



THE

ESCAPE FROM

BALANCE SHEET
RECESSION AND THE

QE TRAP

A HAZARDOUS ROAD FOR THE WORLD ECONOMY

RICHARD C. KOO

WILEY

The Escape from Balance Sheet Recession and the QE Trap

*A Hazardous Road for the
World Economy*

RICHARD C. KOO

WILEY

Cover Design: Wiley

Cover Images: Globe:©iStockphoto.com/DNY59

Currency: ©iStockphoto.com/mu_mu

Copyright © 2015 by John Wiley & Sons Singapore Pte. Ltd.

Published by John Wiley & Sons Singapore Pte. Ltd.

1 Fusionopolis Walk, #07-01, Solaris South Tower, Singapore 138628

All rights reserved.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, scanning, or otherwise, except as expressly permitted by law, without either the prior written permission of the Publisher, or authorization through payment of the appropriate photocopy fee to the Copyright Clearance Center. Requests for permission should be addressed to the Publisher, John Wiley & Sons Singapore Pte. Ltd., 1 Fusionopolis Walk, #07-01, Solaris South Tower, Singapore 138628, tel: 65-6643-8000, fax: 65-6643-8008, e-mail: enquiry@wiley.com.

Limit of Liability/Disclaimer of Warranty: While the publisher and author have used their best efforts in preparing this book, they make no representations or warranties with respect to the accuracy or completeness of the contents of this book and specifically disclaim any implied warranties of merchantability or fitness for a particular purpose. No warranty may be created or extended by sales representatives or written sales materials. The advice and strategies contained herein may not be suitable for **your situation**. You should consult with a professional where appropriate. Neither the **publisher** nor the author shall be liable for any damages arising herefrom.

Other Wiley Editorial Offices

John Wiley & Sons, 111 River Street, Hoboken, NJ 07030, USA

John Wiley & Sons, The Atrium, Southern Gate, Chichester, West Sussex, P019 8SQ, United Kingdom

John Wiley & Sons (Canada) Ltd., 5353 Dundas Street West, Suite 400, Toronto, Ontario, M9B 6H8, Canada

John Wiley & Sons Australia Ltd., 42 McDougall Street, Milton, Queensland 4064, Australia
Wiley-VCH, Boschstrasse 12, D-69469 Weinheim, Germany

ISBN 978-1-119-02812-3 (Hardcover)

ISBN 978-1-119-02816-1 (ePDF)

ISBN 978-1-119-02817-8 (ePub)

Set in 10/12pt Garamond by Aptara Inc., New Delhi, India

Printed in Singapore by C.O.S. Printers Pte Ltd

10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2

The Escape from Balance Sheet Recession and the QE Trap

To my dearest wife, Chyen-Mei

Foreword

The global economy underwent a major ordeal after the housing bubbles in Europe and the United States burst in 2007. Almost six years have passed since the Federal Reserve followed the Bank of Japan's lead a decade earlier and took U.S. interest rates down to zero, yet the unemployment remains elevated and industrial output has only recently recovered to the levels of 2008. In Europe, the unemployment rate is running near the euro-era high of 12 percent even though the European Central Bank (ECB) also cut interest rates to zero. The picture for output is even bleaker: Although German industrial production has recovered to the levels of 2007, output in France and Spain is no greater than it was in 1994, and in Italy production has fallen back to 1987 levels. United Kingdom industrial production is no higher than it was in 1992. In Japan, which was geographically far removed from the Western bubbles, the mood has improved since "Abenomics" was launched at the end of 2012, but industrial output remains stuck at the levels of 2003. Some have dubbed this situation "secular stagnation."

Amid these economic difficulties, national policy discussions have been characterized by a severe lack of consensus. Even today, nearly seven years after the bubbles burst, the debate remains as tangled as ever. In the United States, the two main political parties are at loggerheads with each other over the fiscal deficit and the debt ceiling, and in Europe the fiscal consolidation thought to be essential to economic and credit market recovery has enfeebled the economy, with some observers warning of social unrest and a crisis of democracy itself.

National debates have also been characterized by an absence of consensus on monetary policy, with those arguing in favor of further monetary accommodation to counter deflationary pressures facing off against those who insist additional easing will lead to renewed financial imbalances or worse. Some say more structural reform is needed, while others argue that now is not the time because reforms could exacerbate already high levels of unemployment.

