



Activate your eBook

# Publishing Your Medical Research

SECOND  
EDITION

**Daniel W. Byrne**



Wolters Kluwer



# Publishing Your Medical Research

**SECOND EDITION**

**Daniel W. Byrne**



**Wolters Kluwer**

Philadelphia • Baltimore • New York • London  
Buenos Aires • Hong Kong • Sydney • Tokyo



Acquisitions Editor: Kel McGowan  
Development Editor: Kristina Oberle  
Production Project Manager: David Saltzberg  
Design Coordinator: Steve Druding  
Marketing Manager: Rachel Mante Leung  
Senior Manufacturing Coordinator: Beth Welsh  
Prepress Vendor: Absolute Service, Inc.

© 2017 by Wolters Kluwer

First edition © 1998 by Lippincott Williams & Wilkins

All rights reserved. This book is protected by copyright. No part of this book may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, including as photocopies or scanned-in or other electronic copies, or utilized by any information storage and retrieval system without written permission from the copyright owner, except for brief quotations embodied in critical articles and reviews. Materials appearing in this book prepared by individuals as part of their official duties as U.S. government employees are not covered by the above-mentioned copyright. To request permission, please contact Wolters Kluwer at Two Commerce Square, 2001 Market Street, Philadelphia, PA 19103, via email at [permissions@lww.com](mailto:permissions@lww.com), or via our website at [lww.com](http://lww.com) (products and services).

IBM, the IBM logo, [ibm.com](http://ibm.com), and SPSS are trademarks or registered trademarks of **International Business Machines Corporation**, registered in many jurisdictions worldwide. Other product and service names might be trademarks of IBM or other companies. A current list of IBM trademarks is available on the Web at "IBM Copyright and trademark information" at [www.ibm.com/legal/copytrade.shtml](http://www.ibm.com/legal/copytrade.shtml).

9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1

Printed in China

#### Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Names: Byrne, Daniel W., author.

Title: Publishing your medical research / Daniel W. Byrne.

Description: Second edition. | Philadelphia : Wolters Kluwer Health, [2017] |

Includes bibliographical references and index.

Identifiers: LCCN 2016028215 | ISBN 9781496353863

Subjects: | MESH: Medical Writing | Publishing | Biomedical Research

Classification: LCC R119 | NLM WZ 345 | DDC 808/.06661—dc23 LC record available at <https://lccn.loc.gov/2016028215>

This work is provided "as is," and the publisher disclaims any and all warranties, express or implied, including any warranties as to accuracy, comprehensiveness, or currency of the content of this work.

This work is no substitute for individual patient assessment based on healthcare professionals' examination of each patient and consideration of, among other things, age, weight, gender, current or prior medical conditions, medication history, laboratory data, and other factors unique to the patient. The publisher does not provide medical advice or guidance and this work is merely a reference tool. Healthcare professionals, and not the publisher, are solely responsible for the use of this work including all medical judgments and for any resulting diagnosis and treatments.

Given continuous, rapid advances in medical science and health information, independent professional verification of medical diagnoses, indications, appropriate pharmaceutical selections and dosages, and treatment options should be made and healthcare professionals should consult a variety of sources. When prescribing medication, healthcare professionals are advised to consult the product information sheet (the manufacturer's package insert) accompanying each drug to verify, among other things, conditions of use, warnings, and side effects and identify any changes in dosage schedule or contraindications, particularly if the medication to be administered is new, infrequently used or has a narrow therapeutic range. To the maximum extent permitted under applicable law, no responsibility is assumed by the publisher for any injury and/or damage to persons or property, as a matter of products liability, negligence law or otherwise, or from any reference to or use by any person of this work.

LWW.com

# Publishing Your Medical Research

**SECOND EDITION**



*In memory of Art Wheeler*



"Worship the spirit of criticism," Pasteur advised his fellow scientists. Today, most scientists recognize the importance of this advice, but nearly all prefer the spirit of praise from one particular group: peer reviewers. The bad news is that each year, reviewers reject hundreds of thousands of medical manuscripts. The good news is that many are rejected for common flaws that can be avoided.

Numerous books have described how to write a scientific paper, notably those by Day and Gastel (2011), Huth (1999), Browner (2012), and Hall (2012). My goal in writing *Publishing Your Medical Research* was to explain how to anticipate and avoid the problems typically encountered in designing a research study and writing a publishable paper.

