

KIRK ORMAND

THE HESIODIC CATALOGUE OF WOMEN AND ARCHAIC GREECE

Kirk Ormand

Oberlin College



CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

32 Avenue of the Americas, New York, NY 10013-2473, USA

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning, and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781107035195

© Kirk Ormand 2014

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2014

Printed in the United States of America

A catalog record for this publication is available from the British Library.

Library of Congress Cataloguing in Publication Data Ormand, Kirk, 1962-

The Hesiodic Catalogue of Women and Archaic Greece / Kirk Ormand.

pages cm

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 978-1-107-03519-5 (hardback)

1. Hesiod. Catalogus feminarum. I. Title.

PA4009.Z5076 2014

881'.01 20

2014009860

ISBN 978-1-107-03519-5 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party Internet Web sites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such Web sites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.

Ch. 3 was first published in *American Journal of Philology* 125.3 (2004), 303−338. Copyright © 2004 by The Johns Hopkins University Press. Reprinted with permission by the Johns Hopkins University Press.

THE HESIODIC CATALOGUE OF WOMEN AND ARCHAIC GREECE

This book examines the extant fragments of the Archaic Greek poem known in antiquity as Hesiod's *Catalogue of Women*. Kirk Ormand shows that the poem should be read intertextually with other hexameter poetry from the eighth to sixth centuries BCE, especially that of Homer, Hesiod, and the Cyclic epics. Through literary interaction with these poems, the *Catalogue* reflects political and social tensions in the Archaic period with regard to the forms of power that were claimed by members of the elite class. In particular, Ormand argues that the *Catalogue* reacts against the "middling ideology" that came to the fore during the Archaic period in Greece and champions traditional aristocratic modes of status. Ormand maintains that the poem's presentation of the end of the heroic age is a reflection of a declining emphasis on nobility of birth in the structures of authority in the emerging sixth-century *polis*.

Kirk Ormand is Professor of Classics at Oberlin College. He is author of Exchange and the Maiden: Marriage in Sophoclean Tragedy (1999) and Controlling Desires: Sexuality in Ancient Greece and Rome (2009) and editor of A Companion to Sophocles (2012). He has published articles on Homer, Sophocles, Euripides, Ovid, Lucan, the Greek novel, and Clint Eastwood. He is the recipient of the Basil Gildersleeve Prize from the American Journal of Philology, the Barbara McManus Prize from the Women's Classical Caucus of the American Philological Association, and the John J. Winkler Memorial Prize. Ormand has traveled extensively in Greece and held the post of Whitehead Professor of the American School of Classical Studies in Athens during the 2007–2008 academic year. He also held a Solmsen Fellowship at the Institute for Research in the Humanities, University of Wisconsin–Madison, in 1999–2000.

For Gayle, Kevin, and Ella

此为试读,需要完整PDF请访问: www.ertongbook.com

Preface

This work has had a long and complex history. Assiduous historians of scholarship might be able to trace its inception to a footnote in my dissertation, written at Stanford University more than twenty years ago. Since then the shape and direction of the book have changed many times. I hope that in its final form this book will help make the *Catalogue of Women* accessible to a new generation of readers.

I owe thanks to many people who have helped me along the way, some so long ago that they may not remember their comments, critiques, and other acts of kindness. To the best of my recollection, these people are the following: Judith Barringer, Darice Birge, Ruby Blondell, Paul Boyer, Mark Buchan, Susan Cole, Joy Connolly, Lillian Doherty, Mark Edwards, Nancy Felson, Anne Feltovich, Helene Foley, Susan Stanford Friedman, Daniel Gardner, Madeleine Goh, Barbara Gold, Nathan Greenberg, David Halperin, Elizabeth Hamilton, Edward Harris, Brooke Holmes, W. Ralph Johnson, Athena Kirk, David Konstan, Leslie Kurke, Jessica Lamont, Brian Lavelle, Sara Lindheim, Nike Makris, Elizabeth Manwell, Laura McClure, Denise McCoskey, Melissa Mueller, Robin Osborne, Cynthia Patterson, Nancy Rabinowitz, Paul Rehak, Naomi Rood, Patricia Rosenmeyer, Laura Slatkin, Mario Telo, Tom Van Nortwick, Bronwen Wickkiser, Drew Wilburn, Victoria Wohl, Nancy Worman, and Ioannis Ziogas. Two anonymous readers for Cambridge University Press provided insightful and genuinely helpful critiques; Stephanie Sakson, the copy editor for the Press, caught many errors and infelicities, and improved the book substantially. I apologize to anyone whom I have failed to recall here.

