

Gadsden on
Commons and
Greens

Edward F. Cousins
Richard Honey

SWEET & MAXWELL

GADSDEN
ON
COMMONS AND GREENS

SECOND EDITION

by

EDWARD F. COUSINS B.A., LL.M.

*The Adjudicator to HM Land Registry
Former Chief Commons Commissioner*

*Deputy Chancery Master
Bench of Lincoln's Inn
Of Gray's Inn and King's Inns, Dublin, Barrister*

with

RICHARD HONEY BSc, MSc, MRICS, FCIArb

Of Inner Temple, Barrister

Contributors

MARK WESTMORELAND SMITH BA, DipLaw

Of Lincoln's Inn, Barrister

and

ANNABEL GRAHAM PAUL MA (Oxon)

Of Middle Temple, Barrister

SWEET & MAXWELL



THOMSON REUTERS

First Edition 1988
Second Edition 2012

*Published in 2012 by Sweet & Maxwell, 100 Avenue Road, London NW3 3PF
part of Thomson Reuters (Professional) UK Limited
(Registered in England & Wales, Company No 1679046.
Registered Office and address for service:
Aldgate House, 33 Aldgate High Street, London EC3N 1DL)*

Typeset by Interactive Sciences Ltd, Gloucester

Printed in Great Britain by CPI Group (UK) Ltd, Croydon, CR0 4YY

For further information on our products and services,
visit www.sweetandmaxwell.co.uk

*No natural forests were destroyed to make this product;
only farmed timber was used and re-planted.*

A CIP catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library.

ISBN 978 04 14 02567 7

Thomson Reuters and the Thomson Reuters logo are trademarks of Thomson Reuters.
Sweet & Maxwell ® is a registered trademark
of Thomson Reuters (Professional) UK Limited

*Crown copyright material is reproduced with the permission of the Controller of HMSO
and the Queen's Printer for Scotland.*

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced or transmitted, in any form or by any means, or stored in any retrieval system of any nature, without prior written permission, except for permitted fair dealing under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988, or in accordance with the terms of a license issued by the Copyright Licensing Agency in respect of photocopying and/or reprographic reproduction. Application for permission for other use of copyright material including permission to reproduce extracts in other published works shall be made to the publishers. Full acknowledgment of author, publisher and source must be given.

**GADSDEN
ON
COMMONS AND GREENS**

PREFACE TO THE FIRST EDITION

This book has been researched and written during the run up period to comprehensive legislation intended to update the use and management of the common lands. But the approach to new legislation in this area of law tends to be slow and laborious. It is 10 years since an interdepartmental committee report announced governmental interest in planning for a new Act and next year sees the thirtieth anniversary of the Royal Commission report which laid down the guidelines for it. The *Royal Commission on Common Land 1955–1958 Report* recommended the establishment of statutory registers for all common land including a record of the rights exercisable over it and its ownership. It was also proposed that there should be new statutory schemes of management for, and a universal right of public access to, the land. In the event it was decided to proceed in two stages; first, registration and, secondly, management and access provisions. Registration was set in train with the enactment of the Commons Registration Act 1965 and now, some 20 years later, is approaching completion. Unfortunately, it is now widely recognised that the Act was not entirely adequate to effect its purposes. In the Court of Appeal in 1982, Lord Justice Oliver, on finding that the Act deemed land to be waste land of a manor when it was accepted by all the parties that it had never had that status, remarked that the case revealed “what I cannot but think is yet another most unsatisfactory state of affairs created by this Act which, if I may say so, is crying out for amendment . . .” It is now apparent that the second stage of legislation will also have to be concerned with amending the deficiencies, and resolving the anomalies and injustices which have arisen from registration. Thus, there is an additional dimension of complexity added to a subject which was already quite complicated enough. Existing statute law, directly concerned with commons, spans 600 years—the earliest Act still in force is concerned with inclosure of commons—and the common law, at the outset of its development in the twelfth century, dealt with rights of grazing over the land of a feudal lord as one of its main features. I have attempted to show that the common law, at least, is relatively free from ambiguity and that many of the features, which some have thought to be obscure and irrational, are sensible and logical. This is much as one might expect after some 700 years of development. That is not to say that the subject is not complex. The common lands are not one particular type of land but a series of interrelated classes with discrete rules applicable to each class. I hope that I have distinguished all the main classes of land and rights which exist today and described adequately the rules for each class, while at the same time warning against confusing the different classes and rules. The reader will find that some of the issues, which have become live in recent years, of which the permanent or temporary severance of appurtenant rights from the land to which they are attached are typical examples, are dealt

with on the basis of principle rather than authority. This is because many of the new issues have not been litigated directly and reference to principles seems the only realistic approach. Until there is statutory enactment or case authority affecting them these issues need to be treated with some caution.

It would be attractive to report that the statutes in force are models of clarity. Unfortunately this is by no means the case. Quite apart from the problems recently posed by the Registration Act of 1965 the earlier Acts are in many cases inconclusive in definition and purpose. Be that as it may, I have attempted to draw together, in outline at least, all the Acts which bear directly on common lands and describe, as best I can, their effects. I should perhaps enter one caveat: the massive Inclosure Act of 1845 is still in force but had its teeth drawn as an instrument for inclosure over a century ago. It is to all intents and purposes defunct and I, therefore, give it scant attention except where some of the subsidiary provisions are still in active use. Needless to say the Commons Registration Act 1965 is accorded pride of place among the statutes considered and I have recorded the major areas of difficulty in interpretation and practice. I am certain that I have not uncovered all the problems but at least those which are apparent from the decisions of the Commons Commissioners, who have been resolving registration disputes for over 15 years, are included. I have also been able to study somewhere between five and 10 per cent. of all the commons registers and the discrepancies which appear on the face of those records are noted. What cannot be discovered from this type of investigation is the scale of totally incorrect registrations. Only time will tell how many are in this category and, while everybody concerned with common land has his own particular horror story, there is no central record to bring them all together.

One direction which the book has taken might seem a little unusual for a text on the law of commons inasmuch as there is more than passing consideration of the liability for straying animals and the connected, and unusual, duty to fence against a common. However, those concerned in practical matters will know that the propensity for animals to stray from commons is a constant source of worry and, liability frequently depends upon the establishment of fencing obligations. I have thought it appropriate to identify and comment upon as many as possible of the practical legal difficulties in these more general areas of law, as well as a few others.

I have written with the intention of providing assistance to those who have to grapple with the various legal problems which occur on the common lands. Clearly most are legal practitioners but I am conscious that there is a wider audience among those with direct interests over the lands, whether public or private. Not all that wider audience is legally qualified and not all legal practitioners are well acquainted with agricultural practice. I have tried, therefore, to simplify the law for laymen and explain agricultural practice for the lawyer. If in so doing I have oversimplified for some readers I hope they will understand the reason. In particular my apologies go to those lawyers who may feel insulted at my apparent ready assumption that they do not understand Latin, Law French or even some of the simpler legal concepts!

