U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE National Technical Information Service PB-291 742

# Environmental Carcinogens and Human Cancer: Estimation of Exposure to Carcinogens in the Ambient Air

Geomet, Inc, Gaithersburg, MD

Prepared for

Health Effects Research Lab, Research Triangle Park, NC

Jan 79

United States Environmental Protection Agency Health Effects Research Laboratory Research Triangle Park NC 27711 EPA-600/1-79-002 January 1979

Research and Development

PB 291742

# Environmental Carcinogens and Human Cancer

Estimation of Exposure to Carcinogens in the Ambient Air

REPRODUCED BY
NATIONAL TECHNICAL
INFORMATION SERVICE
U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
SPRINGFIELD, VA. 22161





# RESEARCH REPORTING SERIES

Research reports of the Office of Research and Development, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, have been grouped into nine series. These nine broad categories were established to facilitate further development and application of environmental technology. Elimination of traditional grouping was consciously planned to foster technology transfer and a maximum interface in related fields. The nine series are:

- 1. Environmental Health Effects Research
- 2. Environmental Protection Technology
- 3. Ecological Research
- 4. Environmental Monitoring
- 5. Socioeconomic Environmental Studies
- 6. Scientific and Technical Assessment Reports (STAR)
- 7. Interagency Energy-Environment Research and Development
- 8. "Special" Reports
- 9. Miscellaneous Reports

This report has been assigned to the ECOLOGICAL RESEARCH series. This series describes research on the effects of pollution on humans, plant and animal species, and materials. Problems are assessed for their long- and short-term influences. Investigations include formation, transport, and pathway studies to determine the fate of pollutants and their effects. This work provides the technical basis for setting standards to minimize undesirable changes in living organisms in the aquatic, terrestrial, and atmospheric environments.

This document is available to the public through the National Technical Information Service, Springfield, Virginia 22161.

ENVIRONMENTAL CARCINOGENS AND HUMAN CANCER
Estimation of Exposure to Carcinogens in the Ambient Air

by

Niren L. Nagda GEOMET, Incorporated 15 Firstfield Road Gaithersburg, Maryland 20760

Contract No. 68-03-2504

Project Officers:

Carl G. Hayes
Population Studies Division
Health Effects Research Laboratory
Research Triangle Park, N.C. 27711

and

John A. Santolucito Environmental Monitoring and Support Laboratory Las Vegas, Nevada 89114

> U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY OFFICE OF RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT HEALTH EFFECTS RESEARCH LABORATORY RESEARCH TRIANGLE PARK, N.C. 27711

# DISCLAIMER

This report has been reviewed by the Health Effects Research Laboratory, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, and approved for publication. Approval does not signify that the contents necessarily reflect the views and policies of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, nor does mention of trade names or commercial products constitute endorsement or recommendation for use.

### **FOREWORD**

The many benefits of our modern, developing, industrial society are accompanied by certain hazards. Careful assessment of the relative risk of existing and new man-made environmental hazards is necessary for the establishment of sound regulatory policy. These regulations serve to enhance the quality of our environment in order to promote the public health and welfare and the productive capacity of our Nation's population.

The Health Effects Research Laboratory, Research Triangle Park, conducts a coordinated environmental health research program in toxicology. epidemiology, and clinical studies using human volunteer subjects. These studies address problems in air pollution, non-ionizing radiation, environmental carcinogenesis and the toxicology of pesticides as well as other chemical pollutants. The Laboratory participates in the development and revision of air quality criteria documents on pollutants for which national ambient air quality standards exist or are proposed, provides the data for registration of new pesticides or proposed suspension of those already in use, conducts research on hazardous and toxic materials, and is primarily responsible for providing the health basis for non-ionizing radiation standards. Direct support to the regulatory function of the Agency is provided in the form of expert testimony and preparation of affidavits as well as expert advice to the Administrator to assure the adequacy of health care and surveillance of persons having suffered imminent and substantial endangerment of their health.

