

# GREEK RELIGION



WALTER BURKERT

# GREEK RELIGION

*Walter Burkert*

Translated by John Raffan

Harvard University Press  
Cambridge, Massachusetts

## UXORI

English translation copyright © 1985 by Basil Blackwell Publisher  
and Harvard University Press

Originally published in German as *Griechische Religion der archaischen und klassischen Epoche*, © 1977 by Verlag W. Kohlhammer, Stuttgart

All rights reserved

Printed in the United States of America

Eleventh printing, 2000

Library of Congress Cataloging Publication Data  
Burkert, Walter 1931–  
Greek religion.

Translation of: *Griechische Religion der  
archaischen und klassischen Epoche*.

Bibliography: p.

Includes indexes.

1. Greece—Religion. I. Title

BL782.B8313 1985 292'.08 84-25209

ISBN 0-674-36281-0 (paper)

## Greek Religion

## Preface to the English Edition

The German edition of this book was published in 1977; the transformation into an English book of 1985 can hardly be complete. The author has used the chance to add references to important new publications that came to his knowledge in the intervening years, especially to newly discovered evidence and to new standard works. Most progress and change is going on in the field of Minoan and Mycenaean religion, so that the short account contained in the first chapter of this book must be taken as a source of clues rather than final results.

W.B.

# Contents

Preface to the English Edition	ix
Introduction	i
1 A Survey of Scholarship	1
2 The Sources	4
3 The Scope of the Study	7
I Prehistory and the Minoan–Mycenaean Age	
1 The Neolithic and Early Bronze Age	10
2 Indo-European	15
3 The Minoan–Mycenaean Religion	19
3.1 A Historical Survey	19
3.2 The State of the Sources	22
3.3 The Cult Places	24
Caves	24
Peak Sanctuaries	26
Tree Sanctuaries	28
House Sanctuaries	29
Temples	31
Graves	33
3.4 Rituals and Symbols	34
3.5 The Minoan Deities	39
3.6 The Mycenaean Gods and Linear B	43
4 The ‘Dark Age’ and the Problem of Continuity	47
II Ritual and Sanctuary	
1 ‘Working Sacred Things’: Animal Sacrifice	55
1.1 Description and Interpretation	55
1.2 Blood Rituals	59
1.3 Fire Rituals	60

1.4	Animal and God	64
2	Gift Offerings and Libation	66
2.1	First Fruit Offerings	66
2.2	Votive Offerings	68
2.3	Libation	70
3	Prayer	73
4	Purification	75
4.1	Function and Methods	75
4.2	The Sacred and the Pure	77
4.3	Death, Illness, and Madness	79
4.4	Purification by Blood	80
4.5	<i>Pharmakos</i>	82
5	The Sanctuary	84
5.1	<i>Temenos</i>	84
5.2	Altar	87
5.3	Temple and Cult Image	88
5.4	<i>Anathemata</i>	92
6	Priests	95
7	The Festival	99
7.1	<i>Pompe</i>	99
7.2	<i>Agermos</i>	101
7.3	Dancing and Hymns	102
7.4	Masks, Phalloi, <i>Aischrologia</i>	103
7.5	<i>Agon</i>	105
7.6	The Banquet of the Gods	107
7.7	Sacred Marriage	108
8	Ecstasy and Divination	109
8.1	<i>Enthousiasmos</i>	109
8.2	The Art of the Seer	111
8.3	Oracles	114

### III The Gods

1	The Spell of Homer	119
2	Individual Gods	125
2.1	Zeus	125
2.2	Hera	131
2.3	Poseidon	136
2.4	Athena	139
2.5	Apollo	143
2.6	Artemis	149
2.7	Aphrodite	152
2.8	Hermes	156
2.9	Demeter	159

2.10	Dionysos	161
2.11	Hephaistos	167
2.12	Ares	169
3	The Remainder of the Pantheon	170
3.1	Lesser Gods	170
3.2	Societies of Gods	173
3.3	Nature Deities	174
3.4	Foreign Gods	176
3.5	<i>Daimon</i>	179
4	The Special Character of Greek Anthropomorphism	182

#### IV The Dead, Heroes, and Chthonic Gods

1	Burial and the Cult of the Dead	190
2	Afterlife Mythology	194
3	Olympian and Chthonic	199
4	The Heroes	203
5	Figures who cross the Chthonic–Olympian Boundary	208
5.1	Heracles	208
5.2	The Dioskouroi	212
5.3	Asklepios	214

