

# CONTENTS—SUMMARY

<i>List of Abbreviations</i>	lxxv
<i>Tables of Court of Justice and the General Court of the European Union Cases</i>	lxxvii
<i>Tables of European Commission Decisions</i>	cxxxviii
<i>Table of National and Other Cases</i>	clxxv
<i>Table of EU/EC Treaties</i>	clxxix
<i>Tables of EU/EC Legislation</i>	clxxxiii
<i>Tables of EU/EC Notices, Guidelines and Other Informal Texts</i>	ccxi
<i>Table of National Legislation</i>	ccxxxi
<i>Table of International Treaties</i>	ccxxxiii

## I GENERAL PRINCIPLES

1. The Economics of Competition	3
<i>Luc Peeperkorn and Vincent Verouden</i>	
2. The Enforcement System under Regulation 1/2003	91
<i>Eddy De Smijter and Ailsa Sinclair</i>	
3. Article 101	183
<i>Jonathan Faull, Lars Kjølbbye, Henning Leupold, and Ali Nikpay</i>	
4. Article 102	329
<i>Miguel de la Mano, Renato Nazzini, and Hans Zenger</i>	
5. Mergers	539
<i>Claes Bengtsson, Josep Maria Carpi Badia, and Massimiliano Kadar</i>	
6. Article 106—Exclusive or Special Rights and Other Anti-Competitive State Measures	809
<i>José Luis Buendia Sierra</i>	

## II SPECIFIC PRACTICES

7. Horizontal Cooperation Agreements	883
<i>Matthew Bennett, Francisco Enrique González Díaz, Henning Leupold, Anna Vernet, and Donncadh Woods</i>	
8. Cartels	1023
<i>Antoine Colombani, Jindrich Kloub, and Ewoud Sakkers</i>	
9. Vertical Agreements	1363
<i>Andrei Gurin and Luc Peeperkorn</i>	

10. Intellectual Property	1443
<i>Kevin Coates, Lars Kjølbye, and Luc Peeperkorn</i>	
III SPECIAL SECTORS	
11. Financial Services	1513
<i>Nicholas Banasevic, Stephen Ryan, and Rita Wezenbeek</i>	
12. Energy	1581
<i>Céline Gauer and Lars Kjølbye</i>	
13. Communications (Telecoms and Internet)	1647
<i>David Gabathuler and Eduardo Martinez Rivero</i>	
14. Media	1709
<i>Krzysztof Kuik and Anthony Dawes</i>	
15. Transport	1779
<i>Hubert de Broca, Marta Mielecka Riga, and Anatoly Suboč</i>	
16. Pharma	1869
<i>Harald Mische, Elena Kamilarova, and Dominik Schnichels</i>	
IV STATE AID	
17. State Aid	1923
<i>Tim Maxian Rusche, Claire Micheau, Henri Piffaut, and Koen Van de Castele</i>	
Index	2033

# CONTENTS

<i>List of Abbreviations</i>	lxxv
<i>Tables of Court of Justice and the General Court of the European Union Cases</i>	lxxvii
<i>Tables of European Commission Decisions</i>	cxxxviii
<i>Table of National and Other Cases</i>	clxxv
<i>Table of EU/EC Treaties</i>	clxxix
<i>Tables of EU/EC Legislation</i>	clxxxiii
<i>Tables of EU/EC Notices, Guidelines and Other Informal Texts</i>	ccxi
<i>Table of National Legislation</i>	ccxxxi
<i>Table of International Treaties</i>	ccxxxiii

## I GENERAL PRINCIPLES

### 1. The Economics of Competition

*Luc Peeperkorn and Vincent Verouden*

A. Introduction	1.01
B. Structure, Conduct, Performance	1.06
(1) Early Developments	1.06
(2) The Harvard School	1.09
(3) The Chicago School	1.12
(4) More Recent Developments	1.16
C. Static Welfare Analysis of Market Power	1.21
(1) Introduction	1.21
(2) Basic Microeconomic Concepts	1.27
(a) Consumer Surplus	1.28
(b) Production Costs	1.29
(c) Short-Run Production Costs	1.31
(d) Profit Maximization	1.36
(e) Long-Run Production Costs	1.41
(f) Economies of Scale and Minimum Efficient Scale	1.43
(g) Entry Barriers	1.50
(h) Contestability	1.56
(3) Perfect Competition	1.58
(a) The Model	1.58
(b) The Outcome	1.62
(4) Monopoly	1.65
(a) The Model	1.65
(b) The Outcome	1.68
(5) Oligopoly	1.78
(a) Introduction	1.78
(b) Game Theory	1.83
(c) The Scope for Collusion Illustrated with the Prisoner's Dilemma	1.93
D. Dynamic Welfare Analysis of Market Power	1.120
(1) Innovation and Welfare	1.120

