Saunders Mac Lane

A MATHEMATICAL AUTOBIOGRAPHY



Saunders Mac Lane A Mathematical Autobiography

"Saunders Mac Lane is an immense presence in modern mathematics. One of the last Americans to be educated in Göttingen prior to the Nazi era, Mac Lane was ideally and propitiously situated to become a leader in modern algebra and modern algebraic topology. His influence on our subject has been powerful and widespread. This chronicle tells of an influential and important life."

-Steven G. Krantz, Washington University in St. Louis

Saunders Mac Lane is an extraordinary mathematician who has served his community in more than one way:

- His research solved classical problems and led to the introduction of new concepts like Category Theory.
- His teaching inspired many and under his guidance some of the leading mathematicians of our time flourished and matured.
- His books, including A Survey of Modern Algebra, with Garrett Birkhoff, and the classical monograph, Homology, are still inspiring students.
- He was an influential president of the American Mathematical Society.
- He chaired the review committee of the National Academy of Science and established standards of reporting that shaped politics.
- His career spanned decades of scientifically and politically turbulent times of which he was a clear and involved observer.

ISBN 1-56881-150-0



Saunders Mac Lane —
A MATHEMATICAL


Mac Lanc

UTOBIOGRAPHY

A K Peters

Saunders Mac Lane A Mathematical = Autobiography

Saunders Mac Lane University of Chicago, Emeritus



Editorial, Sales, and Customer Service Office

A K Peters, Ltd. 888 Worcester Street, Suite 230 Wellesley, MA 02482 www.akpeters.com

Copyright © 2005 by A K Peters, Ltd.

All rights reserved. No part of the material protected by this copyright notice may be reproduced or utilized in any form, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, recording, or by any information storage and retrieval system, without written permission from the copyright owner.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data Mac Lane, Saunders, 1909-

Saunders Mac Lane: a mathematical autobiography / Saunders Mac Lane.

p. cm.

Includes index.

ISBN 1-56881-150-0 (alk. paper)

1. Mac Lane, Saunders, 1909- 2. Mathematicians-United States-Biography. I. Title.

QA29.M24A3 2004 510'.92–dc22 [B]


2004044638

Developmental editing by Janet Beissinger.

Printed in Canada 09 08 07 06 05

10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1

Saunders Mac Lane



Preface

No man could so stimulate others unless, alongside an incisive intellect, he was possessed of enthusiasm and warmth, a deep interest in his fellow man, and a sympathy the more real for being unsentimental. Those who proudly call themselves his friends know these things: others will infer them in reading [his works].

-M. Kelly1

Saunders Mac Lane has been my teacher, mentor, and model almost from the beginning of my mathematical life. It is a relationship I've cherished. He has been for me a figure of great honesty and integrity, who worked hard to advance research and to serve the mathematical community. His belief in the good, the right, and the rational, his care for the essence of mathematical ideas, his powerful enthusiasm, and his essential optimism were and are deeply attractive to me.

Nearly everything about Saunders in action was colorful, starting with the red-and-green plaid sports coat (the Mac Lane tartan, of course) and red pants that he would wear for important occasions. Perhaps a few anecdotes and reflections from my experience of him over 40 years will help the reader appreciate this color.

First Encounter

I first met Mac Lane—in a sense I'll make precise—in 1963. He was one of the most important figures in the University of Chicago

¹ From Saunders Mac Lane: Selected Papers, edited by I. Kaplansky, New York, Springer-Verlag, 1979.

Preface

Mathematics Department, or indeed in American mathematics: his first student, Irving Kaplansky, was Chair of the department, and two other students were on the faculty—one, John Thompson, a Fields Medalist. Mac Lane was an inventor of group cohomology, a founder of homological algebra and category theory, and known for the Eilenberg-Mac Lane spaces in topology. He was past President of the Mathematical Association of America, and he would soon be Vice President of the National Academy of Sciences, member of the Board governing the National Science Foundation, and President of the American Mathematical Society, as well.

