

Inglorious Revolution

Political Institutions, Sovereign Debt, and Financial Underdevelopment in Imperial Brazil

WILLIAM R. SUMMERHILL

Inglorious Revolution

POLITICAL INSTITUTIONS, SOVEREIGN DEBT, AND
FINANCIAL UNDERDEVELOPMENT IN IMPERIAL BRAZIL

Yale university press

NEW HAVEN AND LONDON

Copyright © 2015 by Yale University.

All rights reserved.

This book may not be reproduced, in whole or in part, including illustrations, in any form (beyond that copying permitted by Sections 107 and 108 of the U.S. Copyright Law and except by reviewers for the public press), without written permission from the publishers.

Yale University Press books may be purchased in quantity for educational, business, or promotional use. For information, please e-mail sales.press@yale.edu (U.S. office) or sales@yaleup.co.uk (U.K. office).

Set in Scala and Scala Sans types by Westchester Book Group.

Printed in the United States of America.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Summerhill, William Roderick.

Inglorious revolution : political institutions, sovereign debt, and financial underdevelopment in imperial Brazil / William R. Summerhill.

s cm—(Yale series in economic and financial history)

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 978-0-300-13927-3 (alk. paper)

1. Debts, Public—Brazil—History—19th century. 2. Brazil—Economic conditions—19th century. 3. Brazil—Economic policy—19th century. 4. Brazil—History—

Empire, 1822-1889. I. Title.

HJ8579.S86 2015

330.981'04—dc23 2014045428

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library.

This paper meets the requirements of ANSI/NISO Z39.48-1992 (Permanence of Paper).

10987654321

INGLORIOUS REVOLUTION

YALE SERIES IN ECONOMIC AND FINANCIAL HISTORY

Sponsored by the International Center for Finance, Yale School of Management

GENERAL EDITORS

Howard Bodenhorn

Clemson University, Department of Economics

William N. Goetzmann Yale University, School of Management

K. Geert Rouwenhorst Yale University, School of Management

Eugene N. White
Rutgers University, Department of Economics

For Yolanda

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

THIS BOOK DRAWS on research funded by a U.S. National Endowment for the Humanities Summer Stipend, a U.S. Department of Education Fulbright-Hays Faculty Research Abroad Grant, and a National Fellowship from the Hoover Institution on War, Revolution, and Peace at Stanford University. Without the support of these organizations the book would simply not have been possible. I am grateful for the opportunity they provided.

My always rewarding sojourn in the research collections of Rio de Janeiro was invaluably aided by Flávio Luiz de Souza Santos. I appreciate his dedicated professionalism and skillful help. Natsumi Ishino and Giovanna Violi provided help in libraries and archives in London. Cala Dietrich, Renata Rodrigues, and Blanca Serna assisted with data entry. Caroline Shaw of the Rothschild Archive in London was especially accommodating. Sátiro Ferreira Nunes at the Arquivo Nacional in Rio de Janeiro greatly facilitated my research. I enjoyed unfettered access at two collections of the Ministry of Finance in Rio de Janeiro—the rare works section of the Biblioteca do Ministério da Fazenda and the archive of the Museu da Fazenda Federal—which made my research there a genuine pleasure.

The natural complexity of uprooting a family to reside in another country for an extended period of research is made easier only with the help of others. I am deeply obliged to Renato Perim Colistete, Maria Ana Quaglino, Glenn Rosen, Joseph Ryan, and Hado Steinbrecher.

At three locations the progress of the manuscript benefited from unusually rich intellectual environments in which to work. A year as a National Fellow at the Hoover Institution, combined with a stint at the Social Science History Institute at Stanford University, allowed me to develop the foundations of the study. Conversations with Stephen Haber, Douglass North, and Barry Weingast greatly aided the early stages and gave the project new energy at various points thereafter. In Rio de Janeiro, the Escola de Pós-Graduação em Economia of the Fundação Getúlio Vargas provided me with a place to complete the first draft of the manuscript. My time there allowed me to discuss a central theme of Brazilian history and political economy with a world-class group of social scientists. Their collegiality and curiosity spurred several lines of investigation I would not have otherwise pursued. I am indebted to Renato Fragelli Cardoso, the department chair, and to Samuel de Abreu Pessôa, who arranged my visit and pressed me on the present-day relevance of my otherwise antiquarian investigation. Since first arriving at UCLA, I have had the good fortune of participating in the activities that ultimately evolved into the Center for Economic History. Naomi Lamoreaux, Jean-Laurent Rosenthal, the late Kenneth Sokoloff, and Mary Yeager were central to its creation, guaranteeing the provision of scholarly public goods that represent the largest intellectual debt of this project. The Center's faculty, graduate students, and visitors provide a vibrant and ideal environment for investigators working in social science history.