A similar rift in opinion can be observed on the question of nonperforming loan disposals in the banking sector. While some recommend pushing ahead with bad loan write-offs, others say that would only compound the

problem by prompting a further fall in asset prices. On the subject of the rating agencies, some believe these firms deserve a harsh lashing with the regulatory whip because they not only issued questionable ratings on subprime securities but also exacerbated the sovereign debt crisis. Others, meanwhile, insist that killing the messenger will not solve the underlying problems.

There is something to be said for all of these views. But the sharp division in expert opinion makes it difficult for even the most capable political leaders to make informed decisions. The media in many countries insist the current turmoil and economic slump are attributable to a lack of leadership, yet each offers a different policy prescription. This wide discrepancy in the views of purported experts suggests we are experiencing not only an economic crisis but also a crisis in economics. Most economists failed to predict the current crisis, and the economics profession itself has fallen into a state of complete disarray in its attempt to answer the question of what should be done.

Fortunately, the nations of the West have one thing in their favor: All of these issues—monetary accommodation, fiscal stimulus, the rating agencies, banking problems, and structural reform—were debated in Japan 15 years earlier. The debates in Japan were no less contentious than the ones currently now under way in the West, but in the end those of us in Japan (or at least I) learned that the post-bubble recession was no ordinary economic downturn but rather an entirely different kind of recession that has been overlooked by traditional economic theory.

It was overlooked because traditional theories never considered recessions brought about by a private sector that was minimizing debt instead of maximizing profits. But the private sectors in most countries in the West today are minimizing debt or maximizing savings in spite of zero interest rates, behavior that is at total odds with traditional theory. The private sector is minimizing debt because liabilities incurred during the bubble remain, while the value of assets bought with borrowed funds collapsed when the bubble burst, leaving balance sheets deeply underwater. With everyone saving or paying down debt and no one borrowing, even at zero interest rates, the economy started shrinking.

Such recessions are not new and have occurred on a number of occasions in the past, most notably the Great Depression, but orthodox economics has no name for recessions triggered by a private sector that chooses to minimize debt. So I called it a balance sheet recession. Over the past two years this term has finally gained currency in the West because there are too many recent economic phenomena that cannot be explained by orthodox economic theory but *can* be explained using balance sheet recession theory.

Nevertheless, many continue to oppose the argument that Western countries are facing the same kind of recession that Japan experienced

15 years ago. There are at least two reasons for this. One is that policy-makers fear their economies will also undergo a “lost decade” like Japan’s; the other is the conceit that they would never make the same mistakes that Japan did. But in many respects they are faithfully repeating Japan’s policy missteps because they have not tried to learn from its experience.

The first reason stems from fear. But almost seven years after the bubbles collapsed, there are no signs Western economies are returning to a more normal footing. Conditions in Europe are still severe. Even in the United States, which opted for bolder monetary and fiscal accommodation, conditions are nowhere near where they should be according to traditional economics after keeping interest rates at zero for over six years. With the Fed pledging to keep interest rates at exceptionally low levels for years to come, the U.S. central bank is effectively saying it will take at least that long for the U.S. economy to return to normal. This state of affairs is a far cry from the situation 15 years ago, when senior officials at the Fed routinely criticized the Bank of Japan for not easing aggressively enough, insisting the Japanese economy would pick up immediately if only the central bank took a more active role.

The belief among Western officials that they would never repeat Japan’s mistakes is attributable in part to substandard foreign journalists in Japan who have helped create major misconceptions overseas. Intelligent, insightful foreign correspondents are never in shortage when a nation has a strong economy and is in the global spotlight, but few seek assignments in a country with a weak economy and, it is presumed, little to teach the world. In the late 1980s, when Japan was a global economic leader, the quality of foreign correspondents was extremely high. Their knowledge of Japan was so extensive that I learned a great deal from them each time they interviewed me.

Once Japan started to lose momentum in the 1990s, however, these individuals departed for the rapidly growing economies of Southeast Asia and China. The sole job requirement for their replacements seemed to be the ability to come up with likely sounding reasons for Japan’s economic slump, with many just asking foreign financial firms in Tokyo—because they spoke English—for a quick sound bite. Many of those firms, however, were in Japan to buy assets on the cheap, and anything that prevented them from accomplishing their bargain hunting was labeled an “impediment to Japanese recovery,” including delays in structural reforms and bad loan disposals. Even fiscal stimulus by the government was given a bad rap because it kept the economy from collapsing and prevented the fire sale of assets.