I wish to thank all those persons who have helped me in the preparation of this book. In particular my thanks are due to Jack Ellis, of Swansea, whose extensive

knowledge of the law and practice of commons inspired me to start my research, the National Farmers Union, and especially James May for providing all the earlier Commissioners' decisions for copying, the staff at the Welsh Office and the Department of the Environment for assistance with the tables, the Department of Education and Science for a studentship which gave me some two and a half years of full time research, my colleagues at University College, Cardiff for their unstinted encouragement and support and, by no means least, the publishers' staff, who gave me such efficient help in the final stages of preparation and also offered sympathetic understanding during a convalescence from illness. I need hardly say that any mistakes are mine alone.

30 September 1987

G. D. Gadsden

PREFACE TO THE SECOND EDITION

The first edition of this work by Dr Gadsden was published in 1988. It is a tribute to Dr Gadsden that this work is still relied upon despite the fact that the text was originally produced some 24 years ago. Indeed, the work has been cited by judges in numerous cases including the following leading authorities: *Oxfordshire CC v Oxford City Council* (House of Lords [2006] UKHL 25), *Bettison v Langton* (House of Lords [2001] UKHL 24), *R. v Oxfordshire CC, Ex p. Sunningwell Parish Council* (House of Lords [1999] 1 AC 335) and *Hampshire CC v Milburn* (House of Lords [1990] 1 AC 325).

In view of this considerable pedigree it is with some trepidation and deference to Dr Gadsden that some years ago I took up the task of producing the second edition. This has proved somewhat of a challenge in several respects. First, whilst in the course of writing the Commons Bill progressed through its various stages in Parliament and eventually was enacted as the Commons Act in July 2006. Secondly, Dr Gadsden's work remains a highly respected academic treatise on the law of commons and greens, but was considerably out of date even before the enactment of the Commons Act 2006.

The position then became more complicated. First, the Government in its wisdom decided to "roll out" the Commons Act 2006 in seven "pilot" areas (now referred to as the "pioneer scheme" or "pioneer areas"). This meant that for the most part the original Commons Registration Act 1965 still remains on the statute book in all the other registration areas in England and in the whole of Wales. Secondly, the fact of devolution has provided a further complication in the legislative process. The effect of devolution is that the implementation of the provisions of the Act will occur at different stages in England and Wales, and will occur in different ways. It has therefore been necessary to include in this second edition both sets of legislative provisions as they currently operate side by side in England and Wales.

It is in these circumstances that the second edition came to be written and published with all due acknowledgement to the hard work put into it by others. I should express my gratitude to Richard Honey who has played an essential part in the process leading to the publication of this work. I also wish to give my especial thanks to the contributors who have devoted their time to the production of the following chapters: Mark Westmoreland Smith for his work on chapters 8, 13 and 14, and to Annabel Graham Paul for her work on chapters 9, 14 and 16. I also wish to thank David Rees, an Assistant Land Registrar at the Land Registry, Telford Office, who has provided me with gentle textual suggestions on the land registration aspects arising in relation to commons and greens. Finally, I should state that this work probably would not have seen the light of day had it not been for the support given to me over the years by Hugh Craddock whose

knowledge of the law of commons and greens is without exception.

In conclusion I echo the words of Dr Gadsden in his preface in the first edition where he refers to having written the work with “ . . . the intention of providing assistance to those who have to grapple with the various legal problems which occur on the common lands.” In this second edition I have taken up that challenge and I hope that this work will still continue to provide a necessary and concise source for the law on commons and greens for many years to come. I have attempted to state the law as at November 2011.

Edward F. Cousins
Hampstead
London NW3

November 2011

TABLE OF CASES

1–4 White Row Cottages, Re Bewerley [1993] 3 W.L.R. 229	3–17, A1–04
655 acres of land, Re Portland, Dorset (No. 2) (1977) 210/D/202	2–43
Abbott v Weekly (1665) 1 Lev. 176; 83 E.R. 357	13–02, 14–37
Accrington Moor, Re Accrington, Lancashire (1972) 20/D/8, 9	3–42
ADM Milling Ltd v Tewkesbury Town Council [2011] EWHC 595 (Ch)	1–08, 2–30, 8–48, 8–90,
	8–96, 9–06, 9–07, 9–46, 16–11, 16–12
Anderson v Bostock [1976] Ch. 312	2–08, 3–67, 3–72, 3–74
Anonymous (1480) Y.B. 20 Edw. 4, folio 10, b	8–104
Anon. (1496) Keil. 3; 72 E.R. 156 n. 7	8–104
Anon. (1540) 1 Dyer 47b; 73 E.R. 104	2–29
Anon. (1568) 3 Dyer 286; 73 E.R. 641	5–16
Anon. (1572) 3 Dyer 329; 73 E.R. 744	5–40
Anon. (1572) 3 Leon. 16; 74 E.R. 511	2–39
Anon. (1573) 3 Dyer 316b; 73 E.R. 716	2–29
Anon. (1577) 4 Leon. 41; 74 E.R. 716	2–75
Anon. (1581) Godb. 4; 78 E.R. 3	2–25
Anon. (1588) Owen 38; 74 E.R. 883	2–51
Anon. (1611) Godb. 182; 78 E.R. 110	6–02
Anon. (1675) 1 Vent. 264; 86 E.R. 177	7–77
Anon. (1702) 12 Mod. 648; 88 E.R. 1578	1–50
Anon. (1770) 3 Wils. K.B. 126; 95 L.R. 970	7–17, 7–78
Anon. v T (1426) Y.B. 4 H. 6, folio 13, pl. 10	2–19
Arden Great Moor, Re Arden, Yorks (NR) (1977) 268/D/209	1–45, 3–52
Arenig Fawr, Mynydd Nodol, Cefn Llwyn Bugail Y Castell, Re Meirionmnydd D (1977) 54/D/32–49	3–122
Arlett v Ellis (1827) 7 B. & C. 346; 108 E.R. 752	3–91, 4–02, 5–01, 5–04, 5–40, 5–46, 6–10, 6–16
Arnold v National Westminster Bank [1991] 2 A.C. 93	14–111
Arundell v Lord Falmouth (1814) 2 M. & S. 440; 105 E.R. 444	5–06
Associated Provincial Picture Houses Ltd v Wednesbury Corp [1948] 1 K.B. 223	14–114
Atkinson v Teasdale (1772) 2 Wm. Bl. 817; 96 E.R. 482	6–15
Atkinson v Teasdale (1772) 3 Wils. K.B. 278; 95 E.R. 1054	5–04, 6–15, 7–17
Atthill v Atthill (1622–1623) Winch's Entries 970	7–17, 7–19
Attorney General v Amhurst (1879) 23 Sol. Jo. 443	8–27
Attorney General v Antrobus [1905] 2 Ch.188	9–02
Attorney General v Brock Brothers (Transport) Ltd Unreported (1972)	9–05
Attorney General v Hyde [2002] W.T.L.R. 1419	11–08
Attorney General v Meyrick [1893] A.C. 1	11–08
Attorney General v Poole Corp [1938] Ch. 23	14–47
Attorney General v Reveley (1870) Karslake's Special Reports	1–05, 2–59
Attorney General v Reynolds [1911] 2 K.B. 888	2–23, 2–39, 2–40, 3–113, 3–120, 4–13
Attorney General v Southampton Corp (1970) 21 P. & C.R. 281 Ch D	16–11, 16–12
Attorney-General v Hanmer (1859) 4 De G. & J. 205; 45 E.R. 80; (1858) 2 L.J. Ch. 837	3–22,
	3–46, 3–52
Austerberry v Oldham Corp (1885) 29 Ch. D. 750	8–109, 8–113, 8–121
Austin v Amherst (1877) 7 Ch. D. 689	11–08
B v Warblington (Inhabitants) (1796) 1 T.R. 241; 99 E.R. 1073	5–47
Badenhill Common, Re Tytherington, Avon (1978) 13/D/9	9–05
Badger v Ford (1819) 3 B. & Ald. 153; 106 E.R. 618	2–25
Bailey v Stephens (1862) 12 C.B.(N.S.) 91; 142 E.R. 1077	2–08, 3–67
Baker v Andrews (1652) Style 357; 82 E.R. 774	7–22
Bakewell Management Ltd v Brandwood [2004] UKHL 14; [2004] 2 A.C. 519	i–15, 9–27
Ballard v Tomlinson (1885) 29 Ch. D. 115	2–03