#### V Polis and Polytheism

1	Thought Patterns in Greek Polytheism	216
	General Considerations	216
	The Family of the Gods	218
	Pairs of Gods	219
	Old and Young	221
	Dionysos	222
2	The Rhythm of the Festivals	225
2.1	Festival Calendars	225
2.2	Year Ending and New Year	227
2.3	Karneia	234
2.4	Anthesteria	237
2.5	Thesmophoria	242
3	Social Functions of Cult	246
3.1	Gods between Amorality and Law	246
3.2	The Oath	250
3.3	The Creation of Solidarity in the Playing and the Interplay of Roles	254
3.4	Initiation	260
3.5	Crisis Management	264
4	Piety in the Mirror of Greek Language	268



4.1	'Sacred'	269
4.2	<i>Theos</i>	271
4.3	<i>Eusebeia</i>	272

## VI Mysteries and Asceticism

1	Mystery Sanctuaries	276
1.1	General Considerations	276
1.2	Clan and Family Mysteries	278
1.3	The Kabeiroi and Samothrace	281
1.4	Eleusis	285
2	Bacchica and Orphica	290
2.1	Bacchic Mysteries	290
2.2	Bacchic Hopes for an Afterlife	293
2.3	Orpheus and Pythagoras	296
3	<i>Bios</i>	301

## VII Philosophical Religion

1	The New Foundation: Being and the Divine	305
2	The Crisis: Sophists and Atheists	311
3	The Deliverance: Cosmic Religion and Metaphysics	317
3.1	Pre-Socratic Outlines	317
3.2	Plato: The Good and the Soul	321
3.3	Plato: Cosmos and Visible Gods	325
3.4	Aristotle and Xenocrates: Spirit, God, and Demons	329
4	Philosophical Religion and Polis Religion: Plato's <i>Laws</i>	332

Notes	339
-------	-----

Bibliography	473
--------------	-----

Index of Greek Words	479
----------------------	-----

Index	482
-------	-----

# Introduction

## I A SURVEY OF SCHOLARSHIP

Greek religion<sup>1</sup> has to some extent always remained familiar, but is far from easy to know and understand. Seemingly natural and yet atavistically estranged, refined and barbaric at the same time, it has been taken as a guide again and again in the search for the origin of all religion. But as a historical phenomenon it is unique and unrepeatable, and is itself the product of an involved prehistory.

In Western tradition an awareness of Greek religion was kept alive in three ways:<sup>2</sup> through its presence in ancient literature and in all literature formed on that model, through the polemics of the Church Fathers, and through its assimilation in symbolic guise to Neoplatonic philosophy. The allegorical method of exposition, which taught that the names of the gods should be understood on the one hand as natural and on the other hand as metaphysical entities, had at the same time also been taken over in literature and philosophy alike. This offered possibilities for attempting a reconciliation with the Christian religion. Friedrich Creuzer's *Symbolik*<sup>3</sup> is the last large-scale and thoroughly unavailing endeavour of this kind. There was, however, another path which could be taken, namely, to construct a self-consciously pagan counter-position to Christianity. The fascination which this idea exercised can be traced from the time of the Renaissance to Schiller's poem *Die Götter Griechenlands* (1788) and Goethe's *Braut von Korinth* (1797) and is evident again in the work of Friedrich Nietzsche and Walter F. Otto.

The historical criticism of the nineteenth century abandoned such efforts to fill ancient religion with direct meaning and relevance and devoted itself instead to the critical collection and chronological ordering of the source material. Foremost in this line is Christian August Lobeck's *Aglaophamus*,<sup>4</sup> which reduced the speculations about Mysteries and Orphism to tangible but undeniably banal realities. A more exciting approach was inspired by the Romantic movement: myths were seen as witnesses to a specific *Volksgeist*, and accordingly the Greek 'sagas' were traced back to the individual Greek tribes and their history. Here it was Karl Otfried Müller<sup>5</sup> who led the way, and the same path was still followed by Wilamowitz, the master of historical

philology, right up to the work of his old age *Der Glaube der Hellenen*.<sup>6</sup> It was, as it were, an extension of the same project when, hand in hand with the rise of Sanskrit studies, the dominant concern for a time became the reconstruction of an Indo-European religion and mythology. With further progress in historical linguistics, however, this enterprise, which had remained deeply indebted to the nature allegorizing of antiquity,<sup>7</sup> was for the most part abandoned.

