failure) or special difficulty not commonly faced by all surgeons (such as management of the major burn patient). There is no single discipline of medicine where the conventional training qualifies a person to manage all of the different kinds of challenges which may be met in a busy intensive care unit for surgical patients. The editors, representing two disciplines, surgery and anesthesiology, have included a chapter on the psychological aspects of an ICU, the legal problems of the ICU, and a separate chapter on the problems of the clinical laboratory in relation to the ICU. These widely varying areas of competence have become important in providing modern intensive care.

For the optimum care of their patients, informed surgeons will have more and more to accept some responsibility for the quality of intensive care in their hospital. Routine operative procedures have become more complex and are more frequently applied to the treatment of the very young, the very old and the poor-risk patient with preoperative complications. Modern intensive care is an important determinant of survival for such patients. In addition, it is often necessary for informed surgeons to apply appropriate pressure to surmount the local roadblocks to development of modern intensive care in their institutions. For this reason, a chapter has been included in this book dealing with the location and design of an intensive care unit.

The intensive care unit has been called the "hospital's hospital" for many different reasons. An ICU segregates and concentrates all of the assets of an institution for care of the critically ill. A poorly designed or carelessly operated ICU may segregate and concentrate liabilities of care, such as medication errors, infection exposure, electrical hazards and psychological trauma. Certain important features of ICU design may easily be omitted unless the surgical staff are aware of their importance and hence speak out in their defense.

viii PREFACE

Intensive care on an individual basis dates back to the first concerned physician struggling with the care of a critically ill patient. But the field of intensive care, as a formal discipline, has developed since World War II. It is a young and rapidly growing field without clearly defined boundaries and facing increasingly complex problems. Many of these current problems are related to the rapid growth of the intensive care concept. Our ability to apply advanced intensive care is exceeding our limits of money and manpower to provide such care. Therefore, we find ourselves not only striving for the optimum care for every patient but also forced to become selective in the allocation of the resources which are available for intensive care.

The ideal person to direct the day-to-day activities of a surgical ICU is not automatically someone trained in one or another specialty, but rather is the individual who is most effective in mobilizing the resources of a given institution to meet the problems of a particular patient in an effective and integrated manner. The chapter on Therapeutic Conflicts in the Intensive Care Unit highlights some of the areas where the optimum treatment of one organ system is at the expense of another. Modern intensive care demands rapid and effective communication at every level in order to achieve the greatest benefit with the least risk for the particular combination of problems presented by any one patient.

It is fitting that this book has been prepared under the auspices of the American College of Surgeons. Just as the definition of intensive care is changing rapidly and evolving in complexity, so is the responsibility of the surgeon. The concept of modern intensive care represents an unusual opportunity to demonstrate the principles set forth in the fellowship pledge of that College:

"... I pledge myself to pursue the practice of surgery with scientific honesty and to place the welfare of my patients above all else; to advance constantly in knowledge; and to render willing help to my colleagues, regard their professional interest, and seek their counsel when in doubt as to my own judgment."

John M. Kinney, M.D., Chairman Henrik H. Bendixen, M.D. Samuel R. Powers, Jr., M.D. Editorial Subcommittee

# Contents

### Part I:

# DEVELOPMENT OF SURGICAL INTENSIVE CARE

Chapter 1	
HISTORY OF INTENSIVE CARE	3
Henrik H. Bendixen and John M. Kinney	
Charten 2	
Chapter 2	1.5
How to Start an Intensive Care Unit	15
Chapter 3	
THE DESIGN OF AN INTENSIVE CARE UNIT	23
Chapter 4	
Administration and Operating Procedures	41
John M. Kinney and Henrik H. Bendixen	
Chapter 5	
STAFFING PATTERNS AND EQUIPMENT	51
Ake Grenvik and Peter Safar	
Chapter 6	
Intensive Care Monitoring	63
Samuel R. Powers	
Chapter 7	
Data Handling Systems	73
John E. Brimm and Richard M. Peters	
Chapter 8	
DETERMINANTS OF SURGICAL INTENSIVE CARE	89
Joseph M. Civetta	
	ix

## Part II:

## GENERAL ASPECTS OF SURGICAL INTENSIVE CARE

Chapter 9 Fluid and Electrolyte Management
Chapter 10 USE OF BLOOD AND BLOOD COMPONENTS
Chapter 11  Laboratory Services for Intensive Care Units
Chapter 12 Infection Hazard of Surgical Intensive Care: Isolation Procedures in the Surgical Intensive Care Unit
Chapter 13 PSYCHIATRIC CONSIDERATIONS IN THE INTENSIVE CARE UNIT
Chapter 14  Ethical and Legal Considerations in the Intensive Care Unit
Part III:
MANAGEMENT OF SPECIFIC CONDITIONS
Chapter 15 The Control of Infection