(2) Different Views	1.122
(3) Some Empirical Results	1.125
(4) The 'New Economy'	1.127
(5) Some Concluding Remarks	1.133
E. Market Definition	1.134
(1) Product Market Definition	1.139
(a) Demand-Side Substitution	1.141
(b) The SSNIP Test	1.147
(c) Elasticity Concepts and the Diversion Ratio	1.153
(d) Supply-Side Substitution	1.160
(2) The Relevant Geographic Market	1.169
(a) Demand-Side Substitution	1.170
(b) Supply-Side Substitution	1.172
(3) Specific Issues in the Context of Market Definition	1.175
(a) Chains of Substitution	1.176
(b) Price Discrimination	1.178
(c) Captive Production	1.181
(4) Further Considerations	1.184
(a) Market Definition in Practice	1.184
(b) Defining the Market: Not an End in Itself	1.188
F. Market Power and Dominance	1.190
(1) Market Power	1.192
(a) Concept	1.192
(b) Identification of (Static) Market Power	1.202
(2) Dominance	1.211
(a) Single Dominance	1.212
(b) Collective Dominance	1.219
(3) Enhancing Market Power	1.225
(a) Merger with a Competitor: Unilateral vs Coordinated Effects	1.227
(b) Exclusionary Strategies	1.240
G. Empirical Methods for Market Definition and the Assessment of Market Power	1.249
(1) Analysis of Prices and Price Movements	1.253
(a) Price Correlation Analysis	1.254
(b) Extension: Stationarity/Co-Integration	1.263
(2) Analysis of Price Elasticities of Demand	1.265
(3) Critical Loss Analysis	1.289
(4) UPP	1.295
(5) Event Analysis	1.302
(6) Assessment Methods Relating Price to Market Structure	1.306
(a) Price Concentration Analysis	1.307
(b) Direct Evaluation of Competitive Constraints	1.313
(7) Analysis of Bidding Data	1.314
(8) Merger Simulation	1.325
 2. The Enforcement System under Regulation 1/2003	
<i>Eddy De Smijter and Ailsa Sinclair</i>	
A. Direct Application of Articles 101 and 102	2.01
(1) Introduction	2.01

(2) The Aims and Results of the System Change	2.04
(a) Increased Application of Articles 101 and 102 at Member State Level	2.05
(b) The Commission's Focus on Enforcement	2.09
(3) Self-assessment and Legal Certainty	2.12
(4) The Direct Effect of Articles 101 and 102	2.20
(5) Burden and Standard of Proof	2.25
B. The Relationship Between EU Competition Law and National Competition Law	2.30
(1) Introduction	2.30
(2) Article 3(1): The Obligation to Apply Articles 101 and 102	2.33
(a) Scope of Article 3(1)	2.34
(b) Primary Functions of Article 3(1)	2.40
(3) The Convergence Rule of Article 3(2)	2.45
(4) The Legal Consequences of Infringing Article 3(1) and (2)	2.52
(5) Article 3 and the Primacy Rule	2.55
(6) Exceptions to Article 3	2.58
(a) National Competition Laws	2.59
(b) National Laws Implementing EU Law Directives	2.66
(c) Member State Measures Covered by Article 106	2.68
(d) National Merger Control Laws	2.70
(e) Criminal Sanctions on Natural Persons	2.72
C. Powers and Decisions of National Competition Authorities	2.77
(1) Introduction	2.77
(2) The NCA	2.78
(3) The Decisions of an NCA	2.83
(a) Scope of Article 5	2.84
(b) The Decisions Listed in Article 5	2.88
(4) Triggering a Decision by an NCA	2.91
(a) The NCA Acts on its Own Initiative or on a Complaint	2.91
(b) National Notification Systems	2.93
D. Commission Powers and Decisions	2.96
(1) Introduction	2.96
(2) Article 7: Finding and Termination of Infringements	2.99
(a) The Power to Find Infringements	2.100
(b) The Power to Impose Remedies	2.103
(i) General Principles	2.104
(ii) Structural and Behavioural Remedies	2.107
(iii) Break-ups	2.110
(c) Complaints	2.114
(3) Article 8: Interim Measures	2.116
(4) Article 9: Commitments	2.118
(a) Introduction	2.118
(b) The Nature of Article 9 Decisions	2.121
(c) The Purpose of Article 9 Decisions	2.126
(d) The Procedure for Adopting Article 9 Decisions	2.129
(e) Adoption of the Decision and Reopening of the Proceedings	2.135
(f) The Scope for Legal Challenge	2.143
(5) Article 10: Finding of Inapplicability	2.145
(a) Introduction	2.145
(b) The Nature and Purpose of Article 10 Decisions	2.146

