

STUDIES

Morag Shiach

OXFORD READINGS IN FEMINISM

Feminism and Cultural Studies

Edited by

Morag Shiach



This book has been printed digitally and produced in a standard specification in order to ensure its continuing availability

OXFORD

UNIVERSITY PRESS

Great Clarendon Street, Oxford OX2 6DP

Oxford University Press is a department of the University of Oxford. It furthers the University's objective of excellence in research, scholarship, and education by publishing worldwide in

Oxford New York

Auckland Cape Town Dar es Salaam Hong Kong Karachi Kuala Lumpur Madrid Melbourne Mexico City Nairobi New Delhi Shanghai Taipei Toronto With offices in

Argentina Austria Brazil Chile Czech Republic France Greece Guatemala Hungary Italy Japan South Korea Poland Portugal Singapore Switzerland Thailand Turkey Ukraine Vietnam

Oxford is a registered trade mark of Oxford University Press in the UK and in certain other countries

Published in the United States by Oxford University Press Inc., New York

© Morag Shiach 1999

Not to be reprinted without permission The moral rights of the author have been asserted Database right Oxford University Press (maker)

Reprinted 2008

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, without the prior permission in writing of Oxford University Press, or as expressly permitted by law, or under terms agreed with the appropriate reprographics rights organization. Enquiries concerning reproduction outside the scope of the above should be sent to the Rights Department, Oxford University Press, at the address above

You must not circulate this book in any other binding or cover And you must impose this same condition on any acquirer

ISBN 978-0-19-875235-6

Printed and bound by CPI Antony Rowe, Eastbourne

OXFORD READINGS IN FEMINISM FEMINISM AND CULTURAL STUDIES

Notes on Contributors

Sally Alexander is Professor of Modern History at Goldsmiths College, University of London, and is an editor of History Workshop Journal.

IEN ANG is Professor of Cultural Studies and Director of the Research Centre in Intercommunal Studies at the University of Western Sydney, Nepean (Australia), where she is also Chair of the School of Cultural Histories and Futures. Her books include Watching Dallas (1985) and Living Room Wars (1996). She is working on a new book provisionally titled Living in Translation: Beyond Identity, Ethnicity and Diaspora.

GARGI BHATTACHARYYA lives in Birmingham and works in the Department of Cultural Studies and Sociology at Birmingham University.

JACQUELINE BOBO is Associate Professor of Women's Studies at the University of California at Santa Barbara. She holds a Ph.D. in Film and is the author of Black Women as Cultural Readers (1995) and the editor of Black Women Film and Video Artists (1998).

CHARLOTTE BRUNSDON teaches Film and Television Studies at the University of Warwick. She is the author of Screen Tastes (1997) and co-editor of Feminist Television Criticism: A Reader (1997).

KIRSTEN DROTNER is a Reader in the Department of Film and Media Studies and Director of the Centre for Child and Youth Media Studies at the University of Copenhagen. Her areas of scholarship include media history, qualitative methodology, and young people's cultural identities. She has published extensively in British and American journals of communication, gender, and culture and has written several books in Danish. She is currently working on a book about women's memories of the mass media.

ANN DUCILLE is Professor of American and African American Literature, University of California, San Diego. She is the author of *The Coupling Convention:* Sex, Text and Tradition in Black Women's Fiction (1993) and Skin Trade (1996).

CHRISTINE GERAGHTY is Senior Lecturer in Media and Communications at Goldsmiths College, University of London. She is the author of Women and Soap Opera (1991); co-editor and contributor to The Television Studies Book (1998); and is currently working on a study of British cinema.

CHRISTINE GRIFFIN is a Senior Lecturer in social psychology at the University of Birmingham. Her most recent book is Representations of Youth: The Study of Youth and Adolescence in Britain and America (1993). Her main research interests include approaches to the study of young people's lives; feminist perspectives in

social psychology; critical work on men and masculinity. She is one of the founding editors of the international journal Feminism and Psychology.

CATHERINE HALL teaches social and cultural history at University College, London. She is the author with Leonore Davidoff of Family Fortunes: Men and Women of the English Middle Class 1780–1850 (1987) and of a book of essays entitled White, Male and Middle Class: Explorations in Feminism and History (1992). Her current research focuses on questions of 'race', empire, and nation and a new book, Civilizing Subjects: 'Race', Nation and Empire in the English Imagination 1830–1870, will be published in 1999.

