

Tingting Weinreich-Zhao

# Chinese Merger Control Law

An Assessment of its Competition-Policy  
Orientation after the First Years of  
Application



Springer

Tingting Weinreich-Zhao

# Chinese Merger Control Law

An Assessment of its Competition-Policy  
Orientation after the First Years of  
Application



Tingting Weinreich-Zhao  
Munich  
Germany

ISBN 978-3-662-43867-1                    ISBN 978-3-662-43868-8 (eBook)  
DOI 10.1007/978-3-662-43868-8  
Springer Heidelberg New York Dordrecht London

Library of Congress Control Number: 2014956096

© Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2015

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed. Exempted from this legal reservation are brief excerpts in connection with reviews or scholarly analysis or material supplied specifically for the purpose of being entered and executed on a computer system, for exclusive use by the purchaser of the work. Duplication of this publication or parts thereof is permitted only under the provisions of the Copyright Law of the Publisher's location, in its current version, and permission for use must always be obtained from Springer. Permissions for use may be obtained through RightsLink at the Copyright Clearance Center. Violations are liable to prosecution under the respective Copyright Law.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

While the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication, neither the authors nor the editors nor the publisher can accept any legal responsibility for any errors or omissions that may be made. The publisher makes no warranty, express or implied, with respect to the material contained herein.

Printed on acid-free paper

Springer is part of Springer Science+Business Media ([www.springer.com](http://www.springer.com))

# Munich Studies on Innovation and Competition

Volume 2

## Series Editors

Josef Drexl, Germany  
Reto M. Hilty, Germany

More information about this series at  
<http://www.springer.com/series/13275>



# Foreword

This book has been accepted as doctorate thesis by the Faculty of Law of the Ludwig-Maximilians-University Munich in the winter semester 2013/2014. The work displays the development of the Chinese merger control regime and its application until December 2013. All opinions represented herein are my personal opinions only and not the opinions of any company or organisation I am associated with. The creation of this work has been promoted by a scholarship of the Max Planck Institute for Innovation and Competition in Munich, which also provided me with an excellent academic environment for my research.

I would like to thank my academic supervisor Prof. Dr. Josef Drexl, LL.M. (Berkeley), who has supported and encouraged me throughout the process. I also would like to thank Prof. Dr. Michael Lehmann, Dipl.-Kfm., for the fast preparation of the second opinion.

Further, I would like to express my sincere gratitude to Dr. Zhu Zhongliang, LL.M. (Cantab.), and Sun Miao (both Anti-Monopoly Bureau of MOFCOM); Prof. Dr. Wang Xiaoye and Dr. Mao Xiaofei (both Chinese Academy of Social Sciences); Prof. Dr. Rupprecht Podszun (University of Bayreuth); and Dr. Michael Han, LL.M. (Harvard), and Dr. Sabine Stricker-Kellerer, LL.M. (Harvard) (both Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer LLP) for their very instructive and valuable input, which contributed greatly to the success of this undertaking.

Finally, I would like to thank my husband Piet Weinreich for his unconditional support, help, and patience. And most importantly, I would like to thank my parents Hong and Xiaoyu Zhao who always knew how to motivate and support me with their invaluable advice.

Munich, Germany  
May 2014

Tingting Weinreich-Zhao

# Abbreviations

ABA	American Bar Association
ACCC	Australian Competition and Consumer Commission
AG	Aktiengesellschaft
AMB	Anti-Monopoly Bureau
AMC	Anti-Monopoly Commission
AMEA	State Council's Anti-Monopoly Law Enforcement Authority
AML	Anti-Monopoly Law
Antitrust Bull.	The Antitrust Bulletin
Antitrust L. J.	Antitrust Law Journal
ATC	Anatomical Therapeutic Chemical
AWG	Außenwirtschaftsgesetz (German Foreign Trade and Payments Act)
CASS	Chinese Academy of Social Sciences
CBR	The China Business Review
CBRC	China Banking Regulatory Commission
cf.	Compare
CFI	Court of First Instance
CFIUS	Committee on Foreign Investment in the United States
Chi.-Kent L. Rev.	Chicago-Kent Law Review
CIRC	China Insurance Regulatory Commission
CLP	China Law & Practice
Co.	Company
Corp.	Corporation
CPI	Competition Policy International
CRRT	Continuous renal replacement therapy
CSRC	China Securities Regulatory Commission
CWS	Coal-water slurry
DoJ	US Department of Justice
E.C.L.R.	European Competition Law Review
ECMR	EC Merger Regulation