It is said that people will believe any story that is repeated often enough, and those outside Japan, who could not see for themselves that Japan was actually suffering from balance sheet problems rather than structural problems, ended up believing that Japan’s slump was attributable solely to poor

policy choices resulting from a lack of political will to implement structural reforms.

That mindset made it difficult for policymakers in the West to accept warnings and policy recommendations issued by senior Japanese officials and myself before the Lehman failure and the global financial crisis (GFC). In *Balance Sheet Recession—Japan's Struggle with Uncharted Economics and Its Global Implications* (John Wiley & Sons, Singapore, March 2003), I warned that a housing-bubble-dependent U.S. economy could eventually fall into a severe balance sheet recession. And about six months before the collapse of Lehman Brothers, then-Japanese finance minister Fukushiro Nukaga recommended to Treasury secretary Hank Paulson that the United States quickly inject capital into distressed financial institutions. Both warnings, unfortunately, went unheeded. Had the U.S. authorities listened to my warning and implemented Mr. Nukaga's proposal, the severity of both the balance sheet recession triggered by the housing bubble collapse and the financial crisis sparked by the Lehman bankruptcy could have been lessened substantially.

In the same book I also warned that in the event of a balance sheet recession, Europe—where governments' hands are tied by the Maastricht Treaty, which makes no allowance for the possibility of such a recession—would be hit much harder than either Japan or the United States. Unfortunately, this projection also turned out to be prescient. Making matters worse, many European officials misdiagnosed balance sheet problems for structural problems, first in post-IT bubble Germany, then in post-global financial crisis (GFC) peripheral countries, prolonging recessions in both cases.

In this book I will begin by discussing the similarities between Japan in the past and the West today. I will then present the basic mechanics of balance sheet recessions with a focus on theoretical aspects before returning to recent developments in the global economy. The book will also cover quantitative easing or QE, which is one of the problematic policy byproducts of a balance sheet recession and its aftermath, the QE trap.

It is said that there is no Democratic or Republican way of collecting garbage. Once the disease is correctly identified and its treatment is made known, the extreme social and political polarization that has characterized the United States and other countries over the past seven years should subside. Once a patient is diagnosed as having pneumonia, for example, the treatment is basically the same anywhere in the world. Although it may be years before the general public is made fully aware of this economic malady, I am encouraged that more and more people and organizations—including the International Monetary Fund (IMF) and the Bank for International Settlements (BIS)—are coming to appreciate the concept of balance sheet recessions. It is my hope that readers will leave with a deeper understanding of the problems faced by Western economies today and a better idea of how

to overcome this predicament in light of Japan's experience over the past 20 years.

Notes on the Data Used in This Book

- The data used in this book are current as of June 30, 2014.
- Within the text, there are many references to the data as they were released originally. Many if not most of these data were subsequently revised repeatedly, but revised data typically have far less impact on the markets or the policy debate. Since it was the initial releases that drove changes in both asset prices and subsequent policy, the text refers to the statistics that changed history, not the revised numbers that may be in the database now. However, when revised numbers shed light on what was earlier seen as a puzzle, the revisions are mentioned as well.
- The United States is the only developed economy to provide seasonally adjusted flow-of-funds data. For other countries, I used four-quarter moving averages to capture the trend in the case of national data. For sectoral data in individual countries, the X-12-ARIMA package was used to obtain seasonally adjusted values for gross financial asset and liability flows. The additive seasonal adjustment mode was used since these flows are sometimes negative.

About the Author

Richard C. Koo (Tokyo, Japan) is the Chief Economist of Nomura Research Institute, with responsibilities to provide independent economic and market analysis to Nomura Securities, the leading securities house in Japan, and its clients. Before joining Nomura in 1984, Mr. Koo, a U.S. citizen, was an economist with the Federal Reserve Bank of New York (1981–1984). Prior to that, he was a Doctoral Fellow of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (1979–1981). Best known for developing the concept of balance sheet recession, he has also advised several Japanese prime ministers on how best to deal with Japan's economic and banking problems. In addition to being one of the first non-Japanese to participate in the making of Japan's five-year economic plan, he was also the only non-Japanese member of the Defense Strategy Study Conference of the Japan Ministry of Defense for 1999 to 2011. Currently he is serving as a Senior Advisor to the Center for Strategic and International Studies (Washington, D.C.). He is also an Advisory Board Member of the Institute for New Economic Thinking (New York City), and a charter member of the World Economic Association.