The picture of Greek religion had long been defined by myths transmitted in literary form and by the ideas or beliefs drawn from them, but the study of folk-lore and ethnology brought about a decisive change in perspective. Using new methods of field-work, Wilhelm Mannhardt was able to set European peasant customs alongside their ancient counterparts<sup>8</sup> with the result that the customs of antiquity, the rituals, were brought into focus beside the myths. Customs ancient and modern consequently appeared as the expression of original religious ideas centring on the growth and fruitfulness of plant, animal and man in the course of the year: the Vegetation Spirit which dies to rise anew became the guiding idea. In Germany, Mannhardt's synthesis of peasant customs and sophisticated nature allegorizing was continued first by Hermann Usener<sup>9</sup> and then by Albrecht Dieterich.<sup>10</sup> With the founding of the series *Religionswissenschaftliche Versuche und Vorarbeiten* (1903) and the reorganization of the *Archiv für Religionswissenschaft* (1904), Dieterich established the history of religion as an independent discipline based on the study of the religions of antiquity. Martin P. Nilsson, author of the most important and still indispensable standard works on Greek religion,<sup>11</sup> placed himself unequivocally in this tradition.

Developments took a parallel course in England where reports of savage peoples and especially of their religions were flowing in from all parts of the colonial empire; the interest in religion was not entirely surprising since the ethnologists were almost all missionaries. Whatever was alien was understood as primitive, as the 'not-yet' of a beginning which contrasted with the Englishman's own self-conscious progressiveness. The synthesis of this view of *Primitive Culture* was furnished by E.B. Tylor;<sup>12</sup> he introduced into the history of religion the concept of animism – a belief in souls or spirits which precedes the belief in gods or a god. The stimulus which this gave to the study of the religions of antiquity was made apparent in the Cambridge School. In 1889–90 three books were published almost simultaneously: *The Religion of the Semites* by W. Robertson Smith,<sup>13</sup> *Mythology and Monuments of Ancient Athens* by Jane E. Harrison,<sup>14</sup> and the first edition of *The Golden Bough* by James George Frazer.<sup>15</sup> Common to all these works is that here, too, the investigation of ritual becomes the central concern. Jane Harrison, who as an archaeologist based her studies on the vase paintings and monuments, sought to illuminate a pre-Homeric, pre-Olympian religion: the 'Year Daimon', following Mannhardt's example, became a key concept. Frazer

united Mannhardtian ideas with the fascinating theme of the ritual killing of the king and in his collections of material, which grew from edition to edition to monumental proportions, he also drew on the newer theories of Totemism<sup>16</sup> and Preanimism. Preanimism<sup>17</sup> was then believed to be the most primitive form of religion: belief in an impersonal mana. This view was also taken over by Nilsson.<sup>18</sup>

The Cambridge School gained wide influence, especially with its tracing of myths to rituals: 'Myth and Ritual'<sup>19</sup> has remained a rallying cry down to the present day. Jane Harrison's pupils and colleagues, Gilbert Murray and Francis Macdonald Cornford, advanced, respectively, the theory of the ritual origin of tragedy<sup>20</sup> and the theory that cosmogonic ritual lay behind the Ionian philosophy of nature,<sup>21</sup> and these ideas were to have a profound and stimulating effect not only on the study of antiquity but on literary and philosophical culture in general. Frazer's mythological motif of the dying god, Adonis-Attis-Osiris, combined with the idea of sacral kingship, offered a key which seemed to open many doors. It is only within the last decades that the influence and reputation of 'Golden Bough anthropology' has fallen sharply; a more rigorous methodological awareness has come to prevail in ethnology and in the specialist philologies and archaeologies, and increasing specialization has brought with it a mistrust of generalizations; but at least in Anglo-American literature and literary criticism the Frazer-Harrison tradition is still alive.

In the meantime, however, two new schools of thought had emerged about the turn of the century which were to transform intellectual life and its self-awareness: Emile Durkheim developed a radically sociological viewpoint and Sigmund Freud founded psychoanalysis. In their theses concerning the history of religion both writers closely followed Robertson Smith's account of the sacrificial ritual.<sup>22</sup> In both schools the alleged absolute and independent status of the mind is compromised, conditioned on the one hand by supra-individual social forces and on the other by unconscious psychic forces. When confined to an economic base, this is also the thesis of Marxism, but Marxist contributions to the history of religion have often been vitiated by a politically enforced orthodoxy bound to the state of the science at the time of Friedrich Engels.<sup>23</sup>

