

Springer Texts in Business and Economics

Sibabrata Das
Alex Mourmouras
Peter C. Rangazas

Economic Growth and Development

A Dynamic Dual Economy Approach



 Springer

Sibabrata Das · Alex Mourmouras
Peter C. Rangazas

Economic Growth and Development

A Dynamic Dual Economy Approach

Sibabrata Das
International Monetary Fund
Washington, DC
USA

Alex Mourmouras
Asia and Pacific Department
International Monetary Fund
Washington, DC
USA

Peter C. Rangazas
Indiana University-Purdue University
Indianapolis (IUPUI)
Indianapolis, IN
USA

Additional material to this book can be downloaded from <http://extras.springer.com>.

ISSN 2192-4333 ISSN 2192-4341 (elctronic)
Springer Texts in Business and Economics
ISBN 978-3-319-14264-7 ISBN 978-3-319-14265-4 (eBook)
DOI 10.1007/978-3-319-14265-4

Library of Congress Control Number: 2014958888

Springer Cham Heidelberg New York Dordrecht London

© Springer International Publishing Switzerland 2015

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, express or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made.

Printed on acid-free paper

Springer International Publishing AG Switzerland is part of Springer Science+Business Media
(www.springer.com)

Springer Texts in Business and Economics

More information about this series at <http://www.springer.com/series/10099>

Contents

1 Overview	1
1.1 Why a Two-Sector Approach	2
1.2 Early Theory	4
1.3 Outline	6
1.4 Stylized Facts Associated with Economic Development	7
1.5 Policies Examined	7
References	8
 Part I Introduction to Economic Growth	
2 Neoclassical Growth Theory	11
2.1 Firms, Production, and the Demand for Capital	12
2.2 Household Saving and the Supply of Capital	15
2.2.1 The Supply of Labor and Capital	16
2.2.2 Household Saving	17
2.2.3 Supply of Capital Per Worker	18
2.3 Competitive Equilibrium in a Growing Economy	19
2.3.1 Steady-State Growth—Technical Progress	22
2.4 Intergenerational Transfers	24
2.4.1 Altruism*	26
2.4.2 Explicit Household-Level Solutions in Some Special Cases*	28
2.4.3 Warm Glow	32
2.5 Quantitative Theory	34
2.6 Related Literature	42
2.7 Exercises	43
Appendix*	47
References	49

3	Extensions to Neoclassical Growth Theory	51
3.1	A Theory of Income Differences	52
3.1.1	Households	52
3.1.2	Firms	57
3.1.3	Capital Market Equilibrium	58
3.1.4	Government	59
3.1.5	Steady-State Equilibria	61
3.2	Cross-Country Income Differences	61
3.3	Poverty Traps: A Closer Look	65
3.4	International Financial Institutions and Foreign Aid	67
3.4.1	Conditionality and Ownership	68
3.4.2	Summary	69
3.5	Foreign Aid and Policy Experiments	70
3.5.1	Unconditional Aid—Budget Support	70
3.5.2	Opening the Economy	72
3.5.3	Eliminating the Poverty Trap	75
3.5.4	Fiscal Policy Reform	78
3.6	The Aid Cost of Reform	79
3.7	Aid Failures	80
3.7.1	Unconditional Aid Is Not Growth-Promoting	81
3.7.2	Domestic Conflict Over Growth Policies	81
3.7.3	Prohibitive Aid Cost	81
3.8	Humanitarian Aid	82
3.9	Conclusion	82
3.10	Related Literature	83
3.11	Exercises	83
	Appendix*	85
	References	87
4	Two-sector Growth Models	91
4.1	From Stagnation to Growth	91
4.1.1	A Traditional Economy	93
4.1.2	Onset of Modern Growth	95
4.2	The Structural Transformation of a Two-sector Economy	97
4.2.1	Labor Market Equilibrium	98
4.2.2	Land Market Equilibrium	99
4.2.3	Steady-state Equilibrium	99
4.2.4	Extensions to Human Capital and Fertility	101
4.3	Two Sectors and Two Goods	102
4.3.1	Labor Market Equilibrium	102
4.3.2	Goods Market Equilibrium	103
4.3.3	Land Market Equilibrium	104
4.3.4	Transition Equation for Capital	104