ECR	European Court reports
<i>ed./eds.</i>	Editor(s)
e.g.	For example
EJC	Court of Justice of the European Union
<i>et al.</i>	And others
etc.	Et cetera
et seq.	And the following
EU	European Union
Eur. L. J.	European Law Journal
FDI	Foreign direct investment
FIE	Foreign investment enterprise
FN	Footnote
FRAND	Fair, reasonable and nondiscriminatory
F.T.C.	Federal Trade Commission Decisions
FTC	Federal Trade Commission
GATS	General Agreement on Trade in Services
GATT	General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade
GCP	Global Competition Policy
GCR	Global Competition Review
GDP	Gross domestic product
GWB	Gesetz gegen Wettbewerbsbeschränkungen (Act Against Restraints of Competition)
HDD	Hard disk drive
HHI	Herfindahl-Hirschman Index
HSR	Hart-Scott-Rodino
ICN	International Competition Network
i.e.	That is
IIC	International Review of Intellectual Property and Competition Law
IMF	International Monetary Fund
Inc.	Incorporated
IP	Intellectual property
J. Comp. L. & Econ.	Journal of Competition Law and Economics
J. Eur. Comp. L. & P.	Journal of European Competition Law & Practice
J. Int. Econ. L.	Journal of International Economic Law
JV	Joint venture
Ltd	Limited
M&A	Merger and acquisition
MIIT	Ministry of Industry and Information Technology
MMA	Methylmethacrylate
MOFCOM	Ministry of Commerce
MOFTEC	Ministry of Foreign Trade and Economic Cooperation (now MOFCOM)
MoU	Memorandum of understanding

n/a	Not available
NDRC	National Development and Reform Commission
NiMH	Nickel-hydrogen
No.	Number
NPC	National People's Congress
OEM	Original equipment manufacturer
OFT	Office of Fair Trading
OJSC	Open Joint-Stock Company
OS	Operating system
p./pp.	Page(s)
para.	Paragraph
PBOC	People's Bank of China
PC	Personal computer
PRC	People's Republic of China
R&D	Research and development
RIW	Recht der Internationalen Wirtschaft
RMB	Renminbi
SAFE	State Administration of Foreign Exchange
SAIC	State Administration for Industry and Commerce
SASAC	State-Owned Assets Supervision and Administration Commission of the State Council
SETC	State Economic and Trade Commission
SEZ	Special economic zone
SIEC	Significant impediment of effective competition
SLC	Substantial lessening of competition
SME	Small and medium-sized enterprise
SMPV	Swine mycoplasma pneumonia vaccine
SOE	State-owned enterprise
SSNIP	Small but significant non-transitory increase in price
Strat. Mgmt. J.	Strategic Management Journal
TEE	Trusted execution environment
TFEU	Treaty on the Functioning of the EU
TRIMs	Agreement on Trade-Related Investment Measures
Tulane J. of Int'l & Comp. Law	Tulane Journal of International and Comparative Law
TVE	Township and village enterprise
U. Pa. J. Int'l Econ. L.	University of Pennsylvania Journal of International Economic Law
U. Pa. J. Int'l L.	University of Pennsylvania Journal of International Law
UK	United Kingdom
UNCTAD	United Nations Conference on Trade and Development
US	United States
U.S.	United States Reports
U.S.C.	United States Code

USD	US dollar
v/vs	Versus
Wash. U. Global Stud.	Washington University Global Studies Law Review
L. Rev.	
WTO	World Trade Organization
WuW	Wirtschaft und Wettbewerb
ZChinR	Zeitschrift für chinesisches Recht
ZWeR	Zeitschrift für Wettbewerbsrecht