I must give special thanks to Alex Purves, who read the entire book in draft form and provided invaluable comments, suggestions, and

x Preface

encouragement. The project would certainly have never been finished without her help. Needless to say, neither Alex nor any of the other people listed here should be held responsible for any errors or infelicities in the work that follows. Fault for those lies with me alone.

Tom Cooper has been a great friend to the Oberlin Classics Department and has provided material help in the production of this book. I am pleased to offer thanks to him here.

Acknowledgment for material help is also due to various institutions. The Insitute for Research in the Humanities at the University of Wisconsin–Madison supported me during the writing of an initial chapter. A pre-tenure leave, funded in part by the Mellon Foundation, and a sabbatical from Oberlin College gave me time to research and write the rest of the book, and critical funding as well as superb research facilities were made available by the American School of Classical Studies in Athens, in the form of a Whitehead professorship. Chapter 3 originally appeared in the American Journal of Philology, and I am grateful to the Johns Hopkins University Press for permission to reprint it, modified, here.

As always, my spouse, Gayle Boyer, and my two children, Kevin and Ella Boyer, have provided love, support, and inexplicable good humor during the long years when I have spent too much time with Hesiod and not enough with them. There are no sufficient thanks for their presence in my life.

K.O.

Contents

Preface			page ix
1 Int	roduction: The Hesiodic Catalogue of Wome	n	1
	Date, Genre, Performance		3
	Toward a Theory of Archaic Poetic-Polit	ical	
	Discourse		15
	Catalogues, Genealogies, and Women		42
	Conclusion		50
2 Th	e Catalogue and the Mystery of the Disappe	earing Hedna	52
	Hedna in Homer: Gifts, Bridewealth, Do	wry	54
	Solon and Middling Ideologies of Marria	ge	60
	Hedna in the Catalogue of Women		64
	The Catalogue's Portrayal of Hedna		66
	The Moment of Transfer		66
	Dôra and Hedna		70
	Disputes over Hedna		72
	Hedna as a Competition		74
	Conclusion		81
3 Ma	arriage, Identity, and the Story of Mestra		85
	The Hesiodic Story of Mestra		87
	Putting Mestra in Context: Myths of Sha	pe-Shifters	96
	Putting Mestra in Context: Marriage in		
	Athens	•	109

V111	Contents

4	Atalanta Reflects the Iliad	119
	Atalanta in the Catalogue and Wider Greek Myth	120
	The Story	128
	Marriage and Familial Structures	133
	Atalanta as Achilles	138
	Conclusions	151
5	Then There Was the One Who Was Alkmene	152
	The Text and the Back Story	154
	The Plot of Zeus, Another Preview	162
	Herakles' Other Father	168
	Recalling Odysseus	171
	Conclusions	179
6	The Marriage of Helen and the End of the Catalogue	181
	The Heroes as Wooers, Helen as Bride	184
	The Beginning of the End of the Age of Heroes	196
	The End of the Age of Heroes	202
7	Epilogue: Women, Middling Discourse, and the Polis	217
	The Other "Catalogue of Women"	217
	Dedications and the Polis	223
	Helen and Agariste	226
Ap	pendix A. Dowry in the Homeric Poems?	237
	pendix B. The Ruling Concerning the Hedna of Mestra	
	(fr. 43a.41–43)	242
Bi	bliography	245
Inc	Index Locorum	
Ge	General Index	

