# Biochemistry and Genetic Regulation of Commercially Important Antibiotics

Edited by

Leo C. Vining

# Biochemistry and Genetic Regulation of Commercially Important Antibiotics

Edited by

Leo C. Vining
Dalhousie University
Halifax, Nova Scotia, Canada



1983

ADDISON-WESLEY PUBLISHING COMPANY Advanced Book Program/World Science Division Reading, Massachusetts

London · Amsterdam · Don Mills, Ontario · Sydney · Tokyo

### Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication Data

Main entry under title:

Biochemistry and genetic regulation of commercially important antibiotics.

(Biotechnology; 2)

Includes bibliographical references and index.

1. Antibiotics. 2. Microbial genetics.

3. Chemistry, Pharmaceutical. I. Vining, Leo C. II. Series. [DNLM: 1. Biochemistry. 2. Antibiotics.

3. Genetics, Biochemical. W1 BI918W no. 2/

QV 350 B6154] RS431.A6B5 1983

615'.329

83-2492

ISBN 0-201-10984-0

Copyright © 1983 by Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, Inc. Published simultaneously in Canada.

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without the prior written permission of the publisher, Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, Inc., Advanced Book Program/World Science Division, Reading, Massachusetts 01867, U.S.A.

MANUFACTURED IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

ABCDEFGHIJ-MA-89876543

Yair Aharonowitz Department of Microbiology Tel Aviv University Tel Aviv, Israel

Christopher Ball
The Squibb Institute for Medical Research
Princeton, New Jersey

Vladislav Běhal Institute of Microbiology Czechoslovak Academy of Sciences Prague, Czechoslovakia

Michal Bučko Biotika Slovenská Lupča, Czechoslovakia

Julian E. Davies Biogen, S.A. Geneva, Switzerland

Arnold L. Demain Department of Nutrition and Food Science Massachusetts Institute of Technology Cambridge, Massachusetts

David A. Hopwood John Innes Institute Norwich, England

Zdeněk Hoštálek Institute of Microbiology Czechoslovak Academy of Sciences Prague, Czechoslovakia

Horst Kleinkauf Institut für Biochemie und Molekulare Biologie der Technischen Universität Berlin, Federal Republic of Germany

Giancarlo Lancini Gruppo Lepetit S.p.A. Milano, Italy

Kenneth D. Macdonald Chemical Defence Establishment Salisbury, England

Vedpal S. Malik Philip Morris, Research Center Richmond, Virginia Juan-Francisco Martín Departamento de Microbiologia Universidad de Leon Leon, Spain

Yasushi Okumura Sanraku-Ocean Company, Ltd. Fujisawa, Japan

Satoshi Ōmura
School of Pharmaceutical Sciences
Kitāsato University
Tokyo, Japan
and
The Kitasato Institute
Tokyo, Japan

Joseph O'Sullivan The Squibb Institute for Medical Research Princeton, New Jersey

Yoshitake Tanaka School of Pharmaceutical Sciences Kitasato University Tokyo, Japan and The Kitasato Institute

Hans von Döhren Institut für Biochemie und Molekulare Biologie der Technischen Universität

Berlin, Federal Republic of Germany

Richard J. White Lederle Laboratories Pearl River, New York

Tokyo, Japan

Jeffrey L.C. Wright National Research Council of Canada Halifax, Canada

Morimasa Yagisawa Japan Antibiotics Research Association Tokyo, Japan

Commercial production of antibiotics has been an established area of biotechnology for about 40 years. Over this period, it has undergone intensive development, and recent progress in the manipulation of genetic material promises even more striking advances in the years ahead. Genetic engineering in the antibiotic field depends on a sound knowledge of the way in which the drugs are biosynthesized. The genetic systems in producing microorganisms must also be understood. We need to know their scope and complexity, but we also require information about the location and function of genes that specify or control the enzymes for antibiotic biosynthesis. As secondary metabolites, antibiotics share a wide literature on biochemical and physiological aspects of the fermentation process. It, too, is a rich source of information, pointing the way to future development of antibiotic biotechnology.