Barber v Whiteley (1865) 34 L.J. Q.B. 212	7–30, 8–113
Baring v Abingdon [1892] 2 Ch. 374	2–06, 2–07, 2–19, 3–74
Barker v Dixon (1743) 1 Wils. K.B. 44; 95 E.R. 483	1–51
Barnes v Peterson (1736) 2 Strange 1063; 93 E.R. 1034	2–54
Barvis v Secretary of State for the Environment (1971) 22 P. & C.R. 710	10–03
Barwick v Matthews (1814) 5 Taunt. 365; 128 E.R. 730	2–29
Bateson v Green (1793) 5 T.R. 411; 101 E.R. 230	5–02, 5–09, 5–10
Bateson v Palmer (1729) 5 Vin. Ab. 7	5–09
Baylis v Tyssen-Amhurst (1877) L.R. 6 Ch. D. 500	3–113
Baynard v Smith (1674) 3 Keb. 417; 84 E.R. 798	7–75
BDW Trading Ltd (t/a Barratt Homes) v Spooner [2011] EWHC 1486 (QB)	4–21, 10–09, 14–70
Beacon Black Mountain etc., Re Radnor D (1977) 276/D/1–4	4–09
Bean v Bloom (1773) 2 Wm. Bl. 926; 96 E.R. 547	2–35
Beckett (Alfred F) Ltd v Lyons [1967] Ch. 449	1–01, 1–24, 2–03
Bee v Stafford and Uttoxeter Railway (1873) 23 W.R. 868	11–08
Bellew v Langdon (1602) Cro Eliz. 876; 78 E.R. 1100	6–09
Benett v Costar (1818) 8 Taunt. 183; 129 E.R. 353	2–42
Benn v Hardinge (1992) 66 P. & C.R. 246	4–11
Bennett v Reeve (1740) Willes 227; 125 E.R. 1144	2–20, 2–73, 2–75, 3–105
Bennington v Goodtitle (1737) 2 Strange 1084; 93 E.R. 1047	2–54
Benson v Chester (1799) 8 Term Rep. 396	4–03
Besley v John [2003] EWCA Civ 1737; [2003] All E.R. D 481	1–02, 6–01, 6–02, 6–03, 6–09, 8–90
Bessant v The Great Western Railway Co (1860) 8 C.B.N.S. 368; 141 E.R. 1208	7–77, 8–111
Bethcar Moor, Re Colton, Cumbria (1975) 20/U/94	5–55
Betterment Properties v Dorset County Council & Taylor [2008] EWCA 22; [2009] 1 W.L.R. 334	14–95, 14–117
Betterment Properties v Dorset County Council & Taylor [2010] EWHC 3045 (Ch)	14–18, 14–26, 14–52, 14–53, 14–54, 14–57, 14–99, 14–117, 15–01, 15–07
Bettison v Langton [2000] Ch. 54 CA; affirmed [2001] UKHL 24; [2002] 1 A.C. 27	1–01, 1–02, 1–44, 2–02, 2–03, 2–07, 2–08, 2–17, 2–18, 2–19, 2–23, 2–27, 2–67, 3–105, 3–113, 3–114, 6–04, 7–79
Birch v Wilson (1677) 2 Mod. 274; 86 E.R. 1068	5–06
Black Allotment, Re Muker, N Yorks (No.1) (1977) 268/D/84	3–42
Blackdown and West Blackdown etc., Re Devon (1983) 209/D/310/312	3–92
Blackett v Bradley (1862) 1 B. & S. 940; 121 E.R. 963	5–10
Blades v Higgs (1865) 11 H.L.C. 621; 11 E.R. 1474	5–11, 6–08
Blewett v Tregonning (1835) 3 Ad. & E. 554; 111 E.R. 524	2–43
Blondell v Catterall (1821) 5 B. & A. 268; 106 E.R. 1190	5–03
Bobbet v South Eastern Rly Co (1882) 9 Q.B.D. 424	5–35
Boderidge's Case 9 Vin. Ab. 121	7–15
Bolton MBC v Secretary of State for the Environment (1990) 61 P. & C.R. 343	14–115
Bolton v Bolton (1879) L.R. 11 Ch. D. 968	3–73
Bolus v Hinstocke (1670) 2 Keb. 686; 84 E.R. 431	8–107, 8–108
Booker v James (1968) 19 P. & C.R. 525	A1–05
Booth v Alcock (1872–73) L.R. 8 Ch. App. 663	3–81
Boulcott v Winmill (1809) 2 Camp. 261; 170 E.R. 1149	5–47
Boulston's Case (1596) 5 Co. Rep. 104b; 77 E.R. 216	5–13
Bowes Moor Bowes, Re (1) and (2) Bowes, Teesdale, Durham (1975) 44/D/53–61	13–02
Bowes Moor, Re Bowes, Co Durham (1985) 211/U/86	5–55
Box Hill Common, Re. See Box Parish Council v Lacy	
Box Parish Council v Lacy [1980] Ch. 109	3–22, 3–46, 3–47, 3–48, 9–06
Box v Jubb (1879) 4 Ex. D. 76	7–83
Boyle v Tamlyn (1827) 6 B. & C. 329; 108 E.R. 473	8–113, 8–118
Brackenbank Lodge v Peart [1996] N.P.C. 124	5–11
Bradford City Premises, Re (1928) 1 Ch. 138	5–54
Bradshaw v Bokingham (1603) Noy 106; 74 E.R. 1072	5–40
Bradshaw v Eyre (1597) Cro. Eliz. 570; 78 E.R. 814	5–06
Bradshawe's Case (1597) Moore K.B. 462; 72 E.R. 697	4–08
Bremner v Hull (1866) L.R. 1 C.P. 748	14–28
Bridge Green, Re Hargrave, West Suffolk (1972) 35/D/1	14–37
Bright v Walker (1834) 1 Cr. M. & R. 211; 149 E.R. 1057	3–81
Brighton Corp v Guardians of the Poor of Brighton (1880) 5 C.P.D. 368	5–35

