


EXAMPLES & EXPLANATIONS

Professional Responsibility

Fifth Edition

W. Bradley Wendel



Wolters Kluwer

Professional Responsibility

Fifth Edition

W. Bradley Wendel

Professor of Law
Cornell Law School



Wolters Kluwer

Copyright © 2016 CCH Incorporated. All Rights Reserved.

Published by Wolters Kluwer in New York.

Wolters Kluwer Legal & Regulatory Solutions U.S. serves customers worldwide with CCH, Aspen Publishers, and Kluwer Law International products. (www.WKLegaledu.com)

No part of this publication may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopy, recording, or utilized by any information storage or retrieval system, without written permission from the publisher. For information about permissions or to request permissions online, visit us at www.WKLegaledu.com, or a written request may be faxed to our permissions department at 212-771-0803.

To contact Customer Service, e-mail customer.service@wolterskluwer.com, call 1-800-234-1660, fax 1-800-901-9075, or mail correspondence to:

Wolters Kluwer
Attn: Order Department
PO Box 990
Frederick, MD 21705

Printed in the United States of America.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0

ISBN 978-1-4548-6843-9

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Names: Wendel, W. Bradley, 1969- author.

Title: Professional responsibility : examples and explanations / W. Bradley Wendel.

Description: Fifth edition. | New York : Wolters Kluwer, [2016] | Includes bibliographical references and index.

Identifiers: LCCN 2015038226 | ISBN 9781454868439 | ISBN 1454868430

Subjects: LCSH: Legal ethics--United States. | Attorney and client--United States.

Classification: LCC KF306.W46 2016 | DDC 174/.30973--dc23 LC record available at <http://lcn.loc.gov/2015038226>



Professional Responsibility

EDITORIAL ADVISORS

Erwin Chemerinsky

Dean and Distinguished Professor of Law
Raymond Pryke Professor of First Amendment Law
University of California, Irvine School of Law

Richard A. Epstein

Laurence A. Tisch Professor of Law
New York University School of Law
Peter and Kirsten Bedford Senior Fellow
The Hoover Institution
Senior Lecturer in Law
The University of Chicago

Ronald J. Gilson

Charles J. Meyers Professor of Law and Business
Stanford University
Marc and Eva Stern Professor of Law and Business
Columbia Law School

James E. Krier

Earl Warren DeLano Professor of Law
The University of Michigan Law School

Richard K. Neumann, Jr.

Professor of Law
Maurice A. Deane School of Law at Hofstra University

Robert H. Sitkoff

John L. Gray Professor of Law
Harvard Law School

David Alan Sklansky

Stanley Morrison Professor of Law, Stanford Law School
Faculty Co-Director, Stanford Criminal Justice Center

About Wolters Kluwer Legal & Regulatory Solutions U.S.

Wolters Kluwer Legal & Regulatory Solutions U.S. delivers expert content and solutions in the areas of law, corporate compliance, health compliance, reimbursement, and legal education. Its practical solutions help customers successfully navigate the demands of a changing environment to drive their daily activities, enhance decision quality, and inspire confident outcomes.

Serving customers worldwide, its legal and regulatory solutions portfolio includes products under the Aspen Publishers, CCH Incorporated, Kluwer Law International, ftwilliam.com, and MediRegs names. They are regarded as exceptional and trusted resources for general legal and practice-specific knowledge, compliance and risk management, dynamic workflow solutions, and expert commentary.

For Ben and Hannah

Preface

No matter what area of law you choose to specialize in, I guarantee that you will practice the subject of this course. You may never file a motion for summary judgment, appear at a preliminary hearing or sentencing, perfect a security interest, prepare a will, or draft comments on proposed new regulations; but you will, at some time, think about what you are learning in your Professional Responsibility course. You may have to decide whether you can represent a new client in light of your obligations to an existing client. You certainly will have to keep client confidences, and at some point, you may need to assert a privilege covering certain confidential communications to prevent them from being discovered by others. You may bill clients for your services, in which case you need to know the rules governing attorneys' fees, and you may even advertise for business. One way or the other, you will have to know the law of professional responsibility.