The immediate consequence of this revolution for the study of religion is that the investigation of representations, ideas, and beliefs can be at best only a preliminary goal: only when these are incorporated within a more comprehensive functional context can they become meaningful. The sociological challenge found a swift response in Jane Harrison's book *Themis*, and then in the works of Louis Gernet<sup>24</sup> and the subsequent Paris School of Jean-Pierre Vernant. Karl Meuli's original and fundamental contributions to the understanding of Greek religion arose from Freudian insights combined with the study of folk-lore;<sup>25</sup> E.R. Dodds was also able to adduce psychoanalytic perspectives to shed light on Greek intellectual history.<sup>26</sup> From a historical

#### 4 INTRODUCTION

point of view the psychological and sociological aspects can be reconciled, at least in principle, by the hypothesis that the development of social forms, including religious rituals, and the development of psychic functions have proceeded in constant interaction, so that in terms of the tradition the one is always attuned to the other.<sup>27</sup> At present, however, attention tends to focus on an ahistorical structuralism concerned with formal models and confined to presenting in their full complexity the immanent, reciprocal relationships within the individual myths and rituals.<sup>28</sup>

The work of Walter F. Otto<sup>29</sup> and Karl Kerényi is in a category of its own. *Die Götter Griechenlands* (1929) is a challenging attempt to take the Homeric gods seriously as gods, in defiance of 2,500 years of criticism: the gods enjoy an absolute actuality as *Urphänomene* in Goethe's sense of the term. This path, which ends in a sublime private religion, is not one which can be taken by everyone, but the work still radiates a powerful force of attraction. Karl Kerényi<sup>30</sup> explicitly aligned himself with Walter F. Otto: gods and rituals appear with profound significance but without rational explanation; the synthesis with C.G. Jung's theory of archetypes was established only fleetingly. In the harsh climate of the present it is questionable whether the autonomy of images can maintain its spell and power.

#### 2 THE SOURCES

The mediation of religion and the transmission of information about religion always proceed through language, though not through language alone. The most important evidence for Greek religion remains the literary evidence, especially as the Greeks founded such an eminently literary culture. Nevertheless, religious texts in the narrow sense of sacred texts are scarcely to be found: there is no holy scripture and barely even fixed prayer formulae and liturgies; individual sects later possess their special books such as those of Orpheus,<sup>1</sup> but even these are in no way comparable with the Veda or Avesta, let alone the Torah. New hymns are composed for each festival of the gods by poets: almost all archaic choral lyric is cult lyric, and the rhapsodes introduce their festal recitations with Homeric Hymns. Interweaving tales of the gods with heroic narratives, epic poetry, pre-eminently the Homeric *Iliad*, set its seal on the way the gods were imagined.<sup>2</sup> By the beginning of the seventh century Hesiod had brought the myths of the gods together within a theogonic system to which the arbitrarily extensible *Catalogues* of hero myths were appended.<sup>3</sup> Classical tragedy then portrays the suffering and destruction of the individual caught in the mystery of the divine. Thus practically the whole of ancient poetry is our principal evidence for Greek religion: even comedy provides important additions to our knowledge from the point of view of the ordinary man or through burlesque parody.<sup>4</sup> Yet only a small part of the literature has survived; the content of

what has been lost is preserved in part in mythographical compendia, by far the most substantial of which is the Library which circulated under the name of Apollodorus.<sup>5</sup>

From the fifth century onwards, *historia*, the investigation and collection of traditions, became a distinct literary genre. Customs, the *dromena* or rituals, are here described in conjunction with the mythical narratives. The historical writings of Herodotus represent the oldest surviving and most important example of this genre. In the fourth century, local historians in many places begin to devote themselves to cultivating their own traditions – none with greater zeal than the ‘Atthidographers’ of Athens.<sup>6</sup> Hellenistic poetry was later to make great play with their erudition.<sup>7</sup> Detailed descriptions of prevalent customs were incorporated into the geographical writings of Strabo<sup>8</sup> and also into Pausanias’ travel guide through Greece.<sup>9</sup> Finally, we find scattered among Plutarch’s wide-ranging writings a number of particularly important details of rituals of which he had first-hand knowledge. From all these sources there emerges a differentiated and often detailed picture of Greek rituals, always perceived, of course, through the medium of literary form, never as the act of the participant, but only in an external aspect mediated through a real or fictitious observer.

