

# EMISM & FILM

E. ANN KAPLAN

# **OXFORD READINGS IN FEMINISM**

# Feminism and Film

Edited by

E. Ann Kaplan





Great Clarendon Street, Oxford 0x2 6DP

Oxford University Press is a department of the University of Oxford. It furthers the University's objective of excellence in research, scholarship, and education by publishing worldwide in

Oxford New York

Auckland Cape Town Dar es Salaam Hong Kong Karachi Kuala Lumpur Madrid Melbourne Mexico City Nairobi New Delhi Shanghai Taipei Toronto

With offices in

Argentina Austria Brazil Chile Czech Republic France Greece Guatemala Hungary Italy Japan South Korea Poland Portugal Singapore Switzerland Thailand Turkey Ukraine Vietnam

> Published in the United States by Oxford University Press Inc., New York

Introduction, Notes, and Selection © E. Ann Kaplan, 2000

The moral rights of the author have been asserted Database right Oxford University Press (maker)

First published 2000

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, without the prior permission in writing of Oxford University Press, or as expressly permitted by law, or under terms agreed with the appropriate reprographics rights organization. Enquiries concerning reproduction outside the scope of the above should be sent to the Rights Department, Oxford University Press, at the address above.

You must not circulate this book in any other binding or cover and you must impose this same condition on any acquirer.

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data Data available

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication Data Data available

ISBN 978-0-19-878234-6

12

Typeset in Minion by RefineCatch Limited, Bungay, Suffolk Printed in Great Britain by the MPG Books Group, Bodmin and King's Lynn

### OXFORD READINGS IN FEMINISM

## FEMINISM AND FILM

#### PUBLISHED IN THIS SERIES:

Feminism and Renaissance Studies edited by Lorna Hutson

Feminism and Science edited by Evelyn Fox Keller and Helen E. Longino

Feminism, the Public and the Private edited by Joan Landes

Feminism and Politics edited by Anne Phillips

Feminism and History edited by Joan Wallach Scott

Feminism and Cultural Studies edited by Morag Shiach

Feminism and Pornography edited by Drucilla Cornell

Feminism and the Body edited by Londa Schiebinger

#### **Preface**

It was an all but impossible task to select which of the abundant excellent essays written on feminism and film since 1970 to put in this volume. Originally, I had chosen twice as many as appear here, only to be forced to cut drastically. Faced with that prospect, I decided to focus on one major strand of thought in the field produced by Laura Mulvey's polemical and influential 1975 essay on 'Visual Pleasure and Narrative Cinema.' Mulvey's work struck a cord so pertinent and provocative that it has remained to this day a site of both appreciation for the insights and contestation and debate about their validity or utility. Many of the major essays in the field responded in one way or another—including outright rejection—to Mulvey's theoretical positions, so I could produce a book of coherent essays by printing work that debated, argued against, or built out from 'Visual Pleasure and Narrative Cinema.'

But re-reading the entire book as I proofed it, I realized something else. A central set of concepts worked and reworked by scholars is that of difference: in the early days, it is male/female sexual difference; later on gay/straight difference—that is, the differences within female sexuality; still later the difference of gender (as distinct from 'sexuality'); and finally, differences between women produced by race and ethnicity. In reading our varving and complex theorizing about all these differences, I realized how feminist film research was very much at the forefront of questioning and analyzing differences across all these territories, across all these borders: On nand, I cannot think of any discipline that focussed so closely on difference. Anthropology, on which feminist film theory drew, is perhaps the exception, but in using psychoanalysis, feminist research went in other directions than did most Anthropology.

The question of difference is an important point as we think about the future. I would argue that the tools feminist film theorists have produced will prove extremely important as we move into the 21st century—perhaps the first truly global one because of digital communications technologies. Sexual and ethnic differences in many parts of the world remain entrenched—often in ways with long traditions and histories. It will be interesting to see how and if the tools for

analyzing difference that feminist film theorists developed may be useful elsewhere than in the west.