DOROTHY HOBSON is a writer, lecturer, and broadcasting consultant. She has published both popular and academic material and has lectured and broadcast extensively on popular drama, soap operas, audiences, daytime television, and Channel 4. She is the author of 'Crossroads': The Drama of a Soap Opera (1982). She is joint editor of and contributor to Culture, Media, Language (1980). She has also contributed to Women Take Issue (1978), Feminism for Girls (1981), Remote Control (1989), Television and Women's Culture (1990) and Media Studies: A Reader (1996). She has produced television events for the Birmingham Film and Television Festival. She was a member of the Independent Advice Panel on Television Drama for the BBC Board of Governors (1996). She is currently developing a drama series and adapting a novel for television.

Lesley Johnson is Pro-Vice-Chancellor (Research) and Professor of Cultural Studies at the University of Technology, Sydney. Her published books include *The Unseen Voice*, a cultural study of early Australian radio, and *The Modern Girl*, which looks at how girlhood and growing up were defined for young women in Australia in the 1950s. She is currently working on histories of the figure of the housewife in Australia from 1945 to 1960 and of the Ph.D. and postgraduate pedagogy.

CLAIRE JOHNSTON published widely in feminist film theory. She was on the editorial board of *Screen* and worked with the Edinburgh Film Festival in the 1970s.

ALISON LIGHT is a Research Fellow in the Department of English, University College, London. She is the author of Forever England: Femininity, Literature and Conservatism between the Wars (1991) and is currently writing a book on servants.

ANGELA McRobbie is Professor of Communications at Goldsmiths College, University of London, and author of several books on feminism and popular culture. Her most recent research is on the culture industries and is published in British Fashion Design: Rag Trade or Image Industry (1998).

BIDDY MARTIN is a Professor in the Departments of German Studies and Women's Studies at Cornell University. Her publications include Woman and Modernity: The (Life) Styles of Lou Andreas-Salome (1991).

NOTES ON CONTRIBUTORS

PATRICIA MELLENCAMP is a Distinguished Professor in the Department of Art History at the University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee and teaches courses in film, video, and computer cultures. She is the author of Indiscretions: Avant Garde Film, Video and Feminism (1990), High Anxiety: Catastrophe, Scandal, Age and Comedy (1992), and A Fine Romance: The Five Ages of Film Feminism (1995); the editor of Logics of Television: Essays in Cultural Criticism (1990); co-editor with Mary Ann Doane and Linda Williams of Re-Vision: Essays in Feminist Film Criticism (1984); and editor of The Alarming, Charming Video Art of Cecelia Condit: True Stories and Fairy Tales (forthcoming).

CHANDRA TALPADE MOHANTY teaches women's studies at Hamilton College, New York. She is the co-editor of Third World Women and the Politics of Feminism (1991) and Feminist Genealogies, Colonial Legacies, Democratic Futures (1997).

MEAGHAN MORRIS become involved with Cultural Studies as co-editor of two feminist/Gay Liberation journals in the 1970s: GLP and Working Papers in Sex, Science and Culture (Sydney). Her books include The Pirate's Fiancée: Feminism, Reading, Postmodernism (1988), Too Soon, Too Late: History in Popular Culture (1998), and Australian Cultural Studies: A Reader, co-edited with John Frow (1993). She now holds an Australian Research Council Fellowship at the University of Technology, Sydney, and co-edits with Stephen Muecke the UTS Review: Cultural Studies and New Writing (John Libbey and Co.).

LAURA MULVEY is the graduate tutor at the British Film Institute. Her essays have been reprinted in Visual and Other Pleasures (1989) and Fetishism and Curiosity (1996). She has also made six films with Peter Wollen and, most recently, Disgraced Monuments (with Mark Lewis; Channel 4, 1994).

MICA NAVA is a Professor in the Department of Cultural Studies and Co-Director of the Centre of Consumer and Advertising Studies at the University of East London. She is the author of Changing Cultures: Feminism, Youth and Consumerism (1992) and co-editor of Modern Times: Reflections on a Century of English Modernity (1996) and Buy this Book: Studies in Advertising and Consumption (1997). Her current research is on cosmopolitanism, modernity, and consumption.