I Introduction: The Hesiodic Catalogue of Women

Hesiod is well known as the author of two poems, the Theogony and the Works and Days. In the Classical and post-Classical worlds of Greece and Rome, however, a number of other works were also ascribed to him, among them a work known as the Catalogue of Women. This work, though not now generally considered to have been written by Hesiod, was highly regarded in antiquity, probably committed to writing by the mid-sixth century BCE, and is fundamentally "Hesiodic" in form. The Catalogue (as I will refer to it throughout this book) is structured in a manner familiar to readers of the Theogony: it provides a running list of women who gave birth to heroes, with brief narratives about those births. Most of these women conceive of their remarkable offspring by having intercourse (willingly or not) with a god, though some also marry mortal heroes and produce children with them. The work moves through a full set of genealogies arranged roughly within geographic areas but, like the Theogony, also contains a less clearly marked progressive plot. All the heroic genealogies culminate in the story of the marriage of Helen, which, as we will see, leads emphatically to the end of the age of heroes. In providing a comprehensive narrative of heroic genealogies, then, the Catalogue also tells the story of how the age that produced the birth of heroes came to its inevitable end, leaving humankind in its current, wretched state, divorced forever from direct social and sexual interaction with the gods.

With the publication of Merkelbach and West's 1967 edition of the fragments of Hesiod and the small new finds that have occurred over the

¹ See Graziosi and Haubold 2005, 37–40, for a lucid discussion of the characteristics of Hesiod's poetry and the ancient attribution of the *Catalogue* to Hesiod.

past forty-five years, significant passages of the *Catalogue of Women* are now readily available. What we must admit from the outset, however, is that we know very little about the conditions of production of this text. We do not know who wrote it or if it is appropriate to speak of a single "author." We do not know when it was committed to writing or how old the traditional material in it was when that happened. We do not know where its final redactor did his work. And finally, we do not fully understand the genre that it occupies (or, perhaps, defines sui generis) and what the confines of that genre are. Under the circumstances it is not surprising that literary interpretation of the *Catalogue* has taken some time to develop.

Each of the issues listed just above has received considerable scholarly attention, but none of them is the primary focus of this work.³ My goal, rather, is to produce a textured literary and historical reading of some of the major passages of the whole. In so doing, I also intend to place the *Catalogue* ideologically in the shifty historical context of the late Archaic period in Greece, specifically the mid-sixth century. To do so I have had to make assumptions and educated guesses about issues such as date, place, and genre. In this chapter, therefore, I lay out those assumptions.

At the outset I should make clear that I have chosen not to engage in the difficult technical work of textual reconstruction that has been so admirably undertaken by Merkelbach and West, Casanova, Hirschberger, Most,

- ² Graziosi and Haubold 2005, 19, point out that the name "Homer" is used by scholars to mean a range of different kinds of authors, from a single genius who composed the *lliad* and *Odyssey* from traditional materials to the collection of oral bards who shaped those poems into their eventual fixed form. The name "Hesiod" is beset with similar difficulties: we are dealing with a set of traditional poetry, ascribed in antiquity to "Hesiod," but clearly composed in parts over a period of centuries.
- Indispensable to reading the fragments of the *Catalogue* is West 1985, with citations to earlier studies. See particularly 1–30. Clay 2003, 161–174, has significantly influenced my understanding of the work and its place in the Hesiodic tradition. Rutherford 2000 is an excellent discussion of the genre of the work, and Rutherford 2005 offers useful discussion of its possible origin(s). See also the essays of Osborne, Haubold, Cingano, and Martin in Hunter 2005. Cingano 2009, 111–118, provides an excellent summary of the problems and suggests a much shorter original text by Hesiod that grew over time through a series of later accretions (118). See further below.

and many others. Though it may be dismissed as no more than a convenient fiction, I have chosen instead to try to squeeze what meaning we can out of the edition produced by Merkelbach and West (with occasional modifications) and to place those bits of text into a coherent literary and social history.⁴

DATE, GENRE, PERFORMANCE

As with so much about the *Catalogue*, there is general agreement, but no certainty, about where and when it was written. Nearly all modern scholars are willing to place it at some time in the sixth century, though some later than others. The primary internal evidence lies with two facts: first, the episode concerning Kyrênê (fr. 215), which, West argues, "presupposes the existence of the Greek colony in Libya