Many common reviewer criticisms can be avoided simply by understanding the research and publishing processes and by following certain fundamental principles. This book presents more than 200 principles in five sequential phases: Planning, Observing, Writing, Editing, and Revising. Applying these POWER principles will increase the likelihood that your research will be accepted for publication. The information in this book will also help you critically assess new medical information and extract what you need to know.

As background research for this book, I surveyed a number of experts, including editors-in-chief of prominent medical journals, peer reviewers, and Nobel Laureates. I conducted this survey for the first edition and then again recently for the second edition. Additionally, I analyzed hundreds of actual reviews to identify common themes and distilled specific comments into positive guidance. These ideas are arranged into principles presented in an easy-to-read format, with short examples of actual peer review critiques. To protect the privacy of those involved, some of these comments are paraphrased or generalized.

*Publishing Your Medical Research* is designed to help researchers work effectively with epidemiologists, informatics experts, biostatisticians, technical writers, graphic artists, and other methodologists. It provides an organized collection of solutions to help you publish your medical research paper. For those who manage a research team, these solutions will help you stretch your research funds. Although this book focuses on clinical research, most of the principles can also be applied to nonclinical biomedical research, which is increasingly requiring more of these principles. This book is a guide, not a cookbook, and therefore does not attempt to explain everything you need to know about statistical analysis, epidemiology, or writing. For more complex problems, a suitable modern reference or resource is recommended.

Academic publishing requires time, dedication, practice, and, above all, persistence. If you can muster these resources, you will be rewarded with seeing your article in print and knowing that your work has made a difference. As the clinical psychologist and educator Anne Roe said, "Nothing in science has any value to society if it is not communicated."

—Daniel W. Byrne



# Acknowledgments

All published work and quotations are referenced as accurately as possible. As promised, the survey responses were kept anonymous, but I am nonetheless grateful to the reviewers and editors who completed and returned my questionnaires.

Gertrude Elion and Robert Jacoby were kind enough to read and find something nice to say about early drafts of the first edition of this book. I continue to be grateful to all of the people who helped with the first edition, including Paul van Niewerburgh, Jane Petro, Harold Horowitz, Jean Morgan, Albert Lowenfels, Chris Hunter, Lawrence Wexler, Luis Bracero, P.D. Reddy, Evan Jones, Michael Blumenfield, Elizabeth Nieginski, Julie Scardiglia, Karla Schroeder, Rosanne Hallowell, Beth Goldner, and Jacqueline Jenks.

For the second edition, I would like to thank David Robertson, Bob Dittus, Hank Domenico, Li Wang, Rachel Walden, Art Wheeler, Gordon Bernard, Kel McGowan, Kristina Oberle, Jim Ware, Tom Hazinski, Nancy Brown, Alp Ikizler, Mike Stein, Alastair Wood, Yu Shyr, Bill Dupont, Ayumi Shintani, Virginia Byrne, Brenda Minor, Paul Harris, and Vivian Siegel. Over the past 16 years, the trainees in Vanderbilt's Master of Science in Clinical Investigation program courses that I taught have provided me with valuable feedback on most of the material in this book. I am grateful for this feedback and honored to teach in this program.

Finally, but most of all, a special thanks to my wife, Loretta, for her insightful editing and almost endless patience.



# Contents

<i>List of Tables</i>	ix
<i>List of Figures</i>	xi
<i>Preface</i>	xiii
<i>Acknowledgments</i>	xv

<b>CHAPTER 1</b>	Overview: Twenty Key Principles	1
------------------	---------------------------------	---

## PLANNING

<b>CHAPTER 2</b>	Laying the Groundwork	9
<b>CHAPTER 3</b>	The Methodology	15
<b>CHAPTER 4</b>	Minimizing Bias	29
<b>CHAPTER 5</b>	The Data Collection/Case Report Form	37
<b>CHAPTER 6</b>	Reproducible Eligibility Criteria	43
<b>CHAPTER 7</b>	Randomization, Blinding, and Confidentiality	47
<b>CHAPTER 8</b>	End Points and Outcome	53
<b>CHAPTER 9</b>	Sample Size and Power	59
<b>CHAPTER 10</b>	Preparing for Modern Statistical Analysis	65
<b>CHAPTER 11</b>	Avoiding Common Criticisms	69
<b>CHAPTER 12</b>	Preparing to Write a Publishable Paper	77

