Kate O'Neill

The Environment and International Relations

SECOND EDITION

This exciting textbook introduces students to the ways in which the theories and tools of International Relations can be used to analyze and address global environmental problems. Kate O'Neill develops an innovative historical and analytical framework for understanding global environmental issues, integrating insights from different disciplines, and identifies the main actors and their roles. thereby encouraging students to engage with the issues and equip themselves with the knowledge they need to apply their own critical insights. This book will be invaluable for students of environmental issues from both political science and environmental studies perspectives.

New to this edition:

- Updated coverage to include the latest developments in the field, incorporating new perspectives and recent thinking up to the December 2015 Paris Climate Agreement.
- Includes new examples, textboxes and figures throughout to explain key concepts and debates, enabling students to connect theory with practice.
- Features a new chapter examining the emergence and politics of market mechanisms as a new mode of global environmental governance.

Kate O'Neill is Associate Professor in the Department of Environmental Science, Policy, and Management at the University of California at Berkeley. "Kate O'Neill skillfully surveys a vast interdisciplinary literature to expose the most powerful actors, ideas, institutions, and policies shaping international environmental governance. Her masterful analysis is definitely the best place to start for anyone wanting to understand the politics of advancing global sustainability."

Peter Dauvergne, University of British Columbia

"In The Environment and International Relations, a prominent scholar in the field superbly combines insightful yet accessible discussions on both theory and practice, analytical investigation and normative critique, past approaches and new directions, and state-led and non-state environmental governance. Kate O'Neill's remarkable textbook - now in its second edition - is perfectly suited to the needs of students of political science, international relations, and environmental studies; it is highly recommended for both undergraduate and graduate study programs." Frank Biermann, Copernicus Institute of Sustainable Development, Utrecht University

> CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS www.cambridge.org



SECOND EDITION

The Environment and International Relations

Kate O'Neill

University of California at Berkeley



CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

University Printing House, Cambridge CB2 8BS, United Kingdom One Liberty Plaza, 20th Floor, New York, NY 10006, USA 477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia 4843/24, 2nd Floor, Ansari Road, Daryagani, Delhi – 110002, India 79 Anson Road, #06–04/06, Singapore 079906

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning, and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org

Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781107061675

DOI: 10.1017/9781107448087

© Kate O'Neill 2017

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2009 Second edition 2017

Printed in the United States of America by Sheridan Books, Inc.

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library.

ISBN 978-1-107-06167-5 Hardback ISBN 978-1-107-67171-3 Paperback

Additional resources for this publication at www.cambridge.org/9781107061675

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party Internet Web sites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such Web sites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.

The Environment and International Relations

This exciting textbook introduces students to the ways in which the theories and tools of International Relations can be used to analyse and address global environmental problems. Kate O'Neill develops an innovative historical and analytical framework for understanding global environmental issues, integrating insights from different disciplines, and thereby encouraging readers to engage with the issues and equip themselves with the knowledge they need to apply their own critical insights. This book will be invaluable for students of environmental issues both from political science and environmental studies perspectives.

New to this edition

- * Updated coverage to include the latest developments in the field, incorporating new perspectives and recent thinking up to the December 2015 Paris Climate Agreement.
- * Includes new examples, textboxes and figures throughout to explain key concepts and debates, enabling readers to connect theory with practice.
- * Features a new chapter examining the emergence and politics of market mechanisms as a new mode of global environmental governance.

Kate O'Neill is Associate Professor in the Department of Environmental Science, Policy and Management at the University of California at Berkelev.

Themes in international Relations

This new series of textbooks aims to provide students with authoritative surveys of central topics in the study of International Relations. Intended for upper-level undergraduates and graduates, the books will be concise, accessible, and comprehensive. Each volume will examine the main theoretical and empirical aspects of the subject concerned, and its relation to wider debates in International Relations, and will also include chapter-by-chapter guides to further reading and discussion questions.

Studying the global politics of the environment is a complex, sometimes challenging, but always illuminating task. Those who study this area approach it from many different directions: political science, economics, sociology, law, and ecology, to name but a few. For my own part, I first heard about climate change from my high school biology teacher in the mid-1980s; shortly thereafter, we all found out about the ozone layer as all the hairsprays, deodorants, and other aerosol products containing ozonedestroying chlorofluorocarbons (or CFCs) vanished from store shelves. As an undergraduate studying economics, I learned about "externalities," "public goods," and other ways that unregulated capitalism leads, in the absence of intervention, to environmental damage - including damage that travels across national borders. I carried these interests on to graduate school and Ph.D. work in political science, without really expecting to be able to study them in the context of an advanced degree in international relations theory. This all changed following the 1992 United Nations Conference on Environment and Development (UNCED), held in Rio de Janeiro. The Earth Summit, as it is often called, brought into focus a whole network of international treaties and agreements set up to manage international environmental problems - and proved to be a watershed moment for an emerging academic field of international environmental politics, particularly the study of international cooperation among nation states for global environmental protection. These days, as a professor in an interdisciplinary environmental studies department, and an active participant in the academic field of global environmental politics, I encounter perspectives outside the political science field that explain the deeply pervasive nature of global environmental change and advocate a range of political solutions above and beyond international diplomacy.