ELSPETH PROBYN is Associate Professor and Head of the Department of Gender Studies at the University of Sydney. Her publications include Sexing the Self: Gendered Positions in Cultural Studies (1993) and Outside Belongings (1996), as well as the co-edited book Sexy Bodies: The Strange Carnalities of Feminism (1995). Her latest book, Eating the Other, focuses on the role of food in the production of postcolonial identities.

Janice Radway teaches at Duke University, Durham, North Carolina. Her most recent book is A Feeling for Books: The Book-of-the-Month Club, Literary Taste and Middle-Class Desire (1997).

KRISTIN Ross is Professor of Comparative Literature at New York University. She is the author of *The Emergence of Social Space: Rimband and the Paris Commune* (1988) and *Fast Cars, Clean Bodies: Decolonization and the Reordering of French Culture* (1995), and the co-editor of *Everyday Life* (Yale French Studies, 1987).

JACKIE STACEY is Senior Lecturer in the Department of Sociology at Lancaster University. She is an editor of Screen and the author of Star Gazing: Hollywood Cinema and Female Spectatorship (1994) and Teratologies: A Cultural Study of Cancer (1997). She has also co-edited the following collections: Off-Centre: Feminism and Cultural Studies (1991); Working Out: New Directions for Women's Studies (1992); and Romance Revisited (1995).

Susan Leigh Star is Associate Professor of Library and Information Science at the University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign. She also holds appointments in Sociology, Women's Studies, Computer Science, and Critical Theory. Her recent research examines the social and organizational aspects of large information systems, including medical classification. She is the author of Regions of the Mind: Brain Research and the Quest for Scientific Certainty (1989). She is editor of Ecologies of Knowledge: Work and Politics in Science and Technology (1995) and The Cultures of Computing (1995), and co-editor of Beyond the Great Divide: Social Science, Information Systems and Cooperative Work (1997). She has written feminist theory into, around, and between all of these spaces.

CLARE WHATLING is a Lecturer in Film and Television Theory at the University of Manchester. Her book *Screen Dreams: Fantasising Lesbians in Film* was published by Manchester University Press in 1997.

PATRICIA J. WILLIAMS is a Professor of Law at Columbia University in New York City, and a columnist for the *Nation Magazine*. Her publications include *The Alchemy of Race and Rights* (1991) and *Seeing a Colour-Blind Future*: The Paradox of Race (1997).

JUDITH WILLIAMSON is a writer and journalist. She is the author of *Decoding Advertisements: Ideology and Meaning in Advertising* (1978), Consuming Passions: The Dynamics of Popular Culture (1986), and Deadline at Dawn: Film Criticism 1980–1990 (1993).

Contents

No	tes on Contributors	viii		
	Introduction	1		
Part I. Consuming and Commodities				
1.	Things To Do With Shopping Centres MEAGHAN MORRIS	11		
2.	Consumerism Reconsidered: Buying and Power MICA NAVA	45		
3.	Shut Up and Dance: Youth Culture and Changing Modes of Femininity ANGELA MCROBBIE	65		
4.	Cast Upon Their Own Resources: The Girl's Own Paper and Harmsworth's Trendsetters KIRSTEN DROTNER	89		
5.	Black Barbie and the Deep Play of Difference ANN DUCILLE	106		
6.	The Death of the Profane PATRICIA J. WILLIAMS	133		
	Part II. Working			
7.	Women Audiences and the Workplac DOROTHY HOBSON	143		
8.	Typical Girls? Young Women from School to the Job Market: Looking Forward CHRISTINE GRIFFIN	154		
9.	The Tale of Samuel and Jemima: Gender and Working-Class Culture in Early Nineteenth-Century England CATHERINE HALL	174		
10.	Becoming a Woman in London in the 1920s and '30s SALLY ALEXANDER	200		
11.	High Anxiety: Catastrophe, Scandal, Age, and Comedy: Countercultures PATRICIA MELLENCAMP	228		