This report is one of a series under the main title <a href="Environmental">Environmental</a>
<a href="Carcinogens">Carcinogens and Human Cancer</a>. A broad range of objectives directed to the quantitative assessment of the relationship between environmental exposure to carcinogens and increased risk of cancer were addressed. Particular attention was given to development of methodologies and appropriate data bases as well as to identification of community populations for study of specific carcinogen-cancer associations. The series of reports documents the methodologies, resource data, and findings resulting from project activities.

F. G. Hueter, Ph. D.
Acting Director,
Health Effects Research Laboratory

## **ABSTRACT**

In this study, a methodology for ambient exposure analysis of carcinogens was developed based on a pilot study of the Detroit Metropolitan area. The specific aim of the analysis was to identify high and low exposure areas within the study area. Four known or suspected carcinogens and groups of carcinogens: BaP, trichloroethylene, nickel and its compounds, and cadmium and its compounds were studied. The analysis of ambient exposure to BaP consisted of the use of the Air Quality Display Model (AQDM) to simulate levels of BaP which might have existed during 1956 to 1960. analysis for BaP involved a multistep procedure. In order to examine the accuracy of AQDM predicted BaP ambient concentrations, present conditions (1975-1976) were simulated and compared against known concentrations in the area. Next, BaP emissions for the period 1956-1960 were estimated by analyzing past trends for significant sources. This emissions data base, along with meteorological data for the same period, was used as an input to AQDM to predict historical exposure to BaP. The analysis for the other three carcinogens was less detailed than that for BaP. It was comprised of estimation of emissions and calculation of emission density for each of the three carcinogens. For nickel and cadmium, it also included a comparison of spatial variation in emissions with measured air quality patterns in the Detroit area. The results of this study were very encouraging in light of the scarcity of data on carcinogens. Excellent correlation between observed and estimated concentrations was obtained for BaP. In the case of nickel and cadmium, the estimation between observed and estimated concentrations was obtained for BaP. In the case of nickel and cadmium, the estimated emission density patters matched well with observed air quality patterns. Due to the lack of data on ambient concentrations, a similar comparison was not possible for trichloroethylene. The carcinogen exposure patterns developed in this study are being used in the selection of population samples for an epidemiological study of the area.

# CONTENTS

For	ewor	'd			٠	٠			٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	iii
HD:	trac	٠.			•	•			•	•	•	0		•	•	۰	•	•			•	•	•	۰	•	•		•	0	•	•	
- 19	ures									•	•	۰	•		٠	•	•		•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•		•		•	٠	۷1
Tab	les	٠			•	•			•	•	•	•	٠	•	٠	٠	•	٠	٠	٠	٠	٠		•	٠	•	•	٠	٠	۰	٠ ٧	1111
	1.	In	tro	du	ct i	on									,																	1
	2.	711	mma	SV	o u	4	ر م ہ	ic l		ior			۰	•	•	۰	•	٠	۰	۰	٠	•	•	۰	•		٠	۰	•	•	•	3
	3.																															5
	٥.	16	CIII					pacl																								5
				0	ver	'a I	1 6	app	ro	acr	١		٠		•		۰		•				•		•	•	•		٠	•	•	6
				E	xte	ent		a																								6
						li	ng																•		•				٠		•	10
	4.	Ca	rci	no	ger	E	xpc	Su	re	Ar	na i	lys	15		-De	etr	0	it	P.	ilo	ot	St	cuc	ly	Ar	ea	3		•			20
				S	ele	ect	ior	0	f (	car	ci	inc	ge	ns	f	or	. 6	1XS	009	sur	^e	ar	na i	lys	is	5						20
								yr					-											-								23
								etl																								67
																																67
	5.	Do	for																													88
	5.	KE	161	en	ces		•		۰	•	۰		•	0	۰		•	۰	٠	۰	•	٠	•	۰	•	۰	•	۰	•	•	•	00
Anr	end	ico	c																													
ואר	Jenu	ICC	3																													
	Α.	Po	int	-c	OLLY	200	d:	ata	6	vet	- or	n c	٦f	м.	ich	i	121	n [	) or	וגר	ctr	no r	a+	01	FR	la:	F111	ra'	1			
	Α.	7 (																														03
	D	11-	Res	ou	1.00	:2	(MI	אווע	,				٠	•					•		٠.	100			•	: -			. i	۰	۰	93
	В.	HC	us	ing	ad	ata	al	nd	Та	CLO	ors	5 1	O	. (	351	- 11	na i	L 10	on	01	1	19:	22	re	52	I de	em	. 10	21			105
		_	CO	3	COI	ารน	mp	10	n		۰	۰		•	٠	٠	۰	•			•	۰	۰	۰	•	•	•		•	٠	•	105
	С.																													٠	•	109
	D.	Αi						nit																								
			stu	ıdy	aı	rea																				•			•			118
	E.	Me	tec	oro	100	gic	al	da	ta	f	or	De	eti	0	it	me	eti	ro	po	li	tai	n a	aiı	rpo	ort	t						124