## OBSERVING

<b>CHAPTER 13</b>	Collecting Data and Handling Missing Data	91
<b>CHAPTER 14</b>	Analyzing Data: Statistical Analysis for Reproducible Research	95
<b>CHAPTER 15</b>	Interpreting the Data	103
<b>CHAPTER 16</b>	Univariate Analysis	109
<b>CHAPTER 17</b>	Nonparametric Tests	119
<b>CHAPTER 18</b>	Matching and Propensity Score Analysis	121
<b>CHAPTER 19</b>	Multivariate Analysis and Model Validation	123

## WRITING

<b>CHAPTER 20</b>	Title Page	133
<b>CHAPTER 21</b>	Abstract	137
<b>CHAPTER 22</b>	Introduction	139
<b>CHAPTER 23</b>	Methods	145

<b>CHAPTER 24</b>	Results	163
<b>CHAPTER 25</b>	Discussion	189
<b>CHAPTER 26</b>	References	199
<b>CHAPTER 27</b>	Industry Publications	203

## EDITING

<b>CHAPTER 28</b>	Preparing Your Manuscript for Submission	207
<b>CHAPTER 29</b>	Small but Significant Points to Consider	217
<b>CHAPTER 30</b>	Improving Your Writing	219
<b>CHAPTER 31</b>	Fixes for Problematic Terms	231

## REVISING

<b>CHAPTER 32</b>	Revising the Final Draft	237
<b>CHAPTER 33</b>	The Cover Letter	241
<b>CHAPTER 34</b>	Responding to Peer Reviewers' Comments	245

## APPENDIXES

<b>A.</b>	Recommendations for the Conduct, Reporting, Editing, and Publication of Scholarly Work in Medical Journals (ICMJE Recommendations) (formerly known as the <b>Uniform Requirements</b> for Manuscripts Submitted to Biomedical Journals)	251
<b>B.</b>	Peer Review Questionnaires from First Edition and Second Edition	275
<b>C.</b>	Sample Data Collection Form	293
<b>D.</b>	World Medical Association Declaration of Helsinki	299

*Ode to Multiauthorship: A Multicentre, Prospective Random Poem* 305

*Bibliography* 307

*Index* 313



# List of Tables

Table 1.1	A Self-Education Reading List for Medical Researchers	2
Table 2.1	Reviewers' Responses to the Question: "What Is Your Definition of a Good Article?"	11
Table 3.1	Ideal Clinical Research Study Design	17
Table 3.2	CONSORT 2010 checklist of information to include when reporting a randomised trial	22
Table 3.3	Comparison of Study Designs	26
Table 4.1	Bias Reported by Reviewers and Editors	31
Table 4.2	Forms of Bias in the Medical Literature	32
Table 4.3	Editors and Reviewers Report Lessons Learned about Writing and Publishing	33
Table 5.1	Elements to Include in the Invitation/Cover Letter of a Questionnaire	40
Table 5.2	Suggestions for Improving the Response Rate	40
Table 7.1	Types of Randomization	48
Table 8.1	Examples of Weak and Strong Variables	56
Table 9.1	Sample Size Requirements for Each of Two Groups	62
Table 10.1	Levels of Measurement	66
Table 11.1	Reviewers' Most Common Criticisms of Manuscripts	70
Table 11.2	Editors' Most Common Criticisms of Manuscripts	73
Table 12.1	Order of Manuscript Sections with an Example of Page Limits for a Typical Journal	78
Table 12.2	Medical Journals Commonly Accessed Electronically	78
Table 12.3	Impact Factor for a Selection of Medical Journals	79
Table 12.4	Approximate Acceptance Rate for Unsolicited Manuscripts for a Selection of Medical Journals	80
Table 12.5	A Selection of High-Profile Medical and Biomedical Research Journals	81
Table 12.6	How to Avoid Annoying a Reviewer	84
Table 12.7	How to Avoid Annoying an Editor	86
Table 14.1	Example of a Fixed-Field or Fixed-Width Text Format File	100
Table 14.2	Example of a Free-Field Format File or Comma-Separated Values File	100
Table 15.1	Most Common Data Analysis Mistakes in Medical Research	104
Table 16.1	Most Commonly Used Inferential Statistical Techniques in Modern Medical Research	112
Table 16.2	Most Commonly Used Statistical Software Packages Used in Medical Research	115
Table 17.1	Corresponding Parametric–Nonparametric Tests	120
Table 19.1	Statistical Tools of the Future	128
Table 19.2	Comparison of Weak/Outdated Statistical Methods and Strong/Modern Methods	130
Table 20.1	Order in Which a Typical Manuscript Is Written versus Submitted	133
Table 20.2	Elements of a Good Title	134
Table 20.3	Phrases to Avoid in the Title	135
Table 23.1	Examples of Subheadings to Provide a Framework in the Methods Section	147
Table 23.2	Features of a Type I Error	157