Joseph Ryan and Alison Adams allowed me to draw on their respective findings on private borrowing and lending in nineteenth-century Rio de Janeiro. Daniel Waldenström, Ulisses Ruiz-de-Gamboa, and Mark Dincecco generously shared their econometric expertise. Parts of the manuscript and related papers were improved by suggestions received during presentations at the Von Gremp Workshop in Economic History at UCLA, the Lowe Institute of Political Economy at Claremont-McKenna College, the School of International and Areas Studies at the University of Oklahoma, the second Stanford conference on the Politics of Financial Development, the Instituto Brasileiro dos Mercados de Capitais (Belo Horizonte), the Departamento de Ciências Econômicas of the Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul, the Departamento de Econo-

mia of the Universidade Federal de Pelotas, the Instituto de Pesquisa Econômica Aplicada, the Instituto de Economia of the Universidade Estadual de Campinas, the Departamento de Economia of the Faculdade de Economia e Administração of the Universidade de São Paulo, the Escola de Pós-Graduação em Economia of the Fundação Getúlio Vargas, the Instituto de Estudos em Política Econômica-Casa das Garças, the UCLA Center for Economic History conference on States and Capital Markets in Historical Perspective, the Economic History Workshop at Yale University, and the Hoover Institution Seminar on Collective Choice. A preliminary version of chapter 5 appeared as the working paper "Political Economics of the Domestic Debt in Nineteenth-Century Brazil," in Diretoria de Estudos Macroeconômicos, *Seminários* 180, Instituto de Pesquisa Econômica Aplicada (Rio de Janeiro, 2005).

Portions of the manuscript greatly benefited from conversations with and critical readings by Lee Alston, Edmar Bacha, Luís Catão, Mark Dincecco, Gustavo Franco, Carlos Gabriel Guimarães, Timothy Guinnane, Carlos Marichal, Leonardo Monasterio, Aldo Musacchio, Douglass North, Eustáquio Reis, Cláudio Shikida, the late Kenneth Sokoloff, David Stasavage, André Villela, John Wallis, and Barry Weingast. Renato Perim Colistete not only read and discussed the manuscript but also made possible a series of lectures at the Universidade de São Paulo, where I received invaluable feedback. Roderick Barman offered tremendously helpful suggestions on several portions of the book, along with information from his own research in progress, and in general shared his expertise on Brazilian history. Jeffrey Needell's insights on key segments came at a critical moment in the process of revision, and I am grateful for his intellectual generosity. Adalton Diniz helped make the Empire's fiscal system intelligible.

Howard Bodenhorn, Stephen Haber, Herbert Klein, Hendrik Kraay, Naomi Lamoreaux, Francisco Vidal Luna, Carlos Manuel Peláez, Samuel de Abreu Pessôa, James Robinson, and two readers at Yale University Press commented on one version or another of the entire study, saving me from many an error. I thank Eugene White, who read two versions of the manuscript and, as a series editor, provided essential support for the project. Richard Salvucci accompanied the development of this book over several years and allowed me to tap into his vast reservoir of knowledge on sovereign borrowing in Latin American history. Jean-Laurent

Rosenthal offered countless insights from the very start of this project and graciously tolerated a never-ending stream of queries. Stephen Haber's mentorship and encouragement were indispensable. As editor, William Frucht at Yale University Press made it possible to bring the book to fruition.

My family made the greatest contribution that one could hope for over the course of this project. Yolanda, Liam, and Samuel bore the brunt of my distraction with what probably looked to them like an odd scholarly fixation. They did so with patience, good humor, and an appreciation of collective adventures. I am indebted to them for their love, support, and companionship.

NOTE ON ORTHOGRAPHY AND CURRENCY

BECAUSE OF CHANGES in Portuguese spelling since the nineteenth century, contemporary and present spellings of many words and even of names differ. In titles of references and proper names I tried to adhere to original spellings. In some instances there is no unique original spelling. For example, the Banco do Brasil in its own publications sometimes spelled Brasil with an s and at other times with a z. Outside of proper names and titles, I use modern spellings.