(c) The Legal Effects of Article 10 Decisions	2.150
(i) The Relationship Between Articles 9 and 10	2.152
E. Cooperation Between Enforcers	2.154
(1) Introduction	2.154
(2) Cooperation within the ECN	2.155
(a) The Sharing of Work Amongst the Competition Authorities	2.156
(b) An NCA's Request to Another NCA to Carry Out an Investigation	2.160
(c) Exchange of Information and Its Use in Evidence	2.163
(i) Exchange of Information within the ECN	2.165
(i) <i>Empowering ECN Members to Exchange Information</i>	2.165
(ii) <i>Allowing ECN Members to Exchange Information</i>	2.167
(iii) <i>Exchanging Information Voluntarily Submitted by a Leniency Applicant</i>	2.170
(ii) The Use of the Exchanged Information in Evidence	2.173
(i) <i>The Relation Between Article 12 and the National Law Provisions Prohibiting NCAs from Divulging Confidential Information</i>	2.174
(ii) <i>A Wider Umbrella for the Protection of Confidential Information</i>	2.176
(iii) The Use in Evidence of the Information Exchanged within the ECN	2.177
(i) <i>The General Principles of EU Law</i>	2.179
(ii) <i>Information Exchanged Can Only Be Used in Evidence for the Application of EU Competition Rules</i>	2.182
(iii) <i>Information Exchanged Can Only Be Used in Evidence in Respect of the Subject Matter for Which it was Collected</i>	2.185
(iv) <i>The Limitations With Regard to the Use of Information in Evidence to Impose Sanctions on Natural Persons</i>	2.187
(v) <i>Experience with Article 12</i>	2.191
(d) The Obligation of Professional Secrecy and the Need to Disclose Information	2.192
(i) Which Information is Covered by Professional Secrecy?	2.194
(i) <i>The Wide Coverage of Professional Secrecy</i>	2.194
(ii) <i>Specific Sub-Category Within the Wider Concept of Professional Secrecy: Business Secrets and Other Confidential Information</i>	2.197
(iii) <i>Business Secrets</i>	2.198
(iv) <i>Other Confidential Information</i>	2.201
(ii) The Disclosure of Information Acquired or Exchanged Pursuant to Regulation 1/2003	2.202
(i) <i>Disclosure Necessary to Prove an Infringement of Article 101 or 102</i>	2.205
(iii) Disclosure in granting access to the file	2.207
(i) <i>Access to the File for the Addressee of a Statement of Objections</i>	2.207
(ii) <i>Access to Information by Other Parties with Legitimate Interest, in Particular Complainants</i>	2.209
(3) Coherent Application within the ECN	2.210
(a) Introduction	2.210
(b) Information under Article 11(3)	2.213
(c) The Procedure in Article 11(4)	2.214
(i) The Scope of the Article 11(4) Procedure	2.216
(ii) The Article 11(4) Process and its Objective	2.222
(iii) The Legal Consequences of Failure to Comply with Article 11(4)	2.225

(d) Article 11(6): The Commission's Power to Withdraw a Case	2.229
(i) The Legal Nature of Article 11(6)	2.231
(ii) The Authorities Covered by Article 11(6)	2.234
(iii) Circumstances in Which Withdrawal may be Envisaged	2.238
(iv) The Procedure for Applying Article 11(6)	2.248
(4) Coherent Application by National Courts	2.251
(a) The Competence of National Courts to Apply EU Competition Rules	2.251
(b) The Coherent Application of EU Competition Rules by National Courts	2.254
(i) Commission Initiatives towards Coherent Application of EU Competition Rules	2.258
(i) <i>The Commission's Policy Notices and Guidelines</i>	2.259
(ii) <i>Co-Financing the Training of National Judges in EU Competition Rules</i>	2.261
(iii) <i>A Database on National Judgments</i>	2.263
(ii) Consistency in the Case of Parallel or Consecutive Application of EU Competition Rules	2.264
(c) Cooperation Between the Commission and the National Courts	2.270
(i) The Opportunity for the National Courts to ask the Commission for Information or for its Opinion	2.274
(i) <i>The Opportunity to Ask the Commission for Information</i>	2.275
(ii) <i>The Opportunity to Ask the Commission for Its Opinion</i>	2.284
(ii) The Submission of Observations	2.285

### 3. Article 101

*Jonathan Faull, Lars Kjolbye, Henning Leupold, and Ali Nikpay*

A. Introduction	3.01
B. Scope of Article 101	3.02
(1) Scope	3.02
(2) Coal and Steel	3.04
(3) Defence	3.05
(4) Environment and Culture	3.12
(5) Sport	3.16
C. Article 101(1)	3.23
(1) Undertakings	3.27
(a) Definition	3.27
(b) Professions	3.34
(c) Public Bodies Exception	3.39
(d) The Single Economic Unit Doctrine (No Intra-Enterprise Conspiracy in EU Law)	3.49
(i) A Subsidiary Wholly-Owned, or Almost Wholly-Owned, By Its Parent	3.53
(ii) A Parent Holding a Majority Shareholding in a Subsidiary But Less than 100 Per Cent	3.59
(iii) Parent Liability in the Context of Joint Control	3.62
(iv) Companies with Non-Controlling Stakes in Another Company	3.67
(e) Successor Undertakings	3.68
(2) Agreements	3.74
(a) General Definition	3.74