It seems to me that humans' incredibly sophisticated digital and other technologies throw into relief the limitations of human nature. Differences of all kinds remain a key stumbling block to humans' ability to bring about justice, equality, equal distribution of wealth, food, and consumer items. I hope that this volume will be viewed as providing essential background knowledge for understanding current debates, and also for moving feminist film studies forward into new terrain, such as joining hands with Queer Studies. Some premises of Queer Studies challenge positions—even those taken by lesbian film scholars included here—in feminist film theory. It is exciting to think of a companion volume to this one where we could bring together feminist film theorists' discussions of difference with Queer Studies' interest in questions of sexual indeterminacy, transvestism, and transsexuality. But above all, I hope this volume will be useful for thinking of how to move beyond difference to imagine new modes of being.

Let me take this opportunity to thank Teresa Brennan for her support and advice in the process of selecting essays for the volume. I would also like to thank the Humanities Institute staff assistants, Chris Nagle and Theo Cateforis, for their research help. Finally, thanks to the OUP staff, especially Lesley Wilson, for their patience with a taxing text.

E. Ann Kaplan

March 2000

#### **Notes on Contributors**

NANCY N. CHEN is currently Assistant Professor of Anthropology at UC Santa Cruz where she teaches visual anthropology and medical anthropology.

JOAN COPJEC is Professor of English, Comparative Literature, and Media Study at the University of Buffalo, where she is also Director of the Center for the Study of Psychoanalysis and Culture. She is the author of *Read My Desire: Lacan against the Historicists* and editor of several volumes, including those in her *S* series, published by Verso.

ELIZABETH COWIE is Reader in Film Studies at the University of Kent at Canterbury. She is a founding editor of m/f, a journal of feminist theory, and coedited *The Woman in Question*. She has published on psychoanalysis, film noir, classical Hollywood, narrative, and documentary, and is the author of *Representing the Woman* (1997).

MARY ANN DOANE is George Hazard Crooker University Professor of Modern Culture and Media at Brown University. She is the author of *The Desire to Desire: The Woman's Film of the 1940s* and *Femme Fatales: Feminism, Film Theory, Psychoanalysis.* She is currently completing a book on time, contingency and the cinema.

Jane Gaines is Associate Professor of Literature and English and Director of the Program in Film and Video at Duke University. She has recently completed Other/Race/Desire: Mixed Blood Relations in Early Cinema (University of Chicago Press, forthcoming), and co-edited Collecting Visible Evidence with Michael Renov (University of Minnesota). In addition to writing on feminist film theory over the years, she has written on entertainment law (Contested Culture: The Image, the Voice and the Law) and motion picture fashions (Fabrications: Costume and the Female Body, co-edited with Charlotte Herzog).

CHRISTINE GLEDHILL is Professor of Cinema Studies at Staffordshire University. She is editor of *Home Is Where the Heart Is: Studies in Melodrama and the Woman's Film* (British Film Institute, 1987), *Stardom: Industry of Desire* (Routledge, 1991), and co-editor of *Reinventing Film Studies* (Edward Arnold, 2000). She has published widely on feminist film criticism, melodrama, and British cinema. She is currently working on a study of 1920s British cinema for the British Film Institute, due for publication in 2000.

MIRIAM HANSEN is Ferdinand Schevill Distinguished Service Professor in the Humanities and Chair of the Committee on Cinema and Media Studies at the University of Chicago. Her most recent book is *Babel and Babylon: Spectatorship in American Silent Film* (Harvard UP, 1991: 1994). She is a

#### NOTES ON CONTRIBUTORS

co-editor of *New German Critique* and has published widely on American and German Cinema, feminist film theory, and concepts of the public sphere. She is currently completing a study on the Frankfurt School's debates on film and mass culture.

CLAIRE JOHNSTON (1940–1987) was one of the pioneers of feminist film theory and a tireless activist on behalf of intellectually independent cinemas. She co-organized the first ever women's film festival (Edinburgh, 1972), published *Notes on Women's Cinema* (1973), co-edited a book on Jacques Tourneur (1975) and published the first main study of Dorothy Arzner (1975). She committed suicide in 1987.