I knew none of this. I was sixteen, an early entrant to the University, an uneven student with a great enthusiasm for mathematics. It was the beginning of my second quarter, and I was scheduled to start a basic linear algebra class that morning. I happened to arrive a little early, settled down in the first row of the class, and sank peacefully into a daydream. Being so new, I wasn't surprised not to know the other students who settled in around me, and I didn't know the teacher that I'd have. In due course, Mac Lane walked in and began lecturing. His style was lively and colorful, and I was immediately interested—but almost at once aware that I'd made a big mistake: this was not an undergraduate linear algebra course but an advanced graduate course on category theory. I'd come an hour early.

I understood nothing whatever after a few moments, but was far too embarrassed to get up and leave—instead I sank into daydreams, glassy-eyed. Mac Lane, who prided himself on paying attention to his class, later told me he thought that he could always see who was following and who was not. In a moment like a thunderclap, I looked up from my seat and found him pointing directly at me from across the room. "You!" he said peremptorily, "you don't believe this proof, do you?" Belief and disbelief were equally beyond me; I sat petrified. He advanced toward me, and I don't know what I imagined—that he would pick me up by the scruff of my neck and throw me from the room? He stopped, turned back to the board, and proceeded to explain the proof to satisfy me. Of course, I still understood nothing—but I sat in rapt attention.

<u>Preface</u>

Fortunately the class ended soon, and as students asking questions surrounded him, it was easy for me to slip out. I didn't tell Saunders this story until many years afterwards, when I had the privilege of re-enacting it (from the other side) in a lecture at the conference in honor of his seventieth birthday. Needless to say, the event hadn't left a trace in his memory, though it remains sharp for me to this day.

Saunders and Tolerance

Saunders believes strongly in principles, in the rightness of right positions. I never once saw him personally intolerant, but he could sometimes be direct and candid to the point of offending. People whose judgment I respect have felt injured by what he said and sometimes by the bluntness of his expression. In some way perhaps he didn't appreciate the magnitude of his position in mathematics or the seriousness with which people took him. In a lesser personage some of his extreme positions might have been regarded as charming eccentricities. But given Saunders' stature, they could injure, and he might have been more cautious.

An event from late in Saunders' life may give a bit of the flavor. It was a special session run by him and Richard Askey at the Joint Mathematics Meeting in 1999, a session boldly entitled "Mathematics Education and Mistaken Philosophies of Mathematics." The audience was enormous. I found the title charming (and still find it so, even now as I become more involved with ideas in K-12 education), and I imagine that Saunders meant it to be controversial but playful. Predictably, it annoyed and needled some practitioners. Saunders began the session with introductory remarks that I found fascinating: he said that he now considered the extent of his own emphasis on category theory as a tool for learning and teaching mathematics to have been too extreme. This humbleness may have helped soften the critical tone of the session.

Saunders and Sammy

One of Saunders' great mathematical friendships and collaborations was with Samuel Eilenberg (widely known as Sammy, or even S²P²: "Smart Sammy the Polish Prodigy"). I got to see them in action

together only once, at the AMS Summer Research Institute on Category Theory at Bowdoin College, in 1969. They had special status at this three-week conference, not only as the senior members but also as the very founders of the subject. So, when they began discussing its origins one evening after dinner, everyone gathered around to listen.

I dearly wish I could recall the substance of their debate, but I don't; only my sense of the contrast in the two men's styles stays with me. Sammy drew Saunders out and egged him on, always slightly evasive and mocking; Saunders, whose father and grandfather were Congregational ministers, seemed to feel that since his view was right, his view would prevail. Once he had stated it, all he could do was bang his fist. The devious and sophisticated European versus the innocent but honest American? That's how it seemed to me at the time (maybe I was a little innocent myself). A loyal student, I was rooting from the beginning for Saunders' point of view, but I came away feeling that he was trounced in the contest.

Being Saunders' Student

After I flirted a while with operator theory (Paul Halmos and Felix Browder were my teachers) and group theory (learned from Jon Alperin and Otto Kegel), it was finally time for me, by now a second-year graduate student, to settle on an area for a PhD thesis. I obsessed about how to make the choice. A close mathematical friend, Joe Neisendorfer, explained to me an algorithm: forget the topic, look around the faculty for the person you like the most. It didn't take me long to choose Saunders.