# **FIGURES**

ur	nber		Page
	1	An example of a composite isopleth	17
,	2	The minimum area composite isopleth based on isopleths for individual years during 1957-76	18
	3	Isopleth for 1965	19
	4	Detroit metropolitan study area	21
	5	A schematic diagram for estimation of BaP emissions for input to AQDM	25
	6	CAASE area source grids for Detroit metropolitan study area	30
	7	Revised area source grids for BaP emissions	32
	8	Map of communities in Detroit metropolitan study area $\dots$	45
	9	BaP monitoring sites	57
	10	Reported ambient BaP concentrations in the Detroit study area, 1958-76	58
	11	Quarterly BaP concentrations for the NASN site and an adjacent Wayne County site '04'	59
	12	1975-76 BaP sources and their emissions	61
	13	Observed versus AQDM predicted concentrations for six Wayne County monitoring sites	62
	14	Predicted and observed 1975-76 ambient BaP concentrations	63
	15	1956-60 BaP sources and their emissions	64
	16	Predicted 1956-60 ambient BaP concentrations	65
	17	Characterization of ambient exposure to BaP, 1956-60	66
	18	Receptor sites for BaP source-contribution analysis	68
	19	Sources of trichloroethylene and their emissions,	70

# FIGURES

lumber		Page
20	Trichloroethylene emission density, 1976	71
21	Characterization of ambient exposure to trichloro- ethylene	72
22	Sources of nickel and their emissions, 1976	76
23	Nickel emission density, 1976	77
24	Ambient concentrations in the Detroit metropolitan study area, 1971-76	78
25	Ambient concentrations of nickel, 1976	79
26	Characterization of ambient exposure to nickel	80
27	Sources of cadmium and their emissions, 1976	82
28	Cadmium emission density, 1976	83
29	Ambient cadmium concentrations in the Detroit metropolitan study area, 1971-76	85
30	Ambient concentrations of cadmium, 1976	86
31	Characterization of ambient exposure to cadmium	87

# **TABLES**

un	ber	6.* I	Page
	1	Ranked List of Chemicals with Carcinogenic Potential	2
	2	Estimated Emissions by Type of Source	8
	3	Extent and Quality of Information on Emission Factors	9
	4	Extent of Available Air Quality Information	11
	5	Atmospheric Reactivity	12
	6	Selection of Carcinogens for Exposure Analysis in the Detroit Pilot Study Area	22
	7	Nationwide Estimates of BaP Emissions	26
	8	Sources Selected for Estimation of BaP Emissions in the Detroit Area	28
	9	Categories of Area Source Emissions	31
	10	1976 Coal Consumption for the Detroit Study Area by Type and Size of Coal-Fired Boilers	33
	11	BaP Emission Factors for Coal-Fired Combustion Units	34
	12	BaP Emission Factors for Sources Other than Coal Combustion Units	35
	13	Size-Dependent Efficiencies of Control Equipment	. 36
	14	Coal Consumption in Electric Power Utilities	. 38
	15	Coal Consumption in Manufacture of Coke	. 39
	16	Coal Consumption Related to Retail Deliveries	. 40
	17	Coal Consumption in Steel and Other Industries	. 41
	18	Factors for Estimating 1956-60 Coal Consumption for Point Sources	. 42
	19	Number of Coal-Consuming and Total Housing Units for the Detroit Area	. 44