(b) Requires At Least Two Undertakings	3.75
(c) Form Irrelevant	3.76
(d) Notion of 'Agreement' and Limitation of Freedom of Action	3.84
(e) Single Continuous Infringement Doctrine	3.87
(f) Tacit Acquiescence in Vertical Cases	3.101
(i) <i>Volkswagen II</i>	3.104
(ii) What Does Tacit Acquiescence Require?	3.109
(iii) Care Should be Taken in Applying the <i>Bayer/Volkswagen II</i> Approach	3.114
(g) Formal Termination May Not be Sufficient	3.118
(h) Judicial Settlement	3.121
(3) Decisions by Associations of Undertakings	3.122
(4) Concerted Practices	3.126
(a) Definition	3.126
(b) Can a Concerted Practice be Inferred from Circumstantial Evidence Alone?	3.140
(c) Vertical Concerted Practices	3.143
(i) 'Hub and Spoke' Concerted Practices	3.147
(5) Distinction Between Agreements and Concerted Practices	3.150
(6) State Compulsion	3.155
(7) The Notion of Restriction of Competition under EU Competition Law	3.160
(8) Restriction by Object	3.184
(a) Concept of Restriction by Object	3.184
(b) Restriction by Object and Appreciability	3.209
(i) The 'Pre- <i>Expedia</i> ' Case Law	3.210
(ii) The Commission's Approach to Appreciability in Object Cases	3.215
(iii) The <i>Expedia</i> Judgment	3.217
(9) Restriction by Effect	3.219
(a) The Commission's Traditional Approach	3.223
(b) Modifications by the EU Courts	3.230
(c) Restrictions of Rivalry Must Be Assessed in Their Market Context	3.231
(d) Ancillary Restraints Doctrine	3.235
(i) Commercial Ancillarity	3.236
(ii) Public Interest Ancillarity	3.243
(iii) The Narrow Scope of the Ancillary Restraints Doctrine	3.248
(i) <i>Directly Related and Subordinate</i>	3.251
(ii) <i>Necessary</i>	3.253
(iii) <i>Objective Necessity for the Implementation of the Main Operation</i>	3.254
(iv) <i>Proportionality</i>	3.266
(iv) Concluding Remark: Ancillary Restraints Doctrine	3.269
(e) Exclusivity Necessary for Supply	3.271
(i) Exclusivity Must be Objectively Necessary	3.273
(ii) It is Unclear Whether this Doctrine Applies to Agreements Between Competitors	3.274
(iii) Doctrine Only Likely to Apply in Clear-Cut Cases	3.279
(iv) Does the Approach Apply to 'Object' Cases?	3.288
(v) Difference Between Exclusivity Necessary for Supply and Ancillary Restraints Doctrines	3.289
(f) Appreciability	3.294



(g) Cumulative Effects Doctrine	3.298
(h) The Purpose of the Market Analysis	3.303
(i) No Rule of Reason under Article 101(1)	3.303
(ii) <i>Gottrup-Klim</i>	3.311
(iii) <i>Wouters</i>	3.314
(iv) <i>Metro I and II</i>	3.320
(v) <i>O2</i>	3.324
(i) <i>Does O2 Signal a Change in Direction?</i>	3.327
(vi) Explicit Rejection of the Rule of Reason under Article 101(1) by the General Court	3.337
(i) Extent of Market Analysis	3.338
(j) Restrictive Clauses Are Not a Necessary Condition for the Application of Article 101(1)	3.344
(k) The Commission's Policy as set out in the Article 101(3) Guidelines	3.347
(i) Step 1: The Counterfactual	3.350
(ii) Step 2: Assessment of the Likely Effect of the Agreement	3.359
(l) The Current State of Affairs: Developments in the Commission's Policy and the EU Courts' Case Law Subsequent to the Article 101(3) Guidelines	3.366
(i) Horizontal Cooperation Guidelines	3.375
(ii) Block Exemption Regulations	3.380
(iii) <i>De Minimis</i> Notice	3.381
D. Jurisdiction	3.385
(1) General	3.385
(2) The Concept of Trade Between Member States	3.388
(3) The Link Between Trade and the Agreement or Practice	3.392
(4) The Notion of 'May Affect'	3.395
(a) Introduction	3.395
(b) A Sufficient Degree of Probability	3.397
(c) An Influence on the Pattern of Trade	3.404
(i) Direct or Indirect, Actual or Potential	3.406
(5) Appreciability	3.413
(a) General Principles	3.413
(b) Quantification	3.416
(6) Assessment of Various Types of Agreement and Practices	3.427
(a) Introduction	3.427
(b) Agreements and Practices Concerning Imports and Exports and Agreements and Practices Implemented in Several Member States	3.428
(c) Agreements and Practices Confined to the Whole or Part of a Member State	3.429
(d) Agreements and Practices Covering Part of a Member State	3.432
(e) Agreements and Practices Involving Third Countries	3.435
E. Article 101(2)	3.442
F. The Article 101(3) Exception	3.445
(1) Introduction	3.445
(2) The Relationship Between Article 101(1) and Article 101(3)	3.452
(3) General Principles for the Application of Article 101(3)	3.455
(a) Introduction	3.455
(b) The Nature of the Benefits that Can Be Taken into Account	3.458