4.3.5	Steady-state Equilibrium	105
4.3.6	Transitional Dynamics*	106
4.3.7	International Trade	109
4.3.8	Transitional Dynamics in an Open Economy*	111
4.4	Declining Budget Shares Spent on Food	112
4.5	Conclusion	116
4.6	Exercises	117
	References	119

Part II Dual Economies

5	Wage and Fertility Gaps in Dual Economies	123
5.1	Wage and Fertility Gaps	123
5.2	Perfectly Competitive Markets in the Traditional Sector	126
5.2.1	Urban Unemployment	126
5.2.2	Human Capital Gaps	127
5.2.3	Unmeasured Home Production	128
5.2.4	Taxes, Fees, and Migration Costs	128
5.2.5	Summary	129
5.3	Missing Land Markets in the Traditional Sector	129
5.3.1	Modern Sector	131
5.3.2	Traditional Sector	133
5.3.3	Equilibrium	136
5.3.4	Summary	138
5.4	Missing Labor Markets in the Traditional Sector*	138
5.4.1	Endogenous Work	139
5.4.2	Schooling and Fertility	140
5.4.3	Summary	141
5.5	The Forces that Bind Us: Missing Markets and Labor Mobility	142
5.5.1	Missing Land Markets in Historical Development	142
5.5.2	Missing Land Markets in Cities of Currently Developing Countries	143
5.5.3	Missing Credit and Insurance Markets in Current Development	144
5.6	Asian Growth Miracles	145
5.6.1	Asia and Africa	146
5.7	Productivity Gaps: Measurement and Interpretation	147
5.7.1	Average Product of Labor	148
5.7.2	Hours Gap	148
5.7.3	Human Capital Gap	149

5.7.4	Average and Marginal Products of Human Capital	150
5.7.5	The Structural Transformation, Growth, and Economic Efficiency.	151
5.8	Rising Fertility in Early Development.	152
5.9	One-Child Policy	153
5.10	Conclusion	155
5.11	Exercises.	155
	References	157
6	Physical Capital in Dual Economies	161
6.1	Farmer-owned Land I—Wages Gap in US History	163
6.1.1	Modern Sector.	164
6.1.2	Traditional Sector.	164
6.1.3	Households	165
6.1.4	Equilibrium	168
6.1.5	Labor Productivity	171
6.2	Farmer-owned Land II—Deindustrialization in the Ottoman Empire*	174
6.2.1	The Model	175
6.2.2	Migrants	176
6.2.3	Craftsmen	177
6.2.4	Farmers.	178
6.2.5	Labor Market Equilibrium.	178
6.2.6	Capital Market Equilibrium	179
6.2.7	The Urban/Manufacturing Share and Aggregate Output.	180
6.2.8	Calibration	181
6.2.9	Counterfactual Simulation	182
6.3	Other Theories of Trade and Growth	184
6.3.1	Reduced Incentives for Human Capital Investment	184
6.3.2	Efficiency Advantages and External Effects from Urbanization	185
6.3.3	Power of Anti-growth Landowners.	186
6.3.4	Volatility and Growth.	186
6.4	Large Landowners—Growth and Endogenous Fiscal Policy. . . .	187
6.4.1	Households	188
6.4.2	Open Economy	189
6.4.3	Government Policy.	189
6.5	Conclusion	192
6.6	Exercises.	193
	Appendix*	195
	References	196