This book surveys current knowledge in these areas. The first three chapters deal broadly with the genetics of the most important antibiotic producers and with the range of metabolic controls that affect antibiotic production. In each subsequent chapter, the biosynthesis of a commercially important group of antibiotics is related to genetic and metabolic control systems in the producing organisms. For some antibiotic groups, our knowledge extends little further than an appreciation of biosynthetic relationships, and, in general, much remains to be done before the genetic control of antibiotic production is well understood.

The aim of this book, in bringing the information together for the first

time, is to provide an authoritative reference for those in industry, universities, and other institutions concerned with microbial biosynthesis of useful products. The book should be of particular value to those seeking to apply the new techniques of genetic engineering in this field. Because it not only considers the most recent advances but also provides the background for an overall appreciation of the subject, the book should also meet the needs of advanced students in applied microbiology and biochemistry classes.

LEO C. VINING

commercial from a long of ambients that been an estiticizable are of his colonical from about 40 years there for a limit under a neithful property of allopinests, and recent property in the regimpulation of a latt underlind parameters are mode at a mine at the control of the same of the annition of design and the control of the same in producing a design and the draws are blood afterward. The sentence existents in producing mixture about the location and function at producing a late of the same and the commercial first are also be understood. We need to know their experience and present the species of control about the location and function at greens that appears or control about our entitle of the decision and function and physiological aspects of the formatting process. It, then is a net secondary metabolities of the function process. It, then is a net biotechnology.

this many surveys current knowledge in these areas: the bits inspective antibudic products of the most important antibudic products of with the range of metabolic controls that affect antibudic production. In each atheories of chapter, the bicsymbested a commuterally important group of antiqueurs is related to greatic and metabolic control systems in the productog organism. For term institution mounts, can knowledge, each of each antiqueur and the production of the area cannot be greatly and antiqueur control of antibueur production is well understood.

The sam of this book, in bringing the information reaction for the first

### CONTENTS ME

			VI	
		Growin Rate to		
		Control in Branches Pathways of		
				A.
		ill church and in the second of the second o		
20				5
Prej	face	xiii		
1 -	Actino	omycete Genetics and Antibiotic Production		- 1
1.		The state of the s		
		A. Hopwood		
	1.	Introduction 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2		
	II.	Routes to Genetic Analysis in Actinomycetes 2		
	III.	Uses of Genetic Analysis in the Study of Antibioti	С	
	777	Production 7		
	IV.	Chromosomal Clusters of Biosynthetic Structural		
	17	Genes 12 Plasmid-Determined Antibiotic Production 15		
	V.		18	
		Deaduction of Many Antibiotics by Doggerhingtion		
		Production of New Antibiotics by Recombination		
		Production of New Antibiotics by Recombination References 20	П	
0		References 20		01
2.	Funga	References 20  I Genetics and Antibiotic Production		25
2.	Funga	References 20		25
2.	Funga	References 20  Il Genetics and Antibiotic Production  h D. Macdonald  Introduction 25	N N N	2!
2.	Funga	References 20  Il Genetics and Antibiotic Production  h D. Macdonald  Introduction 25  Methods of Genetic Analysis 26	N N N	2!
2.	Funga Kennet I.	References 20  Il Genetics and Antibiotic Production  h D. Macdonald  Introduction 25	N N N	25