Table 23.3	Features of a Type II Error	157
Table 23.4	Commonly Used Statistical Symbols and Abbreviations	161
Table 24.1	Pejorative Terms to Avoid	170
Table 24.2	Diplomatic Terms to Use	171
Table 24.3	Formulas for Calculating Rates	173
Table 24.4	Hill's Criteria for Evaluating Causation	174
Table 24.5	Criteria for Evaluating a Clinical Scale	174
Table 24.6	Checklist for Creating an Appealing Table	177
Table 24.7	Checklist for Creating an Appealing Figure	187
Table 24.8	A Comparison of Outdated and Modern Graphical Methods	187
Table 28.1	Examples of Colloquialisms, Clichés, Euphemisms, and Slang	212
Table 28.2	Sentence Beginnings to Avoid	213
Table 28.3	Sentence Beginnings to Use Sparingly	213
Table 28.4	Transitional Phrases	214
Table 28.5	Additional Symbols and Codes	215
Table 28.6	How to Use the Word "Data"	216
Table 29.1	Examples of House Style Differences among Journals	217
Table 29.2	Rephrasing from the First Person to the Third Person	218
Table 30.1	Advice from Editors and Reviewers	220
Table 30.2	Words and Phrases to Delete	221
Table 30.3	Examples of How Sentences Can Be Improved by Deleting the Word "There"	222
Table 30.4	Usage Problems	222
Table 30.5	Describing Results from the Literature	223
Table 30.6	Diction Problems	224
Table 30.7	Capitalization Problems	224
Table 30.8	Phrases to Transpose	224
Table 30.9	Problems with Numbers	225
Table 30.10	Hyphenated Terms	225
Table 30.11	Prefixes and Numbers That Generally Require a Hyphen	226
Table 30.12	Terms That Do Not Require a Hyphen	227
Table 30.13	Words for Which the English Plural Is Preferred	228
Table 30.14	Words for Which the Non-English Plural Is Preferred	228
Table 31.1	Problematic Word Pairs to Master	231
Table 31.2	Common Problems That Copyeditors Correct	233
Table 32.1	Unnecessary Words	238
Table 33.1	Editors' Definitions of a Good Article	242
Table 34.1	Suggestions for Resubmitting Your Revised Manuscript	246
Table 34.2	Typical Elements in a Priority Rating System	247
Table 34.3	Examples of Ideal Papers	249