One of the major themes of this book is that you cannot understand your duties and responsibilities as a lawyer just by looking at the disciplinary rules, sometimes called "ethics codes" or "rules of ethics." The ABA Model Rules of Professional Conduct, in whatever version they are adopted in your state, are the basis for potential professional discipline by state bar authorities, but this discipline is not the only thing that lawyers must worry about in practice. Your day-to-day actions also will be influenced by the possibility of liability for malpractice to your client, sanctions imposed by a court under its inherent power or rules of procedure, potential loss of entitlement to fees, disqualification from representing a client, and waiver of evidentiary privileges. Not to slight the importance of the disciplinary system, but by the calculations of some scholars, a lawyer is over a *thousand* times more likely to pay out in excess of \$10,000 in a malpractice lawsuit, by way of judgment or settlement, than to be disbarred. Which risk do you think is more likely to demand one's attention? Furthermore, many significant areas of professional responsibility law, such as conflicts of interest and the attorney-client privilege, are driven almost entirely by considerations unrelated to professional discipline, such as disqualification and loss of fees in the case of conflicts, or the desire to protect client communications from disclosure in court in the case of the attorney-client privilege. Thus, it is vitally important to consider the whole field of the law governing lawyers—not just the disciplinary rules.

This does not mean that we will not consider the disciplinary rules carefully. In fact, the Model Rules are central to much of the book. In many

Preface

cases, however, we will move beyond the state bar disciplinary rules and discuss the relationship with other sources of law. For example, the professional duty of confidentiality, stated in Model Rule 1.6, is often confused with the attorney-client privilege, which is a creature of the law of evidence. By looking at the evidentiary privilege with some care, you can see the distinctions and overlap between these two doctrines. Even if your law school course is limited to study of the Model Rules, the contrast with the attorney-client privilege can sharpen your understanding of the precise boundaries of the duty of confidentiality. It is more likely, however, that your Professional Responsibility course will touch on other areas of law, such as the evidence rules governing privileges; the Sixth Amendment doctrines pertaining to ineffective assistance of counsel, the law of agency, partnership, and corporations as it pertains to conflicts of interest; client identification; fiduciary duties; and the tort and regulatory law of fraud.

Thus, the first goal of this book is to integrate these other sources of law with specific professional responsibility rules so that you can get a complete picture of your duties as a lawyer. Unlike some other textbooks, this book does not draw an artificial distinction between analysis of the disciplinary rules and other law that applies to lawyers representing clients. In some places, the result of this integration may be greater complexity and length of treatment, but I believe it is warranted by the importance of understanding the interaction between the bar's own rules and other legal norms that lawyers must take into account. The second goal of the book is to make you aware of the theory and policy reasons underlying the law governing lawyers. Your law school course may be aimed more at ethics (in the philosophical sense of reasoning about right and wrong) than at law. In other words, you may be asked to step back from the law and understand the role of the lawyer in terms of its function in our society, the history of the profession, general rational standards of right and wrong, or the perspective of some other discipline. Although no textbook of reasonable length can do justice to these alternative perspectives on the legal profession, I do hope at least to introduce them and show how they affect the law as it develops.

Acknowledgments

The influence of a number of professional responsibility scholars, several of whom are authors of casebooks and treatises, will be evident in this book. I owe an additional debt of gratitude to the lively and diverse community of lawyers and academics who populate the *legalethics* listserv, whose informative discussions of many issues were extremely helpful to me along the way. A number of those list members generously shared their course materials and exams with me. Special thanks are due to those who maintain free online resources, from which I have frequently learned a great deal. In particular, William Freivogel and Lucian Pera for their electronic newsletter *Ethics and Lawyering Today*, William Freivogel for his online mini-treatise *Freivogel on Conflicts*, and Tom Spahn for his periodic bulletin *Privilege Points*. I also am grateful to my colleagues in other fields who helped clarify specialized points of law as they relate to the professional responsibility issues presented here. Finally, thanks to the many students at Washington and Lee and Cornell who have challenged me to think more carefully about the law of lawyering. At many points in the evolution of this book, I can recall a student pressing a point in class or in an e-mail exchange afterward, and these discussions are often reflected in the text or the examples. As convenient as it would be to blame any remaining errors on my students or the community of professional responsibility specialists, I of course take sole responsibility for them.