44

References

3.	Metabolic Control of Secondary Biosynthetic Pathways	49
	Arnold L. Demain, Yair Aharonowitz, and Juan-Francisco Martín	
	I. Introduction 49	
	II. Trophophase and Idiophase 50	
	III. Repression of Antibiotic Synthesis 52	
	IV. Induction 59	
	V. Growth Rate 61	
	VI. Control in Branched Pathways 61	
	VII. Termination of the Idiophase 63	
	VIII. Strain Improvement and Control Mechanisms 64	
	IX. Summary 66	
	References 67	
4.	β-Lactams	73
	Joseph O'Sullivan and Christopher Ball	
	I. Introduction 73	
	II. Biochemistry of $\beta$ -Lactam Antibiotics 73	
	III. Genetic Regulation of $\beta$ -Lactam Production 86	
	References 90	
		-
5.	Peptides	95
	Horst Kleinkauf and Hans von Döhren	
	I. Introduction 95 95 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	
	II. Regulation of Production 99	
	III. Peptide Synthetases 115	
	IV. Possible Functions of Peptide Antibiotics within their	
	Producer Organisms 131	
	References 136	
	The Section of Control	
6.	Peptidolactones	147
	Yasushi Okumura	
	I. Introduction 147 mentions and the arritant and the	
	II. Actinomycins 148	
	III. Virginiamycin and Related Compounds 159	
	IV. Quinoxaline Antibiotics 166	
	V. Other Peptidolactone Antibiotics 168 VI. Concluding Remarks 172	
	References 173	
7.		170
1.	Satoshi Ömura and Yoshitake Tanaka	179
	I. Introduction 179	

	111.	Antibiotics 183	
	IV.	Biosynthesis of 16-Membered Macrolide	
	IV.	Antihiotics 196	
	V.	Classification of Magnalida Antibiotics Board on the	
	٧.	Lactone Ring Skeleton 190	
	VI.	Regulation of Biosynthesis 192	
	VII.	DI : 1 107	
	VIII.	Hybrid Biosynthesis—A New Approach to New Macrolide Antibiotics 200	
	IX.	Concluding Remarks 201 References 203	
	D . I		
8.	Polye		207
	Juan-F	rancisco Martín	
	I.	Introduction 207 Commission of Day 11 world 11	
	II.	Biosynthesis of Polyenes 207	
	III.	Biochemical Genetics of Polyene Biosynthesis 225	
		References 227	
-	7		
9.	Ansar	mycins	231
	Gianca	rlo Lancini	
	Ι.	Introduction 231	
	II.	Ansamycin Families Relevant to Biogenetic Studies 232	
	III.	Origin of the Ansamycin Carbon Skeleton 237	
	IV.	Pathway Intermediates 243	
	V.	Control of Rifamycin Biosynthesis 250	
		References 252 MA bandar but may may hard all	
		A Comprehensive Mile Accompanies	
inver			
10.		cyclines also discussion as subject to the support of	
	Vladis	lav Běhal, Michal Bučko, and Zdeněk Hošťálek	
	I.	Introduction 255	
	II.	Biosynthesis 256 256 and a day of about 18 all	
	III.	Enzymes of Tetracycline Biosynthesis 261	
	IV.	Physiology of Tetracycline Producers 263	
	V.		
	VI.		
	1777	Regulation 268	
	VII.	Concluding Remarks 272	

11.	Anthracyclines gummand paradiastical to zaladrawanik will	277
4	Richard J. White	
	I. Introduction 277 II. Clinical Utility 278 III. Microorganisms that Produce Daunorubicin, Adriamycin, and Carminomycin 279 IV. Fermentation 280 V. Biosynthesis of Daunorubicin 280 VI. Genetics of Anthracycline Biosynthesis 282 VII. Biotransformation of Anthracyclines 286 References 290	
12.	Chloramphenicol	293
	Valual C Mallia	200
	I. Introduction 293	
	II. Growth and Chloramphenicol Production 294  III. Biosynthesis 295  IV. Enzymology 297  V. Chloramphenicol Resistance in the Producing Organism 301  VI. Genetics of Streptomyces venezuelae 302  VII. Regulation 305  VIII. Future Prospects 306  References 307	
13.	The Lincomycin-Celesticetin-Anthramycin Group	311
	Jeffrey L.C. Wright	
	I. Introduction 311 II. Lincomycins and Celesticetins 311 III. Anthramycin and Related Antibiotics 317 References 325	
14.	The Aminocyclitol Glycosides (Aminoglycosides)	329
	Julian E. Davies and Morimasa Yagisawa  I. Introduction 329  II. Methods for the Study of Aminocyclitol Biosynthesis: Results, Validity, and Application 336  III. Regulation of the Expression of Aminocyclitol Biosynthesis 343  IV. Biochemistry of Aminocyclitols 346 References 352	
nde		355

此为试读,需要完整PDF请访问: www.ertongbook.com

where remains the ones well-estable that example of an arribotal value.