I wouldn't say I ever felt personal intimacy with Saunders, but he did go out of his way to make me and other students feel welcome in more than his office. Saunders and his late wife, Dorothy, had a small but comfortable cottage in the Indiana Dunes, a beautiful area on the shore of Lake Michigan about an hour south of Chicago, and they occasionally invited students to spend an afternoon there. Saunders was an enthusiastic sailor, and I can report, from a ride in a small sailboat on rough water, that he was ready to provide needed

instruction not only in mathematics but also on how to handle the absence of a toilet—or any privacy—in that difficult situation.

If you look at the list of Saunders' 39 students, you'll see that Irving Kaplansky, who worked on valuation theory of fields, came first; I'm near the end, with a thesis on noncommutative rings. Along the way are such people as John Thompson (finite groups), Anil Nerode (logic and computation), and Robert Szczarba (algebraic topology). How did this variety come about?

Perhaps the answer lies in Saunders' hospitality to these many ideas. He wanted to learn finite groups and taught a course on them. By the end of the course, he'd decided that he'd never really understand the subject, but in Thompson he found a fabulously strong student. Saunders might have tried to turn such a student toward interests close to his own, but I think he would not, on principle: he was happy to encourage his students to do what excited them.

Saunders has followed an interesting, curving trajectory through mathematics, from logic and foundations to field theory and the beginnings of homological algebra, through topology to category theory, with smaller diversions along the way into Hamiltonian mechanics, finite groups, and many other subjects. Perhaps his students, or many of them, could be described as coming off of the tangents to this path, a kind of developable surface reaching broadly across mathematics. Altogether, Saunders has more than 1,000 mathematical descendents listed on the Mathematics Genealogy Project.²

Some other aspects of Saunders are also reflected in his students: Saunders was always active on behalf of the community, whether as Chair working to build the department at the University of Chicago or, near the end of his career, as member of the National Science Board or as manager of the elaborate system of reports for the National Academy of Sciences. Many of his students and grand-students have followed him into this willingness for public service. When I was worrying about whether to move to my current position at MSRI, he was one of the first people I called on for advice and blessing, and he gave both.

² A service of the Department of Mathematics at North Dakota State University, available at http://www.genealogy.ams.org/.

Returning to the more fundamental matter of being Saunders' mathematical student: I tried for a while, dutifully, to find a thesis topic in category theory, Saunders' passion in that part of his life. But I failed; somehow, the things I read and learned in that domain just didn't inspire me. When I developed an interest instead in a problem on noncommutative rings posed by a visitor of Herstein, the young Chris Robson, Saunders could easily have washed his hands of the project. He did not: though it was far from his current area of interest, he welcomed what I had done, and painstakingly read draft after draft of my thesis.

Saunders' mode of instruction in thesis writing bears mention. I had written a couple of papers, jointly with Robson, of which my thesis results were partially an extract. Robson cared a lot about exposition, and so (learning from Saunders among others) did I. We'd gone through many drafts, and I thought the writing pretty polished. Saunders did not. He began at the beginning and worked his way through the thesis until he'd compiled a list of exactly 25 substantive suggestions. Then he stopped and returned the document to me for an overhaul. When I had finished making the corrections he'd flagged and all their analogues, I gave it back to him, eager to be done. But...after a week or so I got a second list of exactly 25 more suggestions. The third list was a bit shorter, and Saunders allowed the process to converge before I got too frustrated.

It must be clear by now: over these forty years I've learned many lessons from Saunders. I'm deeply grateful to him.

David Eisenbud, Berkeley, California

Acknowledgments

When Saunders Mac Lane asked me, a few years ago, if I would publish his autobiography, I was honored and very pleased because I knew that he has been witness to so many interesting, diverse, and important events of which he had been an astute and formative observer. I first met Saunders when I joined Springer Verlag as the first in-house mathematics editor in 1964 and he was an author and one of the editors of the series Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften. Over the years I received much valuable advice and shared many stories with Saunders, but when I read the first draft of his autobiography, I found so much that I had not known and insights that I am sure will be educational and inspirational to young mathematicians and colleagues of many years.

It is my duty and pleasure to thank those who have assisted, contributed to, and enhanced this manuscript; I do so also on behalf of Saunders whose health does not allow him to express all the thanks that are due at this time.