Today's students were born into a world with serious and widespread environmental challenges, with literally thousands of international agreements, organizations, partnerships, networks, and initiatives attempting to meet these challenges. They also know that many global environmental

viii Preface

trends are in the wrong direction, and serious structural and institutional changes are likely to be needed in order to address them. There are no optimal solutions to global environmental degradation, and many will be grappling with these problems for decades to come. All who work in the field of international environmental politics face a constant tension between the normative aspects of our work – we do, after all, want to save the world and the world's environment for future generations – and the analytical: the need to understand and explain real-world political dynamics, which often fall short of anyone's ideal. This book is informed by the idea that in order to move forward we must understand the shape and dynamics of the governance systems we have now, and it is inspired by the efforts of my students to marry hope to political realities.

Acknowledgments

Attempting to distill a vast and ever-changing body of literature into a single volume is no easy task. Doing the same thing for a second edition of a book about an ever-changing field is even harder. Many people helped me along this journey. I would like to thank John Haslam and others from Cambridge University Press for their patience, encouragement, and enthusiasm. For reading drafts, helping with last-minute edits, and general support, special thanks to Erin Bergren and Laura Driscoll. In addition, thank you to Erika Weinthal, Stacy VanDeveer, and Susan Altman for support, Ben Cashore, Jane Dawson, and Sikina Jinnah – among many others – for using the first edition in their courses and encouraging me to write the second. I truly appreciated all feedback from users and readers. Particular thanks to Wil Burns for his patience and support during this whole process, as well as willingness to work through many seemingly minor details as the text progressed.

I began work on this edition during an immensely difficult transition in my life. I owe an immeasurable debt to my friends and colleagues in the broader Global Environmental Politics community for their support. It is good to belong to such a vibrant – and prolific – academic field.

Abbreviations

AOSIS	Alliance of Small Island States
BASIC	Brazil, South Africa, India, and China
BINGO	Business International Non-Governmental Organization
BRICs	Brazil, Russia, India, China, and South Africa
CBD	Convention on Biological Diversity
CDM	Clean Development Mechanism
CER	Certified Emissions Reduction
CFCs	chlorofluorocarbons
CITES	Convention on International Trade in Endangered
	Species
COP	Conference of the Parties
CSA	Canadian Standards Association
CSD	Commission on Sustainable Development
CSR	corporate social responsibility
CTE	Committee on Trade and the Environment
ENB	Earth Negotiations Bulletin
ESS	ecosystem services
ETS	emissions trading system/scheme
EU	European Union
EUA	European Union Allowance
FAO	UN Food and Agriculture Organization
FDI	foreign direct investment
FSC	Forest Stewardship Council
G77	Group of 77 Developing Countries
GATS	General Agreement on Trade in Services
GATT	General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade
GDP	gross domestic product
GEF	Global Environment Facility
GEG	global environmental governance
GEP	global environmental politics
GHG	greenhouse gas
GIS	Geographic Information System

GMO genetically modified organism
HCFCs hydrochlorofluorocarbons

HFCs hydrofluorocarbons

HIPCs highly indebted poorer countries IAEA International Atomic Energy Agency

IAM Integrated Assessment Model

IBRD International Bank for Reconstruction and Development

ICC International Chamber of Commerce
IEP international environmental politics
IGO inter-governmental organization
IMF International Monetary Fund

IMO International Maritime Organization

INDC Intended Nationally Determined Contribution

IPBES Intergovernmental Science-Policy Platform on

Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services

IPCC Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change

IPE international political economy

ISO International Organization for Standardization IUCN International Union for the Conservation of Nature

IWC International Whaling Convention
 LRTAP long-range transboundary air pollution
 MRV monitoring, reporting, and verification
 MEA Multilateral Environmental Agreement

MNC multinational corporation

NAFTA North American Free Trade Agreement

NGO non-governmental organization NIEO New International Economic Order

NOAA National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

NSMD non-state, market-driven (governance)

NTB non-tariff barrier

OECD Organization for Economic Cooperation and Develop-

ment

PEFC Programme for the Endorsement of Forest Certification

Schemes

PES payment for ecosystem services POPs persistent organic pollutants RDB regional development bank

REDD Reducing Emissions from Deforestation and Forest

Degradation

RGGI Regional Greenhouse Gas Initiative

RTA regional trade agreement

SAP Structural Adjustment Program

SBSTTA Subsidiary Body for Scientific, Technical, and

Technological Advice

SCC Social Cost of Carbon

SFI Sustainable Forests Initiative
SIR system for implementation review
SPS Sanitary and Phytosanitary Standards

SRI socially responsible investment
STS science and technology studies
TAN Transnational Advocacy Network

TEEB The Economics of Ecosystems and Biodiversity
TRIPS Trade-Related Intellectual Property Rights