# List of Figures

Figure 3.1	The four major types of study flaws.	18
Figure 3.2	The frequency of specific study deficiencies.	19
Figure 3.3	Study deficiencies responsible for rejection.	19
Figure 3.4	Flowchart of study designs.	20
Figure 3.5	Study design and time.	24
Figure 4.1	Regression to the mean.	36
Figure 5.1	Frequency of problems with data quality.	39
Figure 5.2	Data quality problems that result in rejection.	39
Figure 8.1	Independent and dependent variables.	55
Figure 9.1	Screenshot of the PS sample size software.	63
Figure 9.2	Screenshot of the detectable alternative graphics window from PS.	63
Figure 12.1	Sections of a manuscript that are too long and too short.	82
Figure 12.2	General problems responsible for rejection of manuscripts submitted for publication.	85
Figure 12.3	Frequency of general problems with manuscripts submitted for publication.	88
Figure 13.1	ResearchMatch.org.	92
Figure 14.1	Research Electronic Data Capture (REDCap).	96
Figure 14.2	Ten data entry commandments.	96
Figure 14.3	Spreadsheet from hell.	97
Figure 14.4	Spreadsheet from heaven.	99
Figure 15.1	RStudio.	107
Figure 16.1	Flowchart of common inferential statistics.	110
Figure 16.2	Results of a screening test in a $2 \times 2$ table.	113
Figure 16.3	Predictive value measurements.	113
Figure 16.4	Example of a screening test.	113
Figure 16.5	Results of a chi-square test.	114
Figure 16.6	Two normal curves.	117
Figure 16.7	Results of a Student's <i>t</i> -test for two unpaired (unmatched) groups.	117
Figure 22.1	How a paper fills a niche in the literature.	141
Figure 22.2	Precise niche versus overstating that nothing is known about an area.	142
Figure 22.3	Nonlinear versus linear thinking.	142
Figure 23.1	The manuscript section that usually contains the most flaws.	145
Figure 23.2	The manuscript section that is most often responsible for rejection.	146
Figure 23.3	Presentation problems and rejection.	148
Figure 23.4	The frequency of presentation problems.	149
Figure 23.5	The frequency of research design problems.	155
Figure 23.6	Research design problems and rejection.	156
Figure 23.7	Illustration of Type I and Type II errors.	157
Figure 23.8	Analogy of a jury's decision illustrating type I and type II errors.	157

Figure 24.1	Example of the relative risk (RR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) for three risk factors.	166
Figure 24.2	Relative risk, attributable risk, and odds ratio.	166
Figure 24.3	Spline graph of diabetes and body mass index.	180
Figure 24.4	Exploding pie chart showing percentage of male and female.	180
Figure 24.5	Scatter plot of serum albumin concentration and total protein.	182
Figure 24.6	Example of a Bland-Altman plot.	183
Figure 24.7	Example of a bimodal distribution.	183
Figure 24.8	Forest plot.	184
Figure 24.9	Google Books Ngram Viewer.	185
Figure 24.10	Example of a Receiver Operating Characteristics (ROC) curve.	186
Figure 25.1	The frequency of interpretation problems.	196
Figure 25.2	Interpretation problems and rejection.	197
Figure 28.1	Internal peer review form.	208
Figure 28.2	Topic problems that are responsible for rejection.	210
Figure 28.3	Frequency of topic problems.	211
Figure 32.1	Frequency of writing problems.	239
Figure 32.2	The most common writing problems.	239
Figure 34.1	Typical reviewer's evaluation form.	248



# Overview: Twenty Key Principles

Success in publishing your medical research paper will largely depend on how well you follow these twenty key principles. Study these points before moving on to the more detailed principles and periodically review these during your research project.

*"You have to learn the rules of the game. And then you have to play better than anyone else."*

—ALBERT EINSTEIN

## **PRINCIPLE 1 • Educate yourself in an aggressive and continuous way regarding clinical trials, study design, bias, and biostatistics.**

There are no shortcuts to publishing papers. Clinical trials, in particular, have become a science, and there are plenty of pitfalls for those who are not experts in this science. Table 1.1 provides a reading list that will provide a preliminary plan for this self-education. A formal training program in medical research is also extremely valuable in learning how to write publishable papers, for example, a master of public health (MPH) or a master of science in clinical investigation (MSCI) program.

## **PRINCIPLE 2 • Select a clinically important problem to solve that will result in a high-impact paper.**

Focus on answering questions that are important to improving patient-centered outcomes and avoid projects related to trivial correlations or meaningless interactions. Write one polished sentence that clearly defines the precise problem that your study addresses. This problem must describe the specific shape of the niche in the literature that your paper will fill—not a vague background statement, such as "There is an obesity epidemic in this country." A strong problem statement would be "It is unclear from the current medical literature whether an online diabetes prevention program would be as effective as an in-person program with regards to attendance and weight loss." Translate this problem into a testable null hypothesis to include in the Methods, for example, "The 1-year weight loss is no different between participants randomized to an in-person diabetes prevention program and those in an online program."