- ⁴ The major edition is Merkelbach and West 1967, updated and revised in Merkelbach and West 1990. On occasion information is included in the major edition that did not survive into the 1990 edition. When I cite from the 1967 version I make note of it; otherwise, quotations are from the 1990 edition. Most's new edition in the Loeb series (2007) introduces a new numbering scheme for the fragments and, given Most's skill in reconstruction, may become the new standard. For the sake of scholarly convenience, however, I have chosen to quote from and refer to Merkelbach and West unless otherwise noted. Numbering of fragments is that of Merkelbach and West.
 - West 1985, 130–137, arguing from internal evidence, puts it between 580 and 520. March 1987, 158–159, prefers the earlier part of this range, from 580 to 550. Koenen 1994, 26, suggests that it can be no later than the early sixth century. Rutherford 2000 argues that the "canonical version" may belong to the sixth century, but he is willing to believe that some material may be much older. Fowler 1998, 1 n. 4, argues for a date before the death of Kleisthenes in 575 and suggests a date near 580. The one significant outlier is Janko 1982 (87 and 247–248 nn. 37–38), who, based on stylistic evidence, believes that the *Catalogue* is closely contemporary to the *Theogony* and *Works and Days*, which he puts at the end of the eighth or beginning of the seventh centuries. Solmsen 1981, 355–358, argues that the text was highly variable, and changed by local rhapsodes, until the Alexandrian period. If this is the case, it is impossible to fix either date or place of any fragment. Clay 2003, 165 n. 51, surveys previous opinions and discusses the difficulty of reaching a sure conclusion. Hirschberger 2004, 32–51, cautiously settles for a date between 630 and 590.

founded c. 631." Next, the *Catalogue* seems to assume throughout the divinity of Herakles, which again points to a date after 600.7 As for place, West believes that the final redactor lives in Athens, in part because of the curiously Athenian flavor of fragment 43a. Fowler, however, sees the Athenian genealogy as significantly lacking in detail and suggests that the genealogy of the Hellenes suggests rather an Aeolid author from northern Greece, and he ties the redaction of the *Catalogue* to the expansion of Thessalian power after the First Sacred War. Hirschberger, citing numerous local versions of specific myths, suggests that some of the stories, particularly those from the Aeolid genealogies, seem to belong more securely in Asia Minor than in Thessaly.8

While it would doubtless be reassuring to place the production of our version of the *Catalogue* more securely, it is not critical for my project. I am interested in locating the *Catalogue* not in specific political disputes, but rather in the broad ideological changes that we see throughout Greece in the sixth century. Specific topics receive further treatment in the chapters that follow, but in this chapter I provide a thumbnail sketch of the issues. In so doing, I tip my hand: I also believe that the *Catalogue* belongs in the sixth century. I have come to this conclusion both because of the internal references used by other scholars and because such a date fits with the analyses that I have produced. Such reasoning is, of course, somewhat circular; on the other hand, many of the broad social concerns that I address are not, themselves, precisely datable. If we discover at some point that the *Catalogue* must have been written in the seventh century, then that means the ideological anxieties that are inherent in the

⁶ West 1985, 132.

⁷ West 1985, 130; cf. frs. 1.22, 25.26-33, 229.6-13.

⁸ West 1985, 169; Fowler 1998, 9, 11–15; Hirschberger 2004, 49–51.

Full discussion of the history of the sixth century is beyond the scope of this book. The period is fraught with difficulties, and most of our sources are written at least a century later. Good overviews can be found in Murray 1980, Snodgrass 1980, and Osborne 2009. For the role of Solon in the formation of the Athenian polis, I am particularly indebted to Manville 1990; see also Osborne 2009, 204–213. Patterson 1998 provides an excellent reassessment of the role of the oikos in the early polis. Hall 2007a makes clear just how uncertain our knowledge of the history of the period is and gives excellent discussions of various events and social changes.

stories of the *Catalogue* must also have existed earlier. I see a greater preponderance of evidence for them in the sixth century.

As is often the case with fragmentary texts, the ancient Greeks were much more sure than we are about the authorship of the *Catalogue*. It was almost universally agreed in antiquity that the work was written by "Hesiod," that is to say, the poet to whom they also ascribed both the *Theogony* and *Works and Days*. To Modern scholarly opinion is nearly unanimous in believing that the poet of the *Theogony* is not the same as that of the *Catalogue*; the issue is confounded by the fact that our text of the *Theogony* ends with a section that appears to have been written in order to form a bridge between the two works (*Theogony* 1019ff.). The style and diction of that last section, however, appear to be "post-Hesiodic."