Britford Common, Re [1977] 1 W.L.R. 39	3-46
Broadrig's Case Mich. 8 Jac. BC	7-15
Broadwater v Blot (1817) Holt. 547; 171 E.R. 336	7-77
Brock v Richards [1951] 1 K.B. 529	7-42
Bromfeld v Kirher (1706) 11 Mod. 72; 88 E.R. 897	2-29
Bronge v More (1654) Sty. 428; 82 E.R. 834	6-12
Brook v Willet (1793) 2 H. Bl. 224; 126 E.R. 519	2-63
Brookwood Lye, Re Woking, Surrey (No. 1) (1977) 236/D/148	2-03
Brotherton Marsh Pasture, Re West Riding of Yorks (1965) 112 S.J. 48	5-54
Brotherton, Re (1908) 77 L.J. Ch. D. 58	2-02
Brown v Tucker (1610) 4 Leon. 241; 74 E.R. 847	2-39
Broxhead Common, White Hill, Hampshire, Re (1977) 33 P. & C.R. 451	3-72, 3-74
Bruerton v Right (1672) 1 Freem. 51; 89 E.R. 40	1-50
Bryers v Lake (1655) Style 446; 82 E.R. 850	2-39
Buckfastleigh Moor, Re West Buckfastleigh, South Hams D, Devon (1985) 209/D/4006:44	3-92
Buckle v Holmes [1926] 2 K.B. 125	7-02, 7-61
Bucklebury Common, Re Bucklebury Berks (1978) 2/D/8	2-23
Bunch v Kennington (1841) 1 Q.B. 679; 113 E.R. 1291	7-20
Bunn v Channen (1813) 5 Taunt. 244; 128 E.R. 683	3-105, 3-127
Burden v Hannaford [1956] 1 Q.B. 142	8-104
Burgh Marsh, Re Burgh by Sands, Cumbria (1983) 262/U/547	5-55
Burton Heath, Re Bellord v Colyer Unreported May 12, 1983	3-53, A1-11, A1-14
Burton v Winters [1993] 1 W.L.R. 1077	6-09, 6-10, 9-65, 15-40
Cam v Lambert (1866) L.R. 1 Ex. 168	2-19
Cape v Scott (1874) L.R. 9 Q.B. 269	2-33, 6-15, 7-17
Cardiff Rating Authority v Guest Keen Baldwin [1949] 1 K.B. 385	10-03
Carr v Foster (1842) 3 Q.B. 581; 114 E.R. 629	3-101
Carr v Lambert (1866) L.R. Ex. Ch. 168	2-72, 2-74, 4-11
Carril v Baker (1613) 1 Browni. & Golds. 227; 123 E.R. 770	6-02
Carril v Pack and Baker (1613) 2 Bulstr. 115; 80 E.R. 996	5-05, 5-09, 5-12, 6-09, 6-10
Carruthers v Hollis (1838) 8 Ad. & E. 113; 112 E.R. 778	7-69, 7-70
Central Electricity Generating Board v Clwyd CC [1976] 1 W.L.R. 151	2-25, 3-03, 3-101, 4-19
Ceo v Cother (1663) 1 Sid. 106; 82 E.R. 999	5-12
Chapman v Thumblethorp (1584) Cro. Eliz. 329; 78 E.R. 579	7-22
Chapman v UK (2001) 33 E.H.R.R. 18	8-95
Cheeseman v Hardham (1818) 1 B. & Ald. 706; 106 E.R. 260	1-50, 1-51, 2-77
Cheeswring Common, Re St Clear etc., Cornwall (1975) 206/D/4-13	3-92
Cheethain v Hampson (1791) 4 T.R. 318, 100 E.R. 1041	7-74, 8-104
Chesterfield (Lord) v Harris (1908) 2 Ch. 397	2-68
Chesterfield v Fountaine (1895), reported at [1908] 1 Ch. 243	2-19
Chewton Common, Re [1977] 1 W.L.R. 1242	3-46, 3-47, 9-06
Chichly v Anonymous and Others, Commoners within the Manor of, & c. (1658) Hardres 117; 145 E.R. 409	2-75
Chief Supplementary Benefit Officer v Leary [1985] 1 All E.R. 1061	A1-15
Child v Hearn (1874) L.R. 9 Ex. 176	8-111
Chilton v Corp of London (1878) 7 Ch. D. 562	2-36
Chislehurst and St Pauls' Cray Commons, Re Bromley, Greater London (1974) 59/D/9-10	3-51
Church v Inclosure Commissioners (1862) 11 C.B.(N.S.) 644; 142 E.R. 956	2-44
Churchill v Evans (1809) 1 Taunt. 529; 127 E.R. 939	8-104
City of Edinburgh Council v Secretary of State for Scotland [1997] 1 W.L.R. 1447	10-06, 14-115
Clarke v Secretary of State for the Environment (1993) 65 P. & C. R. 85	10-05
Clarke v Tinker (1845) 10 Q.B. 604; 116 E.R. 230	2-29, 2-30, 2-33
Clarkson v Woodhouse (1782) 5 T.R. 412, n. (a); 101 E.R. 231	2-40, 2-41
Clayton v Corby (1843) 5 Q.B. 415; 114 E.R. 1306	2-42, 2-45, 3-120, 6-05
Clement v Milner (1800) 3 Esp. 95; 170 E.R. 550	7-23
Clover v Lane (1789) 3 T.R. 445; 100 E.R. 669	5-01, 5-40
Clune v Clare County Council (1972) 114 I.L.T. 58	7-77
Coaker v Willcocks [1911] 1 K.B. 649; affirmed in part [1911] 2 K.B. 124 CA	7-02, 7-23, 8-11, 8-105
Cock Moor, Re Brompton-by-Sawdon, N Yorks (No. 2) (1977) 268/D/171-175	2-48
Coggs v Barnard (1703) 2 Ld. Raym. 909; 92 E.R. 107	7-77