7 A Complete Dual Economy	199
7.1 The Dual Economy	201
7.1.1 Production Technologies and Factor Prices	201
7.1.2 Household Behavior	202
7.1.3 Labor Market Equilibrium and Locational Choice	204
7.1.4 Capital Market Equilibrium	207
7.2 Transitional Growth in the Long Run	208
7.2.1 Calibration and Numerical Analysis	209
7.3 Great Waves of Growth	213
7.4 South Korea: A Development Success Story	215
7.4.1 The Onset of Growth	216
7.4.2 Growth Acceleration	216
7.4.3 Lessons	217
7.4.4 Challenges	218
7.5 Human Capital Extensions	220
7.5.1 Goods Inputs	221
7.5.2 Community Externalities	221
7.5.3 Health Investments	222
7.6 Convergence Revisited	222
7.7 Politics and Growth	225
7.7.1 Natural Resources and the Politics Trap	226
7.8 Conclusion	227
7.9 Exercises	227
References	228
8 Urbanization	231
8.1 Urban Bias	234
8.1.1 Production	234
8.1.2 Households	236
8.1.3 Demographics	238
8.1.4 Migration in Equilibrium	238
8.1.5 Government	239
8.1.6 Efficient Urban Bias	239
8.2 Growth and Urbanization	241
8.2.1 Urbanization with Balanced Growth	241
8.2.2 Urbanization Without Balanced Growth	242
8.2.3 Redistributive Urban Bias	244
8.3 Extensions*	244
8.3.1 Government Transfers	244
8.3.2 Endogenous Taxation	247
8.3.3 Impure Public Goods	248
8.4 City Size and Development	250

8.5	Urbanization Today: New Mechanisms and Consequences.	252
8.5.1	Consumption Cities: Urbanization Without Industrialization	252
8.5.2	Mushroom Cities: The Traditional Sector Grows in the City.	253
8.5.3	Urbanization Without Growth Revisited	253
8.5.4	Slums	254
8.6	Hukou	255
8.7	De-urbanization: Past and Present.	256
8.8	Conclusion	257
8.9	Exercises.	258
	References	259
9	Conclusion.	261
9.1	The Onset of Growth	261
9.1.1	The Appearance of a Modern Sector.	261
9.1.2	Poverty Traps and Schooling	262
9.2	The Nature of Modern Growth.	263
9.3	Policy Implications.	264
9.3.1	Domestic Growth Policy	264
9.3.2	International Trade, Capital Mobility, and Foreign Aid.	265
9.4	Ideas for Future Research	266
	Index	269

Among the most enduring questions in economics are those related to growth and development. Since 1776, when Adam Smith published *An Inquiry into the Nature and Causes of the Wealth of Nations*, economists have been assessing the factors determining a nation's standard of living and rate of economic progress. More than two centuries of research have improved our understanding of the roles of investments in physical and human capital, advancing technologies, openness, and sound institutions in transforming relatively poor economies into economic powerhouses. This book is an introduction to some of the newer features of growth theory that were developed after 1950. We show how the theory can be blended with historical data and case studies to think about the sources of economic prosperity.

Our coverage is selective and the book is no means a broad survey. We concentrate on transitional growth from a two-sector perspective. Most economists believe that this is the right approach for studying early growth. However, we also believe that the importance of transitional growth in explaining the complete growth experience of countries over very long periods of time has been underestimated. One of the primary objectives of the book is to make a case for transitional growth and its implications. For these reasons, we do not cover *endogenous growth theory*, which is an attempt to explain long-run technological change. For those who have an interest in endogenous growth theory, Aghion and Howitt (2008) is an excellent text for advanced undergraduates and beginning graduate students that discusses the topic in detail.

We begin with a single, relatively simple, theoretical framework that extends the Solow model to include endogenous theories of saving, fertility, human capital, and policy formation. The analysis is then expanded to include two sectors of production. We study the structural transformation of developing economies as they shift from traditional production in largely rural areas to modern production in largely urban areas during the early take-off period of modern growth. The two-sector growth model is used to explain the commonly observed differences in saving, worker productivity, and fertility across rural and urban sectors. We examine the effects of policies that reallocate resources across these sectors, such as taxation, migration restrictions, international trade, and the urban bias in the provision

of public services. How policies affect the pace of the structural transformation is a critical feature of development as it plays an important causal role in determining an economy's aggregate growth rate.