CONTENTS

	Part III. The Age of Mechanical Reproduction	
12.	Women's Cinema as Counter Cinema CLAIRE JOHNSTON	247
13.	Desperately Seeking Difference JACKIE STACEY	259
14.	The Color Purple: Black Women as Cultural Readers JACQUELINE BOBO	275
15.	Women and Soap Opera: A Woman's Space CHRISTINE GERAGHTY	297
16.	Cosmetics and Abjection: Cindy Sherman 1977–1987 LAURA MULVEY	319
17.	Family, Education, Photography JUDITH WILLIAMSON	333
18.	Pedagogies of the Feminine: Feminist Teaching and Women's Genres CHARLOTTE BRUNSDON	343
	Part IV. Fantasies of Desire	
19.	'Returning to Manderley': Romance Fiction, Female Sexuality, and Class ALISON LIGHT	371
20.	Romance and the Work of Fantasy: Struggles over Feminine Sexuality and Subjectivity at Century's End JANICE RADWAY	395
21.	Who's Read <i>Macho Sluts</i> ? CLARE WHATLING	417
22.	Disciplinary Desires: The Outside of Queer Feminist Cultural Studies ELSPETH PROBYN	431
23.	'Who Fancies Pakis?': Pamella Bordes and the Problems of Exoticism in Multiracial Britain' GARGI BHATTACHARYYA	459
	Part V. Home?	
24.	'As Housewives we Are Worms': Women, Modernity, and the Home Question	475

25.	Hygiene and Modernization: Housekeeping KRISTIN ROSS	492
26.	Feminist Politics: What's Home Got to Do with It? BIDDY MARTIN AND CHANDRA TALPADE MOHANTY	517
27.	On Not Speaking Chinese: Postmodern Ethnicity and the Politics of Diaspora IEN ANG	540
28.	From Hestia to Home Page: Feminism and the Concept of Home in Cyberspace SUSAN LEIGH STAR	565
Further Reading		583
Index		585

Introduction

'Cultural studies' is an interdisciplinary space whose contours and energies express the complex and shifting relations between cultural analysis and political critique over the last thirty years. It is a field which has grown rapidly in recent years and has developed from the concerns, methodologies, and analytic procedures of a number of disciplines, including literature, sociology, anthropology, film studies, and philosophy.

There is no single story of cultural studies. For an editor of a volume such as this one that fact may be a source of disappointment, even of frustration. Certainly it does seem to have been the aim of many editors of recent volumes on cultural studies to construct a narrative of the true nature and appropriate objects of the field. This desire to fix the discipline, to give it an authoritative source and a simple trajectory, risks distorting the various ways in which the analysis of culture has involved, intrigued, and excited people over the last thirty years.

Cultural studies is marked by the different national contexts in which it has developed. Factors including the forms and languages of politics, the relations between cultural production and the state, or the organization and funding of education will all leave their trace on the kind of work done in the field. For example, the political and cultural meanings of race and ethnicity are a central concern of cultural studies as a whole, but the theoretical terms in which these aspects are understood have been quite varied and can only be interpreted in relation to the different colonial histories of, for example, Britain, Australia, and the United States.

The growth of cultural studies as an academic field also expresses the scale, and the contested nature, of educational changes in recent years. In Britain, for example, cultural studies developed largely in polytechnics and in adult and continuing education. For a number of reasons, these were intellectual spaces where interdisciplinary work could more readily be undertaken. Polytechnics had less of an investment in the sanctity of existing academic disciplines because they had less of a stake in the cultural hierarchies that supported them. They were also addressing a constituency of students who were much more varied in terms of age

and of class than was typical in universities in Britain in the 1970s and 1980s. Finally, modular degree structures which were common in polytechnics made the process of disciplinary change both intellectually and institutionally more conceivable since new work could be understood as additional or optional rather than being seen as a threatening replacement of existing academic and cultural concerns. In recent years the forms of division within British higher education have been substantially modified, and cultural studies has entered many of the old universities, often at postgraduate level. None the less, cultural studies is marked by its particular institutional history, with opponents still fearful that it is tainted by the vocational.

In other national contexts, too, cultural studies reveals the traces of broader social transformations. These include the processes through which existing cultural hierarchies are challenged, and the ways in which the centrality and significance of particular texts and artefacts are contested by groups who feel excluded or threatened by them. This might also involve the revision of academic syllabuses and the broadening of the constituency who have access to higher education. Indeed, cultural studies is often understood as the cause of such changes, which are felt to be negative in their impact and threatening in their scope. Thus, for example, hostility to cultural studies in the United States has started from the assumption that it has caused what is seen as the damaging interest in non-canonical forms of culture. This fearful response may be understandable, since both social and cultural power are at stake, but as an account of the development of cultural studies it is reductive to the point of redundancy.