# Actinomycete Genetics and Antibiotic Production

David A. Hopwood

#### I. INTRODUCTION

There are several recent reviews that deal with the genetics of antibiotic production by actinomycetes (Hopwood and Merrick, 1977; Hopwood, 1979) and the possible involvement of plasmid-borne genes in some cases (Hopwood, 1978; Okanishi, 1979). In the few years since these summaries were compiled, the fragmentary knowledge of the number and organization of the genes concerned with the synthesis of even the most important antibiotics has not grown very greatly. However, there has been a significant increase in the versatility and scope of genetic analysis in antibiotic-producing actinomycetes (Chater and Hopwood, 1982), so a much more complete and incisive study of the subject is now possible. Most of this chapter is concerned with these methodological advances. In later sections, I review the progress that has been made, largely by use of the older genetic techniques, in understanding genetic control of antibiotic biosynthesis by certain actinomycetes. From this, we see that progress has been made in analyzing some examples where antibiotic biosynthesis is determined by clusters of chromosomal genes. As for plasmid involvement, methylenomycin A in Streptomyces coelicolor A3(2) (and now also in a related strain of S. violaceusruber) remains the only well-established example of an antibiotic whose biosynthesis is determined by plasmid-borne structural genes, although tylosin in Streptomyces fradiae may belong in this category. The last couple of years have seen some further preliminary suggestions of plasmid involvement in antibiotic production but a larger number of cases in which such suggestions have later been questioned by the authors of the original claims. The subject is still open. The genetic and molecular tools are available for decisive analysis of specific examples of antibiotic synthesis; where they have not been used, conclusions should be viewed with caution.

This chapter is not primarily concerned with industrial applications of actinomycete genetics; its main preoccupation is with the *analysis* of genetic control of antibiotic biosynthesis rather than with strain construction. However, in the final section, some examples of antibiotic discovery through genetic recombination are discussed, partly to emphasize the latent potential of this approach and to show how understanding genetic control of antibiotic biosynthesis would enable such work to proceed in a much more certain fashion. There is no doubt that genetics has a considerable part to play in the rational or semirational development of higher-yielding strains of industrial antibiotic producers. This topic has been covered elsewhere (Hopwood and Chater, 1980) and I will not discuss it here, except to point out that empirical gene amplification by shotgun self-cloning on multicopy plasmid vectors, made possible by recent advances in recombinant DNA technology, is a realistic additional approach to this problem (Chater et al., 1982).

### II. ROUTES TO GENETIC ANALYSIS IN ACTINOMYCETES

The actinomycetes, and particularly certain members of the genus *Streptomyces*, might now be regarded as the most versatile group of microorganisms in terms of the range of tools available for use in genetic analysis. In the following sections, some of the features of these genetic tools are outlined.

## A. Natural Systems of Genetic Recombination

1. Plasmid-mediated Conjugation. Intrastrain genetic recombination in mixed cultures of auxotrophic mutants has been reported in many streptomycetes and in Nocardia (formerly Streptomyces) mediterranea and Micromonospora spp. (reviewed by Hopwood and Merrick, 1977). Recombination between strains of other Nocardia spp., now classified as Rhodococcus, has also been studied (Adams and Brownell 1976; Brownell et al., 1981), but these organisms, like the related strains of Mycobacterium in which recombination has also been reported, are not known as antibiotic producers. Evidence that such recombination in Streptomyces and N. mediterranea is due to conjugation between hyphae rests primarily on genetic analysis, which showed

that groups of distantly linked genes from each parent are frequently inherited together by recombinants; this result is expected for a conjugation process but not for recombination brought about by transduction or transformation. That this conjugation is (at least in part) plasmid-mediated has been demonstrated in S. coelicolor A3(2), Streptomyces lividans 66, and Streptomyces rimosus.