Author of many books on Japanese economy, his last book, *The Holy Grail of Macroeconomics: Lessons from Japan's Great Recession* (John Wiley & Sons, 2008), has been translated into and sold in five different languages. Mr. Koo holds BAs in Political Science and Economics from the University of California at Berkeley (1976), and an MA in Economics from the Johns Hopkins University (1979). From 1998 to 2010, he was a visiting professor at Waseda University in Tokyo. In financial circles, Mr. Koo was ranked first among over 100 economists covering Japan in the Nikkei Financial Ranking for 1995, 1996, and 1997, and by the *Institutional Investor* magazine for 1998. He was also ranked first by Nikkei Newsletter on Bond and Money for 1998, 1999, and 2000. He was awarded the Abramson Award by the National Association for Business Economics (Washington, D.C.) for the year 2001. Mr. Koo, a native of Kobe, Japan, is married with two children.

The Escape from Balance Sheet Recession and the QE Trap

Contents

<i>Foreword</i>	xix
<i>About the Author</i>	xxv
CHAPTER 1 Balance Sheet Recession Theory—Basic Concepts	1
GDP and Inflation Fueled by Growth in Money Supply, Not Monetary Base	5
Japan Fell into Balance Sheet Recession in 1990s	10
Plunging Asset Prices Create Balance Sheet Problems for Businesses	12
Japanese Firms Rushed to Repair Balance Sheets by Paying Down Debt	13
“Correct” Private Sector Behavior Tipped Japan into Contractionary Equilibrium	14
Collapse of Japan’s Bubble Destroyed ¥1,500 Trillion in Wealth	16
Why Japanese GDP Did Not Fall after Bubble Burst	18
Fiscal Stimulus Saved Japan’s Economy	21
“Good” Fiscal Deficits Were Not Perceived as Such	23
Balance Sheet Recessions and the Limitations of Econometric Models	25
Fiscal Stimulus Works in Two Stages	28
FDR Made Same Mistake in 1937	28
Reactive Fiscal Stimulus Is Far Less Efficient	30
Fiscal Deficits Are Easily Financed during Balance Sheet Recessions	31
Self-Corrective Mechanism for Economies in Balance Sheet Recessions	33

Two Types of Fiscal Deficits Require Different Responses	34
Fiscal Deficits Must Be Viewed Relative to Private Savings	36
Consequences of Leaving Things Up to the Market in a Balance Sheet Recession	37
GFC Triggered by Insistence on Market Principles	40
Volcker Understood Systemic Crises	41
Little to Be Gained from Bashing Those Who Have Already Come to Their Senses	42
Recovery from Balance Sheet Recession Takes Time	43
Forward Guidance Important for Fiscal as Well as Monetary Policy	43
Fiscal Consolidation: Better Too Late Than Too Early	45
Three Points to Consider Regarding Costs for Future Generations	47
Japan Had a Shot at Full Recovery in 1996 . . .	49
Conflation of Balance Sheet and Structural Problems Extends Recession	50
Distinguishing Balance Sheet Recessions from Structural Problems and Financial Crises	53
Democracies Are Ill-Equipped for Dealing with Balance Sheet Recessions	55
Keynes Also Overlooked Private-Sector Debt Minimization	56
Those Who Prevent Crises Never Become Heroes	58
Democracy Plus Balance Sheet Recession Equals “Secular Stagnation”	59
Appendix to Chapter 1: Summary of Yin and Yang Phases of Economy	60
CHAPTER 2 Monetary Policy and the Quantitative Easing Trap	63
Monetary Policy Impotent without Demand for Funds	64
Mechanisms for Money Supply Growth	65
Government Borrowing Drove Money Supply Growth in Japan	67