The extensions to the standard one-sector growth model mentioned above add significant complexity to the analysis. We maintain tractability by using specific functional forms that make the main points more transparent. The use of specific functional forms also allows us to calibrate the models and assess the quantitative importance of various sources and mechanisms of growth. We believe this approach makes the book suitable for advanced undergraduates, beginning graduate students, and policy makers specializing in the macroeconomic analysis of development. The mathematics used in most of the text requires only undergraduate calculus and an exposure to optimization theory that can be found in intermediate microeconomics or an undergraduate mathematics-for-economist course. The more technical material is contained in starred sections and in the appendices at the end of chapters. End-of-chapters *Questions* are provided that help further focus the main points for less advanced students, and *Problems* are provided for more advanced students who want to work on model-building skills.

1.1 Why a Two-Sector Approach

Given the predominance of one-sector models in growth theory, it is natural to ask whether a two-sector model is needed. As mentioned, many economists believe accounting for two sectors is essential, especially for understanding the early stages of development. Lewis (1954) noted that developing economies exhibit a *dualism*, where two economies with fundamentally different structures operate within a single country. One economy operates in a *traditional* sector using elementary production technologies that rely heavily on raw labor, natural resources, and land. The other economy operates in a *modern* sector using advanced technologies that rely heavily on skilled labor and physical capital. The precise interpretation of the two sectors is left open and depends on the particular application of the framework.

In some applications, the traditional sector is thought of as rural in location and the goods produced are assumed to be agricultural. The modern sector is urban in location and produces manufacturing goods. In other applications, the same goods are produced in each sector but using different production technologies and inputs. For example, agricultural products can be produced using traditional or modern methods. As the economy evolves, the traditional ways of producing disappear, but not the products themselves.

The existence and effectiveness of markets also may differ across the two sectors as the markets for labor and capital in the modern sector will generally be more developed than the markets for labor and land in the traditional sector. Under this interpretation, the decline in the traditional sector represents the spread of markets for labor and land (as land is enhanced and developed, essentially becoming part of the reproducible capital stock).

In most developing countries, these different interpretations of the two-sector framework strongly overlap. The traditional sector is predominately rural and agricultural and is operated without much reliance on formal markets for labor and land. In the early stages of growth, the traditional sector is very large, and this is where the dual economy approach has the greatest potential to improve our understanding of development.

When the two sectors are given an explicit geographic interpretation, the households living and producing in the two locations may differ in their behavior because of differences in their economic environments and their initial conditions. Household behavior that may differ across sectors includes saving, work effort, human capital investment, and fertility—all of which relate to aggregate economic growth in important ways.

For example, Lewis thought that a dual approach was necessary to explain how saving rates and capital accumulation increased over the course of development. He conjectured that the income of capital owners in the modern sector would rise relative to incomes of workers and land owners as “surplus” labor from the traditional sector is pulled into the modern sector with little upward pressure on wages. Lewis believed that the relative expansion of capital income was important for growth because capital owners were viewed as saving a larger fraction of their income than land owners and workers. Thus, growth was accelerated by an increase in the economy’s saving rate as the modern sector expanded and the traditional sector contracted.

Carter et al. (2003) argue saving rates expand with development for a different reason, but one that is also related to the presence of a dual economy. Residual income from inherited farms finances the consumption of the elderly and reduces the need for retirement saving during working years. As the economy goes through the structural transformation away from traditional family farming, the reliance on income from inherited family farms declines and the retirement saving out of earnings rises.