Colaton Raleigh Common, Re Colaton Raleigh, Devon (1974) 9/D/1	3-92
Cole v Davies-Gilbert [2007] EWCA Civ 396	15-31
Cole v Foxman (1618) Noy 30; 74 E.R. 1000	2-70
Collin v Duke of Westminster [1985] Q.B. 581	9-65
Collis v Amphlett (1917) 62 Sol. Jo. 37; [1918] 1 Ch. 232 CA; reversed [1920] A.C. 271 HL	5-36, 8-38
Commissioners of Sewers v Gellatly (1876) 3 Ch. D 610	6-17
Commissioners of Sewers v Glassé (1874) L.R. 19 Eq. 134	1-42, 2-29, 2-33
Company or Fraternity Free Fishermen of Faversham, Re (1887) 36 Ch.D. 329	2-42
Coo v Cauthorn (1662) 1 Keb. 390; 83 E.R. 1012	5-10
Cooke v Amey Gravel Co Ltd [1972] 1 W.L.R. 1310	A1-06
Cooke v Skinner (1947) 98 L.J. 38	8-106
Cooper v Marshall (1757) 1 Burr 239; 97 E.R. 303	6-09, 6-10
Cooper v Railway Executive [1953] 1 W.L.R. 223	7-10, 8-111
Cooper's Case (1586) 2 Leon. 202; 74 E.R. 478	6-02, 6-04
Coote v Ford (1900) 83 L.T. 482	6-08
Cope v Sharpe (No. 2) [1912] 1 K.B. 496	7-22
Cordingley v Great Western Railway [1948] E.G. Dig. 177	8-121
Corpus Christi College v Gloucestershire CC [1983] Q.B. 360; [1982] 3 All E.R. 995 CA ... i-01, i-02, 1-06, 1-15, 3-17, 3-46, 3-50, 13-20, A1-11, A1-14	
Costard and Wingfield's Case (1588) Godb. 96; 78 E.R. 59	5-40
Cotherstone Moor, Re (1961) E.G. 11	5-54
Countess of Arundel v Steere (1605) Cro. Jac. 25; 79 E.R. 19	2-39
Cowlam v Slack (1812) 15 East. 108; 104 E.R. 785	5-06
Cox v Burbidge (1863) 13 C.B.N.S. 430; 143 E.R. 171	7-06
Cox v Glue, Cox v Mousley (1848) 5 C.B. 333; 136 E.R. 987	2-54, 5-03, 5-16, 6-16
Cressstock Investments Ltd v Commons Commissioner [1992] 1 W.L.R. 1088	3-17, A1-04
Cresswell v Sirl [1948] 1 K.B. 241	7-59
Crogate v Morris (1611) 2 Brownl. & Golds. 146; 123 E.R. 864	6-12
Crogate v Morris (1617) 1 Brownl. & Golds. 197; 123 E.R. 751	6-12
Cronin v Connor (1913) 2 J.R. 119	5-02
Crow v Wood [1971] 1 Q.B. 77	3-74, 3-92, 8-106, 8-107, 8-108
Cumbernauld and Kilsyth District Council v Dollar Land (Cumbernauld) Ltd 1992 S.L.T. 1035	14-43, 14-60
Dalton v Henry Angus & Co (1881) 6 App. Cas. 740	14-52
Dance v Savery [2011] EWHC Civ 1250	1-42, 2-35, 2-67, 6-06
Daniel v Hanslip (1671) 2 Lev. 67; 83 E.R. 452	3-120, 3-127
Davey v Harrow Corp [1958] 1 Q.B. 60	8-110
Davies v Davies [1975] Q.B. 172; [1974] 3 All E.R. 817	7-01, 7-38, 7-90, 7-91
Davies v Du Paver [1953] 1 Q.B. 184	2-59
Davies v Williams (1851) 16 Q.B. 546; 117 E.R. 988	6-14
Davies's Application, Re (1973) 25 P. & C.R. 115	14-30
Davies's Case (1688) 3 Mod. 246; 87 E.R. 161	2-48
Dawson v Midland Rail Co (1872) L.R. 8 Ex. 8	7-77
Deane v Clayton (1817) 7 Taunt. 489; 129 E.R. 196	7-81
Dee Marsh Saltlings, Re Flint (1974) 52/D/3-4; on appeal sub nom. Central Electricity Generating Board v Clwyd CC [1976] 1 W.L.R. 151	A1-10, A1-11
Deeble v Linehan (1860) 12 I.C.L.R. 1	3-81
Dewclas v Kendall (1610) Yelv. 187; 80 E.R. 124	6-01
Dhesi v Chief Constable of West Midlands Police [2000] All E.R. (D) 1172	7-29
Dickins v Hampstead (1729) Fitz-G. 87; 94 E.R. 666	2-51
Dickman v Allan (1690) 2 Vent. 138; 86 E.R. 355	2-63
Dimes v Grand Junction Canal (1852) 3 H.L.Cas. 759	14-114
Dixon v Great Western Rail Co [1897] 1 Q.B. 300	7-77
Dixon v James (1698) 1 Freem. 273; 89 E.R. 195	7-17
Doe d. Douglas v Lock (1835) 2 Ad. & E. 705; 111 E.R. 271	2-48
Doe d. Lowes v Davidson (1813) 2 M. & S. 175; 105 E.R. 348	5-01
Doidge v Carpenter (1817) 6 M. & S. 47; 105 E.R. 1160	3-74
Dovaston v Payne (1795) 2 H.B. 527; 126 E.R. 684	7-30, 7-76, 7-78
Dowglas v Kendall (1610) 1 Bulstr. 93; 80 E.R. 792	2-39
Doyle v Public Trustee (1997) N.P.C. 184	11-06
DPP v Hutchinson [1990] 2 A.C. 783	9-14