E. Ann Kaplan is Professor of English and Comparative Literature at The State University of New York at Stony Brook, where she also founded and directs The Humanities Institute. Kaplan has written many books and numerous essays on topics in literary and media theory, practices and politics, with special focus on issues in gender and race. Her most recent book is Looking for the Other: Feminism, Film and the Imperial Gaze (1997). Her new project is on Performing Age: Bodies, Images, Fictions.

Annette Kuhn is Professor of Film Studies at Lancaster University (UK), and an editor of Screen. Her publications include Women's Pictures: Feminism And Cinema; The Power Of The Image: Essays On Representation And Sexuality; and Family Secrets: Acts Of Memory And Imagination.

TERESA DE LAURETIS is Professor of the History of Consciousness at the University of California, Santa Cruz. The author of numerous essays and books, including *Alice Doesn't* (1984), *Technologies of Gender* (1987), and *The Practice of Love* (1994), she has written on film, literature, semiotics, psychoanalysis, and feminist theory.

Ana M. López teaches film and Latin American studies at Tulane University. She has published widely on Latin American film and media, including the co-edited anthologies *Mediating Two Worlds* (BFI, 1993) and *The Ethnic Eye: Latino Media Arts* (University of Minnesota Press, 1996). Her *Third and Imperfect: The New Latin American Cinema* is forthcoming from the University of Minnesota Press.

JUDITH MAYNE is Professor of French and Women's Studies at Ohio State University (Columbus). She is the author of several books, including *The Woman at the Keyhole* (1990); *Cinema and Spectatorship* (1993); and *Directed by Dorothy Arzner* (1994).

TRINH T. MINH-HA is a writer, filmmaker and composer. Her more recent works include the books: *Drawn From African Dwellings* (in coll. with Jean Paul Bourdier, 1996), *Framer Framed* (1962), *When The Moon Waxes Red* (1991), *Woman, Native, Other* (1989), *En miniscules* (book of poems, 1987); and the films: A Tale of Love (1995), Shoot for the Contents (1991), Surname

Viet Given Name Nam (1989), Naked Spaces (1985), and Reassemblage (1982). She taught at the Dakar Conservatory of Music in Senegal, and at universities such as Cornell, San Francisco State, Smith and Harvard, and is Professor of Women's Studies and Film at the University of California, Berkeley. She is now working on a multi-media installation project ('Nothing But Ways') to open at the Yerba Buena Center for the Arts on June 4, 1999, and on a feature film project to be shot partly in Japan.

Tania Modleski is the author of several books including Loving With a Vengeance: Mass-Produced Fantasies for Women, The Women Who Knew Too Much: Hitchcock and Feminist Theory, and most recently Old Wives Tales, and Other Women's Stories. She teaches in the English Department at the University of Southern California.

LAURA MULVEY has been writing about film and film theory since the mid-1970s and her essays have been published in *Visual and Other Pleasures* (Macmillan, 1989) and *Fetishism and Curiosity* (British Film Institute, 1966). She is also the author of the BFI Film Classic *Citizen Kane*. Laura Mulvey codirected six films with Peter Wollen including *Riddles of the Sphinx* (BFI, 1978) and *Frida Kahlo and Tina Modotti* (Arts Council, 1980) as well as *Disgraced Monuments* with Mark Lewis (Channel Four, 1994). She is now Professor of Film and Media Studies at Birkbeck College, University of London.

Steve Neale is Research Professor in Film, Media and Communication Studies. He is the author of *Genre* (1980), *Cinema and Technology* (1985) and *Genre and Hollywood* (2000), co-author of *Popular Film and Television Comedy* (1990) and co-editor of *Contemporary Hollywood Cinema* (1998).