The difference in saving rates across the two sectors is only one possible feature of dual economies that affects aggregate growth. There is now a substantial body of evidence suggesting that there are large gaps in worker productivity across sectors in the early stages of development (Gollin et al. 2002, 2004, 2014). These productivity gaps suggest that labor may be inefficiently allocated and that TFP and aggregate economic growth increase as labor migrates from the low-productivity traditional sector to the high-productivity modern sector.

It is commonly observed that fertility is much higher in the traditional sector than in the modern sector (e.g., Greenwood and Seshadri 2002). This fact suggests that the movement of households from the traditional sector to the modern sector lowers the economy’s fertility rate. A reduction in the economy’s fertility creates another link between the transformation of the dual economy and economic growth. Reductions in population growth allow for greater accumulation in physical capital *per worker*, for a given saving rate.

Years of schooling for children of households in the modern sector are typically higher than those in the traditional sector (Cordoba and Ripoll 2006; Vollrath 2009). There is some suggestion that the “quality” of schooling is also different across the two sectors, as students in the rural schools of developing countries are less well equipped and have fewer days of attendance over the course of a school year (e.g., Banerjee and Duflo 2011, Chap. 4). Thus, growth of the modern sector may increase human capital, yet another important cause of economic growth. Recent theories connect the rise in schooling and the decline in fertility associated with development (Galor 2005, 2011). Some of the theories relate both behaviors to the dual structure of economies (Greenwood and Seshadri 2002; Doepke 2004; Cordoba and Ripoll 2006; Mourmouras and Rangazas 2009a).

A country’s fiscal policy is also affected by its dual structure. Countries with larger traditional sectors have a more difficult time collecting taxes and providing essential public infrastructure to private producers (Mourmouras and Rangazas 2009b). Similar to private capital accumulation, the structural transformation of an economy away from the traditional sector and toward the modern sector may accelerate the growth in productive public capital per worker. There are also many political economy issues associated with how policies are affected by the relative influence of landowners who dominate the traditional sector versus capitalists who dominate the modern sector (e.g., Galor et al. 2009) or rural versus urban households, who may receive different levels of attention from government officials (e.g., Mourmouras and Rangazas 2013).

A final connection between the dual economy and aggregate economic growth focuses on the role of cities in the urban sector (Henderson 2010). There are theories and evidence supporting the idea that producing in larger cities can raise worker productivity through knowledge and information spillovers. These externalities are believed to be positively associated with the population density of the city, at least up to the point where various negative effects of crowding begin to dominate. The premise is that the more people who work in a concentrated area, the greater the flow of ideas and the better the match between employers and employees. Raising the stock of knowledge, and matching skills and tasks more effectively, raises worker productivity in the city. Thus, the concentration of workers in larger cities may increase economic growth apart from the other mechanisms described above.

1.2 Early Theory

The recent literature on two-sector models and the structural transformation relates back to the earlier work on dual economies, although there are substantial differences between the older and more modern approaches. Lewis (1954) and Ranis and Fei (1961) pioneered the analytical treatment of dual economies and the structural transformation. Important assumptions in their analysis included the following: (i) an exogenous institutional wage in the agricultural sector, (ii) the institutional

wage is paid out of the average product of labor (which includes land rents) and (iii) a “surplus” of labor in the agricultural sector with the marginal product of labor in agriculture lower than the marginal product of labor in industry (which equals the institutional wage and average product of labor in agriculture). There are no wage gaps in their models, as the institutional wage also determines the wage paid to labor in industry. In the early stages of development, with most of the labor in traditional agriculture, the marginal product of labor in agriculture was thought to be, not just low, but actually zero. This was Lewis’s extreme interpretation of “surplus” labor.

The empirical relevance of surplus labor, even in the poorest developing countries, was challenged early on by Schultz (1964). He used the natural experiment of an influenza epidemic in India as a test of the idea. The epidemic caused a sharp decline in the agricultural labor supply and total agricultural output fell accordingly—a clear contradiction to the surplus labor assumption. The surplus labor assumption certainly is not relevant to labor scarce countries, such as the USA in the nineteenth century, because migrant workers from other sectors of the economy were typically hired during harvest times.