(c) The Relevant Market as the Proper Framework for Applying Article 101(3)	3.461
(d) The Temporal Application of Article 101(3)	3.464
(e) Block Exemptions	3.467
(4) The Four Conditions of Article 101(3)	3.472
(a) Introduction	3.472
(b) The First Test of Article 101(3): Efficiency Gains	3.473
(i) Examples of Relevant Types of Efficiencies	3.480
(ii) The Substantiation of Efficiency Claims	3.483
(c) The Second Test of Article 101(3): Indispensability	3.489
(d) The Third Test of Article 101(3): A Fair Share for Consumers	3.495
(e) The Fourth Test of Article 101(3): No Elimination of Competition in Respect of a Substantial Part of the Products in Question	3.506
 4. Article 102	
<i>Miguel de la Mano, Renato Nazzini, and Hans Zenger</i>	
A. The System of Enforcement of Article 102	4.01
(1) Introduction	4.01
(a) Elements of Article 102	4.01
(b) Relationship Between Article 101 and Article 102	4.08
(c) Purpose of Article 102: Protection of Competition or Protection of Competitors?	4.10
(d) Role of Efficiencies in Article 102 Assessment	4.14
(2) Categorization of Abuses: Exploitative vs Exclusionary	4.16
(a) Prohibition of Customer Exploitation	4.17
(b) Prohibition of Exclusionary Practices	4.23
(3) Consequences of Infringement of Article 102	4.29
(a) Introduction	4.29
(b) Guiding Principles	4.31
(c) Types of Sanctions and Remedies in Article 102 Cases	4.35
(i) Fines	4.35
(ii) Cease and Desist Orders	4.45
(iii) Behavioural Remedies	4.46
(iv) Structural Remedies	4.48
(v) Which Remedy is Most Appropriate?	4.51
(d) Procedural Issues	4.52
(4) Commitment Decisions (Article 9 of Regulation 1/2003)	4.53
(a) Basic Principles	4.54
(b) Importance of Commitment Decisions in Article 102 Cases	4.57
(c) Concerns Regarding the Use of Commitment Decisions in Article 102 Cases	4.61
(5) Judicial Review of Article 102 Decisions	4.68
(a) Review of Facts and Law	4.71
(b) Review of 'Complex Economic Matters'	4.76
B. The Article 102 Enforcement Priorities Guidance	4.83
(1) The Emergence of the Effects-Based Approach	4.83
(a) Traditional Approach under EU Law	4.83
(b) Form- vs Effects-Based Approach	4.86
(c) Pros and Cons of an Effects-Based Approach	4.89
(d) The Recent Adoption by the EU Courts of a More Explicitly Effects-Based Approach	4.92

(2) The Commission's Review of Article 102 Policy	4.93
(a) Purpose of the Review	4.93
(b) The Staff Discussion Paper	4.95
(3) The Article 102 Enforcement Priorities Guidance	4.98
(a) Adoption of Guidance as opposed to Guidelines	4.98
(b) Economics-Based Approach to Enforcement	4.99
(c) Impact of the Guidance on Future Cases	4.103
(d) Overview of the Guidance	4.106
(e) Brief Summary of the Approach to Abuse	4.108
(i) Anti-Competitive Foreclosure	4.112
(ii) Assessment of the Effect on Consumers	4.114
(iii) Objective Justifications and Efficiencies	4.119
C. Dominance	4.122
(1) Concept of Single Dominance	4.123
(a) Legal Definition of Single Dominance	4.123
(b) Concerns Regarding the Elements of the Definition of Dominance	4.124
(c) Approach Taken in the Guidance to the Test Elements	4.127
(d) Assessment of Market Power	4.130
(e) How Does the Guidance Approach Fit with the Legal Approach	4.133
(2) Factors Relevant to Single Dominance	4.139
(a) Economic Measurement of Market Power	4.140
(b) Factors Under the Case Law and the Commission's Guidance	4.143
(i) The Position of the Undertaking Concerned and Its Competitors	4.144
(i) <i>Market Definition</i>	4.145
(ii) <i>Market Shares</i>	4.149
(iii) <i>Profitability of the Undertaking</i>	4.169
(iv) <i>Conduct of the Undertaking</i>	4.172
(v) <i>The Position of Competitors</i>	4.175
(ii) Barriers to Entry and Expansion	4.180
(i) <i>Regulatory Barriers to Entry</i>	4.186
(ii) <i>Capacity Constraints</i>	4.191
(iii) <i>Economies of Scale and Scope</i>	4.192
(iv) <i>Network Effects</i>	4.197
(v) <i>Switching Costs</i>	4.201
(vi) <i>Vertical Integration and Exclusive or Preferential Access to Inputs or Customers</i>	4.202
(vii) <i>Financial Strength</i>	4.205
(viii) <i>Spare or Excess Capacity</i>	4.207
(ix) <i>Other Factors</i>	4.211
(iii) Countervailing Buyer Power	4.216
(3) Concept of Collective Dominance	4.218
(a) Definition of Collective Dominance	4.218
(b) Non-Oligopolistic Collective Dominance	4.221
(c) Vertical Non-Oligopolistic Collective Dominance	4.229
(d) Oligopolistic Collective Dominance	4.231
(4) Abuse of a Collective Dominant Position	4.241
(a) Joint Abuses	4.242
(b) Single Abuses	4.243
(5) Dominance and Abuse in Neighbouring Markets	4.244
(6) Dominance in New Economy Markets	4.248