# **TABLES**

lumber		Page
20	Coal Consumption in Residential Furnaces by Area Grids	46
21	BaP Emission Estimates for Point Sources	51
22	BaP Emission Estimates for Point Sources with Less Than 0.3 kg/yr Emissions in 1975-76	55
23	Estimated 1956-60 Source Contributions at Various Receptor Sites	69
24	Sources of Nickel Emissions and Their Emission Factors	74
25	Emission Control Equipment and Their Control Efficiencies	75
26	Sources of Cadmium Emissions and Their Emission Factors	81

# SECTION 1

## INTRODUCTION

In order to investigate the association between exposure to a carcinogen present in the ambient air and incidence of cancer, high and low carcinogen exposure areas need to be identified. Human carcinogenesis generally has a long latency period—spanning 5 to 40 years. Such a latency period implies that identification of current high and low exposure areas is not sufficient in itself; historical trends in spatial variations must be examined. Estimation of historical exposure to a carcinogen is possible if monitoring data on the carcinogen are available for several sites for a period of years. It is recognized that sufficient information on ambient concentrations to depict historical and spatial variations is not available for any of the 23 carcinogens or group of carcinogens selected for this study (Table 1). The objective of this study was to develop and apply alternative approaches for characterization of carcinogen exposure.

Based on a pilot study of one area, a methodology for first generation ambient air exposure analysis of carcinogens was developed. The specific aim of the exposure analysis was to identify high and low exposure areas within a multicounty study area. This report gives a rationale and technical approach for the methodology used and describes results for the first pilot study area--Detroit metropolitan area.

The summary and conclusions of ambient exposure analysis for the Detroit metropolitan area are presented in the next section. A detailed discussion of the rationale and technical approach for characterization of ambient exposure to carcinogens appears in Section 3. Using this approach, exposures to various carcinogens prevalent in the ambient atmosphere of selected study areas were estimated and analyzed. Section 4 describes available data bases, specific approaches, and results for each carcinogen included for exposure analysis in the Detroit pilot study area.

The results of the carcinogen exposure analysis performed in this task, in the form of spatial gradation or differences in ambient concentrations, are used to support an epidemiologic study. In order to examine the degree of association between high carcinogen exposure and increased cancer risk, samples of cancer victims and matched control groups are selected from both high and low exposure areas. The details of this primary data collection and its analysis will be reported as the epidemiologic study is completed.

TABLE 1. RANKED LIST OF CHEMICALS WITH CARCINOGENIC POTENTIAL\*

Priority Group	Number +	Chemical Name
I		
Known or suspected	1	Benzene
human carcinogens	2	Vinyl chloride monomer
	3	Acrylonitrile
	4	Nickel and its compounds (primarily nickel carbonyl and nickel subsulfide)
	5	Ethylene dibromide
	6	Asbestos
1	7	Chromium and its compounds (primarily calcium chromate)
	8	Benzo-a-pyrene (BaP)
	9	Arsenic trioxide
	10	Benzidine
II	11	Ethylene oxide
Carcinogenic to	12	Carbon tetrachloride
laboratory	13	Trichloroethylene
animals	14	Chloroform
	15	Vinylidene chloride
	16	Cadmium and its compounds
	17	Dimethyl sulfate
	18	Chloromethyl methyl ether (along with bischloromethyl ether)
Ш	19	Formaldehyde
Carcinogenicity not	20	Tetraethyl lead
confirmed or chemi-	21	Pentachlorophenol (PCP)
cal is no longer	22	Polychlorinated biphenyl (PCB)
produced	23	Beryllium and its compounds

<sup>\*</sup> Reference 1.

 $<sup>\</sup>ensuremath{^{\dagger}}$  Ranked within priority group of U.S. production.

## SECTION 2

### SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

Due to the generally perceived lack of information on the sources of carcinogenic substances, their emissions and their concentrations in the air, ambient exposure analysis would seem impossible at the present time. This is no doubt true for many carcinogens for which there is little or no information on emissions or ambient concentrations. However, for some carcinogens piecemeal information related to emissions and air quality exists. An attempt has been made in this task to show that various pieces of information can be integrated to obtain some understanding of differences in ambient exposures to carcinogens on a subregional basis.