Economics Dogged by Incorrect Analysis of Great Depression	68
Japanese Monetary Policy Has Relied on Fiscal Policy for Past 20 Years	72
Balance Sheet Recessions Triggered by Borrower-Side Problems, Financial Crises Triggered by Lender-Side Problems	74
Bernanke Himself Says QE2 Unlikely to Have Major Macroeconomic Benefits	75
Real Aim of QE2: Portfolio Rebalancing Effect	75
Can Higher Share Prices under QE2 Be Justified on DCF Basis?	77
QE2 a Big Gamble for Bernanke	77
QE Undermined U.S. Leadership in G20	78
QE with No Income Effect Harms Other Countries	79
Dollar-Buying Intervention by U.S. Authorities Would Have Produced Different Outcome	80
Inward Capital Controls Help Keep Bubbles Fueled by Hot Money in Check	80
QE Represents Government Intervention in Asset Markets	81
Operation Twist Lowered Long-Term Rates, but to No Effect	81
Operation Twist Provided Only Limited Economic Boost	83
Bernanke Admits the United States Faces Same Problems as Japan	84
Fed Overestimates Impact of Quantitative Easing	84
“Lower Long-Term Rates = Higher GDP” Formula Does Not Hold during Balance Sheet Recession	85
Fed Has Also Underestimated Costs of QE	85
Unorthodox Monetary Policy Distorts Signals from Bond Market	86
Needless QE Acts as Drag on Financial Institutions	87
Why Fed Embarked on QE3 Two Months before Presidential Election	88
Post-Bubble Wage Growth Nearly Identical in the United States and Japan	89

The “Inconvenient Truth” of the Real Cost of Quantitative Easing	89
BOJ’s First Round of QE Was Easy to Wind Down Because It Was Conducted in Money Market	91
Redemption of Central Bank Bond Holdings Will Not Reduce Commercial Banks’ Current Accounts	92
Government Issue of Refunding Bonds to Private Sector Would Absorb Excess Reserves	92
Redeeming Fed Bond Holdings Has Same Effect as Issuing Deficit Bonds	93
Strength of Private Loan Demand Different at Start and End of QE	94
Paying Interest on Excess Reserves Would Enable Rate Hikes . . .	94
But Cost Could Be Prohibitive	95
Cost of Winding Down QE Has Yet to Be Properly Analyzed	97
Debate over Winding Down QE Sparks “Bad” Rise in Rates	98
“QE Trap” Appears Increasingly Likely	98
Continued QE Trap More Likely Than Hyperinflation	101
BOJ Found Itself in Same Position in 2006	103
Fed Admits That Supply and Demand Matters, Too	103
Fed Changes Course Despite a 1.1 Percent Inflation Rate	104
Traditional Phillips Curve Relationship No Longer Holds	105
Upcoming Chapters in QE Saga	106
Capital Injection Could Also Be Threatened If Blame Shifts to Fed	106
Sales Should Start with Bonds Maturing Soon	107
Final Cost of QE Can Be Calculated Only at End of Fourth Chapter	108
Theoretical Debate on QE Has Focused Entirely on Benefits and Ignored Costs	108
Central Banks Should Establish a New Reaction Function to Drain Reserves	110
Emerging Markets Need Inward Capital Controls to Protect against QE	111

Japan Should Learn from Pioneers in QE Using Long-Term Bonds	112
Financial and Capital Markets during Balance Sheet Recessions	113
Balance Sheet Recession Brings Special Kind of Liquidity-Driven Market	115
Is Inflation of 1–2 Percent Too Low?	116
Does Inflation Improve People’s Standard of Living?	116
Absence of Inflation Concerns May Have Lifted Utility of Consumption in Japan	117
QE Should Not Be Pursued Any Further Given Difficulty of Winding It Down	118
QE a Problematic Byproduct of Balance Sheet Recessions	119
CHAPTER 3 The United States in Balance Sheet Recession	121
Rating Agencies Need to Be More Tightly Regulated	123
Why Was Lehman Allowed to Fail?	124
TARP Prevented Bank Failures but Also Created Turmoil	127
U.S. Authorities Changed Course with “Pretend and Extend”	129
Fiscal Stimulus Shifts from “Three Ts” to “Three Ss”	131
Obama Has Yet to Disclose the Name of the Disease	132
Bernanke’s “Fiscal Cliff” Warning Saved the U.S. Economy	134
Bernanke Declared Monetary Easing Could Not Offset Impact of Fiscal Cliff	136
Fall from Fiscal Cliff Triggered Japan’s Deflation	137
U.S. Households Still Repairing Balance Sheets	138
Nonfinancial Corporate Sector Faced Difficult Years in the Wake of GFC	140
U.S. Companies Hit Far Harder by GFC Than by Collapse of Internet Bubble	141
Can U.S. Corporate Sector Become Economic Engine?	141
Long-Term Rate “Conundrum” Kept Housing Bubble Alive	142
Post-2007 Fed in Similar Position to BOJ in 1990s	143