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction . . . . .</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Economic Background of Competition Policy in China . . . . .</b>	<b>3</b>
2.1	State of Development of Chinese Economy . . . . .	3
2.1.1	Planned Economy Until 1978 . . . . .	4
2.1.2	Economic Reforms Since 1978 . . . . .	5
2.1.2.1	Dual-Track System . . . . .	6
2.1.2.2	Emergence of TVEs . . . . .	6
2.1.2.3	Commencement of Foreign Direct Investment . . . . .	7
2.1.2.4	Endorsement of a Socialist Market Economy . . . . .	8
2.1.3	Establishment of a Legal Framework for the Market Economy . . . . .	9
2.1.3.1	Enactment of Basic Economic Laws . . . . .	9
2.1.3.2	Recognition of Market Integration at Constitutional Level . . . . .	10
2.1.4	Impact of WTO Accession . . . . .	11
2.1.5	Status Quo of Economic Development . . . . .	11
2.2	Reform of State-Owned Enterprises . . . . .	12
2.2.1	Privatisation of Small and Medium-Sized SOEs . . . . .	13
2.2.2	Restructuring of Retained SOEs . . . . .	14
2.2.3	Corporatisation and Regulatory Reform . . . . .	15
2.2.4	Reform of Industry Structures: Public vs Private Sector . . . . .	16
2.2.4.1	Retreatment from Nonessential Sectors . . . . .	17
2.2.4.1.1	State Council 2005 Opinions . . . . .	17
2.2.4.1.2	State Council 2010 Opinions . . . . .	17
2.2.4.2	Concentration in Strategic Sectors and Formation of National Champions . . . . .	19
2.2.4.2.1	SASAC 2006 Opinion . . . . .	19
2.2.4.2.2	Specific Industries . . . . .	21
2.2.5	Current Market Structure . . . . .	22
2.3	Policies on Foreign Direct Investments . . . . .	24
2.3.1	Regulation of FDI . . . . .	24
2.3.2	Importance of Foreign M&A in China . . . . .	26

2.3.3	Market Distortions by Foreign M&A and a Call for Stronger Market Protection . . . . .	27
2.4	Summary . . . . .	29
<b>3</b>	<b>Historical Development of Chinese Merger Control Regime . . . . .</b>	<b>31</b>
3.1	Discriminatory Merger Review Framework Prior to the Adoption of the AML . . . . .	31
3.1.1	Lack of Merger Control for Purely Domestic Transactions . . . . .	31
3.1.2	Merger Control Regime Under the M&A Rules 2006 and Guidelines 2007 . . . . .	32
3.1.2.1	Scope of Application . . . . .	34
3.1.2.1.1	Lack of Definition of “Acquisition” . . . . .	34
3.1.2.1.2	Different Criteria as Notification Thresholds . . . . .	35
3.1.2.2	Procedural Aspects . . . . .	36
3.1.2.3	Substantive Standard . . . . .	36
3.1.2.4	Exemptions from Antitrust Review . . . . .	37
3.1.2.5	National Security Review . . . . .	37
3.1.2.6	Merger Control Enforcement . . . . .	37
3.2	Formation of Merger Control Regime Under the AML . . . . .	38
3.2.1	Impetus for Adoption of the AML . . . . .	40
3.2.2	Policy Concerns Delaying Adoption of the AML . . . . .	41
3.2.3	Legislative Amendments . . . . .	42
3.2.3.1	Notification Thresholds . . . . .	43
3.2.3.2	Sectoral Application . . . . .	44
3.2.3.3	Provisions Coloured with Industrial Policy Considerations . . . . .	44
3.3	Summary . . . . .	45
<b>4</b>	<b>Institutional Framework for Enforcement of the AML . . . . .</b>	<b>47</b>
4.1	Establishment of Two-Tier Enforcement Regime . . . . .	47
4.2	Enforcement Agencies . . . . .	50
4.2.1	AMC . . . . .	50
4.2.2	MOFCOM and Anti-monopoly Bureau . . . . .	51
4.2.3	Local Authorities . . . . .	53
4.3	Summary . . . . .	54
<b>5</b>	<b>Objectives and Policy Standards of Merger Control as Embodied in the AML . . . . .</b>	<b>55</b>
5.1	Multitude of Competition and Non-competition Goals in Article 1 AML . . . . .	56
5.1.1	Competition Goals . . . . .	57
5.1.1.1	Fair Market Competition . . . . .	57
5.1.1.2	Economic Efficiency . . . . .	59
5.1.1.3	Consumer Welfare . . . . .	61
5.1.2	Non-competition Goals . . . . .	62
5.1.2.1	Notion of “Social Public Interest” and “Healthy Development of the Socialist Market Economy” . . . . .	62
5.1.2.2	Inclusion of Industrial Policy . . . . .	63