The sacred laws which have survived in large numbers on inscriptions provide direct documentation of religious practice,<sup>10</sup> but they, too, present only an outward face of the cult. For the most part they are public decrees or decrees of religious associations, in particular statutes and calendars of sacrifices, and they deal predominantly with organizational and financial questions. Nevertheless, they yield first-hand information about priesthoods, cult terminology, names and epithets of gods, and occasionally specific rituals. Even accounts and inventories can be very revealing in matters of detail.

The monuments of Greek art, the temples, statues, and vase paintings, bear spectacular witness to Greek religion.<sup>11</sup> A number of temples in places such as Athens, Agrigentum and Paestum have survived through the ages; Roman copies of Greek images of the gods have for centuries communicated the most lively impression of ancient religion, and for more than a hundred years now scientific archaeology has been uncovering an unpresaged and quite overwhelming wealth of evidence. The early period of Greek history in particular has emerged in extraordinary intensity. The Acropolis and Olympia, Delphi and Delos, the major sanctuaries and innumerable minor sanctuaries have been excavated, and in each case the history can be determined with precision: pottery provides a firm foundation for the chronology and the slightest architectural remains make overall reconstructions possible.<sup>12</sup> Valuable indications of the rituals performed in these places are furnished by cult monuments, altars, and ritual vessels. Deposits of votive gifts are especially instructive:<sup>13</sup> these gifts often bear votive inscriptions which have yielded a vast corpus of divine names and epithets,

## 6 INTRODUCTION

providing precise information on the diffusion of individual cults. Where written sources are lacking, however, the function and meaning of installations and artefacts often remain obscure.

The visual arts have nevertheless come to occupy a place of almost equal importance alongside the written sources. Although the cult statues themselves have effectively disappeared, vase paintings, votive statues, and late replicas make it possible to trace the development of the representation of the gods step by step from the Early Archaic period onwards.<sup>14</sup> The vase paintings of mythological scenes, which appear from about 700, are often very much earlier than the surviving written sources.<sup>15</sup> Paintings of ritual scenes which offer an insight into the reality of the cult are comparatively rare but especially important.<sup>16</sup>

Religious disposition may be gauged indirectly from the use of theophoric names, proper names which assign a person to a specific god and often designate him as the gift of the god: Apollodotos and Apollodoros, Herodotos and Herodoros, Apollonios, Athenaios, Hekataios, Dion, Heron, Apelles and many others.<sup>17</sup> Theophoric names are also widespread throughout the Ancient Near East, but seem to be non-existent in the Mycenaean world and appear only marginally in Homer.<sup>18</sup> The theophoric names reflect the diffusion and popularity of the individual god, subject, of course, to certain qualifications: family tradition may retain a name once it has been introduced, without giving any thought to its significance.

The disparity in date between the mythological paintings and the texts is in itself a clear indication of the impossibility of producing an account of archaic and classical Greek religion based solely on contemporaneous sources. Often it can be clearly shown, and in most cases it is probable, that the writings of the late mythographers and the individual notes in the commentaries on classical texts are based on literature of the Classical and Early Hellenistic ages; the *Library* of Apollodorus to a large extent repeats the Hesiodic *Catalogues*; accounts of rituals are often drawn from the local historians of the fourth century. At the same time, however, a stubborn local tradition must have persisted outside literature: the myth of Demeter of Phigaleia,<sup>19</sup> which is recorded by Pausanias alone, must somehow go back to the Bronze Age, and all the more, many of the rituals which Plutarch and Pausanias observed must be of high antiquity. For this reason, such late sources will often be adduced in the following pages: the date of these sources provides merely a *terminus ante quem* for the practice which they record.

If religion is quintessentially tradition, then an account of Greek religion can little afford to lose sight of the still earlier pre-Homeric and pre-Greek world. Since the spectacular successes of Heinrich Schliemann and Sir Arthur Evans in bringing to light the Cretan-Mycenaean age,<sup>20</sup> knowledge of the prehistory and early history of the areas surrounding Greece has been extended and deepened enormously: connections have emerged linking Greece with the Bronze Age Near East and, even further back, with the European and Anatolian Neolithic.<sup>21</sup> Of quite fundamental importance is the

recognition that the Greek Homeric religion does not exist in unique and splendid isolation, but is to be regarded primarily as a representative of a more general type, as belonging within a Bronze Age *koiné*. It is consequently ever more difficult even to survey and record these multiple interrelationships, let alone to work them into a synthesis. The material to be considered grows apace; the problems increase.