Drake v Doyle (1604) Noy 14; 74 E.R. 985	8–113
Drury v Kent (1612) Hob. 36; 80 E.R. 187	3–127
Duberley v Page (1788) 2 T.R. 391; 100 E.R. 211	2–44
Duchess of Norfolk v Wiseman (1496–1497) Y.B. 12 H7 25; 13 H7 13	2–48
Duke of Devonshire v Eglin (1851) 14 Beav. 530; 51 E.R. 389	3–67
Duke of Portland v Hill (1866) L.R. 2 Eq. 765	2–08, 2–44, 2–45, 2–48, 5–11, 6–05, 6–08
E v Secretary of State for the Home Department [2004] Q.B. 1044	14–114
Earl Cowley v Wellesley (1866) 35 Beav. 635; 55 E.R. 1043	5–47
Earl de la Warr v Miles (1881) L.R. 17 Ch.D. 535	1–45, 2–08, 2–35, 2–52
Earl of Abergavenny v Brace (1871–72) L.R. 7 Ex. 145	5–37
Earl of Coventry v Willes (1863) 9 L.T. 384	14–26
Earl of Dunraven v Llewellyn (1850) 15 Q.B. 791; 117 E.R. 657	2–19, 2–23
Earl of Lonsdale v Rigg (1856) 11 Ex. 654; 156 E.R. 992; sub nom. Rigg v Earl of Lonsdale (1857) 1 H. & N. 923; 156 E.R. 1475	2–08, 2–54, 2–56, 2–76, 4–07, 5–16
Earl of Pembroke's Case (1636) Clayt. 47	2–40, 6–05
East Stainmore Regulated Pasture, Re North Moor, Stainmore (1986) 262/U/581	1–49, 3–43
Eaton v Keaton (1967) 17 CSJ No 6; October 14, 1966 Cheltenham CC	16–13
Ecclesiastical Commissioners for England v Griffiths (1876) 40 J.P. 84	2–59
Edgar v Special Commissioner to English Fisheries (1871) 23 Li. 712	2–42
Edwards v Halinder (1594) 2 Leon. 93; 74 E.R. 385	7–05, 7–86
Edwards v Jenkins [1896] 1 Ch. 308	14–26
Edwards v Railway Executive [1952] A.C. 737	8–131, 15–32
Effingham Common, Re etc., Effingham, Surrey (No.1) (1976) 236/D/24–24	3–92
Egerton v Harding [1975] Q.B. 62	8–106, 8–107, 8–108, 8–113
Ellenborough Park, Re [1956] Ch.131	9–02
Ellis v Loftus Iron Co (1874) L.R. 10 C.P. 10	7–04, 8–104, 8–111
Ellis v Roakes (1750) Willes 638; 125 E.R. 1361	7–19
Emerton v Selby (1704) 1 Salk. 169; 91 E.R. 156	2–72, 2–74
Erskine v Adeane (1873) 8 Ch.App. 756	8–113
Eustace v Ayre (1947) 14 L.J.N.C.C.R. 106	7–61
Evans v Jones [1955] 2 Q.B. 58	8–104
Evans v Merthyr Tydfil UDC [1898] 1 Ch. 241	11–08
Ewart v Graham (1859) 7 H.L.C. 330; 11 E.R. 132	2–48
Eype Down, Symondsbury, Re Dorset (No. 2) (1976) 210/D/115–1 18	2–48
Fahey v Dwyer (1879) 4 L.R. Ir. 271	3–81
Farmor v Hunt (1611) Cro. Jac. 271; 79 E.R. 233	6–09, 7–69
Fawcett v Strickland (1737) Willes 57; 125 E.R. 1054	2–41, 5–46
Fettyplace v Bates (1624) Benl. 143; 73 E.R. 999	2–23
Field v Adarnes (1840) 12 Ad. & E. 649; 113 E.R. 960	7–20
Fielding v Wren (1559) Cary 46, 21 E.R. 25	2–64
Firth v Bowring Iron Co (1878) 3 C.P.D. 254	7–77
Fisher v Winch [1939] 1 K.B. 666	8–110
Fitch v Fitch (1797) 2 Esp. 543; 170 E.R. 449	13–02, 14–22, 14–36, 15–08, 15–11, 15–18
Fitch v Rawling (1795) 2 Hy. Bl. 393; 126 E.R. 614	13–02, 14–26, 14–37
Fitzgerald v Firbank [1897] 2 Ch. 96	6–16
Flack v Hudson [2001] Q.B. 698	7–45
Fletcher v Rylands (1866) L.R. 1 Ex. 265; affirmed sub nom. Rylands v Fletcher (1868) L.R. 3 H.L. 330	7–30, 7–82, 7–83, 7–84, 7–85, 8–104
Florden Common, Re Norfolk (1974) 25/11(1142–146.)	2–48
Flynn v Harte [1913] 2 J.R. 322	3–81
Folkard v Hemmett (1776) 5 T.R. 417; 101 E.R. 234	5–47
Follett v Troake (1705) 2 Ld.Raym 1186; 92 E.R. 284	2–20, 2–75, 7–17, 7–68
Forbes v Ecclesiastical Commissioners for England (1872) L.R. 15 Eq. 51	10–09, 13–02
Forebridge Green Common, Re Unreported (1957)	5–54
Frogley v The Earl of Lovelace (1859) John. 333; 70 E.R. 450	3–67
Fulcher v Scales (1738) 1 Sel. N.P. (13th ed.) 389	7–17
G & K Ladenbau (UK) Ltd v Crawley & de Reya [1978] 1 W.L.R. 266	i–02, 3–17
Gardner v Hodgson's Kingston Brewery Co Ltd [1903] A.C. 229	14–42
Gargrave v Gargrave (1610) 2 Brownl. 52; 123 E.R. 810	3–124
Garnett v Pratt [1926] 1 Ch. 897	8–104
Gatacre Green, Re Gatacre, Merseyside (1980) 89/D/1	13–14
Gautret v Egerton (1867) L.R. 2 C.P. 371	15–27, 15–30

Gayler and Pope Ltd v Davies (1924) 2 K.B. 75	7-30
Geo v Cother (1663) 1 Sid. 106; 82 E.R. 999	5-09, 5-12
Gething v Morgan (1857) 29 L.T.O.S. 106	7-42
Gibson v Smith (1741) 2 Atk. 182; 26 E.R. 514	2-54
Gill v Dickinson (1880) 5 Q.B.D. 159	5-10
Glanville v Sutton (1928) 1 K.B. 571	7-42
Gleaston Green, Re Aldingham (20/D/3) July 20, 1972	14-14
Godwin v Schweppes Ltd [1902] 1 Ch. 926	3-105
Golding v Stocking (1869) L.R. 4 Q.B. 516	7-90
Goodman v Mayor and Corp of Saltash (1882) 7 App.Cas. 633	2-42
Goodwyn v Cheveley (1859) 4 H. & N. 631; 157 E.R. 989	7-05, 7-22, 7-30, 7-31, 7-33
Graham v Philcox [1984] Q.B. 747	3-74
Great Portland Estates v Westminster CC [1984] 3 W.L.R. 1035	10-06
Greathead v Morley (1841) 3 Scott N.R. 538, 60 R.R. 479	6-08
Greenhalgh v British Railways Board [1969] 2 Q.B. 286	8-130, 15-28, 15-29, 15-30
Greenhow v Ilsley (1746) Willes 619; 125 E.R. 1351	5-02, 5-09
Greenwich LBC v Secretary of State for the Environment, sub nom Yates v Secretary of State for the Environment [1993] Env. L.R. 344	11-04
Gresill v Hoddesden (1608) Yelv. 143; 80 E.R. 96	5-11
Groucott v Williams (1863) 32 L.J. Q.B. 237	8-104
Gullett v Lopes (1811) 13 East 348; 104 E.R. 404	2-30
Gunwalloe Church Cove Beach, Re Cornwall (No. 2) (1978) 206/D/480	2-43
Hadesden v Gryssel (1607) Cro.Jac. 195; 79 E.R. 170	5-12, 6-09
Hadwell v Righton [1907] 2 K.B. 345	7-06, 7-30
Hailing Common, Re Hailing, Kent (1973) 19/D/13	A1-10
Hall v Beckenham Corp [1949] 1 K.B. 716	14-49
Hall v Byron (1877) 4 Ch. D. 667	2-60, 5-09, 5-10
Hall v Harding (1769) 4 Burr. 2426; 98 E.R. 271	7-17, 7-19
Hall v Moore [2009] EWCA Civ 201	6-15, 8-100, 12-01
Hall v Nottingham (1875) 1 Ex. D. I	13-02, 14-37
Hambledon RDC v Hinde (1968) 19 P. & C.R. 212	16-13
Hambleton's Case (1627) Litt. 38; 124 E.R. 125	6-10
Hamel Down and part of Bonehill Down, Re Widecombe-in-the-Moor, Devon (1985) 209/D/419-420	3-92
Hammerton v Honey (1876) 24 W.R. 603	13-01, 14-26
Hampshire County Council v Milburn [1991] 1 A.C. 325; [1990] 2 All E.R. 257	3-22, 3-46, 3-47, 9-06
Hanbury v Jenkins [1901] 2 Ch. 401	2-22, 6-03
Hanlin v O'Sullivan [1954] S.A.L.R. 286	7-55
Hanna v Pollock [1900] 2 J.R. 664	3-81
Hanning v Top Deck Travel Group Ltd (1994) 68 P. & C.R. 14	i-15, 9-28, 9-29
Hardown Hill, Re	3-53
Hardy v Hollyday (1792) cited at 4 T.R. 718; 100 E.R. 1264	1-49
Harris v Earl of Chesterfield [1911] A.C. 623	2-42
Harrison v M'Culvey (1840) 2 Cr. & Dix. I	7-77
Harrop v Hirst (1868) L.R. 4 Ex. 43	6-12
Hartley v Harriman (1818) 1 B. & Ald 620, 106 E.R. 228	7-42
Hawken v Shearer (1887) 56 L.J. Q.B. 284	8-104
Hayes v Bridges and Guess (1795) Ridg.L. & S. 390	2-19
Hayward v Cunningham (1666) 1 Lev. 231; 83 E.R. 383	2-23, 2-45, 6-05, 3-120
Heath v Deane [1905] 2 Ch. 86	2-44
Heath v Elliott (1838) 7 L.J.C.P. 210; 4 Bing.N.C. 388; 132 E.R. 836	2-29, 2-31, 2-33
Heath's Garage Ltd v Hodges [1916] 2 K.B. 370	7-06, 7-91
Hedges Wood Common, Great Gaddesden, Herts (No.1) (1973) 16/D/24	3-90
Hereford & Worcester County Council v Pick (1995) 71 P. & C.R. 231	9-28
Hesketh v Willis Cruisers (1968) 19 P. & C.R. 573	3-105
Hetherington v Vane (1821) 4 B. & Ald. 428; 106 E.R. 993	2-29
Hewlins v Shippam (1826) 5 B. & C. 221; 108 E.R. 82	3-67
Heydon and Smith's Case (1610) 13 Co.Rep. 67; 77 E.R. 1476	2-37
Higgins v Searle (1909) 100 L.T. 280	7-06
Hill v Ellard (1663) 1 Lev. 141; 83 E.R. 338; sub nom. Ellard v Hill (16640 1 Sid. 226; 82 E.R. 1072	3-127