5.1.2.2.1	Promotion of Formation of National Champions . . . . .	64
5.1.2.2.1.1	Drafting Documents . . . . .	65
5.1.2.2.1.2	Article 5 AML . . . . .	66
5.1.2.2.1.3	Article 7 AML . . . . .	66
5.1.2.2.1.4	Merger Control Provisions . . . . .	67
5.1.2.2.2	Prevention of Foreign Takeovers of Domestic Enterprises . . . . .	68
5.1.2.2.2.1	Drafting Documents . . . . .	68
5.1.2.2.2.2	SAIC 2004 Report . . . . .	69
5.1.2.2.2.3	Merger Control Provisions . . . . .	70
5.1.2.2.2.4	National Security Review . . . . .	71
5.2	Reasons for the Consideration of Non-competition Criteria . . . . .	72
5.2.1	International Comparison . . . . .	73
5.2.2	Unique Chinese Characteristics . . . . .	78
5.2.2.1	Constitutional Constraints . . . . .	78
5.2.2.1.1	Socialist Market Economy . . . . .	78
5.2.2.1.2	Supremacy of the State Sector . . . . .	79
5.2.2.2	Historical Tradition of Government Intervention . . . . .	80
5.2.2.3	Stage of Economic Development . . . . .	81
5.3	Limits for the Consideration of Industrial Policy Goals . . . . .	84
5.3.1	Reconciliation with Other Competition Policy Goals . . . . .	84
5.3.2	Need for Competition in Home Markets . . . . .	86
5.3.3	Transparency and Legal Certainty . . . . .	87
5.4	Summary . . . . .	88
<b>6</b>	<b>Overview of Published Decisions . . . . .</b>	<b>91</b>
6.1	InBev/AB . . . . .	91
6.2	Coca-Cola/Huiyuan . . . . .	93
6.3	Mitsubishi Rayon/Lucite . . . . .	95
6.4	GM/Delphi . . . . .	97
6.5	Pfizer/Wyeth . . . . .	99
6.6	Panasonic/Sanyo . . . . .	100
6.7	Novartis/Alcon . . . . .	104
6.8	Uralkali/Silvinit . . . . .	105
6.9	Alpha V/Savio . . . . .	108
6.10	GE China/Shenhua . . . . .	109
6.11	Seagate/Samsung . . . . .	111
6.12	Henkel HK/Tiande . . . . .	116
6.13	Western Digital/Hitachi . . . . .	117
6.14	Google/Motorola Mobility . . . . .	120
6.15	UTC/Goodrich . . . . .	124
6.16	Wal-Mart/Yihodian . . . . .	126
6.17	ARM/G&D/Gemalto . . . . .	127
6.18	Glencore/Xstrata . . . . .	129
6.19	Marubeni/Gavilon . . . . .	140
6.20	Baxter/Gambro . . . . .	143
6.21	MediaTek/MStar . . . . .	146
6.22	Summary . . . . .	149

<b>7</b>	<b>Scope of Application of Merger Control Law . . . . .</b>	<b>151</b>
7.1	Business Operators . . . . .	152
7.2	Concentration . . . . .	152
7.2.1	Acquisition of Control . . . . .	153
7.2.1.1	Definition Under Draft Notification Rules March 2008 . . . . .	154
7.2.1.2	Definition Under Draft Notification Measures January 2009 . . . . .	155
7.2.1.3	Possible Standards for Definition . . . . .	155
7.2.1.4	The Case of Minority Shareholdings . . . . .	157
7.2.2	Acquisition of Decisive Influence . . . . .	158
7.2.3	Joint Ventures . . . . .	159
7.2.3.1	General Qualification as Concentration . . . . .	159
7.2.3.2	Specific Requirements for Qualification . . . . .	160
7.2.4	Filing Exemption for Intra-Group Reorganisations Under Article 22 AML . . . . .	161
7.3	Notification Thresholds and Discretionary Review Power . . . . .	161
7.3.1	Turnover Thresholds Under Notification Thresholds Provisions . . . . .	161
7.3.1.1	Turnover Criteria . . . . .	162
7.3.1.2	Local Nexus by Referring to Business Activities of at Least Two Business Operators . . . . .	162
7.3.1.3	Evidence for Industrial Policy Consideration . . . . .	164
7.3.2	Calculation of Turnover . . . . .	164
7.3.2.1	Notion of Turnover . . . . .	165
7.3.2.2	Turnover of Affiliated Companies . . . . .	165
7.3.2.2.1	Group-Wide Calculation as General Rule . . . . .	166
7.3.2.2.2	Limitation to Target for Calculation of Seller Turnover . . . . .	166
7.3.2.3	Aggregation of Transactions . . . . .	167
7.3.3	Discretionary Power of MOFCOM to Review Transactions Under the Notification Thresholds . . . . .	167
7.4	Extraterritorial Application Under Article 2 AML . . . . .	169
7.4.1	Lack of Significance Test . . . . .	170
7.4.2	Outlook on Enforcement Issues in the International Context . . . . .	171
7.5	Sectoral Application . . . . .	173
7.5.1	Agricultural Sector . . . . .	174
7.5.2	State-Owned Industries . . . . .	174
7.5.2.1	Statutory Exemptions . . . . .	174
7.5.2.1.1	Article 7 AML . . . . .	175
7.5.2.1.1.1	Business Operators Covered by Article 7 AML . . . . .	175
7.5.2.1.1.2	Impact of Article 7 AML . . . . .	177
7.5.2.1.1.2.1	Exemption from AML . . . . .	177
7.5.2.1.1.2.2	Special Jurisdiction of Regulatory Agencies . . . . .	180