As an intellectual and academic space marked by its interdisciplinarity, cultural studies risks being understood as amorphous, as lacking in rigour. In fact, the challenge of interdisciplinarity often leads to greater rigour in terms of theoretical definition and methodological self-consciousness. Far from assuming that 'anything goes', cultural studies often proceeds on the assumption that absolutely everything needs to be theoretically grounded. This requires familiarity with a very broad range of theoretical discourses. In their discussion of Australian cultural studies, for example, John Frow and Meaghan Morris suggest something of the variety of theoretical and cultural material which might need to be employed to understand the everyday cultural phenomenon that is the shopping mall. This would include an economic discourse which can address the retailing of commodities, an aesthetic discourse related to architecture and space, political discourses concerned with property and with the politics of bodies in space, discourses con-

cerned with the construction and significance of gender, accounts of the history of consumerism, and analyses of the mall as textual construct.

Cultural studies has always been concerned with the relations between forms of culture and forms of power. Culture has been understood in a number of different ways: as specific texts; as the practices which construct national, class, or gender identities; or as the interconnection of different modes and systems of communication. But each of these understandings has led to analyses which aim to capture the ways in which culture interacts with social inequalities. Thus the focus of analysis might be the ways in which particular subcultural groups use and modify cultural artefacts, or it might be the ways in which a particular film constructs its audience, or it might be the ways in which different cultural forms interact at a given historical moment. Overall, however, cultural studies is interested in the practices and texts through which individuals and groups come to understand or to imagine themselves as social beings.

It might, then, be tempting to imagine that feminism and cultural studies have always been intimately connected. Feminist theories and forms of activism have long been addressing the ways in which culture constructs or reinforces gender hierarchies. In many disciplinary and political spaces feminism has concerned itself with forms of language, with the power of images, with the pleasures and perils of cultural identifications. Yet in all the disciplinary self-consciousness which has characterized cultural studies, its relation to feminism has been strikingly tangential. Despite the quality and range of feminist work in this area, histories of cultural studies mention only founding fathers and anthologies give to feminism only a minor supporting role. Charlotte Brunsdon has written forcefully about the particular difficulties of developing gendered intellectual work within the field of cultural studies.² Her article on the challenges facing feminist scholars begins: 'It was a truth acknowledged by all women studying at the Centre for Contemporary Cultural Studies at Birmingham University in the 1970s that no woman there had ever completed a PhD.' In exploring the impact of this perceived incompatability between the demands of feminist research and of postgraduate work in cultural studies Brunsdon discusses the ways in which feminist questioning of the priorities and the methodologies of cultural studies generated both hostility and incomprehension. Hostility and incomprehension have perhaps given way to a rather anxious indifference, but feminist work remains awkwardly placed in terms of disciplinary definitions and received histories.

INTRODUCTION

The marginalization of feminist practice in disciplinary, institutional, and political histories is not, of course, confined to cultural studies. The risks of 'forgetting' seem strong in relation to many aspects of feminist practice. The aim of this anthology is to counteract this tendency towards amnesia and to register the power and range of work by feminist critics working within cultural studies over the last twenty years. Narrating the history of cultural studies often takes the form of unseemly struggles over its real nature, its proper parentage, its authentic identity. By addressing feminism and cultural studies, however, it is possible to avoid the lures of the more familiar founding narratives. Feminist critics have never had the luxury of belonging, of feeling central, so that their work tends to stress the exploratory and the partial nature of the theoretical models on which cultural studies draws. Feminist critics are often particularly conscious of the difficulties of describing or constructing collectivity and they are wary of the rhetorical gesture of inclusion which leaves many outside crucial theoretical and political categories. Their commitment to interdisciplinarity, which often reflects the joint experiences of working within women's studies and cultural studies, draws on the interaction of diverse spaces and provides polemical energy as well as theoretical innovation.

Feminist critics are also frequently concerned to understand the historical development of cultural forms and to explore the ways in which gender and culture interacted at different historical moments. Cultural studies has tended to focus on contemporary cultural forms. Indeed, in a recent article Simon During defines cultural studies as 'the study of contemporary culture'. This emphasis on the contemporary expresses the particular interests of cultural studies in the social and political impact of commodification and of mass production as definitive of the experience of the modern. Yet it seems important not to reduce the understanding of modernity to an understanding of the contemporary: modernity has a long and important history. It is a strength of much feminist research in the field of cultural studies that it seeks to develop a historical understanding of cultural forms and experiences, often as a means to suggest the possibility of change, to feed the utopian imagination.