## **PRINCIPLE 3 • Invest ample time and money in planning.**

"JUST DO IT" was a successful slogan for selling sneakers, but it is a poor approach to conducting medical research. You need both an experienced research team and an extensive understanding of the literature to avoid the common problems encountered in planning the study, designing the measures of outcome, creating the data collection forms, and performing the statistical analysis. An experienced and successful mentor is your best resource for understanding exactly how much time needs to be dedicated to the planning phase of a project.



**TABLE 1.1****A Self-Education Reading List for Medical Researchers**

*Designing Clinical Research* by Hulley et al. (2013)  
*Fundamentals of Clinical Trials* by Friedman et al. (2015)  
*Evaluating Clinical and Public Health Interventions: A Practical Guide to Study Design and Statistics* by Katz (2010)  
*Basic Statistics for the Health Sciences* by Kuzma and Bohnenblust (2004)  
*How to Write a Lot: A Practical Guide to Productive Academic Writing* by Silvia (2007)  
*Medical Uses of Statistics* by Bailar and Hoaglin (2009)  
*Statistics with Confidence: Confidence Intervals and Statistical Guidelines* by Altman et al. (2000)  
*How to Report Statistics in Medicine: Annotated Guidelines for Authors, Editors, and Reviewers* by Lang and Secic (2006)  
*The Man Who Discovered Quality: How W. Edwards Deming Brought the Quality Revolution to America—The Stories of FORD, XEROX, and GM* by Gabor (1992)  
*Epidemiology* by Gordis (2013)  
*Essentials of Medical Statistics* by Kirkwood and Sterne (2003)  
*Clinical and Translational Science: Principles of Human Research* by Robertson and Williams (2016)  
*Statistical Modeling for Biomedical Researchers: A Simple Introduction to the Analysis of Complex Data* by Dupont (2009)  
*Modern Epidemiology* by Rothman et al. (2012)  
*Clinical Prediction Models: A Practical Approach to Development, Validation, and Updating* by Steyerberg (2010)  
*Encyclopedia of Biostatistics: 8-Volume Set* by Armitage and Colton (2005)  
*Essentials of Writing Biomedical Research Papers* by Zeiger (1999)  
*Statistical Issues in Drug Development* by Senn (2008)  
*Experimental Design for Biologists* by Glass (2014)  
*Experimental Design for the Life Sciences* by Ruxton and Colegrave (2010)  
*Thinking, Fast and Slow* by Kahneman (2013)

#### **PRINCIPLE 4 • Develop a robust study design and document it completely in the Methods (and Appendix).**

It takes a lot of thought to plan a study carefully. Remember, a good paper is not about the prose, it is about both the prose and the science—and for the science you need crisp thinking in the planning phase. Develop a long-term collaboration with an experienced biostatistician and create good open communication at all phases of the research. Poor methodology annoys reviewers and must be avoided at all costs.

#### **PRINCIPLE 5 • Write a detailed study protocol to create reproducible research.**

The study protocol is the written plan for your research project. A good protocol provides direction, focus, and structure. An analogy is a cake recipe that includes an appropriate level of detail with ingredients, amounts, duration, and temperature. As you conduct your study, follow this plan and document all decisions and developments. This approach allows you to monitor and describe the accuracy and appropriateness of your methods. Keep the protocol and all documents related to the study organized into files and folders labeled carefully so that anyone on your research team could retrieve and use those files years later.



For examples of modern, professional medical research protocols, see the protocols posted on the journal's website, for example, at The New England Journal of Medicine's website, these can be found under Supplementary Material for each paper.

<http://www.nejm.org/toc/nejm/medical-journal>

Standard Protocol Items: Recommendations for Interventional Trials (SPIRIT) is "an international initiative that aims to improve the quality of clinical trial protocols by defining an evidence-based set of items to address in a protocol." The SPIRIT Statement provides protocol recommendations and a checklist.

<http://www.spirit-statement.org/spirit-statement/>

Another resource is Protocol Exchange, which is an open repository for sharing scientific protocols.