7.5.2.1.2	Article 22 AML . . . . .	181
7.5.2.1.3	Industry Restructuring Plans . . . . .	183
7.5.2.1.4	Stipulations Confirming AML Application . . . . .	184
7.5.2.2	<i>De facto</i> Exemption . . . . .	185
7.5.2.2.1	China Unicom/China Netcom . . . . .	185
7.5.2.2.2	Other Cases . . . . .	187
7.5.2.3	Recent Increased Enforcement Scrutiny Vis-à-Vis SOEs . . . . .	189
7.6	Summary . . . . .	191
<b>8</b>	<b>Merger Review Procedure . . . . .</b>	<b>193</b>
8.1	Suspension Obligation . . . . .	194
8.2	Pre-notification Procedure . . . . .	195
8.3	Notification . . . . .	196
8.3.1	Time Limits . . . . .	197
8.3.1.1	Earliest Moment for Notification . . . . .	197
8.3.1.2	Deadline for Notification . . . . .	199
8.3.2	Notification Party . . . . .	200
8.3.3	Documents . . . . .	200
8.3.3.1	Wide-Ranging Documentation Including Non-competition-Related Information . . . . .	201
8.3.3.2	Formal Filing Requirements . . . . .	203
8.3.3.3	Protection of Confidentiality . . . . .	203
8.3.4	Acceptance of Notification and Supplementary Requests . . . . .	204
8.4	Course of Proceedings . . . . .	206
8.4.1	Review Periods . . . . .	207
8.4.1.1	High Phase II Rate . . . . .	208
8.4.1.2	Lack of “Fast Track” Procedure . . . . .	210
8.4.2	Hearings and Parties’ Right of Defence . . . . .	210
8.4.3	Consultation of Third Parties . . . . .	212
8.5	Publication of Decisions . . . . .	216
8.5.1	Scope of Publication . . . . .	216
8.5.2	Types of Decisions Published . . . . .	218
8.6	Investigation of Suspicious Mergers and Investigation Powers of MOFCOM . . . . .	220
8.6.1	Report of Suspicious Mergers . . . . .	221
8.6.2	Course of Proceedings and MOFCOM’s Investigation Powers . . . . .	221
8.6.3	Sanctions . . . . .	223
8.6.4	Enforcement Against SOEs . . . . .	224
8.7	Summary . . . . .	226
<b>9</b>	<b>Substantive Merger Control Test . . . . .</b>	<b>229</b>
9.1	Relevant Market . . . . .	230
9.1.1	Product Market . . . . .	231
9.1.1.1	Substitutability Test . . . . .	231
9.1.1.2	Hypothetical Monopolist Test . . . . .	237
9.1.2	Geographic Market . . . . .	239
9.2	Prohibition Standard in Article 28 Sentence 1 AML . . . . .	242
9.2.1	Factors to Be Considered by MOFCOM Under Article 27 AML . . . . .	244