Hilton v Ankesson (1872) 27 L.J.(N.S.) 519	8-120
Hilton v Granville (Earl) (1845) 5 Q.B. 701; 114 E.R. 1414	5-10
Hoare v Metropolitan Board of Works (1874) L.R. 9 Q.B. 296	8-27
Hobson v Todd (1790) 4 T.R. 71; 100 E.R. 900	6-12, 6-15, 6-16, 7-15
Hockley v Lamb (1698) 1 Ld.Raym. 726; 91 E.R. 1384	2-72
Holbach v Warner (1622) Cro. fac. 665; 79 E.R. 576	7-75
Holden v White [1982] 1 Q.B. 679	15-28, 15-30
Holford v Bailey (1850) 13 Q.B. 426; 116 E.R. 1325	6-16
Holgate v Bleazard [1917] 1 K.B. 443	7-32
Hollinshead v Walton (1806) 7 East. 485; 103 E.R. 188	2-29
Hope v Osborne [1913] 2 Ch. 349	5-08, 6-09, 6-10, 6-14
Hopkins v Robinson (1671) 2 Lev. 2; 83 E.R. 424	2-54
Hopkins v Robinson (1671) 1 Mod. 74; 86 E.R. 742	5-01, 5-16
Horwood v Goodall (1872) 36 J.P. 486	7-90
Hoskins v Robins (1671) 2 Wms. Saund. 324; 85 E.R. 1123	1-47, 2-08, 2-54, 2-74, 2-76, 3-82, 6-07, 7-17
How v Strode (1765) 2 Wils. K.B. 269; 95 E.R. 804	1-49, 1-51
Howard v Maitland (1883) 11 Q.B.D. 695	6-17
Howard v Spencer (1640) 1 Sid.251; 82 ER. 1088	6-02
Hughes v Games (1726) Sel. Cas. Temp. King 62; 25 E.R. 224	5-47
Hunt v Shanks [1918] S.A.L.R. 254	7-86
Hunter Douglas Australia Pty v Perma Blinds (1970) 44 A.L.J.R. 257	13-18
Hunstham Hill and the Old Quarry both in Goodrich, Re Hereford (1973) 15/D/1-3, 6	3-51
Ilamerton v Eastoff (1635) Clayt. 38	5-40
Ilkley and Burley Moors, Re (1983) 47 P. & C.R. 324	A1-14
Incedon v Burges (1689) Carth. 65; 90 E.R. 642	2-45, 6-05
International Tea Stores Co v Hobbs [1903] 2 Ch. 165	3-74
Ireshope Moor, Re Stanhope, Durham (1974) 1 I/U/19	5-55
Invatt v Mann (1842) 3 Man. & G. 691; 133 E.R. 1318; subsequent proceedings 3 Man. & C. 702, n. (a); 133 E.R. 1322	2-64, 2-75
Iveson v Moore (1699) 1 Salk. 15; 91 E.R. 16	8-114
JA Pye (Oxford) Ltd v Graham [2002] UKHL 30	5-32
Jaggard v Dickinson [1981] Q.B. 527	7-93
John of Sudford's Case (1329), cited at 2 Browni 287; 123 E.R. 946; 8 Co.Rep. l25h; 77 E.R. 664	2-63
Johnson v Barnes (1872) L.R. 7 C.P. 592	1-08, 1-49, 3-82
Jones v Jones (1862) 1 H. & C. 1; 158 E.R. 777	6-14
Jones v Lee (1912) 106 L.T. 123	8-113
Jones v Price [1965] 2 Q.B. 618	8-106, 8-107, 8-118, 8-120, 8-121, 8-122
Jones v Richard (1836) 5 Ad.& E. 413; 111 E.R. 1222	2-59
Jones v Richard (1837) 6 Ad.& E. 530; 112 E.R. 203	2-59, 3-91, 5-04, 6-04
Jones v Robin (1845) 10 Q.B. 581; 116 E.R. 221	2-31, 2-33
Jones v Robin (1847) 10 Q.B. 620; 116 E.R. 235	2-31, 2-33, 7-75
Jordin v Crump (1841) 8 M. & W. 782; 151 E.R. 1256	7-81
Kentick v Pargiter (1608) Cro. Jac. 208; 79 E.R. 181	7-17
Kentick v Pargiter (1608) Yelv. 129; 80 E.R. 87	5-05
Ketley v Gooden (1997) 73 P. & C.R. 305	15-40
Kilgour v Gaddes [1904] 1 KB 457	3-81, 8-108
Kimp v Cruwes (1695) 2 Lutw. 1578; 125 E.R. 868	7-05, 7-86
Kimpton's Case (1587) Gouldb. 53; 75 E.R. 990	4-08
King v Brown, Durant and Co [1913] 2 Ch. 416	6-12, 6-15, 6-16
King v Rose (1673) 3 Keb. 228; 84 E.R. 691	7-71
Kirby v Sadgrove (1797) 1 Bos. & Pul. 13; 126 E.R. 751	5-01, 5-08, 6-09, 6-10
Knocker's Hole Common, Re Puncknowle, Dorset (1972) 10/D/4	3-51
Knowles v Blake (1829) 5 Bing. 499; 113 E.R. 1291	7-22, 7-23
L'Evesque De Oxford's Case (1621) Palm. 174; 81 E.R. 1032	2-51
Lady Dunsany v Bedworth [1979] 38 P. & C.R. 546	3-68
Lady Wentworth v Clay (1676) Rep. Temp. Finch 263; 23 E.R. 144	5-47
Lancashire v Hunt, Lancashire v Maynard and Hunt (1894) 10 T.L.R. 310 ... 13-02, 14-37, 14-38	
Land at Freshfields, Re (1993) 66 P. & C.R. 9	3-17, A1-04
Land at The Gurnick, Re Tredinnick, Cornwall	A1-11