CLAIRE PAJACZKOWSKA worked as a filmmaker in New York whilst being part of the 'Heresies Six' collective on feminism and art. She then worked in London as a lecturer in film and visual culture. Her doctoral thesis 'Before Language' explored the uses of psychoanalysis as a theory of visual culture. She has translated several books on psychoanalytic theory and lectured in Paris, Naples, Florence, Krakow, Berlin and the USA. Her most recent publications are *Feminist Visual Culture* (edited with Fiona Carson, 2000) and *Perversion* (2000). She is Senior Lecturer in Visual Culture at Middlesex University.

Pratibha Parmar is an award-winning filmmaker whose films and videos have been shown internationally on television, at film festivals and in theatres. She has written and edited several books including *Warrior Marks: Female Genital Mutilation and the Sexual Blinding of Woman*, co-authored with Alice Walker and published in the U.K. and U.S.

DAVID N. RODOWICK is Professor of Visual and Cultural Studies at the University of Rochester and the author of *The Crisis of Political Modernism*, *The Difficulty of Difference*, and *Gilles Deleuze's Time Machine*. His latest book,

#### NOTES ON CONTRIBUTORS

Reading the Figural, or the Ends of Aesthetic in Film, Philosophy, and the New Media will be published by Duke University Press in 2000.

KAJA SILVERMAN is Chancellor's Professor of Rhetoric and Film at the University of California at Berkeley, and the author of *The Subject of Semiotics* (1982); *The Acoustic Mirror: The Female Voice in Psychoanalysis and Cinema* (1988); *Male Subjectivity at the Margins* (1992); *The Threshold of the Visible World* (1996); and (with Harun Farocki) *Speaking About Godard* (1998).

Jackie Stacey is Reader in Women's Studies and Sociology at Lancaster University in England. She is a co-editor of *Screen* and author of *Star Gazing: Hollywood Cinema and Female Spectatorship* (1994) and *Teratologies: A Cultural Study of Cancer* (1997).

GAYLYN STUDLAR is Professor of Film Studies and English at the University of Michigan-Ann Arbor, where she also directs the Program in Film and Video Studies. She is the author of *This Mad Masquerade: Stardom and Masculinity in the Jazz Age* and *In the Realm of Pleasure: Von Sternberg, Dietrich, and the Masochistic Aesthetic.* Her most recent publication is the co-edited volume, *Titanic: Anatomy of a Blockbuster* (Rutgers, 1999). She is currently working on a social history of women and the American cinema and completing a co-edited volume, *John Ford Made Westerns*, with Matthew Bernstein.

LINDA WILLIAMS teaches film studies and rhetoric at the University of California, Berkeley. She is the author of *Hard Core: Power, Pleasure and the Frenzy of the Visible* and of *Melodramas of Black and White* (forthcoming, Princeton U.P.).

Lola Young is Professor of Cultural Studies at Middlesex University. Before becoming an academic Professor Young worked in arts development, promoting black arts and culture. She has written widely in issues of 'race', gender and representation, black British culture, and film. Her book, *Fear of the Dark: Race, Gender and Sexuality in Cinema* was published by Routledge in 1996, and she is currently working on an edited collection of her own essays.

# **Contents**

lotes on Contributors		xi
	Introduction E. ANN KAPLAN	1
	Phase I. Pioneers and Classics: The Modernist Mode	
	Introductory Notes	19
1.	Women's Cinema as Counter-Cinema CLAIRE JOHNSTON	22
2.	Visual Pleasure and Narrative Cinema LAURA MULVEY	34
3.	'Woman as Sign' ELIZABETH COWIE	48
4.	Klute 1: A Contemporary Film Noir and Feminist Criticism CHRISTINE GLEDHILL	66
5.	Woman's Stake: Filming the Female Body MARY ANN DOANE	86
6.	Male Subjectivity and the Celestial Suture: <i>It's a Wonderful Life</i> KAJA SILVERMAN	100
7.	Is the Gaze Male? E. ANN KAPLAN	119
8.	Dorothy Arzner: Critical Strategies CLAIRE JOHNSTON	139
	Phase II. Critiques of Phase I Theories: New Methods	
	Introductory Notes	151
9.	Lesbian Looks: Dorothy Arzner and Female Authorship JUDITH MAYNE	159
0.	The Difficulty of Difference DAVID N. RODOWICK	181
		vii