TWG technical working group

UN United Nations

UNCCD UN Convention to Combat Desertification

UNCED UN Conference on Environment and Development UNCHE UN Conference on Humans and the Environment

UNCTAD UN Conference on Trade and Development

UNDP UN Development Programme
UNEP UN Environment Programme

UNESCO UN Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Organization

UNFCCC UN Framework Convention on Climate Change

VCLT Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties

WBSCD World Business Council for Sustainable Development

WCD World Commission on Dams WEO World Environment Organization

WHO World Health Organization

WMO World Meteorological Organization

WSSD World Summit on Sustainable Development

WTO World Trade Organization

WWF Worldwide Fund for Nature/World Wildlife Fund

Contents

	Preface	page vii
	Acknowledgments	ix
	List of Abbreviations	X
1	Introduction: The Environment and International Relations	1
2	Global Environmental Problems	28
3	Actors in Global Environmental Politics	51
4	State-Led Global Environmental Governance	79
5	The Impacts and Effectiveness of Global Environmenta Governance	l 113
6	Global Economic Governance and the Environment	139
7	Non-State Global Environmental Governance	170
8	The Global Politics of Market Mechanisms	201
9	Conclusions: The Environment and International Relations in the Twenty-First Century	232
	References	244
	Index	279

1 Introduction: The Environment and International Relations

In December 2015 the official representatives of nearly 200 countries met in Paris to negotiate an agreement that would govern the global response to climate change and its impacts well into the twenty-first century. Climate change is among the most serious problems facing the international community. Rising global temperatures are threatening livelihoods and lives worldwide, through changing weather patterns, drought, and sea-level rise that threatens the very existence of the world's small island nation states. Even so, global action to date had proven deeply disappointing. The world's largest economy, the United States, had pulled out of negotiations. Others – even the member states of the European Union (EU), usually considered a strong supporter of environmental action - were barely meeting the low targets they had agreed to, and the new engines of the global economy - China, India, and Brazil – were rapidly increasing greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions without any obligation to act. The science behind climate change continued to come under fierce attack from skeptics. Activist groups and even business actors felt excluded from the governance arena, despite the ideas and initiatives they were offering.

Global climate politics reached a nadir after the 2009 Conference of the Parties (COP) to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) in Copenhagen. Much hope and optimism in the lead-up suggested that this would be the time the international community broke through and came up with a strong, binding legal agreement to meet commitments. Instead, the meeting almost foundered on the rock of national interests, and the resulting Copenhagen Accord – not even a formal agreement – was deeply disappointing to many, setting only weak goals and vague commitments to a new global fund.

The Paris meeting – the twenty-first COP to the UNFCCC – was different. On the last day of the conference nation-states announced an agreement where, rather than being allocated targets they had to meet, they had crafted individual plans of action for reducing emission, called Intended Nationally Determined Contributions (INDCs). The agreement also

contained processes for monitoring (and perhaps strengthening) those commitments over time, and general commitments to help the weakest states adapt, to encourage carbon storage, and to aspire to keep the global temperature rise to 1.5 degrees Celsius. The exhausted delegates, officials, and other observers stood to applaud at the conclusion of the meeting and the creation of the Paris Agreement.

The Paris Agreement has been hailed as a turning point, a success in the fight against climate change (Light 2015; Busby 2016). Previously uncommitted countries – the USA as well as China and other emerging major powers – have joined, and non-traditional actors, such as non-governmental organizations (NGOs), have new roles to play. Best estimates suggest that if the targets states have already set are met, we will avoid the worst impacts of global temperature rise. Paris also represents a major shift in how climate change is governed globally. It represents a more diffuse, "bottom-up" approach to global governance, which, as we shall see, is not how global environmental governance (GEG) is usually carried out. At the same time, many criticized the agreement, including many scientists, arguing that commitments are still far weaker than needed, and questioned the will of nation-states to maintain and strengthen commitments over time in the absence of strong monitoring and transparency rules, as yet to be negotiated (Sethi 2015; Geden 2015).

The outcome of the Paris meeting illustrates a major theme of this book, which directly addresses the relationship between international relations theory and the politics of GEG. From an environmentalist perspective, international actions around climate change and many other environmental problems are inadequate: they are too slow, and possibly too weak, to manage the problems we face. They do not challenge the basic global economic and political structures that drive unconstrained growth regardless of ecological limits.

From the perspective of a political scientist, especially from international relations, however, these steps represent significant progress. Given a world with a history of conflict and failed cooperation, the steps we have taken, and the extent of global environmental cooperation over the past five decades, are tremendous. We have built new organizations and institutions, empowered new actors, fostered science, knowledge building and new technology, and have nearly 200 vastly different nation-states working together in unprecedented ways. This particular tension – between environmentalist and political science views of the world – motivates this work, and much of my own thinking about global environmental politics (GEP), as a political scientist in an environmental studies department. The chapters that follow will, I hope, further illuminate this tension and suggest ways forward.