Thanks are due to the editorial staff of Wolters Kluwer (formerly Aspen publishers) over the life of this book, who provided countless suggestions to improve the book in substance and form. It has been a pleasure to work with this excellent team.

Listserv now seems almost as antiquated as rotary-dial phones, and the *legalethics* list has mostly been replaced by the Legal Ethics Forum (LEF) blog as the place for scholars in this field to hang out online. Special thanks to John Steele for his indefatigable energy in keeping the blog running. I am grateful to Andy Perlman (also an essential LEF blogger) for inviting me to serve as a Reporter to one of the ABA Ethics 20/20 working groups, from which I learned a tremendous amount. Bryant Danner at USC has been an enthusiastic adopter of the book and an invaluable source of suggestions for improvement—keep the comments coming, Bry! Among teachers who

Acknowledgments

have adopted the book for use in class, Bill Simon, Brent Landau, and Martha Pacold have also given me helpful comments on the book. Finally, considerable gratitude is owed to Nancy Moore and the rest of the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination (MPRE) drafting committee for knowing more about the law of lawyering than I ever thought possible and challenging me to keep up.

Citations and Other Stylistic Practices

Other than citations to sources of law, such as disciplinary rules and the Restatement, I will be sparing with cites. In some cases, you may wish to refer to a more detailed reference work for additional guidance, so there are occasional citations to treatises, Restatement commentary, and the like. I have tried to keep footnotes to a minimum, except for citations, but occasionally there is some parenthetical information in a footnote, such as a definition of a word used in the text or an aside on some point that is interesting but peripheral to the discussion in the text. Alterations and omissions to text are indicated by ellipses or brackets, but I have freely italicized text for emphasis without putting “emphasis added” notices everywhere. You can assume that italics are mine unless otherwise indicated.

I will not be a stickler for the Bluebook here. Instead, to save space, I will use the following abbreviations.

SOURCES OF LAW

Model Rules	ABA Model Rules of Professional Conduct (as amended by the Ethics 2000 Commission and the Commission on Ethics 20/20)
Rule XX	Model Rule (most current version)
1983 vers.	Version of the Model Rules adopted by the ABA House of Delegates in 1983 and amended through August 2001
Ethics 20/20	ABA Commission on Ethics 20/20, formed in 2009 and active through 2012. Alternatively, the version of the Model Rules proposed by the Ethics 20/20 Commission and adopted by the ABA House of Delegates
Model Code	ABA Model Code of Professional Responsibility (adopted in 1969, as amended through 1981)
EC	Ethical Consideration
DR	Disciplinary Rule

Citations and Other Stylistic Practices

Rest. §XX	Restatement (Third) of the Law Governing Lawyers (2000)
CJC Rule XX	ABA Model Code of Judicial Conduct (2010)
cmt.	Comment (to Model Rules, Restatement, or CJC section)

REFERENCE WORKS

H&H	Geoffrey C. Hazard, Jr. & W. William Hodes, <i>The Law of Lawyering</i> (3d ed. 2001)
Wolfram	Charles Wolfram, <i>Modern Legal Ethics</i> (1986)
R&D	Ronald D. Rotunda & John S. Dzienkowski, <i>Professional Responsibility: A Student's Guide</i> (2012–2013 edition)

Unless otherwise specified, the examples and explanations in the book take place in the fictional U.S. State of Perplexity, which has adopted the ABA Model Rules of Professional Conduct (most current version) verbatim as its disciplinary rules.