The wild-type S. coelicolor A3(2) harbors two autonomous sex plasmids. SCP1 and SCP2, which are responsible for yielding recombinants at frequencies up to about 10<sup>-5</sup> of the total progeny of a mixed culture of two genetically marked strains (Bibb and Hopwood, 1981), since recombination between pairs of SCP1 SCP2 strains occurs only at frequencies of 10<sup>-7</sup> or lower. Direct interaction of SCP1 with the chromosome, leading to chromosomal transfer, is shown by the behavior of strains carrying SCP1 integrated into the chromosome (NF strains and other donor types), which give extremely high levels (greater than 10<sup>-1</sup>) of recombinants in crosses with SCP1 strains (Hopwood et al., 1973) as well as by the isolation of SCP1' strains (Hopwood and Wright, 1976). SCP2 has been directly implicated in gene exchange through the discovery of SCP2\* variants, which, though still present autonomously, enhance chromosomal recombination by a factor of at least 103 (Bibb et al., 1977; Bibb and Hopwood, 1981). It is possible that the "residual" recombination manifested in crosses between pairs of SCP1 SCP2 strains is also plasmid-mediated, since there are at least two further plasmids in S. coelicolor A3(2). SLP1 occurs as a DNA sequence integrated into the chromosome close to the strA locus; it becomes excised from the chromosome, together with contiguous chromosomal segments of variable length, and can be found as autonomous ccc DNA molecules of a series of sizes (SLP1.1, SLP1.2, etc.) after interspecific matings (or by in vitro DNA transfer) into S. lividans 66 (Bibb et al., 1981). SLP4 was also detected in S. lividans after matings between S. coelicolor A3(2) and S. lividans 66 derivatives. In this case, plasmid DNA was not detected: the plasmid was revealed by the fact that putative SLP4+ S. lividans transconjugants inhibited the parent S. lividans culture by the so-called "lethal zygosis" reaction, a phenotype (see later) characteristic of conjugative Streptomyces plasmids (Bibb et al., 1977). Both SLP1 and SLP4 promote recombination of chromosomal genes in S. lividans. Whether or not one or both of these sex plasmids is responsible for the low-level recombination seen in SCP1 SCP2 matings of S. coelicolor A3(2) is not yet known. since strains lacking SLP1 or SLP4 have not been isolated.

In S. lividans 66 itself, the picture with respect to plasmid involvement in chromosomal recombination is somewhat simpler (T. Kieser, D. A. Hopwood, and H. M. Wright, unpublished results). Marked derivatives of the wild-type undergo recombination at a level of about 10<sup>-6</sup>. This has been shown to be due to the presence of one, or perhaps two, plasmids. These were detected by the isolation, following protoplast formation and regeneration (see later), of strains sensitive to lethal zygosis by the parent strain, Two

independent plasmids, SLP2 and SLP3, were revealed by the isolation of SLP2<sup>-</sup> SLP3<sup>+</sup> strains (sensitive to lethal zygosis by SLP2<sup>+</sup> but not by SLP3<sup>+</sup> strains), SLP2<sup>+</sup> SLP3<sup>-</sup> strains (sensitive to lethal zygosis by SLP3<sup>+</sup> but not SLP2<sup>+</sup> strains), and SLP2<sup>-</sup> SLP3<sup>-</sup> strains (sensitive to lethal zygosis by both plasmids). SLP2 certainly promotes chromosomal recombination, which occurs at a level of around 5 × 10<sup>-5</sup> in SLP2<sup>+</sup> × SLP2<sup>-</sup> crosses. However, it is not certain that SLP3 can do so. In any event, the important finding is that pairs of SLP2<sup>-</sup> SLP3<sup>-</sup> strains yield no detectable recombinants (only occasional nonparental genotypes of classes explicable by reversion of single markers have been detected, rather than the more complex genotypes expected for most recombinants). It follows that all detectable recombination in *S. lividans* 66 is plasmid-mediated. SLP2<sup>-</sup> SLP3<sup>-</sup> strains therefore provide a useful background in which the effects of other plasmids can be studied (Kieser et al., 1982).