#### CONTENTS

11.	Masochism and the Perverse Pleasures of the Cinema GAYLYN STUDLAR	203
12.	Pleasure, Ambivalence, Identification: Valentino and Female Spectatorship MIRIAM HANSEN	226
13.	Masculinity as Spectacle: Reflections on Men and Mainstream Cinema STEVE NEALE	253
14.	Strategies of Coherence: Narrative Cinema, Feminist Poetics, and Yvonne Rainer TERESA DE LAURETIS	265
15.	The Orthopsychic Subject: Film Theory and the Reception of Lacan JOAN COPJEC	287
	Phase III. Race, Sexuality, and Postmodernism in Feminist Film Theory	
	Introductory Notes	309
16.	Speaking Nearby TRINH T. MINH-HA AND NANCY N. CHEN	317
17.	White Privilege and Looking Relations: Race and Gender in Feminist Film Theory JANE GAINES	336
18.	Racism, Representation, Psychoanalysis CLAIRE PAJACZKOWSKA AND LOLA YOUNG	356
19.	That Moment of Emergence PRATIBHA PARMAR	375
20.	Sexual Indifference and Lesbian Representation TERESA DE LAURETIS	384
	Phase IV. Spectatorship, Ethnicity, and Melodrama	
	Introductory Notes	409
21.	Film and the Masquerade: Theorising the Female Spectator MARY ANN DOANE	418
22.	Women's Genres ANNETTE KUHN	437

23.	Desperately Seeking Difference JACKIE STACEY	450
24.	The Case of the Missing Mother: Maternal Issues in Vidor's <i>Stella Dallas</i> E. ANN KAPLAN	466
25.	'Something Else Besides a Mother': Stella Dallas and the Maternal Melodrama LINDA WILLIAMS	479
26.	Tears and Desire: Women and Melodrama in the 'Old' Mexican Cinema ANA M. LÓPEZ	505
27.	Three Men and Baby M TANIA MODLESKI	521
28.	The Carapace that Failed: Ousmane Sembene's <i>Xala</i> LAURA MULVEY	535
Further Reading		554
Index		561

#### Introduction

#### E. Ann Kaplan

Feminist perspectives on film developed in the context of the various womens' liberation movements that emerged in the United States in the late 1960s and early 1970s. As many historians have shown, women in different nations have periodically over the centuries resisted their social, political, and intellectual marginalizing, their silencing in patriarchal cultures,¹ and set about producing new knowledge. What differing kinds of knowledge about women's film study can offer is partly answered in the rest of this introduction, for the knowledge produced in feminist film study has varied with the goals, methods, and interests of different feminist film scholars. Knowledge produced varied also with the disciplinary basis of scholars taking up feminist film research. But studying images of women, from whatever perspective or within whatever research method, problematizes and raises questions about the relationship of aesthetics to politics and to cultures.

Film study is enhanced by feminist perspectives because the word 'feminist' implies a particular stance vis-à-vis women: it implies a concern with gender difference in general, but taking up the perspective of women specifically. It implies identification with women's concerns, even if, logically, such concerns cannot be dealt with without also considering men. Indeed, as reflected in selections in the volume, feminist film theory includes study of masculinity in cinema—an area first begun in the early 1980s in Britain and being pursued actively in America today.

A feminist perspective should not be confused with the literal gender of the scholar: males can write feminist criticism, and women can write criticism that is not feminist.<sup>2</sup> Looking at women in film pushes feminist theory to a different set of issues than those aspects of films that male scholars traditionally study. The feminist film theorist—whether implicitly or explicitly—asks: what is the relationship between images on film and the context for their production?