D. Concept of Abuse	4.252
(1) General Concept of Abuse	4.252
(2) Definition of Abuse Under the EU Courts' Case Law	4.254
(3) The Test for Abuse	4.261
(a) Exploitative and Discriminatory Abuses	4.262
(b) Exclusionary Abuses	4.263
(i) Potential Tests Based on Economic Principles	4.265
(ii) Approach Taken in the Commission's Guidance	4.266
(i) <i>Anti-Competitive Foreclosure: General</i>	4.268
(ii) <i>Test for Foreclosure in Pricing Cases</i>	4.270
(iii) <i>When is Foreclosure Enough to be Anti-Competitive?</i>	4.275
(iv) <i>Likely Harm to Consumers</i>	4.280
(4) The Special Responsibility of the Dominant Undertaking	4.283
(5) Objective Justification	4.290
(a) General	4.290
(b) Objective Necessity	4.291
(c) Efficiency Defence	4.292
E. Predatory Pricing	4.298
(1) What is Predatory Pricing?	4.298
(a) Definition	4.298
(b) Economic Theories of Predatory Pricing	4.299
(c) Distinguishing Predatory Pricing From Normal Competition	4.300
(2) Predation Under EU Law: The <i>AKZO</i> Test	4.302
(a) Whether and How to Assess the Intent of the Dominant Firm	4.306
(b) What is the Appropriate Cost-Based Benchmark?	4.309
(c) Is a Below Cost Test Appropriate?	4.315
(i) Above-Cost Price Cuts as Part of a Wider Exclusionary Strategy	4.321
(ii) Above-Cost Price Cuts by a Quasi-Monopolist Liable to Exclude its Only Competitor	4.324
(iii) Above-Cost Price Cuts Restricting Free Trade	4.326
(d) Difficulties With Relying on Price-Cost Tests	4.328
(i) Below Cost Pricing May Not Be a Necessary Condition	4.329
(ii) Below Cost Pricing May Not Be a Sufficient Condition	4.331
(iii) Below Cost Pricing May Be Pro-Competitive	4.333
(iv) Below-Cost Pricing Tests Create Measurement Difficulties	4.335
(e) The <i>Post Danmark</i> Test	4.341
(f) The Role of Recoupment?	4.343
(3) The Predation Test in the Article 102 Enforcement Priorities Guidance	4.348
(a) Profit Sacrifice	4.351
(b) Exclusion of an Equally Efficient Competitor	4.363
(c) Consumer Harm and Recoupment	4.367
(i) Entry is Unlikely After the Prey is Excluded or Disciplined	4.372
(ii) Re-Entry is Unlikely	4.376
(iii) Assessment of the Competitive Constraint Exercised by the Excluded Rival	4.378
(iv) Dominance Is Not Evidence of Recoupment	4.379
(d) Objective Justification	4.384
(i) Market-Expanding Efficiencies	4.389
(ii) To Facilitate Learning and Awareness of a Product Among Consumers	4.392
(iii) To Improve the Firm's Positioning as a Low-Price Company	4.393

(e) Meeting Competition Defence	4.395
(f) Other Loss-Minimizing Strategies	4.396
F. Exclusive Dealing: Exclusivity Obligations and Loyalty Rebates	4.397
(1) Case Law and Commission Decisional Practice	4.397
(a) Definition and Types of Exclusivity	4.397
(i) Legal and De Facto Exclusivity	4.398
(ii) Requirements Contracts	4.401
(iii) English Clauses	4.402
(iv) Imposition of Exclusive Obligations on Suppliers	4.405
(v) Exclusivity Imposed by Distributors	4.406
(b) The Abuse Test in Exclusivity Cases	4.407
(c) Objective Justification	4.411
(d) Definition and Types of Conditional Rebates	4.413
(e) Abuse Test in Rebates Cases	4.416
(i) Rebates That Are Presumptively Lawful (Quantity Rebates)	4.416
(ii) Rebates That Are Nakedly Exclusionary	4.417
(iii) Fidelity Rebates	4.418
(iv) Individualized Target Rebates	4.421
(v) Standardized Rebates	4.426
(2) Policy and Effects-Based Approach	4.429
(a) Commission's Approach Under the Article 102 Enforcement Priorities Guidance	4.429
(b) The Logic of the Commission's Approach Towards Loyalty Rebates and Exclusive Dealing	4.435
(i) Economic Reasoning for Use of Rebate Schemes	4.437
(ii) Possible Anti-Competitive Harm From Use of Rebate Schemes	4.443
(c) Identifying Anti-Competitive Foreclosure Under the Article 102 Enforcement Priorities Guidance	4.446
(i) Step 1: The As-Efficient Competitor Test	4.447
(ii) Step 2: Assessment of Anti-Competitive Foreclosure	4.450
(iii) Countervailing Efficiencies and Objective Justification	4.454
(d) The Limits of the Article 102 Enforcement Priorities Guidance Approach	4.460
(e) The Commission's Application of the New Approach	4.468
G. Tying and Bundling	4.474
(1) Introduction	4.474
(a) Definition of Tying and Bundling	4.474
(b) Relationship with Article 101	4.477
(2) Legal Analysis and Case Law on Tying	4.478
(a) A Dominant Position on the Tying Market	4.480
(b) The Two-Product Test	4.482
(c) Coercion	4.488
(d) Anti-Competitive Effect	4.492
(i) The Requirement to Prove Foreclosure of As-Efficient Competitors	4.492
(e) Objective Justification	4.502
(i) Reduction in Transaction Costs	4.503
(ii) Preservation of Goodwill, Quality Assurance, and Ensuring Compliance with Safety Requirements	4.510
(iii) Dynamic Efficiency	4.511
(iv) Standardization	4.514