The first person I want to thank is Dr. Janet Beissinger, a mathematician at the University of Illinois at Chicago who answered our request to read and develop the draft of the manuscript that Saunders had mostly completed. Our first meeting with the author led to an extended series of regular meetings and conversations that gave Janet an understanding of the motivation for the project and Saunders' goals and led to her extensive development of the manuscript in close cooperation with Saunders. These meetings gave Saunders

Acknowledgments

the opportunity to test and expand his narrative with a sympathetic yet independently minded reader/listener and to approve her edits. We cannot thank Janet enough for her selfless service. She graciously acknowledges that the project expanded her perspective and taught her many things.

Thanks are due to Greta Schuessler, who was the Assistant Executive Officer of the Report Review Committee of the National Academy of Science during Saunders' tenure in that position. Her reading of and commenting on the relevant sections of the book were invaluable, yet all responsibility for the final manuscript rests with the author.

Gretchen Mac Lane, a professional editor in her own right, read the manuscript and amended and clarified memories while leaving the original narrative and voice intact; her younger sister, Cynthia Hay, added comments and corrections.

Osa Mac Lane encouraged the project and served as diplomatic guardian during the many sessions and conversations we all had with Saunders, assuring his patience with our repeated questions. She helped collect the wonderful pictures that are included in the book and, I am sure, was a strong support behind Saunders' efforts to collect and put down his memories.

Irving Kaplansky and David Eisenbud, two of Saunders' many students, encouraged the project from the very beginning and have been helpful along the way with advice and encouragement. Two other students of Saunders, Paul Palmquist and John MacDonald, have carefully read the manuscript and made suggestions that have been implemented.

My thanks extend to all of the above and to those who have helped without my awareness.

With this I turn the manuscript into the hands of the reading public and hope that it will be received with interest, pleasure, and appreciation for a life of creativity and service.

> Klaus Peters Publisher

Contents

Preface	ix
Acknowledgments	XV
Part One: Early Years	1
Chapter 1: Heresy	3
Chapter 2: High School	13
Chapter 3: Undergraduate at Yale	21
Chapter 4: Universal Knowledge and New Knowledge	31
Chapter 5: The University of Chicago, 1930	35
Chapter 6: Germany 1931-33	43
Part Two: First Teaching	63
Chapter 7: Yale and Harvard	65
Chapter 8: Cornell and Chicago	75
Chapter 9: Surveying Modern Algebra	81
Chapter 10: Algebraic Functions	83
Chapter 11:First Graduate Students	87
Part Three: Collaborative Research	91
Chapter 12: Crossed Product Algebras and Group Extension	93
Chapter 13: Eilenberg Enters	99
Chapter 14: Naturality	105
Part Four: The War Years	111
Chapter 15: Much More Applied Math	113
Chapter 16: Cynthia Enters	117
Chapter 17: War Research: Roll, Pitch, and Yaw	119

Contents

Part Five: Eilenberg and Mac Lane	123
Chapter 18: Cautiously Publishing Category Theory	125
Chapter 19: The Cohomology of Groups	127
Chapter 20: Eilenberg-Mac Lane Spaces	131
Part Six: Harvard Years	133
Chapter 21: Professor at Harvard	135
Chapter 22: University Presidents	141
Chapter 23: Jib and Mainsail	143
Chapter 24: Dorothy	147
Chapter 25: Have Guggenheim, Will Travel	155
Part Seven: Chicago in the Fifties	165
Chapter 26: Return to Chicago	167
Chapter 27: The Stone Age at Chicago	171
Chapter 28: The Stone Age Comes to an End	177
Chapter 29: Hutchins and the University	183
Chapter 30: The College Mathematics Staff	189
Chapter 31:Univeral Algebra and Think Tanks	191
Part Eight: Mathematical Developments	195
Chapter 32: Mathematical Organizations	197
Chapter 33: Bourbaki-the Legend	201
Chapter 34: The New Math	205
Chapter 35: Categories Expand	209
Chapter 36: The Grand Tour of Europe, 1954	211
Chapter 37: Paris and Cartan. 1955-56	219
Part Nine: National Academy of Science	225
Chapter 38: Membership in the	
National Academy of Sciences	227
Chapter 39: The National Research Council	229
Chapter 40: The Academy Proceedings	233
Part Ten: The Sixties and Beyond	235
Chapter 41: Homological Algebra	237