The assumption of an exogenous institutional wage that determines wages across the economy is also problematic. As mentioned above, not only are there large productivity gaps across sectors, but there are large annual wage gaps as well. Explaining the presence of these large wage gaps has become the main focus of the recent literature on dual economies.

The earlier dual theories were naturally not unified in the sense that models are today. They ignored human capital altogether. The theories did recognize the importance of population growth and physical capital formation for the economic transformation, but these variables were treated exogenously. Schultz (1964) was the first to stress the importance of human capital in causing the movement away from traditional agriculture. Eaton (1987) and Drazen and Eckstein (1988) modeled the interaction between a dual structure and physical capital formation. Galor and Weil (1996, 2000) insisted that fertility and population growth must be given a central role in theories of long-run development. This book incorporates all these features from the recent literature into a dual economy approach.

To see how a unified approach to modeling the dual economy is able to generate connections between key features of development, consider the treatment of implicit claims on land. In the older theories, there were implicit claims on land rents that allowed “wages” to exceed the marginal product of labor in the traditional sector, resulting in an inefficient allocation of labor. The older theories were static models, with no markets for land, and the total output was simply assumed to be split across the workers.

In the newer theories, inefficiencies in the allocation of labor are also linked to land rents. The current generation of landowners desires to bequeath land to the next generation if they are willing and able to maintain the tradition of family farming (a preference that is more likely to be operative when land markets are incomplete). Workers in the traditional sector accept lower wages (which do equal their marginal product) in the traditional sector because if they move off the farm

they lose their claims to land in the future, i.e., they will not inherit the land from their parents or tribal elders. Thus, expectation of future rents from an intergenerational transfer of land creates both wage and productivity gaps across sectors. Moreover, the expectation of future land rents also lowers saving and raises fertility. The observed sector differences in wages, productivity, saving, and fertility are all explained in the same way.

1.3 Outline

We hope this book encourages readers to think about the connections mentioned above and to explore new connections between the structural transformation and economic growth. Chapter 2 presents a standard one-sector model of physical capital accumulation where saving is motivated by the need to finance retirement consumption, based on the seminal work of Diamond (1965). The model is then extended to include intergenerational transfers that take the form of both physical assets and investment in children's human capital. Calibration exercises show that one can explain very little growth in US history unless human capital is included. This result receives further support when we move to a two-sector setting. Chapter 3 extends the one-sector model to include endogenous human capital, fertility, and policy formation. In this chapter, large income differences across countries are explained by a combination of a poverty trap that keeps human capital low and fertility high, and anti-growth fiscal policies. We discuss the limitations of outside aid in addressing both these sources of poverty.

Chapter 4 provides an introduction to a two-sector model with complete markets across the economy. We use the model to examine the origins of modern growth, asset bubbles, how the structural transformation affects physical capital accumulation, the impact of opening the economy to the trade of goods on economic growth and welfare, and how health considerations help explain the relatively constancy of caloric intake and the decline in household budget shares spent on food over the course of development.

Chapters 5, 6, and 7 introduce market imperfections and cultural attitudes that create the dualism observed in many developing countries. The dual structure leads to differences in household behavior and to policy conflicts. Chapter 5 focuses on gaps in worker productivity and fertility. Chapter 6 focuses on how differences in saving behavior and the internal migration of workers affect physical capital accumulation per worker in the modern sector. Chapter 7 combines the material in Chaps. 5 and 6 to provide a complete dynamic analysis of a dual economy. The model is used to simulate transitional growth over two centuries. The simulated growth and predictions about other features of development are compared to real-world data.

Chapter 8 introduces fiscal policy in a two-sector setting to examine the connection between government provision of goods and services and urbanization. We find that it can be efficient for fiscal policy to be "biased" toward the modern sector,