This anthology is arranged thematically, and in each part there is work from different historical periods and different national contexts. The five parts, Consuming and Commodities; Working; The Age of Mechanical Reproduction; Fantasies of Desire; and Home?, each represent central areas of concern for feminist critics working within cultural studies. The interest in consumption and the social circulation of com-

modities reflects a number of aspects of the field. The growth in mass production of commodities from the late nineteenth century and the consequent development of new forms of advertising and marketing have been seen as key social determinants of the development of cultural studies. For many writers and cultural critics in the early years of this century the growth of consumerism represented a threat to art, to traditional forms of community, and to the integrity of the individual self. Cultural studies may be seen as a reaction to such pessimism, seeking as it did to understand the possible relations between resistance to social hierarchies and practices of consumption. For feminist critics there was a particular need to understand these issues because the act of consuming was a cultural and social practice that seemed of particular importance to women. In addition, feminist interest in practices of consumption expressed a desire to challenge the dominance of research paradigms which linked the public with the political. Understanding the ways in which consumption can constitute oppositional identities and offer subversive pleasures might provide the terms for understanding more local or private forms of political engagement.

Meaghan Morris and Mica Nava both explore the possible relations between buying and power. Morris develops a complex account, which is framed by anthropological, social, and literary theories, of the ways in which shopping centres might reconfigure the sense of place and identity for the contemporary consumer. Angela McRobbie is also concerned with the construction of identities, exploring the negotiated. self-conscious, and parodic forms of identity constructed through youth cultures of dance. Kirsten Drotner's interest is to analyse the terms in which such identities could be constructed in the nineteenth century: she explores the ways in which forms of popular publishing offered new possibilities, which were yet constricted possibilities, for the young female consumer. Ann duCille is interested in the challenge of ever more refined forms of marketing difference, suggesting that the commodification of difference may be disabling in its illusions of choice. Finally, Patricia J. Williams offers a rather sobering anecdote which suggests the forms of exclusion which underpin the cultural and social elevation of shopping.

Analysis of work has not always been seen as central to feminist research in cultural studies; indeed, much early writing turned away in frustration from the very limited and gendered social categories which elevated paid work to the status of engine of liberation. The research in this part probably exhibits the greatest methodological diversity, reflecting the difficulty that feminist theorists have found in intervening in the

disciplinary spaces of labour and cultural history. None the less, reading the work of historians such as Catherine Hall and Sally Alexander, alongside work by Dorothy Hobson and Christine Griffin, does reveal some very interesting insights into the ways in which women come to understand themselves as workers and into how they understand narratives of class, gender, and race in relation to each other. Patricia Mellencamp also seeks to understand the processes and narratives which connect economic relations and cultural forms, though her interest is specifically in the experience of late capitalism.

Mechanical reproduction and its cultural effects has, on the other hand, long been of interest to cultural studies: television, both as a technology and as a cultural form, has been crucial to the sense of the particularity of post-Second World War culture. In the third part, work on television, on film, and on photography has been chosen to suggest the specific questions that emerge from feminist questioning of the experiences of mass-produced forms of culture. Both Claire Johnston and Jackie Stacey, though writing in different periods and different contexts, explore the ways in which popular cinema constructs and yet might subvert the stability of sexual difference. Jacqueline Bobo's work seeks to understand this tension between the reproduction of existing structures of power and the pleasures of imagining new identities in a study of the ways in which black women viewed The Color Purple. Christine Geraghty's study of prime-time soap opera is also interested in contested identities and private pleasures. Laura Mulvey and Judith Williamson write about the cultural meanings and resources of photography: Williamson explores the familial meanings of photography while Mulvey writes about the ways in which the artist Cindy Sherman uses photography as a resource for the construction of ironic identities. Finally, Charlotte Brunsdon considers the pedagogical challenges of engaging with the culture of the everyday and considers in particular the problems of asking students to engage with the pleasures and the perils of femininity.

Much work in feminist cultural studies has been concerned with the ways in which cultural representations structure the meaning of sexuality and with the way in which they mobilize unconscious fears or desires to produce compelling forms of pleasure. Alison Light and Janice Radway both examine a particular cultural form, romance fiction, which has been associated overwhelmingly with women. They are keen to challenge the assumption that readers of romance are dupes and victims who passively or masochistically consume narratives of their own patriarchal confinement. Clare Whatling and Elspeth Probyn extend these