In S. rimosus, part of the recombination observed in pairwise crosses was shown to be mediated by a plasmid (SRP1), but a residual low level of recombination was observed in crosses between pairs of SRP1<sup>-</sup> strains (Friend et al., 1978). Recently, a second sex plasmid, SRP2, has been identified in these strains (E. J. Friend, personal communication); this is responsible for part of the recombination observed in SRP1<sup>-</sup> × SRP1<sup>-</sup> crosses.

Apart from plasmids native to *S. coelicolor* A3(2) and *S. lividans* 66, some further plasmids, originally detected in other strains, have been transferred to these two strains and found to promote chromosomal recombination. Notable is pIJ101, a multicopy, broad-host-range plasmid from *S. lividans* ISP5434, which is a very efficient sex plasmid, giving 1% or more of nonselected recombinants when present in either *S. coelicolor* A3(2) or *S. lividans* 66 (Kieser et al., 1982). This finding may be particularly significant because it suggests that this, or other broad-host-range plasmids, might be used to introduce efficient chromosomal recombination, and so a versatile genetic mapping capability, into strains that are originally found to give a few or no detectable recombinants.

The nature of the conjugation process in *Streptomyces* is almost unknown, although it is clear that it involves few plasmid-coded functions (many fewer than in gram-negative bacteria; Willetts, 1980) since small plasmids are conjugative. SLP2, SLP3, SLP4, SRP1, and SRP2 have not been detected physically and so are of unknown size; SCP1 is large, probably in excess of 170 kb (Westpheling, 1980), like a related sex plasmid, pSV1, which resembles SCP1 in coding for methylenomycin production and resistance (Aguilar and Hopwood, 1982). SCP2 is about 30 kb in size (Schrempf et al., 1975; Bibb et al., 1977; Schrempf and Goebel, 1977), but no more than half of it is required for conjugation (Bibb et al., 1980a). The size of the smallest SLP1 plasmid so far isolated, SLP1.6, is only 9.4 kb (Bibb et al., 1981), and pIJ101 is only 8.9 kb in size (Kieser et al., 1982). Moreover, derivatives of pIJ101 that are nonconjugative have deletions or insertions

of DNA affecting only about 2 kb of the plasmid, suggesting that very few plasmid-borne genes are needed for conjugation.

- 2. Generalized Transduction. This is well documented in *Streptomyces venezuelae*, in which a phage called  $\phi$ SV1 has been found to promote transduction of a number of auxotrophic mutations to prototrophy (Stuttard, 1979).
- 3. Transformation of Competent Mycelia. Strains of Streptomyces virginiae and Streptomyces kasugaensis, were found to be unusual in going through a stage of competence for the uptake of linear fragments of DNA (Roelants et al., 1976), but these experiments involved radioactively labeled DNA, not genetic markers, and no system of genetic transformation was developed. In Thermoactinomyces vulgaris, a classical competence for transformation was found, and generalized transformation of chromosomal markers was studied (Hopwood and Wright, 1972). This thermophilic strain is probably not closely related to the Streptomyces, Micromonospora, and Nocardia spp. (conceivably, it represents a parallel development of the mycelial habit from a different eubacterial stock), and so does not provide a model for genetic manipulation of these mesophilic organisms, although it could perhaps be harnessed to analyze antibiotic production by Thermoactinomyces itself (Pirali et al., 1974).