Land to the north of Pipers Green, Re Brockley Hill, Stanmore, Greater London (1974) 59/D/7	3–53
Lane v Capsey (1891) 3 Ch. 411	6–14
Lascelles v Lord Onslow (1877) 2 Q.B.D. 433	5–40
Lasham Parish Meeting v Hampshire CC (1993) 65 P. & C.R. 331	14–94
Lawrence v Jenkins (1873) L.R. Q.B. 274	7–77, 7–82, 7–84, 8–121
Lawrence v King (1868) L.R. 3 Q.B. 345	7–90
Lay v Norfolk County Council [1997] R.V.R. 9	11–07
League Against Cruel Sports v Scott [1986] Q.B. 240	7–51, 7–86
Leeds Group Plc v Leeds City Council [2010] EWHC 810 (Ch) 14–25, 14–26, 14–28, 14–30, 14–34	
Leeds Group Plc v Leeds City Council [2011] 2 W.L.R. 1010	14–23, 14–33, 14–39
Leniel v Harslop (1669) 3 Keb. 66; 84 E.R. 597	2–75, 3–127
Lewis v Mid Glamorgan CC [1995] 1 W.L.R. 313	11–09, 16–11
Liford's Case (1614) 11 Co. Rep. 46b; 77 E.R. 1206	6–03
Lindon v Hooper (1776) 1 Cowp. 414; 98 E.R. 1160	7–23
Ling's Application, Re (1957) 7 P. & C.R. 233	14–30
Lloyd v Earl of Powys(1855) 4 El. & Bl. 485; 119 E.R. 177; 24 L.J. Q.B. 145	5–06
Lodsworth Common Lodsworth, Re W Sussex (1976) 238/D/8–11	2–23
London & Blenheim Estates Ltd v Ladbrooke Retail Parks Ltd [1992] 1 W.L.R. 1278; on appeal [1994] 1 W.L.R. 31	4–05
London and North-Western Railway Co v Fobbing Levels Sewers Commissioners (1897) 75 L.T. 629	8–106
London Borough of Bromley v Morritt (2000) 79 P. & C.R. 536	5–33
Long v Gowlett [1923] 2 Ch. 177	3–73
Longton Out Marsh, Re Little Hoole and Longton, Lanes (1974) 20/U/81	3–42, 5–55
Lonsdale (Earl of) v Rigg (1856) 11 Ex. 654; 156 E.R. 992	5–16
Lord Chesterfield v Harris [1908] 2 Ch. 397; affirmed [1911] A.C. 623 ... 2–08, 2–41, 2–71, 3–82	2–51
Lord Fitzhardinge v Pritchett (1867) L.R. 2 Q.B. 135	2–42, 2–48
Lord Fitzhardinge v Purcell [1908] 2 Ch. 139	2–42
Lord Fitzwalter's Case (1674) 1 Mod. 105; 86 E.R. 766	2–42
Lord Mountjoy's Case (1583) 1 And. 307; 123 E.R. 488	2–43
Lord Northwick v Stanway (1803) 3 Bos.&Pul. 346; 127 E.R. 189	5–47
Lovell v Smith (1857) 3 C.B.(N.S.) 120; 140 E.R. 685	4–03, 5–48
Lovett v Fairclough (1991) 61 P. & C.R. 385	3–82
Low Common, Re Thornham, West Norfolk D., Norfolk (1979) 25/D/112–125	2–42
Lowe v JW Ashmore Ltd [1971] Ch. 545	2–03
Lumley Moor Reservoir, Re N Yorks (Nos. 1 and 2) (1974) 45/D/12–13	2–48
Luscombe v Great Western Railway Co [1899] 2 Q.B. 313	7–77
Lustleigh Cleave, Re Lustleigh, Devon (No. 1) (1978) 209/D/114–130	2–48
Luttrell's Case (1601) 4 Co.Rep. 86a; 76 E.R. 1065	2–39
M'Gibbon v M'Curry (1909) 43 I.L.T. 132	7–84
M'Morrow v Layden [1919] 2 I.R. 398	8–104
Macnab v Richardson [2008] EWCA Civ 1631	9–65
Malcomson v O'Dea (1862–1863) 10 H.L.C. 593; 11 E.R. 1155	2–42
Malvern Hills Conservators v Whitmore (1909) 100 L.T. 841	5–09, 5–10, 6–16
Manneton v Trevilian (1683) 2 Show. K.B. 328; 89 E.R. 969	6–04
Manning v Wasdale (1836) 5 Ad. & E. 758; 111 E.R. 1353	2–03
Marlton v Turner [1998] 3 E.G.L.R. 185	8–104
Marris' Case (1612) Godb. 185; 78 E.R. 112	7–17
Mason v Csar (1676) 2 Mod. 65; 86 E.R. 944	6–10
Mason v Clarke [1954] 1 Q.B. 460; [1955] A.C. 778	2–48, 2–49, 3–67, 3–68, 5–11
Mason v Shrewsbury and Hereford Railway Co (1871) L.R. 6 Q.B. 578	6–03
Massey v Boulden [2003] 1 W.L.R. 1792	9–28, 14–12, 15–14
Maxwell v Martin (1830) 6 Bing. 522; 130 E.R. 1382	2–41, 2–44, 2–46
McGeown v Northern Ireland Housing Executive [1995] 1 A.C. 233	15–28
McKay v City of London Corporation (1966) 17 P. & C.R. 264	11–07
McLaren v Kubiak [2007] EWHC 1065 (Ch)	14–118, 14–119, A1–05
McManus v Cooke (1887) L.R. 35 Ch. D. 681	3–67
Medicaments and Related Classes of Goods (No 2), Re [2001] 1 W.L.R. 700	14–114
Medstead Village Green, Medstead, Hants (1979) 214/D/1 13	14–12
Mellor v Spateman (1668) 1 Wms. Saund. 343; 85 E.R. 495	2–77, 7–17, 7–19, 8–114