Candidate pollutants for exposure analysis for the Detroit study areas were chosen based on their carcinogenic risk and feasibility of analysis. Benzo-a-pyrene (BaP) offered the most promise; it had one of the most comprehensive sets of emission factors of the 23 carcinogens selected in this study. Similarly, some historical air quality data were available for BaP in the Detroit area. The exposure analysis for BaP consisted of air quality modeling with a routinely used multisource model to simulate present (represented by 1975-76) and past (1956-60) ambient concentrations in the study area. The 1975-76 conditions were simulated to check accuracy of prediction by estimating concentrations at BaP monitoring sites. The agreement between observed and predicted BaP concentrations was excellent;  $R^2 = 0.98$ . The 1956-60 concentrations were estimated to assess past exposures. Past emissions were estimated by analyzing trends/for significant sources. The air quality information for the period 1956-60 was very limited; only 12 monthly observations were available for one site during 1958-59. No statistical analysis was possible, but for the one site for which data were available, the observed and predicted concentrations were close (observed, 15.0 ng/m<sup>2</sup>; predicted, 14.1  $ng/m^3$ ). Based on the 1956-60 simulation, high and low BaP exposure areas were identified (see Figure 17, page 66).

Three other carcinogens--nickel,\* cadmium,\* and trichloroethylene--were also analyzed for the Detroit study area. However, in these cases, the data were inadequate for an extensive analysis. Simulation of the atmospheric diffusion process was not included and only 1976 emission density and air quality profiles were examined. For nickel and cadmium, crude air quality patterns developed based on limited data matched well with emissions profiles. Since emissions and air quality patterns are based on two independent data bases, the agreement was impressive. The

<sup>\*</sup> Certain metal-containing compounds are identified as carcinogens. However, due to a lack of data on specific compounds, the analysis and discussion included in this report refers only to metals in their elemental form.

high/low exposure areas for nickel and cadmium will be shown in Figures 26 and 31, respectively. In the case of trichloroethylene, no air quality data were available and uncertainty exists about its behavior in the atmosphere. Consequently, only limited confidence can be attached to its high/low exposure characterization which is solely based on emissions data (see Figure 21, page 72).

It has been demonstrated in this task that a first generation characterization of ambient exposure is possible for a limited number of carcinogens, primarily, trace metals and combustion products such as BaP. For most organic chemicals very limited information on emissions and virtually no air quality concentration data are available. As information on source emissions and ambient concentrations becomes available, it should be possible to characterize ambient exposures to these chemicals.

# SECTION 3

# TECHNICAL APPROACH

### RATIONALE

The objective of this study was to estimate variations in ambient exposure to carcinogens within an area consisting of a few counties. A detailed exposure analysis requires information on time-varying ambient concentrations at several locations which are spatially representative. For more precise estimates of exposure, factors such as indoor-outdoor concentration differentials, occupational exposure, etc., need to be addressed. However, these were not within the scope of the present study.

The extent of information which describes existing levels of carcinogens varies from data on ambient concentrations at a few sites in an area to no air quality data at all. Even when the ambient air quality data are available, these are seldom sufficient to describe spatial variations. Historical data which can describe past air quality are even more scarce. For most carcinogens there is little information available on past ambient concentrations. Ambient air quality has been monitored for a few carcinogens but generally it has been limited to one or two locations in any given region. Consequently, air quality information alone cannot describe spatial or historic changes in ambient exposure to carcinogens, and there was a need to develop another method for determining high and low exposure areas.

Exposures could be estimated by air quality models which calculate concentrations at designated locations by simulating an atmospheric diffusion process in the area under consideration. Primary input to these models comprises information on emission sources and meteorological conditions. Due to uncertainties in the actual diffusion process and limited emission and meteorological data, results of the model calculations have to be checked or calibrated against measured concentrations for a period which is compatible with the input data. Historical trends in spatial distribution of ambient concentrations could be established if the air quality model predicts present conditions fairly well, and if there is some information on past air quality at one or more locations. For estimating historical emissions, significant emission sources need to be identified and trends in process parameters relating to those sources have to be examined. Similarly, the extent of emission control in the past has to be considered.

The modeling approach may not be justifiable if the input data are insufficient and no air quality information exists. In such cases, a simpler analysis such as plotting of emission density would be preferable. Emission density is determined by computing emissions per unit