9.2.1.1	Parties' Market Shares and Ability to Control the Markets . . . . .	245
9.2.1.2	Degree of Concentration in Relevant Market . . . . .	251
9.2.1.3	Influence on Market Access and Technological Progress . . . . .	253
9.2.1.4	Influence on Consumers and Other Business Operators . . . . .	255
9.2.1.4.1	Protection of Consumer Welfare . . . . .	255
9.2.1.4.2	Influence on Other Business Operators . . . . .	255
9.2.1.5	Influence on National Economic Development . . . . .	258
9.2.1.6	Discretionary Power of MOFCOM to Consider Additional Factors . . . . .	259
9.2.1.6.1	Impact of Branding . . . . .	259
9.2.1.6.2	Other Additional Factors . . . . .	260
9.2.1.6.2.1	Efficiency Defence . . . . .	260
9.2.1.6.2.2	Failing Firm Defence . . . . .	261
9.2.2	Lack of Requirement of "Substantial" Anticompetitive Effects Under Article 28 AML . . . . .	262
9.2.3	Theories of Harm Applied by MOFCOM . . . . .	263
9.2.3.1	Horizontal Concentrations . . . . .	264
9.2.3.1.1	Unilateral Effects . . . . .	264
9.2.3.1.1.1	Mitsubishi Rayon/Lucite . . . . .	264
9.2.3.1.1.2	Pfizer/Wyeth . . . . .	265
9.2.3.1.1.3	Panasonic/Sanyo . . . . .	266
9.2.3.1.1.4	Novartis/Alcon . . . . .	266
9.2.3.1.1.5	Uralkali/Silvinit . . . . .	267
9.2.3.1.1.6	Alpha V/Savio . . . . .	268
9.2.3.1.1.7	UTC/Goodrich . . . . .	269
9.2.3.1.1.8	Glencore/Xstrata . . . . .	269
9.2.3.1.1.9	Marubeni/Gavilon . . . . .	270
9.2.3.1.1.10	Baxter/Gambro . . . . .	271
9.2.3.1.1.11	MediaTek/MStar . . . . .	271
9.2.3.1.1.12	Evaluation . . . . .	272
9.2.3.1.2	Coordinated Effects . . . . .	272
9.2.3.1.2.1	Novartis/Alcon . . . . .	273
9.2.3.1.2.2	Uralkali/Silvinit . . . . .	273
9.2.3.1.2.3	Alpha V/Savio . . . . .	274
9.2.3.1.2.4	Seagate/Samsung . . . . .	274
9.2.3.1.2.5	Western Digital/Hitachi . . . . .	275
9.2.3.1.2.6	Baxter/Gambro . . . . .	275
9.2.3.1.2.7	Evaluation . . . . .	276
9.2.3.2	Vertical Concentrations . . . . .	277
9.2.3.2.1	Mitsubishi Rayon/Lucite . . . . .	277
9.2.3.2.2	GM/Delphi . . . . .	277
9.2.3.2.3	GE China/Shenhua . . . . .	280
9.2.3.2.4	Henkel HK/Tiande . . . . .	280
9.2.3.2.5	Google/Motorola Mobility . . . . .	281
9.2.3.2.6	ARM/G&D/Gemalto . . . . .	284
9.2.3.2.7	Glencore/Xstrata . . . . .	285

9.2.3.2.8	Marubeni/Gavilon . . . . .	285
9.2.3.2.9	Evaluation . . . . .	286
9.2.3.3	Conglomerate Concentrations . . . . .	286
9.2.3.3.1	Related Markets . . . . .	287
9.2.3.3.2	Assessment of Effects in Coca-Cola/Huiyuan . . . . .	288
9.2.3.3.2.1	General Consistency with International Precedents . . . . .	288
9.2.3.3.2.1.1	European Commission's Assessment of Conglomerate Cases . . . . .	288
9.2.3.3.2.1.2	ACCC's Assessment of <i>CCA/Berri</i> . . . . .	291
9.2.3.3.2.2	Likelihood of the Occurrence of Anticompetitive Effects . . . . .	293
9.2.3.3.2.3	Justification of the Prohibition . . . . .	295
9.2.3.3.3	Assessment of Effects in Wal-Mart/Yihodian . . . . .	296
9.2.3.3.4	Evaluation . . . . .	297
9.2.4	Relation Between Competition-Based Test Under Article 28 AML and Non-competition-Related Factors Under Article 27 (5) AML . . . . .	298
9.2.4.1	Coca-Cola/Huiyuan . . . . .	298
9.2.4.1.1	Accusations of Industrial Policy Underpinning . . . . .	299
9.2.4.1.2	Arguments in Favour of a Competition-Based Approach . . . . .	301
9.2.4.1.3	Foreign Acquisitions Subsequent to <i>Coca-Cola/Huiyuan</i> . . . . .	305
9.2.4.1.3.1	Diageo/Quanxing (Shui Jing Fang) . . . . .	305
9.2.4.1.3.2	Nestlé/Yinlu . . . . .	306
9.2.4.1.3.3	Yum!/Little Sheep . . . . .	307
9.2.4.1.3.4	Nestlé/Hsu Fu Chi . . . . .	308
9.2.4.2	InBev/AB . . . . .	308
9.2.4.3	Transactions Involving Strategically Important Commodities for Chinese Market: Uralkali/Silvinit, Glencore/Xstrata, and Marubeni/Gavilon . . . . .	310
9.2.4.4	Evaluation . . . . .	313
9.3	Imposing Restrictive Conditions Under Article 29 AML . . . . .	313
9.3.1	Submission of Remedies by Merging Parties . . . . .	314
9.3.2	Standard for Imposing of Restrictive Conditions . . . . .	315
9.3.3	Types of Restrictive Conditions . . . . .	316
9.3.3.1	MOFCOM's Choice . . . . .	317
9.3.3.2	Divestiture Measures . . . . .	319