S/he may do this through asking: What is the relationship between images of women on film (their social and sexual roles) and what scholars can discover about women's lives in any particular context within which a film is produced? Included here may be questions such as: why are some groups (e.g. white women) featured more frequently than other groups in Hollywood film? Is the same predominance of white women found in other national cinemas? Why? Study of the material conditions for a film's production may serve to answer questions involving gender and race.

Or the scholar may ask how meanings about women are produced on film as these relate to meanings about women produced elsewhere, i.e. socially, politically, and culturally, in different national contexts. Humanities disciplines have traditionally focused on questions of how 'signs' (the materials and symbols used to make any art form) 'signify' (convey meanings). Since art is a deliberate construction by someone (or some groups of people) making art or entertainment for an imagined audience or receiver, what signs have been used to produce meanings about women? Why these signs rather than others? How have signifiers in relation to women changed over time? How do they differ from one Hollywood genre to another, or one kind of film to another?

A third question some feminist theorists ask is: what are the relationships between images of women on film and the level of fantasy, desire, unconscious wishes and fears that has both individual and social/historical formation? Whose desire is at work in a particular film? Whose unconscious is being addressed? How and why? Film offers a meta-terrain where questions about women, the unconscious, the social imaginary and women's discursive construction can take on different valences than they may take in either the social or natural sciences, or in medicine. In this way, film pushes feminist studies to develop new theories, or to challenge accepted male theories of aesthetics and entertainment. Film is an important object for feminist practice, since creating art or entertainment with feminist perspectives may help to change entrenched male stances towards women that can be found in commercial or avant-garde entertainment and art. In so doing, feminist film study may change cultural attitudes towards women, and may deepen our understanding of meanings women have traditionally born in patriarchal cultures.

Some of the earliest feminist academic work was done by women in history and literary studies: scholars began to investigate women's neglected roles in, and contributions to, various cultures and, in literary studies, to explore neglected women writers and feminist themes in fiction and drama.<sup>3</sup> They also studied how women have been represented in literature across the centuries. Pioneering research in literature was done in the 1970s by Kate Millett, Mary Ferguson, and Susan Koppelman-Cornillon in America; and by Germaine Greer in Britain. In literary studies, early feminist work basically followed New Critical research methods—that is, scholars studied formal aspects of texts, such as motifs, symbols, characters, narrative style, language. But feminists diverged in focusing specifically on images of female characters in fiction. Typically, scholars created categories of female social roles that they found in Western literature, and discussed their limiting of women to the conventional domestic sphere, or, in some cases, analysed the resistances of certain characters to social female constraints.<sup>4</sup>

In contrast to feminist literary perspectives—which emerged at the tail end of decades of academic literary studies—feminist approaches to film came about as Cinema Studies, as a disciplinary area, was in its foundational stage. In this way, feminist approaches gained a place in Cinema Studies more readily and earlier than in other fields. Since feminist perspectives on film developed in several places in the late 1960s and early 1970s, let me name the following founding texts as examples, without claiming to be exhaustive.<sup>5</sup>

- In the USA, the journal originally called *Women and Film* (later to become *Camera Obscura*) started in 1970 by a feminist collective on the West coast; Molly Haskells's 1973 *From Reverence to Rape: The Treatment of Women in the Movies*; another book, *Women and the Cinema: A Critical Anthology*, edited by Karyn Kay and Gerald Peary in 1977; and the journal *Jump Cut*, started in the early 1970s and edited by Julia LeSage, Chuck Kleinhans, and John Hess.
- In Britain, the journals Screen (where Laura Mulvey's influential 1975 essay 'Visual Pleasure and Narrative Cinema' was first printed) and Screen Education, together with Working Papers in Cultural Studies (published by the Centre for Cultural Studies, Birmingham); Claire Johnston's 1973 edited Notes on Women's Cinema, together with her booklet on Dorothy Arzner edited with Pam Cook in 1974; Richard Dyer's 1977 edited volume on Gays and Film; and E. Ann Kaplan's 1978 edited volume, Women in Film Noir.
- In Germany, the periodical Frauen und Film, which started in 1974.