## B. Artificial Systems of Genetic Analysis

1. Generalized Recombination through Protoplast Fusion. Protoplast fusion is a means of bringing about intrastrain chromosomal recombination at very high frequency (Hopwood et al., 1977; Baltz, 1978; Ochi and Katz, 1978). Up to 20% of the total progeny arising from nonselective regeneration of fused protoplasts can be recombinant genotypes when fusion is carried out under optimal conditions in the presence of about 50% polyethyleneglycol (PEG) (reviewed by Hopwood, 1981a). In fusions between multiply marked strains, when crossing-over around the whole chromosome could be monitored, it was deduced that crossing-over can occur in widely separated map intervals, indicating a probable complete diploidy of the fusion bodies (Hopwood and Wright, 1978; Hopwood, 1981a); this contrasts with the situation in the mero-diploids generated by conjugation (Hopwood, 1967). Also, several rounds of recombination occur between fusion and recovery of the haploid progeny of regeneration (Hopwood and Wright, 1978). Both these factors lead to a loosening of linkage, making the determination of map locations for distant markers more difficult, though not impossible (Baltz, 1980).

- 2. Plasmid "Curing" by Protoplasting and Regeneration. As in Staphylococcus aureus (Novick et al., 1980), so in Streptomyces (Hopwood, 1981b; Aguilar and Hopwood, 1982), with some plasmids the simple expedient of forming and regenerating protoplasts can lead to a significant frequency of plasmid-free individuals. This effect has been suggested to occur at protoplast regeneration in S. aureus (Novick et al., 1980); in Streptomyces, loss at protoplast formation and regeneration have not been discriminated (Hopwood, 1981b).
- 3. Transformation/Transfection of Protoplasts by Plasmid or Phage DNA. When Streptomyces protoplasts are treated with plasmid or phage DNA in the presence of 20-25% PEG and are subsequently allowed to regenerate, transformants or transfectants are obtained (Bibb et al., 1978; Kruegel et al., 1980; Suarez and Chater, 1980a). At saturating DNA concentrations, up to 80% of regenerating protoplast colonies can be transformed with plasmid DNA (Bibb et al., 1980b). Phage/host systems vary in this respect; in some, over 1% of protoplasts are transfected, while in others a "competent" fraction, representing only about 10<sup>-4</sup> of protoplasts, become transfected (Suarez and Chater, 1980a). At nonsaturating DNA concentrations, up to 107 transformants can be achieved per microgram of plasmid DNA (Thompson et al., 1982a), but only about 5 × 105 transfectants can be achieved per microgram of phage DNA. However, if positively charged DNA-free liposomes are added to the mixture of phage DNA and protoplasts and the PEG concentration is raised to that optimal for protoplast fusion (about 50%), at least 107 transfectants can be obtained per microgram of phage DNA (Rodicio and Chater, 1982). This treatment appears also to aid reproducible recovery of the maximum frequency of plasmid transformants, or may even raise it.
- 4. Gene Cloning on Plasmid or Phage Vectors. Use of the above transformation/transfection system allowed the development of DNA cloning procedures on endogenous Streptomyces plasmid and phage vectors (Bibb et al., 1980a; Suarez and Chater, 1980b; Thompson et al., 1980). SLP1.2 has provided the best low-copy-number plasmid-cloning vectors so far developed—namely, pIJ41 and pIJ61, which carry two antibiotic resistance markers, one for vector selection and the other allowing clone recognition by insertional inactivation (Thompson et al., 1982b). However, the possibly rather restricted host range of the SLP1 plasmids (S. lividans 66 and Streptomyces reticuli to date) may limit-their utility as vectors for streptomycetes in general. SCP2 may have a slightly wider host range and has been used for cloning in S. coelicolor A3(2) (Bibb et al., 1980a; Thompson et al., 1982a), where SLP1-based vectors cannot be used because of incompatibility with the resident chromosomal SLP1 sequences, as well as in S. lividans 66 and Streptomyces parvulus ATCC 12434. The development of SCP2-based vectors