Nonetheless, the judgment of ancient scholars and authors is of some importance, not for the purpose of fixing the historical identity of a particular person who wrote a particular work, but because the ascription to Hesiod indicates that the scholars of previous ages considered the *Catalogue* to be a certain kind of poetry. The stories contained in the *Catalogue* are traditional heroic tales, and the entire text falls into the broad category of oral hexameter poetry that characterizes the works of Homer and Hesiod. ¹² As such it contains a good deal of very old material, formed in large part by the formulaic rules of oral poetic composition. The "author" of any given line or fragment may well have lived some centuries before the text was written down. While

See discussion in West 1985, 127–130. A comprehensive analysis of the problem is provided in Schwartz 1960, 485–549.

West 1985, 127–128. See also West 1966, 398–399; Clay 2003, 162–163, with references. Hirschberger 2004, 42–51, summarizes the arguments about authorship and date of composition. Dräger 1997, 1–26, argues for Hesiod as the author of the *Catalogue*; a useful summary is Haubold 2005, 87 n. 8.

See Graziosi and Haubold 2005, 15–34, for a useful discussion of the meaning of the ancient ascription of "Homeric" authorship to a text. A useful summary of the poetic elements that characterize Homeric composition is on pp. 18–21, and the nature of oral formulae and the pioneering work of Milman Parry is discussed on pp. 48–56. It is sufficient here to indicate that the *Catalogue* is similarly derived from a long oral tradition, and eventually arrives in a relatively stable textual form. Rutherford 2000, 82–83 and 264 n. 10, provides a good brief discussion of some of the poem's oral formulae.

there is some value, therefore, in trying to fix the final "redactor" of the text – and indeed, to tease out the ways that he has shaped the text – the question of author, I suggest, is better approached as a generic question. ¹³

In an essay that has not been much remarked by Classicists, Michel Foucault teased out some of the functions of ascribing a work to a particular author:

[A]n author's name is not simply an element in a discourse . . . it performs a certain role with regard to narrative discourse, assuring a classificatory function. Such a name permits one to group together a certain number of texts, define them, differentiate them from and contrast them to others. ¹⁴

As Foucault goes on to argue, this classificatory function (the "author function") is primarily an indicator of status. This discussion is particularly pertinent to the text of an Archaic author such as Hesiod, about whose life we know next to nothing with certainty. When Pausanias says that the *Catalogue* was written by Hesiod, in other words, that is first a statement of the type and status of the work: it is the kind of work that he associates with the author-function of Hesiod and is sufficiently similar in style to other works of the same author-function to deserve that label. We moderns, rather more obsessed with the identity of a genius behind a work, will find the *Catalogue* "not by Hesiod" on various grounds, but the poem is clearly "Hesiodic" in a larger sense.

¹⁴ Foucault 1984 [1979], 107. Graziosi 2002 makes good use of this work; see esp. 194 n. 81.

¹³ See, e.g., Nagy 1990, 52–81, for a discussion of the way that "authors" in antiquity are primarily a function of the texts ascribed to them. Graziosi and Haubold 2005, 37–40, point out that the ancient Greeks saw the Catalogue as Hesiodic because they saw it as stylistically coherent with other works ascribed to Hesiod. West 1985, 30, sees the author of the Catalogue as a masterful "synthesizer" of traditional material. At 125 he boldly states, "The Catalogue is, just as much as the Iliad, the work of a single creative poet." Clay 2003 makes the interesting argument that in dealing with poems of a traditional, oral nature, the presence of a creative poet is best discovered through the artful arrangement of material. In the case of the Catalogue, where the text is fragmentary and the arrangement necessarily provisional, this becomes a difficult task. Martin 2005 argues for a more fluid understanding of the text of the Catalogue, with the possibility of significant additions existing in some, but not all, versions.