(3) Case Law on Mixed Bundling	4.519
(4) Policy and Effects-Based Approach	4.522
(a) The Article 102 Enforcement Priorities Guidance Approach Towards Tying and Bundling	4.522
(b) No Presumption of Anti-Competitive Harm	4.529
(c) Anti-Competitive Tying and Bundling	4.538
(d) Price Discrimination and Multi-Product Rebates	4.553
H. Refusal to Supply	4.558
(1) Concept of Abusive Refusal to Supply	4.558
(2) Basic Elements	4.561
(a) Competitive Advantage on Downstream Market	4.561
(b) Enforcement of Other Abuse	4.563
(c) Constructive Refusal to Supply	4.565
(d) De novo Refusals vs Withdrawal of Supply	4.567
(3) Types of Refusal to Supply	4.569
(a) Refusal to Supply a Physical Product or Service	4.570
(b) Refusal to Provide Access to an Essential Facility	4.571
(c) Refusal to License IP Rights	4.572
(d) Refusal to Supply Information Needed for Interoperability	4.575
(4) Potential Anti-Competitive Effects of Refusals to Supply	4.577
(5) The Case Law on Refusal to Supply	4.581
(a) General Framework	4.581
(b) Indispensability	4.585
(c) The Foreclosure Effect	4.594
(d) Raising Rivals' Costs as Exclusionary Effect?	4.599
(e) The Foreclosure Effect and the 'Essential Facilities' Doctrine in Commission Practice	4.602
(f) Consumer Harm	4.606
(g) Interoperability Cases Since Microsoft	4.609
(h) Refusal to Supply an Existing Customer	4.610
(i) Defences (Objective Necessity and Objective Justification)	4.613
(6) The Approach Under the Commission's Guidance	4.620
(a) General Approach	4.620
(b) Necessary Conditions	4.624
(i) Objective Necessity	4.628
(ii) Elimination of Effective Competition	4.630
(iii) Consumer Harm (and Incentives Balancing Test)	4.631
(iv) Objective Justifications (Efficiencies)	4.634
(7) Refusal to Supply and Patents	4.636
I. Margin Squeeze	4.638
(1) Concept of a Margin Squeeze Abuse	4.638
(2) Legal Analysis of Margin Squeeze	4.642
(a) The Early Case Law	4.642
(b) Elements of the Abuse	4.646
(i) Margin Squeeze is a Stand-Alone Abuse	4.651
(ii) The Need to Demonstrate an Anti-Competitive Effect	4.652
(iii) Factors Relevant to Assessing Potential Anti-Competitive Effect	4.657
(iv) The 'As-Efficient Competitor' Test	4.660
(v) Basis for Calculating Potential Effects	4.662
(vi) Objective Justification	4.664
(vii) Relevance of Level of Dominance	4.665

(3) Economic Assessment	4.667
(a) General	4.667
(b) The Cost Benchmark	4.671
(c) Profitability Analysis	4.674
(d) Assessment of the Spread	4.679
(e) Specific Considerations in Start-Up Phases	4.682
(4) Interplay Between Margin Squeeze and Refusal to Deal: Indispensability	4.683
(5) Interplay Between Margin Squeeze and Regulatory Obligations	4.693
J. Specific Abusive Practices in Relation to IP Rights	4.694
(1) Introduction	4.694
(a) Complementary Aims of IP Rights and Antitrust	4.694
(b) Appropriateness of Antitrust Intervention in the IP Rights Arena	4.696
(c) Potential Competition Concerns Arising out of IP Rights	4.702
(2) Supply of Misleading Information to Extend Patent Validity	4.707
(a) Nature of the Abuse	4.713
(b) Intent Not Determinative	4.716
(c) Evidence of Actual Effects	4.720
(3) Withdrawal of Marketing Authorization to Restrict Entry of Generics	4.722
(4) Patent Filing Strategies	4.729
(a) Early Cases on Strategic Use of Patents	4.729
(b) Defensive Patent Strategies: Blocking Patents	4.731
(c) Other Strategic Behaviour	4.736
(5) Patent Settlements With Reverse Payments (Pay for Delay)	4.738
(a) Overview: Potential Benefits and Harms From Patent Settlements	4.738
(b) Commission Practice	4.740
(6) Patent Hold-Up in the Context of Standard Setting	4.746
(a) Context: Standard Setting and Patent Hold-Up Possibilities	4.746
(b) Patent Ambush	4.751
(i) Definition	4.751
(ii) Anti-Competitive Effects of Patent Ambush	4.752
(iii) Conditions for Abuse: The <i>Rambus</i> Decision	4.753
(c) FRAND Hold-Up	4.763
(i) Definition of FRAND Hold-Up: The <i>Qualcomm</i> Case	4.763
(ii) Particular Issues Surrounding Article 102 Enforcement in FRAND Licensing	4.765
(i) <i>FRAND is Not a Static or Pre-Defined Concept</i>	4.765
(ii) <i>What Constitutes 'Fair' and 'Reasonable'?</i>	4.771
(iii) <i>What Constitutes 'Non-Discriminatory'?</i>	4.781
(iii) Importance of FRAND	4.784
(7) Anti-Competitive Litigation in Relation to Standard Essential Patents	4.787
(8) Issues Applicable Across IP Rights Cases	4.797
(a) Assessing Dominance in Pharmaceutical IP Rights Cases	4.797
(i) Inferring Dominance from Level of Rents	4.799
(ii) Dominance Relative to a Competitive Counterfactual Post-Loss of Exclusivity	4.805
(b) Anti-Competitive Foreclosure and Objective Justification in IP Rights Cases	4.806
(c) Applicability of Article 102 to 'Standard' Strategies/Tactics Available Under IP Rights	4.810
(d) Intervention under Article 102 vs Enforcement of IP Law	4.814