The base unit of Brazilian currency by the early nineteenth century was the *milréis*, written as 1\$000. One thousand milréis made up one *conto de réis*, or 1:000\$000. The milréis was only rarely convertible to gold at a fixed rate of exchange; for most of the nineteenth century it floated freely against other currencies. With the emission of large quantities of paper money between 1809 and 1829, the value of the milréis in terms of the principal foreign currency, the pound sterling, declined steadily through 1831, then recovered some of the ground it had lost before starting a long downward slide in the late 1830s. In 1846 Brazilian legislation fixed "parity" at 27 English pence per milréis. This parity was notional, representing an exchange rate target that was not supported on a continuing basis. Where suitable, monetary values are expressed in original milréis. When compared to or summed with the external debt, they are reported in British pounds.



CONTENTS

Acknowledgments	12	X
-----------------	----	---

Note on Orthography and Currency xiii

- 1 Introduction 1
- 2 Sovereign Borrowing and Imperial Debt Policy 19
- 3 Tropical Credibility on Lombard Street 45
- 4 Borrowing on Rua Direita 80
- Turning Points: Default Risk on Two Sides of the Atlantic 121
- Controlling Capital: Institutional Obstacles to Incorporation 151
- Concentration and Cronyism: Commercial Banking in Rio de Janeiro 183
- 8 Fall from Grace 215

Appendix I. Theory 229

Appendix II. Data 245

Appendix III. Primary Market Borrowing Costs: Sources and Method 261

Notes 269

Bibliography 313

Index 333

CHAPTER ONE

Introduction

IN 1824 THE constitutional monarchy of independent Brazil borrowed money in London for the first time. A syndicate of three merchant firms loaned it 1 million pounds sterling, raising the cash by issuing bonds. In return, the emissaries of Emperor Pedro I promised that the government would repay the loan over a period of thirty years and make interest payments of 5 percent a year to bondholders. The cabinet in Rio de Janeiro wanted to use the money to pay down the Treasury's debt to the Banco do Brasil. Instead the government spent the cash fighting the anticonstitutional revolt in the northeast. In January 1825 Brazil borrowed again, taking 2 million pounds sterling through Nathan Mayer Rothschild. Once again the money was supposed to settle debts within Brazil. And once again the funds were spent on war, underwriting the emperor's blockade of Buenos Aires. In 1829 the Treasury could not make its next interest payment on time. So with the authorization of the emperor's council of state, the minister in London turned again to the same bankers, who issued more bonds to cover the interest due. New money under such circumstances was not cheap. But the ability to borrow was a remarkable achievement, one that the Empire would repeat in London at least once per decade during hard times and as often as four times per decade in good times.

1

Had Brazil been like other Latin American borrowers it would have already been in default by 1830. Mexico, Peru, Colombia, Guatemala, Buenos Aires, and Chile all suspended payment on their debt in the 1820s and were cut off from new borrowing in London. Most of the Spanish American republics went on to become serial defaulters over the course of the century. For its part, Brazil repaid almost none of the principal it owed between 1829 and 1852. Its bondholders, however, always received interest. When in 1853 the first loans from the 1820s were about to come due, the Treasury did not have the 3 million pounds it needed to retire the remaining bonds. It had no trouble getting new credit, however. With the consent of the bondholders and the intermediation of N. M. Rothschild & Sons, the Empire extended its loans by another ten years. Its credit was so good that when the modified loan was about to come due in 1863 the government simply rolled the remaining balance into a new bond issue bearing a lower coupon rate than before. Rather strikingly, it executed the debt rollover in London during a complete breakdown in diplomatic relations with the British government. International politics aside, Brazil raised new cash and effectively extended the maturity of what remained from its original 1820s loans by yet another thirty years with no trouble. By that time Brazil was already on its eleventh London loan, involving four different financial intermediaries.

Brazil's good standing in credit markets was not restricted to London. At home the Treasury ran its first auction of domestic bonds (apólices) in 1828, shortly after parliament established the national debt. Slave traders and Rio de Janeiro's merchants were prominent buyers of the bonds. The new apólices provided lenders with annual interest payments of 6 percent in Brazilian currency (milréis) and were supposed to be paid off over thirty-three years. Relatively little of the initial tranche of apólices from 1828 had been retired when the parliament halted amortization in 1838. From that point forward the bonds were perpetuities. This change had little impact on the government's ability to borrow. Investors continued to absorb new issues in ever larger amounts through the politically turbulent 1830s and 1840s. By the early 1880s apólices had been bid so high that the current yield had fallen below the coupon rate. So with parliamentary sanction the finance minister converted the apólices from 6 percents to 5 percents in 1886, leveraging the government's high creditworthiness to reduce outlays on debt service. By then the government had borrowed