9.3.3.2.1	Mitsubishi Rayon/Lucite . . . . .	321
9.3.3.2.2	Pfizer/Wyeth . . . . .	322
9.3.3.2.3	Panasonic/Sanyo . . . . .	323
9.3.3.2.4	Alpha V/Savio . . . . .	323
9.3.3.2.5	Western Digital/Hitachi . . . . .	324
9.3.3.2.6	UTC/Goodrich . . . . .	324
9.3.3.2.7	Glencore/Xstrata . . . . .	324
9.3.3.2.8	Baxter/Gambro . . . . .	325
9.3.3.2.9	Evaluation . . . . .	325
9.3.3.3	Behavioural Remedies . . . . .	325
9.3.3.3.1	Restrictions on Future Acquisitions or Investments in China . . . . .	326
9.3.3.3.1.1	InBev/AB . . . . .	326
9.3.3.3.1.2	Mitsubishi Rayon/Lucite . . . . .	328
9.3.3.3.2	Restrictions on Future Business Scope: Wal-Mart/Yihodian . . . . .	330
9.3.3.3.3	Nondiscrimination and Anti-retaliation Provisions . . . . .	331
9.3.3.3.3.1	GM/Delphi . . . . .	331
9.3.3.3.3.2	GE China/Shenhua . . . . .	331
9.3.3.3.3.3	Henkel HK/Tiande . . . . .	331
9.3.3.3.3.4	Google/Motorola Mobility . . . . .	331
9.3.3.3.3.5	ARM/G&D/Gemalto . . . . .	332
9.3.3.3.4	Maintenance of <i>Status Quo</i> of Supply to China . . . . .	333
9.3.3.3.4.1	Uralkali/Silvinit . . . . .	333
9.3.3.3.4.2	Glencore/Xstrata . . . . .	334
9.3.3.3.5	Abandonment of Business Strategies: Novartis/Alcon . . . . .	334
9.3.3.3.6	Hold-Separate Obligations . . . . .	336
9.3.3.3.6.1	Seagate/Samsung . . . . .	336
9.3.3.3.6.2	Western Digital/Hitachi . . . . .	336
9.3.3.3.6.3	Marubeni/Gavilon . . . . .	337
9.3.3.3.6.4	MediaTek/MStar . . . . .	337
9.3.3.3.7	Ancillary Remedies . . . . .	338
9.3.3.3.8	Review of Remedies . . . . .	339
9.3.3.3.9	Evaluation . . . . .	340
9.4	Exemption from Prohibition Under Article 28 Sentence 2 AML . . . . .	341
9.4.1	Positive Effects on Competition Significantly Overweigh Negative Effects . . . . .	341
9.4.2	Concentration Is in Public Interest . . . . .	343
9.4.2.1	Notion of Public Interest . . . . .	343
9.4.2.2	Impact of Provision . . . . .	344
9.5	Summary . . . . .	346
<b>10</b>	<b>Outlook on Judicial Enforcement of Merger Control Law . . . . .</b>	<b>347</b>
10.1	Judicial Review of Merger Control Decisions . . . . .	347
10.1.1	Administrative Reconsideration by MOFCOM . . . . .	348
10.1.2	Administrative Court Action . . . . .	349
10.1.3	Third Party Challenge . . . . .	351