A brief note about pronouns is also in order. The problem is, of course, that English lacks a third-person singular pronoun that is not gender-specific. Arguably, the masculine pronoun once carried the conventional meaning “he or she,” but this usage is increasingly less acceptable. There really is not a good alternative. I tend to use the feminine pronoun generically in academic writing, but I am the first to admit it makes no sense, because “she” has never carried the conventional meaning “he or she.” My justification for this practice is based on the observation that people make unconscious use of images in what purports to be purely logical analysis. Try an example. A partner at a law firm gets a call. . . . Stop! You’ve probably already formed a mental picture of the setting. The partner is a man, probably white, with graying hair, wearing a gray pinstriped suit and conservative tie, in a corner office with spectacular views, sitting behind a mahogany desk on which are piled neat stacks of correspondence and documents. We cannot help using images like this, but it is troublesome that men figure so prominently in our images of people in power. Using the feminine singular pronoun is my feeble effort to introduce alternative images of women as lawyers, judges, and clients. Light a candle or curse the darkness. Some people find this practice distracting, and others will cry “politically correct!” Of course, an equal number of people are distracted or politically provoked by the incessant use of the masculine pronoun. My solution — sure to satisfy no one — is to mix up he and she, pretty much at random, unless it is possible to write around the pronoun in some fashion.

Professional Responsibility

Summary of Contents

<i>Contents</i>	<i>xi</i>
<i>Preface</i>	<i>xix</i>
<i>Acknowledgments</i>	<i>xxi</i>
<i>Citations and Other Stylistic Practices</i>	<i>xxiii</i>

Chapter 1	The Many Ways of Regulating Lawyers	1
------------------	-------------------------------------	---

PART I. THE ATTORNEY-CLIENT RELATIONSHIP 21

Chapter 2	Formation and Termination	23
Chapter 3	Aspects of an Ongoing Relationship	43
Chapter 4	Attorneys' Fees and Transactions with Clients	77
Chapter 5	Representing Entities and Groups	101
Chapter 6	Incompetence: Remedies for Malpractice and Constitutional Ineffectiveness	129
Chapter 7	Confidentiality and Secrecy	155
Chapter 8	Attorney-Client Privilege and Work Product Doctrine	169
Chapter 9	Professional Duty of Confidentiality	205

PART II. SECRETS AND LIES: PERJURY AND THE PROBLEM OF CLIENT FRAUD 231

Chapter 10	Perjury in Civil and Criminal Litigation: The Lawyer's "Trilemma"	233
Chapter 11	Attorney Conduct in Litigation: Forensic Tactics, Fair and Foul	261
Chapter 12	The Client Fraud Problem	295

PART III. CONFLICTS OF INTEREST 307

Chapter 13	Overview of Conflicts of Interest	309
Chapter 14	Concurrent Conflicts	323
Chapter 15	Concurrent Conflicts Issues in Specific Contexts	361
Chapter 16	Former-Client Conflicts and Migratory Lawyers	387
Chapter 17	Personal-Interest Conflicts	427

**PART IV. ORGANIZATION AND REGULATION
OF THE LEGAL PROFESSION** 449

Chapter 18	Attracting Clients: Advertising and Solicitation	451
Chapter 19	Associations of Lawyers	475
Chapter 20	The Organized Bar	489

PART V. JUDICIAL ETHICS 523

Chapter 21	The Judicial Role	525
-------------------	-------------------	-----

<i>Table of Cases</i>	563
<i>Index</i>	571

Contents

<i>Preface</i>	xix
<i>Acknowledgments</i>	xxi
<i>Citations and Other Stylistic Practices</i>	xxiii

Chapter 1 The Many Ways of Regulating Lawyers I

Introduction	1
Who Should Regulate Lawyers?	4
The Organized Bar: Models and Legally Binding Rules	4
Courts and Legislatures	6
A Bit of Ancient History, and Why It Matters	8
The ABA Canons	8
The Model Code	9
The Modern Law Governing Lawyers	11
The Model Rules	11
The Restatement	13
Discipline Versus Everything Else	14
A Word About the MPRE	17

PART I. THE ATTORNEY-CLIENT RELATIONSHIP 21

Chapter 2 Formation and Termination 23

Introduction	23
Shall We Dance? Formation of the Relationship	24
Implied-in-Fact, Full-On Professional Relationships	25
Quasi-Client Relationships	26
Prospective Clients and “Beauty Contests”	28
Entity-Constituent Representation	29
Fifty Ways to Leave Your Client: Termination	
of the Professional Relationship	36
Client Fires the Lawyer	37
Lawyer Fires the Client	38
Breaking Up Is Hard to Do: Relationship	
Erodes over Time	39
Duties upon Discharge	40