CONTENTS xi

Chapter 16	
VENTILATORY COMPLICATIONS: PREVENTION AND TREATMENT	19
Chapter 17 PERIPHERAL CIRCULATORY FAILURE	51
Chapter 18  CARDIAC PROBLEMS IN THE SURGICAL PATIENT	61
Chapter 19 The Patient in Renal Failure	87
Chapter 20 The Burn Patient in the Intensive Care Unit	99
Chapter 21 THERAPEUTIC CONFLICTS IN THE INTENSIVE CARE UNIT	26
INDEX 33	33

# Part I

# DEVELOPMENT OF SURGICAL INTENSIVE CARE



# HISTORY OF INTENSIVE CARE

HENRIK H. BENDIXEN, M.D. JOHN M. KINNEY, M.D.

Between 1950 and 1970 there was extraordinarily rapid growth of an approach to therapy that has been called by many names, including "intensive care" and "critical care." Prior to 1950, few general hospitals had an intensive care unit; by 1970, these units had sprouted to the extent that literally every acute hospital had at least one. All bigger hospitals now are likely to have several units, each aimed at a different patient population, such as those with coronary disease, burns, or trauma. The organization of care in an acute hospital would be inconceivable without intensive care units, for the reason that they have realized a life-saving potential. Hard data are scarce, but there is little doubt that a large number of patients survive today because of intensive care units, patients who a generation ago would have died.<sup>52</sup>

What prompted the efforts to provide intensive care? What made the spectacular growth possible? The answers to these questions are complex, and in order to provide answers, the intellectual and technological roots of intensive care must be examined as well as the conditions of public interest and availability of funds that were needed to support a form of therapy which was inherently expensive, although often highly cost-effective. In searching for the roots of intensive care, the primary interest is not in the first spectacular demonstration in animals, nor in the first daring application in humans. What is significant is the beginning of sustained clinical application.

Intensive care covers a large range of activities and defies any attempt to define it with precision. However, characteristics of intensive care, and of intensive care units, can be listed. Intensive care is a form of treatment of patients with serious, often life-threatening, trauma or illness, demanding special resources of manpower and technology. The practical way of delivering such care has been by centralizing patients and resources in areas where expertise can be developed and maintained, where the necessary manpower

categories can be deployed, and where the required technology can be assembled and kept working.

A common threat to the life of a patient in an intensive care unit is failure of the respiratory system in the broadest sense. Although other support systems are available or under development, ranging from the artificial kidney to circulatory assist devices, it is certainly the case that effective treatment or prevention of failure of ventilation or oxygenation, and the maintenance of a free airway, have been at the core of activities in most intensive care units. This historical sketch traces the roots of centralization of airway management, oxygen therapy, and artificial ventilation.

# CENTRALIZATION OF PATIENTS AND RESOURCES IN SPECIAL UNITS

The centralization of those patients who cannot be handled elsewhere may be traced back to the origin of hospitals. The first "public" hospitals provided a place to care for those patients who could not be cared for in their homes because of a lack of resources. These early hospitals from the period 1750 to 1850 were characterized by their 12 to 20 bed wards for the poor, making supervision and care practically and economically feasible. It was much later that the well-to-do moved from their homes into hospitals for treatment, and then to single or double rooms in private pavilions. This happened around the turn of the century, as the hospitals grew in resources, especially with respect to diagnostic and surgical facilities.

As any house officer knows, it is difficult or impossible to provide intensive care for seriously ill patients in private rooms. Therefore, to the other reasons for having intensive care units must be added the fact that resource-demanding care of illness and trauma, involving vital organs and systems, requires a concentration of patients to be handled economically. The single or double room is viewed as the hallmark of upper class care, which should be universally available. At the same time, the hospital planner must provide intensive care areas for that fraction of the patient population that is seriously ill, and reserve the single and double rooms for those less ill. It helps that often the ward can be rearranged and equipped for intensive care fairly readily.

Centralization of patients with special illnesses or conditions goes back so far as to be lost in antiquity. The first purpose undoubtedly was to separate individuals with infectious diseases and mental afflictions for the protection and comfort of the rest of the community. Much later came centralization of patient categories because of therapeutic possibilities—examples are the gas poisoning units of World War I<sup>4,33</sup> and the shock units of later wars. Also, in the face of civilian disaster, special units were promptly opened so that patients and resources could be centralized. A well reported example is the unit that opened at the Massachusetts General Hospital when the