<http://www.nature.com/protocolexchange/>

### **PRINCIPLE 6 • Prespecify the analysis and interim monitoring plan in your protocol and register it before you start the study.**

This plan must include a definition of the primary end point and only a very limited number of secondary end points. Any subgroup analysis must also be clearly predefined to avoid the appearance of a fishing expedition—or digging out post hoc subgroups. All projects, even observational studies and animal experiments, should have a detailed prespecified analysis plan. Registration systems vary by country: In the United States, clinical trials should be registered on the website <http://www.ClinicalTrials.gov>. Failing to register your trial properly may make it impossible to publish your paper in a high-profile journal. For more information, see

<http://www.nlm.nih.gov/services/ctwhatis.html>

If a trial is registered in more than one registry, it must be cross-referenced. See the International Clinical Trials Registry Platform (ICTRP):

[http://www.who.int/ictrp/trial\\_reg/en/index.html](http://www.who.int/ictrp/trial_reg/en/index.html)

### **PRINCIPLE 7 • Build a complete, unbiased, high-quality data set.**

Demonstrate that the way you gathered the data is unbiased. Measure a comprehensive set of important variables at baseline and regular intervals rather than assuming perfect compliance with the protocol, blinding, etc. These variables must include covariates, potential confounders, and measures of intermediate efficacy (to understand the mechanism and the mediation model).

### **PRINCIPLE 8 • Aim to keep the manuscript short, especially the Introduction and Discussion—clarity with brevity.**

Plan to submit a paper that is 10% shorter in word count than the maximum limit for the target journal. This will help you avoid the inefficiency of writing and deleting superfluous text. Check the total length of the paper, the length of each section, and the number of references. Having said that, it is sometimes prudent to include slightly more tables and figures than average; reviewers appreciate tables and figures—and your first goal is to keep them happy. Use the appendix to include additional figures, tables, and detail about the methods.

### **PRINCIPLE 9 • Describe your methods thoroughly for reproducible research.**

To show that your findings can be applied to larger populations, clearly describe your study design. Readers and reviewers will scrutinize your methods before they accept your conclusions. Be sure that you can reproduce your own analysis. Save your statistical coding/syntax in the Appendix of your paper.



**PRINCIPLE 10 • Describe the rationale for the size of your sample.**

Explain how and why you chose to study the specified number of patients. Discuss the implications and statistical power of your decision. Help the reader understand your logic in selecting this group of patients for the study.

**PRINCIPLE 11 • Construct tables that are informative and transparent.**

The tables should present an honest and complete account of all positive and negative outcomes, complications, and side effects. Provide 95% confidence intervals around important point estimates. Add extensive footnotes to every table to explain which statistical test was used for each *P* value and provide additional detail to make each table stand alone.

**PRINCIPLE 12 • Create modern professional graphs/figures that illustrate the conclusions in an honest fashion.**

The graphs should stand alone, with everything needed for interpretation self-contained in the figure itself (or in the figure legend). Conduct internal prereviews to test whether others can understand your graphs and then edit for clarity before submitting. For randomized clinical trials, include a carefully constructed CONSORT flow diagram showing the number of participants at each stage of the trial.

<http://www.consort-statement.org/consort-statement/flow-diagram0/>

**PRINCIPLE 13 • Explain for the editor what is new, interesting, and useful about your results.**

What do your findings contribute to the medical literature? Explain the importance of the research problem and your findings for both physicians and patients. The editors' mantra is "What's new? And why should I care?" Answer these questions head-on and in a forceful way. Define the knowledge gap and show how you filled it to advance the field sufficiently.

**PRINCIPLE 14 • Elaborate further to answer the reviewers' questions: "So what?" and "Who cares?"**

Emphasize the points that distinguish your study from other research. Your paper must contain sections anticipating negative reactions from skeptical reviewers. To be accepted, your subject must interest most of your target journal's readers—so tell a story.

**PRINCIPLE 15 • Describe the limitations of the study.**

Do not force the editors and reviewers to ask for this. Anticipate several areas that reviewers will point out as drawbacks and demonstrate that you thought long and hard about these—and that the conclusions are still valid despite these apparent weaknesses. The 'Limitations' section of the Discussion should be of this form: "Here are all the things that could be wrong with what I just told you. As you can see from our Results, we investigated each of these and found that the conclusions are still supported by the data."

**PRINCIPLE 16 • Follow the guidelines and format of the target journal precisely.**

Consult the information for authors and several recent issues of the target journal. Be sure that your submission meets the journal's definition of a complete manuscript. Also consider the timeliness of the subject from the perspective of the readers.