### 3 THE SCOPE OF THE STUDY

An adequate account of Greek religion is nowadays an impossibility in more ways than one: the evidence is beyond the command of any one individual, methodology is hotly contested, and the subject itself is far from well defined. It is therefore easier to say what the present book cannot be, and is not intended to be, than to say what it is: it is not a comprehensive handbook of the kind produced by Martin P. Nilsson some forty years ago, it is not a prophetic evocation of the kind ventured by Walter F. Otto, and it is not a book of pictures of the kind which give the works of archaeologists their fascination. What this book seeks to do is to indicate the manifold variety of the evidence and the problems of its interpretation, always with an awareness of the provisional nature of the undertaking. No claim is made to completeness, either in respect of the sources or in respect of the scholarly literature. Space does not permit a detailed discussion of all that is enigmatic and disputed. In particular, the reader may regret the absence of a satisfactory account of the religion of the tragedians, but this question is too subtle to be treated within the space of a few pages. Religion appears here more as a supra-personal system of communication. At the same time, the book strives to present as many primary sources as possible and to give prominence in the selection to those things which fit into meaningful contexts.

Like Greek civilization itself, Greek religion is delimited in time and place by the reach of Greek language and literature. Only with the triumph of Christianity and the devastations of the barbarian migrations does Greek religion truly come to an end; the Olympic Games and the Eleusinian Mysteries continued until the proscription of all pagan cults by the Emperor Theodosius in AD 393. The beginnings lie in the darkness of prehistory. But with the destructions and migrations about and after 1200 BC a similarly momentous caesura occurred;<sup>1</sup> the term Greek will be used only for the civilization which commences on this side of that boundary, in contrast to the earlier Mycenaean civilization. But as a background to the Greek religion, an outline of the Minoan-Mycenaean religion is indispensable. It is only in the ninth/eighth century, however, that Greek religion emerges with truly recognizable contours; literature and vase paintings go back little before 700. These limits would still define a period of some 1100 years, a time filled with military, social, economic and spiritual convulsions. The present volume,



however, takes the revolution marked by the conquests of Alexander as its lower boundary. These conquests extended the horizons of the Greeks to an unprecedented degree, established new centres of Greek culture and at the same time brought new contact with the high civilizations of the East; they were truly epoch-making. The proper subject of our study may therefore be defined as the religion of a group of cities and tribes united by bonds of language and culture in Greece, on the Aegean islands and along the coast of Asia Minor, together with their colonies from the Black Sea to Sicily, southern Italy, Marseilles, and Spain during the Late Geometric, Archaic and Classical periods – approximately between 800 and 300 BC. The form of life in which this epoch is cast is the Greek polis.

How far we are justified in speaking simply of Greek religion is, of course, a question which arises even within the limits of the period defined: each tribe, each locality and each city has its own tenaciously defended tradition, general religious movements are then recorded, and finally religion itself enters a crisis with the rise of philosophy. Would it not be more correct to speak in the plural of Greek religions?<sup>2</sup> Against this must be set the bond of common language and, from the eighth century onwards, the common Homeric literary culture; at this time also, a number of sanctuaries gained Panhellenic importance, most notably Delphi and Olympia, and, local idiosyncrasies notwithstanding, there emerged the typically Greek style of visual art which was later to dominate the entire Mediterranean. Moreover, in spite of all emphasis on local or sectarian peculiarities, the Greeks themselves regarded the various manifestations of their religious life as essentially compatible, as a diversity of practice in devotion to the same gods, within the framework of a single world. That this world included the Greek gods was not questioned even by Greek philosophy.

Ritual and myth are the two forms in which Greek religion presents itself to the historian of religion. There are no founding figures and no documents of revelation,<sup>3</sup> no organizations of priests and no monastic orders. The religion finds legitimation as tradition by proving itself a formative force of continuity from generation to generation. Ritual, in its outward aspect, is a programme of demonstrative acts to be performed in set sequence and often at a set place and time – sacred insofar as every omission or deviation arouses deep anxiety and calls forth sanctions. As communication and social imprinting, ritual establishes and secures the solidarity of the closed group; in this function it has doubtless accompanied the forms of human community since the earliest of times.<sup>4</sup> Sacred ritual involves the invocation of invisible powers which are addressed as a personal opposite: they are called gods, *theoi*, as soon as we have texts. Myth, a complex of traditional tales,<sup>5</sup> has more to say of these gods, but among the Greeks these tales are always taken with a pinch of salt: the truth of a myth is never guaranteed and does not have to be believed. But quite apart from the fact that mythology is at first the sole explicit form of intellectual activity and the sole mode of coming to terms with reality, the importance of the myths of the gods lies in their