K. Exploitative Abuses	4.821
(1) Concept of Excessive Pricing	4.821
(2) The Test for Excessive Pricing Under EU Case Law	4.827
(a) The <i>United Brands</i> Test	4.827
(b) Difficulties in the Application of the <i>United Brands</i> Test: Port of Helsingborg	4.833
(c) Alternative Approaches under the Case Law	4.836
(3) Imposing Other Unfair Terms	4.844
(4) Economic Approach to Excessive Pricing	4.850
(a) Circumstances Suitable for Intervention	4.850
(i) To Restore Dynamic Competition	4.856
(ii) Exploitative Prices as a Result of Exclusionary Conduct	4.858
(iii) Exploitation of Dominance Resulting from Non-Competitive Forces	4.862
(b) Determining Whether Prices are Abusive	4.863
(i) The Price-Cost Difference is Excessive	4.864
(ii) Unfair Price in Itself or Compared to Others	4.867
(i) <i>Discrimination-Based Benchmarks</i>	4.875
(ii) <i>Direct Price-Cost Comparisons</i>	4.879
(5) Unfairly Low Prices Extracted by Dominant Buyers	4.882
(6) Limiting Production, Markets, or Technical Development	4.884
L. Price Discrimination	4.889
(1) Concept of Price Discrimination	4.889
(2) Competition Concerns Regarding Price Discrimination	4.891
(3) Case Law and Commission Practice on Article 102(c) Discriminatory Pricing Abuses	4.896
(a) Discrimination Based on Nationality	4.897
(b) Geographical Price Discrimination	4.899
(c) Market-Distorting Price Discrimination	4.907
(i) Definition	4.907
(ii) Equivalent Transactions	4.908
(iii) Dissimilar Conditions	4.911
(iv) Competitive Disadvantage	4.915
(4) Policy and Effects-Based Approach	4.935
(a) The Commission's Current Enforcement Approach	4.935
5. Mergers	
<i>Claes Bengtsson, Josep Maria Carpi Badia, and Massimiliano Kadar</i>	
A. Introduction	5.01
(1) Origins and Evolution of EU Merger Control	5.01
(a) Introduction of an EU Merger Control System	5.01
(b) The First Decade of Application of EU Merger Control	5.03
(c) The Judgments in <i>Airtours</i> , <i>Tetra Laval</i> , and <i>Schneider</i>	5.07
(d) The 2004 Reform	5.09
(e) Evolution of EU Merger Control Following the 2004 Reform	5.11
(2) Core Principles of EU Merger Control	5.15
(a) Compulsory Ex Ante Notification	5.15
(b) One-Stop Shop	5.17
(c) One-Tier Administrative Procedure Subject to Judicial Review	5.20
(d) Enforcement Objectives	5.22
(3) Statistics on Enforcement Over Time	5.24



B. Jurisdiction	5.31
(1) Overview	5.31
(a) Two-Limbed Test to Determine Jurisdiction	5.32
(i) The Concept of a 'Concentration'	5.33
(ii) The Requirement of an 'EU Dimension'	5.35
(b) Regulatory Framework: The Merger Regulation and the Jurisdictional Notice	5.36
(c) Discussions with the Commission on Jurisdiction in Individual Cases	5.38
(2) The Concept of a Concentration: Merger	5.43
(3) The Concept of a Concentration: Acquisition of Control	5.48
(a) Overview	5.48
(b) Control	5.49
(i) Who Acquires Control?	5.52
(ii) How Can Control Be Acquired?	5.57
(iii) The Object of Control	5.62
(iv) The Lasting Nature of the Change in Control	5.67
(v) Internal Restructurings and Concentrations Involving State-Owned Undertakings	5.73
(c) The Acquisition of Sole Control	5.78
(i) De Jure Sole Control	5.79
(ii) De Facto Sole Control	5.80
(d) The Acquisition of Joint Control	5.87
(i) Equal Voting Rights	5.89
(ii) Veto Rights	5.90
(iii) Joint Exercise of Voting Rights	5.93
(e) Changes in the Structure and/or Quality of Control	5.95
(f) Non-Controlling Minority Shareholdings (Structural Links)	5.100
(g) Exceptions Under Article 3(5) of the Merger Regulation	5.106
(4) Joint Ventures	5.111
(a) Introduction	5.111
(b) Concept of Joint Venture	5.114
(c) Relevant Jurisdictional Test	5.120
(i) Creation of a Joint Venture	5.121
(ii) Joint Acquisitions of an Undertaking or Business	5.122
(iii) Other Operations Involving Joint Ventures	5.127
(d) The Requirement of Full-Functionality	5.128
(i) Overview	5.128
(ii) Sufficient Resources to Operate Independently on the Market	5.131
(iii) Relations Between the Joint Venture and its Parent Companies	5.132
(iv) Operating on a Lasting Basis	5.137
(5) Interrelated and Staggered Operations	5.139
(a) Interdependent Transactions	5.141
(b) Consecutive Transactions Between the Same Parties (Staggered Transactions)	5.149
(6) Ancillary Restraints	5.152
(7) The Requirement of an EU Dimension	5.153
(a) The Purpose of Turnover Thresholds	5.154
(b) The Principal Threshold under Article 1(2) of the Merger Regulation	5.157
(c) The Alternative Threshold under Article 1(3) of the Merger Regulation	5.160
(d) Appraisal of the Operation of the Turnover Thresholds	5.163