To start, the Catalogue, like the Theogony, provides us with an ambitiously comprehensive mythological genealogy, this time of heroes rather than of gods. 15 From this similarity, no doubt, springs the attempt at some point in antiquity to link the two works as a single genealogical masterpiece. We can, however, go further than this: woven into the structure of the Theogony is also the story of Zeus' ascension as ruler of Olympus and, with it, the separation of humans from gods. 16 The arrangement of material in the Catalogue appears to have a similarly Hesiodic bent: in addition to recounting, more or less systematically, most of the heroes of Greek myth, the Catalogue also recounts a specific time in the mythical history of humans, that brief period when (primarily male) gods were sleeping with (primarily female) humans and thus producing the half-divine heroes. Both the prologue of the poem (fr. 1) and its conclusion (fr. 204.97-110) allude to the eventual end of this bracketed time, to the moment when normal humans were finally and fully separated from the gods, so that the *hemitheoi*, the "half-gods" of myth, ceased to be produced. 17 Like the Theogony, the Catalogue tells us why life today is the way it is.

Despite its thematic connection to the *Theogony*, however, this work clearly owes its pedigree in another way to the *Works and Days*. It is in that poem that Hesiod tells the story of the four declining metallic ages of humanity (gold, silver, bronze, and iron), and interjects an age of heroes in between the bronze age and the current, unhappy age of iron. How this age of heroes comes to an end, however, is not entirely consistent in the Hesiodic corpus. As Jenny Strauss Clay points out, the transition to the final age of iron is different from those that went before: "No catastrophe or sudden destruction precipitates the end of the heroic age. Instead, a gradual transition occurs as the gods withdraw from intercourse with mortals." In the *Works and Days*, the narrative of the age of heroes ends with mention of their expedition to retrieve Helen (164–165), and then we hear that some of these heroes were settled

As Tsagalis 2009 notes: "In this respect the CW shows itself to be strongly Hesiodic, in that it abides by the built-in linearity characteristic of the genealogical Hesiodic epic par excellence, the Theogony" (p. 158). See also Graziosi and Haubold 2005, 40.

¹⁶ Recently discussed with great clarity by Clay 2003, 100-128.

¹⁷ See Clay 2003, 169, on the "distancing" function of the term hemitheoi.

¹⁸ Clay 2003, 93.

"apart from humans" (*dich' anthrôpôn* 167) on the Isles of the Blessed. This general schema also seems to inform fragment 204 of the *Catalogue*, but there the transition is marked as more abrupt and more final. The story of the suitors of Helen shifts abruptly to a change in the character of life, in which someone – probably the gods, less likely their heroic offspring – must now live "as before/apart from humans" (fr. 204.103). Though the events correspond roughly to the narrative in the *Works and Days*, the result here is a sudden break and return to a mode of life that does not include direct congress with the gods.

The *Catalogue*, then, falls into the same broad contextual category as both the *Theogony* and *Works and Days*. It serves as an explanation of why our lives are as they are now, and it does so by recalling an earlier time, a time when things were, in some ways, both better and less distinctly human.²⁰ More important, the *Catalogue* seems designed to fit just between these two texts, in that time after the general separation of gods from humans and up to the point when the gods are no longer sleeping with mortals and producing *hemitheoi*, "half-divine" heroes.²¹ Just as the authors of the Cyclic epics avoided retelling the stories that became monumentally known through the *Odyssey* and *Iliad*, moreover, the redactor of the *Catalogue* seems to have taken some care to avoid myths already staked out by the *Theogony* and *Works and Days*.²² Though Prometheus and

¹⁹ Ludwig Koenen writes of this troubled ending to the fragment that "the *Catalogue*'s version is only understandable in light of Hesiod's story about the removal of the heroes to the Islands of the Blest (the island is not even mentioned in the *Catalogue*)." Koenen 1994, 26–27 n. 62. See also Clay 2003, 169–174. Clay argues correctly (166 n. 56) that the setting of the *Catalogue* is after the separation of humans and gods narrated in the *Theogony*. González 2010 also argues that the poem presents a final separation between mortals and immortals; see esp. 416–417. See Hirschberger 2004, 55, for the importance of the Trojan War as the end of the age of heroes.

I am here much influenced by Clay 2003, who argues that the *Theogony* and the Works and Days are complementary texts that view the problem of humanity from a divine and human perspective, respectively. See also West 1985, 167.

²¹ See Tsagalis 2009, 169. Haubold 2005, 96–98, argues that the story of Herakles in the *Catalogue* functions as intermediary between his role in the *Theogony* and in later epic (discussed at greater length in Chapter 5).

West 1985, 128–130, discusses several places where the *Catalogue* appears to consciously imitate either the *Theogony* or the *Works and Days*.